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## PREFACE TO VOL. II.

## PARTI.

On the chorizontic doctrine and the antiquity of the Homeric poems.
I. It seems impossible to exhaust the interest of the controversies which have arisen out of the Homeric poems. The preface to Mr. Paley's edition of the first half of the Iliad, supplemented by the preface which accompanied the smaller edition of the same, and by an Essay by the same distinguished Scholar, "On the comparatively late date and composite character of our Iliad and Odyssey", all take the ground of a modern authorship of our present poems under that name. These have been followed by an article in the Edinburgh Review, April 1871, which turns entirely on the question whether the Odyssey is by an author of the same age as the Iliad.
II. This last writer, as my remarks on him will be briefer, shall be noticed first. He seems to assume the high antiquity of both the poems. He does not even notice the existence of any such scepticism as forms the standing ground of Mr. Paley's entire argument. On the other hand, Mr. Paley says (Essay p. 1), "that the poems we now possess were compiled, that "is to say, were put together in their present complete "and continuous form, at some period not very long "before the time of Plato", and adds (ibid. p. 5)" "they "are the work, I think, of an Ionic compiler of the "school and age of Herodotus and Antimachus, or very "little before that time, - one who lived in the period "when literature first began to be committed to writing, HOM. OD. II.

The latest contributions to the Homeric controversy in this country are mentioned, and the questions stated which they open out. Mr. Paley in two prefaces and an Essay maintains the recent origin of "our Homer", anEdinburgh Reviewer revives the " Chorizontic" doctrine.

PART I
"and who, while he borrowed largely from the old "epics, so remodelled the portions which suited his "purpose, viz the exploits of Achilles and Ulysses, "as to put them into the language of his time which "differs in no respect from the Ionic Greek of Hero"dotus". He thus not only admits but argues, that the two poems, as we now have them, are of one "School and age", or "time"; possibly, as he suggests in a note, are the work of Antimachus himself. In a previous page he indeed, by saying, "the Author (if one and the same) of our Iliad and Odyssey, was beyond question an Asiatic", guards himself from expressly adopt-

These appear to advocate diverse and even adverse views. The latter, as being in more moderate eompass, is first discussed here. ing the view of the unity of authorship. But there is still a wide difference between him and the Edinburgh Reviewer; who seems to hold that a marked change in the manners, customs, religious and social feelings, is manifest, as having taken place in the supposed interval between the dates of the two poems. Even if both these writers had not done me the honour to refer to the present edition, it would hardly be possible to pass without notice their contributions to the questions which they respectively discuss. As regards then the Edinburgh Reviewer's arguments in defence of the $\chi \omega \varrho$ i\}ovt $\varepsilon$, I will mention incidentally that he seems to be in error in denying, p. 366, that the word $\tilde{\eta} \neq o s$ (misprinted $\left.\eta^{\prime} \vartheta o s\right)$ has the digamma in the Iliad; see Z. 5 II (Bekker's text), repeated O. 268,
 Here our cómmon texts have $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \tau^{\prime} \eta \eta \vartheta \varepsilon \alpha$, but of course the $\tau^{\prime}$ is merely a diaskeuast's stop-gap, such as are to be found in that text times out of number before unquestionably digammated words. Another oversight of the reviewer has made him deny that Homer, meaning the author of the Iliad, uses the word $\mathfrak{v}$ vo $\eta$ in the singular. It occurs so in $\Omega .317$ in a simile, 0 öбб $\eta$

3. on a supposed diversity in the habits of the Olympiansin the II. and Ody.
III. Another similar oversight occurs p. 374. "There is no testimony in the Odyssey that this opinion prevailed in the days of its author, that the gods were wont to be present at festivals instituted in honour of
themselves". In the very first council of the deities in the Odyssey, which occurs in the very opening of the poem, the absence of Poseidon is accounted for precisely on the ground here denied; see $\alpha \cdot 22-5 \cdot(1)$ Another similar oversight occurs p. $3^{66}$ where "the 4. as regards the author of the Odyssey" is spoken of as using $\tilde{\varepsilon} \xi \tilde{\eta}_{S}$, in use of $\varepsilon \xi \eta \eta_{s}$ or contrast with the Homeric $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon i \eta s ;$ but $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon i \eta s$ also occurs freely in the Odyssey, e. g. $\alpha .{ }^{1} 45, \mu .177$.
IV. I will add a remark on the word $\alpha o$ di $\lambda \boldsymbol{\psi}$, which, on the addition it is urged, is an addition to the simpler structure of to the structure the lyre as known to the poet of the Iliad. I have of the lyre. already noticed the difference of opinion as to its precise meaning in the Preface to Vol. I. p. Liv note $9^{8}$. This, however, is not now to the point; save that, if it be as Volkmann thought, the jugum of the lyre, meaning, I suppose, the cross-piece at the top, it is such a piece as the rudest lyre could hardly be without. But on wider grounds I wish to urge that it occurs only in a simile in $\varphi .406$ foll. Now, do not similes in all poets commonly give us details of some process, rests on a nonnatural or artistic, which would not otherwise call for notice, but which the simile brings out, precisely berrinciple which governs poetic simile. cause the point of the comparison turns upon it? Thus we have - I take the instance at hap-hazard - in addition to the mere brilliance of the shooting meteor in $\Delta$. 75 foll., the circumstance of its fire-flakes, $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda 0 i^{\text {r }}$
 in Homer, some whole process is only known to us, as being faniliar to him from his mentioning it in a simile. Thus we have in $\Delta$. 141 foll. the process of staining ivory, and in 371 the mention of horsemanship.
V. But to return to the xódдo $\begin{aligned} & \text { I may illustrate pre- }\end{aligned}$ cisely my present point from Milton, who, in describ- and also from ing the general associations of the temperament of "Divinest Melancholy", says generally,

There let the pealing organ blow
To the full voiced quire below,
whereas in Paradise Lost, 1. 708 foll. where he intro-

[^0]part i duces the instrument in a simile, he thus developes its parts and powers,

As in an organ from one blast of wind
'To many a row of pipes the sound-board breathes.
Now the mention of the "pipes" and "sound-board" here is precisely analogous to the mention of the xó $\lambda$ $\lambda o \dot{\psi}$, whatever its precise meaning, in the passage in which Odysseus strings his bow. The fact that an organ without pipes and a sound-board would be a nonentity does not affect the question. But in the OdysBut the line sey the line which contains the word in debate could which contrins
the $x o \lambda \lambda o \psi$ may be spared without detriment to the passage; as I will possibly be a show by citing it thus mutilated, or thus unadorned post - Homeric from $\varphi$. 406 foll.,

## 





 $\lambda o \pi \iota \chi 0 \varrho \delta \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu$. Now, to omit the principal verb in a simile is a circumstance not unknown to Homer (2); but here the omission of $\varepsilon$ ह́ $\alpha \dot{\nu} \nu \sigma \sigma \varepsilon$ is so naturally supplied by $\tau \alpha \boldsymbol{\alpha} v \sigma \varepsilon \nu$ of the next line, that it is not felt. It is therefore possible that the tension of the string round the xó $\lambda \lambda o \psi$ may be a touch added by a later hand, to impart greater finish to the image, on the principle noticed in Pref. to Vol. I. p. XLii, XXXIX.
VI. I have already dealt with the argument, founded on the fact of a different material for the string of the lyre as found in the Iliad and in the Odyssey, in Pref. to Vol. I. page iv. The fact is, whichever of the two was really the earlier, is a circumstance far from establishing a difference of periods. The stone age




where ut\&ivovio supplies the verb for oí.
overlaps that of bronze, and so on throughout the whole course of human progress. The great difference between the manners of the Iliad and these of the Odyssey is, that the former are essentially those of men abroad in a state of war, the latter essentially those of men at home in a state of peace.

Thus the standard to be adopted may fairly be diverse, yet the manners strictly contemporaneous in the two poems. They differ as the habits and equipments of our Guards in the Crimea differed from those of the same in their barracks or in society at home.
VII. Thus we may account for the absence of any $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \eta$, and for the omission of music at banquets in the Iliad, and many other like differences. The reviewer thinks that, in the fact that Telemachus' tunic is smoothed and hung on a peg by his old nurse in the chamber of the Odyssean palace, he detects a trait of domestic civilisation far in advance of the rude manners of the Iliad. But he seems to forget that such a character as Eurycleia could hardly have had any functions found for it in such a poem as the Iliad.
VIII. The reviewer remarks, "In Homer again the "long white garment known as the peplum is peculiar "to Minerva and the other Goddesses, though there "are passages which shew that it was also worn by "Asiatic women of high social position." Surely the entire anthropomorphic structure of both the poems is alike in this, that the dress and accoutrements of the deities are those of mortal men and women. If Pallas wears the peplum, we need no more doubt that it was part of a heroic Greek lady's usual attire, than we need
 nues, "Surely progress of time is indicated by the fa"shion having spread, in the days of the author of the "Odyssey, to Greek women of rank". The writer does not seem to be aware that the whole leading passage connected in the Iliad with the $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \lambda o s$ has been suspected on account, not of its $\Lambda$ siatic, but of its specially $\Lambda$ ttic affinities. Sce Mr. Paley's note on Z. 271, 281. But even assuming it Asiatic, the preponderant
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


## PART I

6. The general principle on which differences of ntanner between the two Homeric poems rest,
illustrated from modern experience
and vindicated
in some special
instances urged by the Reviewer.
$\qquad$

PARTI
that Helen hall long sojourned in Asia.
So far, then, from a discrepancy: we have a confirmation.
S. An oversight as regards the word $\lambda \omega \tau 0 \varsigma$ and its two meanings in the Iliad.
voice of traditional and internal evidence is in favour of Homer having been himself Asiatic, though a Greek.

Again, the leading passage in the Odyssey on the $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \lambda_{0}$, is that in which Helen offers one as a gift to Telemachus, o. 105 foll.

Now the whole of the decorations of Menclaus' palace teem with foreign, including Asiatic materials, and Helen herself had sojourned for many years (twenty according to $\Omega .765$ ) in Troy, in which the scene of the Iliadic $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \lambda \lambda_{o g}$ is laid! So far then from contrariety, we have here complete confirmation.
IX. Another oversight has led him to suppose that $\lambda \omega \tau o s$, p. 390, is used in the Odyssey only of the fruit; but see $\delta .603$, where it means some horse fodder, probably "lucern", as in the Il.

Why indeed should not the same name, $\lambda \omega \pi o ̀ s$, contemporaneously be current in both senses, just as we call by the same name "plantain" the little weed of our grassplats and the tall tree of the tropics?
X. As regards the domestic arrangements of the palace interior, I have shewn, I think conclusively, that no such thing as a $\gamma v v \alpha \iota \varepsilon \varepsilon \tilde{0} 0 v$ is traceable in either Iliad or Odyssey, nor therefore in either were "the women's apartments on the same floor as the men's and behind it" (them?), p. 369 ; see Appendix F. 2 (ir)... (13). The reviewer further says, "Nor do we find in the Iliad as in the Odyssey, that under the same roof with the principal apartment was another room to which there was access by communication through a door." Yet we find the parts of Paris' palace enumerated di-
 316. No doors indeed are mentioned; but surely they are easily understood where the parts enumerated imply mutual access. There is nothing to indicate them, or their absence. In fact no such interior details or palace scenes prolonged with exits and entrances, occur in the Iliad as in the Odyssey; and it is idle to argue as if they did.

He proceeds, "here (in the $\vartheta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \mu \mathrm{o}$ ) the king's
guests passed the night, and beyond it was an open portico". Yet in the tale of Phœonix we have I. 472 -3 the $\alpha i \neq 0 v \sigma \alpha$ (portico) and the $\pi$ @ódo $\mu$ os mentioned,
 there it is no doubt intended, the kinsmen of Phœenix slept and kept watch by turns.

I may also refer to $\Xi$. $166-9, \Upsilon$. 10- $\mathbf{1} 2$, as shew-
ing palace details which confirm these in the Odyssey, although for the above reason, less fully developed.
XI. A more important point - to turn to mythology - is the fact that Iris is said to be in the Iliad the messenger of Zeus, and Hermes or Hermeias in the Odyssey. But this does not represent the fact. Iris is the messenger of all the deitics $O$. 144-6, ${ }^{5}$ IOiv $\vartheta^{\prime} \eta^{\prime \prime}$
 title of Hermes is dıóxro@os as in $\alpha .85$ and, when he is an "$\gamma \gamma \gamma \varepsilon$ дos, it is to Zeus alone. But the reviewer surely seems to have wholly overlooked the function of Hermes in the last book of the Iliad, $\Omega$. 334. The fact that Iris in $\Psi$. 198-203 overhears the prayer of Achilles and communicates it to Boreas and Zephyrus is at once a proper function of her office as $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon$ dos to the immortals conveying to each what it concerns him to know, even though not directly commissioned to do so, and also shews a trace of the elemental relations which pervade the rainbow and the winds, piercing through their mythological veil. The line in which Zeus in $\varepsilon .29$ gives his errand to Hermes, is dwelt on at some length by the reviewer - I camot see any special force in it. Its words are 'E@usíc $\sigma$ i'
 č $\lambda \lambda \alpha \pi \varepsilon \varrho$, if expanded, means, "as in other cases thou art, so be thou in this". In the Iliad, it may be observed, before quitting this subject, $\Lambda$ pollo is once used by Zeus as a messenger to Hector, O. 221. Similarly Milton makes Raphael the angelie messenger in his $5^{- \text {th }}$ book of the Paradise lost, but Michael in the $1^{\text {th }}$. Again, does any one see any thing inconsistent in Iris being the messenger or agent of Juno in Virg. AEn. - is the fact that His is said to be in the Hiad the

## PART I

A confirmatory instance is cited, with other indications of agreement.

Zeus. Zeus. Iris is $\mu \varepsilon \tau$ -
$\alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda o s \quad$ to Zeus. Iris is $\mu \varepsilon \tau-$
$\alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda o s \quad$ to the higher Olympians, Hermes is ঠ九́итоюоs to Zeus, but appears so in the Iliad also.
10. On the functions of Iris and Hermes as the messenger of
part I IV. Gyt et al. and Mereury the messenger of Jupiter in Ain. IV. 222, and again one of the Dire the messenger or agent of the same in Enn. XII. 853? No doubt something in the character of the message, or a mission, justifies the distinction; but is it not so in Ho-

A jussible objection answerci. mer too? It will be urged perhaps, that by Virgil's time, a confluence of mythologies had taken place. But that assumes with regard to Homer the very point in disputc. What proof have we that there had been no such confluence at the date of the Iliad? A highly composite Olympian system, a displaced dynasty of clder Gods (Iapetos, Kronos, etc.), and a rebellion of one or more inferior deities against Zeus, are rather tokens in favour of it.
XII. The use of the word $x \tau \eta \dot{\mu} \alpha \tau \alpha$ in the Iliad, whereas both this and $\chi \risingdotseq j \dot{\mu \alpha \tau \alpha}$ occur in the Odyssey, has been dwelt on by the reviewer as an important instance of the difference of language which the poems when compared exhibit. The Homeric text has undergone so many vicissitudes since it was first formed, that it may easily have happened that instances of $\chi \varrho \eta \dot{\mu} \alpha \tau \alpha$ which may once have existed in the Iliad, may have perished, and $\varkappa \tau \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ have slipped into its place.

This, when we take into account that $\varkappa \tau \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ also occurs, not $\chi \varrho \eta \dot{\mu} \mu \tau \alpha$ alone, in the Odyssey ( $\alpha .375, \pi$.

Both alsu occur in the poems of Hesiod; and the notion of $x \tau \eta^{-}$$\mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ being the older is etymologically illfounded.
11. On x $\quad \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ in the Il., $\chi \varrho \eta^{-}$ $\mu c \tau \alpha$ in the Ody. Both words occur in the Ody. and one may have been lost in the II. by accidents of the text.
as soon as touched. I regret that I cannot now find part I leisure to examine them in further detail (3). objections of the
XIII. I must now deal with the views of Mr. Palcy $\begin{gathered}\text { Reviewer to my } \\ \text { illustrations in }\end{gathered}$ on the chronological question. He (Essay p. 5-6)

3 I will add here a few remarks, in reply to the reviewer in his notice of certain parallels which I have drawn between the differences which distinguish the Iliad from the Odyssey, and those which occur in modern poetry in the same author or between contemporaneous authors. I had urged Milton's preference for the Ptolemaic system in the earlier part of the Paradise Lost and for the Copernican theory in the latter; he answers, it "would imply duality of authorship, "if Milton were, as the author of the Odyssey, relating a fact, but speaking in "his own person he simply shows a desire to diversify the richness of the illus"trations", p. 377. I cannot reconcile this with his view of Homer's belief stated in p. 380, "Let it not be supposed that Homer believed in mythological "deities, because he employs their agency in his poem. It were as unsound to "suppose that Spenser believed in the fairies of western Europe because he "treats of them in his 'Fairy Queen' etc. etc." The author of the Odyssey, on the reviewer's ground, surely could not believe in what had become already in the day of the author of the Iliad "a creed outworn". Are we to suppose that he could still "believe in mythological deities", when the author of the Iliad had shaken off that belief? If not, in what sense can he be "relating a fact" when he brings in mythological agency into his poem? If gods and goddesses had, as the reviewer seems to think, become merely a supernatural machinery for the convenience of heroic narrative, like the gnomes and sylphs of Pope's mock-heroic, why should it not be open to the poet of either Il. or Ody. to use Iris or Hermes as the vehicle of his plot, just as to Milton to use the older or later theory of cosmical movements in bringing about the vicissitudes of nature?

He adds, p. 377, "Similar refutation may be made of what Mr. Hayman "elsewhere says of Shakspeare and Ben Jonson, that the latter speaks of "tobacco and the former never (see my Vol. I. p. Lvi note 100), which should "at once lead us, he observes, to infer that they were not contemporaries, "when a moment's consideration will show that Shakspeare could not have "made any of his characters speak of tobacco without being grossly anachron"istic." When does Shakspeare ever shrink from an anachronism? I will take a few instances at random. We have cannon in King John, Act II, se, ii.

By East and West let France and England mount:
Their battering cannon, charged to the mouths.
In Antony and Cleopatra the hero compares the heroine to a gipsy, Aet IV, sc. $x$.

Like a right gipsy, hath, at fast and loose
Beguiled me to the very heart of loss.
We have - I need surely cite none after this - a clock striking in Julius Cæsar, Act. II, sc. i.

Brutus. Peace, count the clock.
Cassius. The clock hath stricken three.

PAR'T I
arranges the evidences in support of his view under fifteen heads, which I will first notice generally and seriatim as he puts them, reserving for fuller consideration further some few which open a door to considerable discussion. I shall probably leave the argument unexhausted, but I am not so much afraid of this as I am of "exhausting" the patience of the reader. some of them lead.

1. The negative argument, from the absence of direct reference to our poems in the older writers and even in Pindar and the Tragies.

There is an equal absence of direct reference to all other poems in those older writers: to the "cyclic" for instance there is no reference whatever, nor to any of their composers. To the legends out of which those Cyclic poems sprung as did the present Homeric, and which are woven up in them, there are abundant references. But $n . b$. wherever we trace a legend in an "older" poet known to be such, e.g. Stesichorus, we always find the legend when compared with its form in "our Homer" to have been developed to considerably greater fulness. I shall speak more fully on this and also on "direct reference" further on.
2. The general absence of Homeric Scenes (not always of characters) in early Greek art, especially the vases prior to B. C. 450 .

So far as it exists, this absence is due to local influences predominating over general. But there is no absence in any disproportionate degree to what we might expect. See the argument below at p. xiii foll.
3. The fact, which is undeniable and extremely suggestive, that the Iliad and Odyssey are first largely quoted and appealed to as "Homer" (4) by Plato and Aristotle.

A great poet in a wild age comes among mankind
4 I do not see any force in their being "appealed to as Homer". Mr. Paley has stated his belief that the works of Arctinus and the rest, $i . e$. the bulk of the "Cyclic" poems, were "appealed to as Homer" promiscuously, and to some extent that no doubt was so: see the statements of Herod. II. 117 about the $K \dot{v} \pi \rho \iota \kappa$ etc. The words which I italicize merely tend to show an indistinctness of critical view among Pre-Platonic writers. But Mr. Paley does not show any large quotation of the Cyclic poets by those writers. Therefore this indistinctness amounts to very little. He merely says those writers if poets, e.g. Pindar and Aschylus, "took their themes from the Cyclics"; which
unobserved. He is loved and cherished through a long PART I period rather for his second rate than first rate attributes. But a philosophic period comes and discerns at last the colossal proportions of his genius. Thus "our Homer" was found true to human nature by the first great masters of that nature's study, but till that study had attained some degree of development, such a verification of its theories in the poct's works was impossible, and such quotation as that of these philosophers therefore would be an anachronism.
4. The comparatively modern style of the diction, intermixed with numerous archaic forms, which must be considered to belong to a wholly different and very much earlier period of the language.
"Comparatively" - with what? Take Hesiod, "Works and Days" or "Theogony", take the so called Homeric Hymns, take the extant remains of any of the acknowledged early poets back to Archilochus, - in no one will there be found any less degree of modernism than in our Homer. That there are numerous archaic (5) forms preserved in II. and Ody. is most true, but, if
may have been the case, but, if admitted, does not prove the modernness of "our Homer".

5 I believe the account of this to lie in the fact that our Homer was a poet of the people in the broadest sense and wielded a mass of language, like our own Shakspeare, far in excess of that of any other poet of his country. The consequence would naturally be that he kept alive and transmitted many terms and forms which had even then but a precarious life under the influences which were then determining the language. The reacting influence of a great poet upon the language he uses is, that he confers his own immortality upon parts of it which else would perish, or which would drop out of stamlard use and remain only as provincialisms and vulgarisms. He thus checks the attrition which while it polishes current speech yet wears it away. For human language in all its noblest types seems to resemble the diamond, reducible to greater symmetry of form only at the expense of its material, and only to be polished in its own dust. If we compare the vocabulary and wordforms of Shakspeare with those of Milton, or yet more with those of Cowley, how vastly older tham his actual seniority does Shakspeare seem. But many of the words which Milton would have rejected and which are found in Shakspeare, may still be stumbled upon in nooks of midland England, and probably elsewhere. Further, the practice of perpetual public recitation would tend to keep the archaisms from becoming antiquated, and put a drag on the wheel of change in the people's tongue.
the bulk of the dietion is no more modern in these than in Arehil., the greater prevalence of transmitted archaism only throws us back to some far earlier period than that of Archil. for its source.
5. The fact that in general the dialect and forms of inflexion very closely resemble the style of Herodotus; and that there are even some allusions (e.g. to the wealth of Delphi, the greatness of Egyptian Thebes, the just Scythians, or Abii,(6) see Herod. I. 50 seqq., IV. $23-6$, and Il. XIII. 6), that seem referable to his writings.

They do not approximate more closely to Herodotus than do the dialect and wordforms of Hesiod, and the few extant fragments of the Cyclic poets contain similar dialectic and inflexional forms. Yet Mr. Paley deems these poets older than Herod. and "our Homer". Surely this shows that the reasoning is inconclusive. The remark on the "allusions" depends on the genuineness of six lines in Il. IX. and one in Il. XIII. I am not disputing that genuineness; for accounts of the wealth of Delphi etc. may have been current long before Herod.; but it is important to show on how very narrow a basis this argument rests, as contrasted with those which I am about to adduce regarding the geography and mythology, which are as broad as the poems themselves. As regards Egypt, who that reads Herod. could think that a poet of his age could possibly know so little about it as our Homer evidently knew? See some further remarks on this head at p. xxxv and xlvii inf.
6. The strongly marked and frequent references in our Homer to earlier epics, which Pindar and the Tragics make use of, while they rarely, if ever, contain the passages that can be shewn to have been directly derived from our Homer (note refers to

6 This people had already been noticed by Æschylus in their character for justice (Fragm. 184 Dind., Пৎо . $\downarrow v o ́ \mu$.$) , but the myth in Eschylus' time$ had grown to embrace further their special exemption from the necessity of tilling the ground. He calls them the Gabii,



$\tau \varepsilon ์ \mu \nu \varepsilon \iota ~ \delta i x \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \propto \varrho о v \varrho \alpha \nu, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda{ }^{\prime} \alpha v \tau о ́ \sigma \pi о \varrho о \iota$

Is this, I may ask, "referable to the writings" of Herodotus?
the Philoctetes and Ajax of Sophocles as mentioning the story of Thersites, the ships of Philoctetes, and the burning of the Grecian fleet: - why not as also mentioning the jealous wrath of Ajax about the adjudgment of Achilles' arms?).

I presume such references as that to the "Lay of the Wooden Horse" in $\vartheta .492$ foll. But why earlicr? why epics? why the same as those which Pindar and the Tragics make use of? why not contemporaneous ballads? or, if the reader pleases, "earlier" ballads, for the existence of which I have amply allowed in Vol. I. Pref. p. xLV, note 72. We constantly find in the Scholia on Pindar and on Homer such statements as $\dot{\eta}$ íто@ía $\pi \propto \varrho \dot{\alpha}$ 'A@x兀ivן or some other "Cyclic" poet. But because the Scholiast tells us that "the full account is to be found in Arctinus", it surely does not necessarily follow that the poet he is commenting upon took it from there.
7. The artificial and thoroughly dramatic nature of our poems, indicating an advanced period in Greek thought and literature when they were composed.

The question, again, is, "advanced" as compared with what? Is there any proof that the lost "Cyclics", whom Mr. Paley thinks so much earlier than "our Homer", were less "artificial and dramatic" than he? A main action diversified by episodes, may be given as the adequate account of the structure either of Iliad or of Odyssey. Is not this the most likely form into which a poct's work would fall, who was the first to weave up rude early ballads, many of them retaining matter of special local or family interest, into a continuous epos? It is surely a privilege of genius to be "advanced". Herodotus is supposed to have lived into the Peloponnesian war; yet how wonderfully "advanced", as compared with him, is Thucydides.
8. The fact that our poems form but a small part of the ancient Homeric themes, being primarily incidents culled from the adventures of two principal Homeric heroes.

I have assumed that "our Homer" may have found plenty of rude carly ballads ready to hand, and used them freely; but why must he have used all? Why

Part i may not the material left montouehed have exceeded in quantity that made use of?
9. That throughout our Homer a knowledge is presupposed of all the incidents and characters, as well as of the general motives and events of the Trojan war, up to quite a late period in its history.

Is not this the accepted rule of epos? And if it had a first author, and we possess him still, what possible reason can there be against his having descried the principle and applied it? Would it have been any clearer proof of antiquity to have begun $a b$ ovo? Nay is not the same the rule of untutored ballad too? Does the poet of "Chevy Chase" tell us who in detail was the "Percy of Northumberland", the "Douglas", the "King James", and "King Henry" of his song? The rule of in medias res non secus ac notas has become a canon with critics, but poetic genius doubtless intuitively discerned it from the first. Lord Macaulay has caught this native feature of all ballad excellently in his Lays of Ancient Rome; e. g.

Lars Porsena of Clusium
By the nine gods he swore,
That the great house of Tarquin
Should suffer wrong no more,
presupposing clearly that "knowledge of the incidents and characters" which Mr. Paley thinks so suspicious.
10. That the Homeric characters (with some inconsistencies, are almost uniformly virtuous, often even amiable; whereas we have good reasons for thinking that treachery, brutality, and debauchery marked to a great extent the same characters in the ancient epics (note refers to a fragment of Æschylus, and Plato, as showing "that the friendship of Achilles and Patroclus was by no means of a very reputable kind").

Poets interpret general human nature by their own consciousness, of which their experience forms a part. Thus Aschylus put his own interpretation upon Hero comradeship. If our Homer had written as late as ${ }^{*}$ Eschylus, is it not morally certain that he must have taken the same view? We can only account for his purity by supposing that his experience was confined to a period before the infamous vice alluded to had
tainted the relations of friendship. The story of Harmo-
dius and Aristogeiton as given in Thucyd. VI. 54. 2, 3. shows that it had done so at the period of the Peisistratidæ. I infer that our Homer is considerably older. As regards treachery and brutality, surely the Ithacan suitors show plenty of both. As regards Plato: surely he in Sympos. 180 $A$, refutes the notion, ascribes it to Æschylus, and shows its inconsistency with the Homeric view, which he presumably therefore adopts: Аїбұv $\boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{\tau} . \lambda$. are his words.
II. The strong probability that this general ethical goodness is due to the age of philosophy rather than to a remote and semibarbarous one.

On this view the dramatists ought in their characters to show a superior "ethical goodness". Whereas Mr. Paley's note, above cited, ends with the remark that, "In the tragedies the amiable Helen is always mentioned with detestation". Yet this conception of her character surely belongs entirely to "the age of philosophy". The instance given seems to me to refute conclusively the argument which it is adduced to support. The same might be said of the Odysseus, Menelaüs, etc. etc. of Tragedy. The real test is, not ethical goodness merely, but the fact that our Homer's ethical treatment is synthetic. There has been no analysis of passion and motive, and the poet never, as in the person of the Chorus, falls back from his standpaint to contemplate, moralize, and reflect.
12. The details of the armour generally being those of a late age.

The question is, what details of armour of an corrly age have we to compare with them? We have outside Greece the Assyrian and Egyptian Sculptures. We have a good deal of descriptive allusion in the fragments of Tyrtæus. Let any one try this argument by these standards, and I think it will fail. But in fact fashions of war often abide fixed through long periods. Mr. Hallam, Mid. Ayes, Chap. III. part I, notices that sieges and siege works hardly varied from the Roman
period to that of the use of gumpowder. The basis of Homeric panoply and weapons is copper ( $\chi \alpha \lambda x o ́ s$ ). Is not this alone a sufficient refutation of the lateness here imputed? Surely no amount of "details" can outweigh a broad fact like this.
13. The laws of organic change to which all languages are liable, and which make it incredible that the genuine Greek of B. C. 800 or even 900 (I suppose 900 or even 800 is meant) should (archaic forms apparently adapted being excepted,) coexist with the Attic, and Ionic dialects of B. C. 400.

This is too sweeping. The same Arabic as that of the Koran is said to be spoken at this day in Mecea. As regards Greek, the language of the remains of Archilochus, 700 B. C., seem to me to have a far more modern ring about them than that of Homer. It seems also far more likely, judging from the general analogies of human progress, that periods of long stagnation alternated with periods of rapid change, than that change was always everywhere the uniform rule or nearly so. See footnote under head 4 above,
14. The constant repetition, even of many verses together; the great irregularities in the ase of the article and the digamma; and lastly, the strong indications from internal evidence of whole episodes having been adapted and annexed from other ballads.

The first of these is exactly what the use of writing would weed out. Therefore its prevalence points to an early age. The "irregularities" alleged I shall show to exist in all early Greek which has come down to us. The episodes from earlier ballads I have already allowed for, but what tendency these have to prove any absolute date I cannot see.
15. Instances of anticipation in the narrative, as in II. II. 874 , the slaughter made by Achilles in the river (Lib. XXI.), and in Od. II. 20, the cannibal feast of the Cyclops (Lib. IX.) are forestalled.

Surely this only shows that the poem had some coherent existence, however imperfect, as a whole in the poet's mind. What it has to do with the date of his composing it, I do not see.
XIV. Before examining in further detail any of the questions which these heads of evidence may suggest,

I will give one example of the kind of reasoning which
I deem specious but unsound, and to the superficial reader especially misleading. After urging that unwritten poems so ancient and popular must have been altered and cooked up over and over again, in order to bring them up to the tastes of each successive age, and that therefore a still later written composition, even if anonymous, might supersede them all, Mr. Paley continues, Essay, p. 3-4, "Examples of altered treat"ment in Homeric subjects are(1), the account of the " $\mu \tilde{\eta} \nu \iota s$ of Achilles as given in the Iliad, and the $\nu \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon}_{-}$ "uos of the same hero with Ulysses, sung as a lay by "the bard Demodocus in Od. VIII. 75, sqq., both events "being represented as destructive to Greeks and Trojans "and Agamemnon being a common party to both." Now this, as I understand it, implies that the one in the Il. is a mere varied repetition of that in the Od. or vice versa.
XV. Now, supposing that a $\mu \tilde{\eta} v \iota \rho_{s}$ does not differ essentially from a veixos and that "quarrel" may roughly represent either ( 7 ), yet still - is Achilles so placable and staid a character that one quarrel and one only can be ascribed to him? Yet further, the moment we examine the scenes - the Odyssean one being stated in epitome only - a difference of the other parties arises. It is not the case that Odysseus and Agamemnon merely change places. Agamemnon is a principal in the Iliadic quarrel, in the Odyssean an interested spectator only, who, in the wrangling chieftains recognizes the appointed omen of 'Troy's overthrow as near: see note on $\vartheta$. $7^{6--81}$. Further, in the Iliadic quarrel Odys.

7 This is, I think, a large assumption: I take $\mu \tilde{\eta} \nu \iota \rho$ to be a much more sustained feeling, Damm renders it ira perdurans, and the passages he cites tend to support it. The term veiros might have been applied of course to the scene of violent altercation, in $A .102$ foll., if a more permanent feeling had not succeeded it. Yet I would not be considered as denying that there is any such thing as an altered treatment of a legend in "our Homer". I think I see such a case in the legend of Melampus, as given in $\lambda .28$; foll. and in 0.226 foll.; see App. G. 4 and the reference there to Herod. VII. But in those passages the legends conflict.

PART I

But the ensuing $\pi \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau$ оs $\alpha \varrho \chi \dot{\eta}$ of the Odyssey differs in its con－ ception from the cousequences in the Iliad．
has no part assigned him whatever．He seems studiously kept out of view．＂Both events＂，it is further argued， ＂are represented as destructive to Trojans and Greeks＂． But in the II．the result of the $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu{ }^{\prime} s_{s}$ is that it $\mu v \rho i$＇
 period of the veixos

## 


Of course the $\mu \eta \nu \iota s$ of the II．proves destructive to the Trojans also eventually，i．e．when the weightier $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu ⿺ 廴 ⿻ 肀 二 灬$ for Patroclus＇death swallows up the lighter for Agamemnon＇s wrong．But the $\mu \tilde{\eta} \nu c s$ is not represented in the scene from the Il．as having that force．All the suggestions in the quarrel scene of II．$A$ ．and its im－ mediate sequel point to the Greeks only as the sufferers， to the Trojans，if anything，rather as the agents of their suffering．So Achilles threatens Agamemnon in $A$ ．
 $\sigma \varkappa 0 \nu \tau \varepsilon S \pi i \pi \tau \omega \sigma \iota, \chi . \tau$ ．$\lambda$ ．；so he distinctly begs his mother to induce Zeus to help the Trojans and distress the Greeks，A．408－12，

 $x \tau \varepsilon \iota \nu о \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v s, x . \tau . \lambda$ ．
Surely there is a sufficient distinctness of feature in every single particular of these scenes or descriptions， thus compared，to make it impossible to obtain one of them by a mere recoction or dilution of the other． Further，the $\tilde{\eta} \vartheta 0$ of the two passages when examined is essentially different．That somebody does not agree with somebody and that one of the parties is in each case Achilles＇is as far as we can carry the parallel－ ＂The situations，look you，is poth alike＂，as Captain Fluellen might say，who did not know of＂altered treatment＂．

Another exam－ ple taken from the Cyclops and Læstrygonians overlooks dis－ tinctivefeatures，

XVI．I follow with slight interval the sequel of Mr． Paley＇s words．＂The Læstrygonians in the tenth Odys－ sey are only a reproduction of the Cyclopes．＂The point of this statement probably is that the same main ad－
venture is varied, and that we have therefore here the images of the same object in different minds. Take the notion of savage, and add to it man-eater. Then, one episodist makes him solitary and the other gregarious, and so on. I cannot, however, admit the conclusion, but, even if adeven if one adventure be a reproduction of another, mitted, ${ }^{\text {shows }}$ that therefore the form in which we have both must the date of the needs be of the period of 450 B . C. I do not see any tendency in the fact, if admitted, to establish that conclusion. Even if we resolve the whole of either poem into episodes, and reduce each episode successively to a form - say, of the solar myth, - still we are as far as ever from any step towards the conclusion sought to be established. Our poems may still belong to the $9^{\text {th }}$ or $\mathrm{I}^{\text {th }}$ century B. C. To show that a conglomerate contains elements whether of diverse or of similar origin tells us nothing at all of the date of the conglomeration. But indeed, I see no adequate ground for this theory of mere "reproductions". Take the Cyclopes and the Læstrygonians. Is there any thing in the resemblances between them inconsistent with their being a poetic dressing up of originally distinct tales of adventure? May not the likeness, however close, be accounted for by the fact that savage life is in fact monotonous, and that types of savagery, unless taken very wide apart, tend to repeat each other. Now those accessible to "our Homer" could not be wide apart, being all culled from explorers' wanderings along the shores of the Mediterranean basin. On the other hand we ought not to leave out of sight the differences. The Læstrygonians have a king and that king a household. They have an organized polity and a city, with a palace and a road traversed by waggons, and are supplied with wood and water fetched. The identity amounts after all to this, that they use the same diet and employ the same missiles. Do not all the Greeks - and Trojans too. for that matter - use the same diet and A third exammissile - not to speak of other - weapons? So further, ple taken from "Calypsô again (see Od. IX. 29-32) is certainly another pore is similarly "version of the Circê story, the latter being the more ill-sutained.

## PART I

But such resemblances may be found in Virgil as well ; and why should there not be resemblances between successive images of the same author?

As regards "direct reference": poets, qua they claim to be creative, maintain the character by abstaining from this. Still some examples are found, as in Pindar, and Hómer comes in for a leading share.
"ancient of the two". Wach is a goddess and lives in an island, and their names begin with the same letter, and with this the identity is exhausted. It is Captain Fluellen with his famous parallel of "Macedon and Monmouth" over again. I am reminded of the ingenious "Historical doubts" of the late Archbishop Whately, who might have argued that the confinement of Napoleon in $\mathrm{S}^{t}$ Helena is obviously a mere "reproduction" of his confinement in Elba.
XVII. The fact is, such criticism is an example of the thousand ingenious things which may be said of the origin of any poem where the facts of the case are unknown. If Virgil lay in the same twilight as Homer, why should not the repetition of the warning to Eneas by Anchises' ghost in book III be "another version" of his warning by Hector's ghost in book II? why should not the pilot Palinurus, drowned by Somnus from the deck, be regarded as "reproduced" in Misenus drowned by Triton among the crags? Such ingenuity proves nothing, not even the relation of the parts to the whole, much less the origin of the parts themselves, since every particle of the conclusion is based on an arbitrary assumption - that the genuine work of a true poet will be found to avoid degrees of resemblance in successive images, such as are found between the Læstrygonians and Cyclopes, between Calypsô and Circê.
XVIII. As regards 1 . the absence of direct reference to our poems the Iliad and Odyssey, in the older writers, and even in "Pindar and the Tragics". This would be of some force, if it were the custom generally among early poets to refer directly to their predecessors, and if Pindar and the Tragics in particular did directly refer to some poets older than themselves, and not to our Homer. But the opposite of these is the fact. Poets, at any rate who assume to be original, as a rule contain no such direct references, and it would be inconsistent with their claims to originality, if they did. It is prose writers, historians, orators, philosophers, who quote poets or refer directly to them. The poets of Greece in particular seem to have looked on them-
selves down to a late period as all in partnership, all having an equal right to the legends. And this feeling puts direct reference out of the question. The secondary class of poets, elegiac and lyric, furnish indeed examples of such reference $e . g$. Simonides and Pindar. The plays of Eschylus contain no direct reference to any poet whatever before himself. There is similarly in Virgil no direct reference to Ennius or Lucretius. But can any one doubt that in the matter of phraseology he drew largely upon both, as in that of legend upon the Greek Epics? Pindar mentions Homer twice, (8) saying in one place, Nem. VII. 29-30, that the wanderings of Odysseus had through Homer obtained wider fame than they otherwise would. Of course this does not prove that the poem referred to by Pindar agreed in detail with what we now call the Odyssey, simply because nothing but detailed quotation could prove it; but surely no general reference could be more complete. The other passage is one in which the line of
 عid! O. 207, is apparently referred to as a line of "Homer's". But Pindar transfers the word $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \vartheta \lambda$ òs to be an epithet of the word ${ }^{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda o s$ with some other remouldings of the diction. His words are, Pyth. IV.
 $\pi \alpha \nu \tau i ̀ ~ \varphi \varepsilon ́ \varrho \varepsilon \iota \nu$, "which", Mr. Paley says, "can only by a great stretch of imagination be referred to a verse in our Iliad".

Now let any one compare the above difference between Pindar and Homer O. 207 as cited by him, with the difference between the English "new" metrical and the English Prayerbook prose version of the Psalins

The actual words of Homer could hardly find place in Pindar for metrical reasons, in a thousand passages, and he will find that greater liberties by far have been taken by the versifiers. The
 in $\varepsilon i \not \eta \nu \quad \varepsilon \dot{v} \varrho \eta_{i} \sigma \iota \varepsilon \pi \dot{\eta} s x . \tau . \lambda$., OI. IX. 120, recognizes the distinction between his own secondary lyric strains and the $\alpha o i \delta o i$ who celebrated deeds of ancient
 opıv žer' żxóucouv. Yet in many long passages, as notably in P'yth. IV. he deviates into the epic spirit.

PART I
them, if it were possible, would have the effect of burlesq̇ue.
necessities of metre and rhyme may be pleaded. But are there not similar necessities in passing from heroic to lyric poetry? It is sufficient that the poet, who referred to his predecessor, gave a sufficient hint for the hearers to recognize. Nay it may be urged that the effect of inserting into poetry of one style and metre, the actual words of another style and metre essentially different, is absolutely comical; - it is a distinct resource of parody to do so.
XIX. But even burlesque is often content to do no more than approximate. Thus, to take the first instance that occurs to me, in the Ingoldsby Legends we read,

Not the Volscians themselves made an exit more speedy
From Corioli, fluttered like doves, by Macready;
where the words in italics are a plain reference to the Shakspearian line,

Like an eagle in dove-cot, I
Fluttered their voices (Volces?) in Corioli.
I need not analyse the sentences in detail. It is clear that the effect of the quotation is here condensed into two or three key-words. Now on Mr. Paley's principles I hold that this is a significant token that the text of Shakspeare which the amusing author of those legends had before him differed materially from the commonly known one. At any rate, if Pindar's is not a direct reference to "our" Homer, neither is Mr. Barham's to "our" Shakspeare. I believe also that an allusion to the words of Achilles to Patroclus in II.
 $\xi \varepsilon \iota v x . \tau . \lambda$. , is to be found in Pind. Ol. IX. II7 foll.



The plays which follow in their plots some portion of incident in the Il. or Ody. are considerably more numerous than they have been reckoned as being.
XX. Mr. Paley has enumerated fifty-eight lost dramas "about Troy", composed by the three great Greek Tragedians, of which "not more than three or four contain "subjects even touched upon by our Iliad or Odyssey". I think he has omitted many such subjects from his list. - I find, to begin with, among lost dramas enu-
merated ly him, nine of Eschylus which contain such

PAR'I I
Eleven from Aschylus alone have been omitted from the enumeration, besides 2. $5+4-560$. Philoctetes comes in for mention in his place in the Catalogue, B. $718-25$, and is again referred to in $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$. 219-20 as a famous archer. The ${ }^{\prime} F_{V}{ }^{\prime} \chi 0 \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma i \alpha$, on the testimony of Plutarch,(12) was founded on a single passage in II. X. 209-13, xai тót
 six are the subject of whole books or lengthy passages in either poem. Besides these Mr. Paley has omitted the $\Theta \varrho \tilde{\eta} \sigma \sigma \alpha \iota$, which is said to have turned on the death of Ajax; and the $\sum i ́ \sigma v \varphi o s$, a trilogy of which one play was the $\pi \varepsilon \tau \varrho о x v \lambda i \sigma \tau \eta s$, manifestly embodying the legend given $\lambda$. 593-600. Both these are Æschylean, making eleven to the share of that poet alone. Among the lost Sophoclean plays I set down the following as "touched upon" in the Homeric Troica, Aias Lox@òs,

 Г. 205-6, and Mr. Paley's note, who says, "this story
six from Sophocles, and


 with its fatal issue as regards Ajax, is mentioned in

9 The only extant line of this play clearly shows its origin. It is $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$




10 This was the satyric play to the Orestean trilogy (Athen. IX. p. $394 \alpha$ ), and thus was plainly introduced in the same connexion as in the Ody., viz. with the wanderings of Menel. and the narrative of the fate of Agam.

II It seems to me hardly doubtful that this play was founded on some scenes in the Ody. In the extant fragments occur the lines,



and again ovŋ $\varrho \alpha ́ \nu \eta \nu$


These features of broad farce show that it was a satyric drama, probably a sequel to the $\Pi \eta v \varepsilon \lambda o ́ \pi \eta$, seizing on and exaggerating on their comic side such incidents as we have in @. 462 foll., 6. 394 foll., v. 299 foll.

12 Moral. p. 17 a.

PART I
may have been enlarged upon in the $\ddot{\varepsilon \prime \pi \eta}$ Kvir@ı";

 the plots may probably have turned on some part of the stories of those princes external to "our Homer"; and lastly, Xஉv́б $\boldsymbol{q}_{S}, \Phi \alpha i \alpha x \varepsilon \varsigma$, which were probably developments of the story of the mission of the former in $A$. and of various scenes in $\eta$., $\boldsymbol{v}^{\prime}, \nu$. This makes six, without reckoning the three deemed uncertain, from Sophocles. Then, to take Euripides, we find the names 'Eлєíos and Пюют世. $665,694,838$, ง. 493, ス. 523 ; for the latter B. 698 -702. And here we have again ' $A \lambda \varepsilon^{\prime} \xi \alpha \nu \delta \varrho o s$ and $\Phi_{0} \tilde{i}_{-}$ $\nu \iota \xi$, which I mention doubtfully for the same reason as above, and $\Phi \iota \lambda o x \tau \eta$ й $\eta \mathrm{s}$, which I venture to claim as connected with the Iliadic passage before referred to.

This makes three from Euripides, besides the eleven from Eschylus, and the six from Sophocles, or twenty in all. Surely when Mr. Paley says "subjects even touched upon in our Iliad and Odyssey", he must mean something inconsistent with the strict tenor of his words. But what surprises me most is that Mr. Paley speaks of the Tragics indiscriminately in this part of his argument, including therefore Euripides, without seeing that his argument, to whatever extent it is conclusive, proves too much; because it proves that our Iliad and Odyssey were not generally known in the time of Euripides. A conclusion which seems to me to need no refutation.
XXI. But since the characteristic feature of popular legend is development, on which I shall touch more fully hereafter, it may probably be conjectured why the Trojan legends as developed by post-Homeric manipulation formed more congenial themes for the Tragedians. Even the dramatic subjects which "our Homer" furnishes to these latter show a growth of the legend, since he left it, either under their hands or before it reached them. A prolonged devotion of the popular mind to the tales of individual heroes was sure to develope them rapidly on their pathetic side. Thus
the simpler forms of legend which "our Homer" presents would be quickly superseded for Tragic purposes by the fuller detail towards which popular feeling was ever tending. It may be fairly assumed that the "Cyclics" nursed that feeling and led it formulatively in its own direction; and if they thus supplied the dramatists with what they wanted, what wonder if, so far as it was available, the latter turned it to account, and so far set aside as antiquated the simpler Homeric forms of their legendary themes? But this, instead of proving the modernness, directly suggests the antiquity of "our Homer".
XXII. But why may not we suppose that Æischylus with our Homer before him advisedly deviated from the features of the Homeric story for the sake of Tragic effect? Thus he cut a 'Ouท́@ov тé $\mu \propto \chi 0 \varsigma$, but the dressing may have been original. See the footnotes on p. xxiii sup. The differences which he introduces will be found

PART I

The Tragedians are more sensaional, e. $g$ in making Clytæmnestra a murderess, whereas in Homer Ægisthus' guilt is alone stated, to be wholly on the sensational side. For instance, the change which made Clytæmnestra the murderess imparts a deeper domestic horror to the tale, and leads directly up to her retributive murder by Orestes, and his subsequent fury-haunted exile. In our Homer's account of the matter her share is but that of a consenting secondary: the murder of Agamemnon is always ascribed to Ægisthus. Her death is indeed mentioned in one passage; but this depends on the genuineness of a single line, $\gamma$. 310, where see my note. I confess that I am rather disposed to reject the line. The passage is:





The last line being the doubtful one. It seems to me, especially when we consider the indignity with which the corpse of Agamemnon was treated, $\lambda .425-6$, that, as there had been no funeral feast in his honour at his death, the expression doivv qúpov relates to such a solemnization subsequently, though long after date, by
unless in ${ }^{7}$ one doubtful line,

PARTI
his son; even as Menelaus, who heard of it long after date in Egypt, says, ס. 584,
 as taking it for granted that, under the circumstances of his death, no such honour would be accorded to him
which may have been an insertion in the Homeric text to suit the sensational view, when become. popular. in Argos. If this be so, and certainly it puts no strain upon the passage, we may conceive the last line in the citation given above from $\gamma .306$ foll. to have been a later addition, made when the Tragic form of the story had become thoroughly current and popular. But at
 be a Homeric connexion of words, there is still nothing to show that Orester was a matricide.

But the Tragic view of Clytæmnestra may as easily have been devised as borrowed by Eschylus.
XXIII. I will show further on, when I discuss the myth-forms of Homer as compared with those of other poets, that an additional element was incorporated by the dramatists with the Agamemnonian legend of Homer. But I have, in the note already referred to, shown the points of departure which Homer supplies, for the Eschylean development of the story, supposing the line genuine; and need we deem so meanly of Aschylus as to think them insufficient for his purpose, or that he must have had some older poet who presented the legend in this more sensational form, from whom he servilely copied it? What reason in short have we for ascribing all the inventiveness to the "Cyclics" and all the imitation to the tragedians? I need not dwell upon the murder taking place in our Homer in the banquethall, and in Æschylus in the bath-room. Some such modification was necessary in order to give Clytæmnestra her opportunity. Euripides again departs from Æschylus in his treatment of this legend chiefly in the pathetic and æsthetic points which suited his genius. Still he differs markedly; but evidently he differs by free choice. There is no necessity for supposing that any intermediate and now lost poet showed him the new stand-point from which to view the legend. He adopted it for himself. (13) Thus again Sophocles differs
13 We know on the one hand that Euripides, in Elect. 458 foll., gives Achilles a shield remarkably unlike the one given in Il. $\Sigma$.; although this part
from both his compeers, chiefly in the development

## PARTI

Sophocles and Euripides again differ from him and from each other in outline of fact as a basis of their diffe. rence in ethical colouring. unsupported, and upon it all this part of the argument
will be found to hang. It is somewhat as follows: There is a wide chasm open between the facts of Æschylus and the narrative of Homer, therefore there must have been a Cyclic poet to fill it up; and still more ity or posteriorextraordinarily, these Cyclics were older than our ${ }^{\text {ity. }}$ dramatists, and therefore our Homer was younger.(14)
of the Il. must certainly have been current in his time. On the other hand in the same play 720 foll. Eurip. makes Electra marry an old villager and live in poverty; it is she also who incites to matricide the reluctant Orestes, 974 foll. In all these and many other particulars Eurip. differs, designedly of course, from Eschyl. Now which of the two followed the "Cyclic" poet? Or, if they thus differ from each other, why may not both have equally differed from him? We have "our Homer" to compare with these dramatists; the Cyclics we have not. Compare also the wide differences between the Antigone of Euripides and that of Sophocles. I will give one more instance of an altered version of a Trojan story, as it does not enter into the tragic tale of the Oresteia, hut comes with a difference - directly from Homer as we have him. In the Iliad it is the dead body of Hector which is dragged by Achilles, in the Ajax of Sophocles it is the living man. Here again, Mr. Paley seems to assume that some Cyclic poet earlier than the Tragedians and "our Homer" had so shaped the tale - I think, a superfluous supposition. Whatever is more violently sensational is better suited to the descriptive passages of ancient Tragedy, which, be it remembered, never enacted its greatest horrors on the stage. On this principle. I doubt not, Sophocles imparted this pathetic touch to the fatal sequel of the hostile gift of the girdle to Hector by Ajax. It is probable that, once set up, the more violently sensational from of the legend would root itself. Thus Virgil by saying of Hector,
. . . pedes trajectus lora tumentes,
would appear to accept the version and mark it by this epithet. A similarly sensational feature is imparted to the murder of Agamemnon by Eschylus. He was not only slain but mutilated after death. Choeph. 439 .

14 Of course I am aware of Aristotle's words de Poet. cap. XXIII. which compare the capacity of the Homeric with that of the Cyclic poems in furnishing material for Tragedies; but this does not touch the question how far the Tragedians receded from the facts as given by Homeric or Cyclic text. Did the Cyclies all agree in the way in which they moulded the legends? Müller, de Cyclo, p. 106, supposes that the 'Ihiouv rípols of Lesches was not received into the

PAKT I
An "uncritical assumption" complained of: but none such is made here.

Quite enough would be found in our Homer for Tragic genius to work upon without intermediate "Cyclical" steps, as our own Shakspeare found enough in an old Danish tale.
XXIV. Mr. Paley adds (Essay p. 9), "Scholars have "been in the habit of uncritically assuming that, when "we read of an Achilles or a Hector, an Ajax or a "Patroclus in Pindar or the Tragics, or see them de"picted on early Greek Vases, the poets or artists were "referring to characters known to them from our Iliad. "I am convinced this has been the fundamental error "that has distorted and falsified all our reasonings on "the Homeric question". Now I beg to point out that I make no assumption of the kind. I only say that the fact of various incidents of the legend being different, and various features of it remoulded by Pindar or the Tragics, is no proof that they did not get from our Homer a knowledge of the legend in question. It even seems to me quite possible that Euripides might have written such an Electra as he did write, merely from having the Oresteia of Æschylus before him, and on the supposition that all earlier Greek poetry had perished. I would beg to compare the way in which Shakspeare dealt with the legend of "Hamblet"; how he has added characters, altered situations, and infused a wholly new ethical conception into the "Hamlet" whom we best know. Is there any reason in the nature of things why a modern poet who had genius for the task might not repeat in a new dramatic version the same tale of "Hamblet", differing as much from Shakspeare as Euripides from Æschylus, and as much from the story of "Hamblet" as either of these from Homer? Nay, did not Dryden in his "All for Love, or the World well Lost", actually attempt something of this sort in the Pindar's pecu-story of Antony and Cleopatra? As regards Pindar, liarity is that he is in the character of his legends led by local feeling. the intensely local character of the legends which he embodies is manifest at a glance from the scope of his poetry. He might have found a dozen other forms of the legends about Battus, Telamon, or Heracles, far more

Cycle, because he followed some version of the legendary facts different from the vulgate, or possibly some which "etiam ipse finxerat"; that he and Arctinus had different versions of the last days of Troy, and the like. If they differed from each other, why may not the dramatists have differed from both as well as from Homer?
widely current than the one which in any panegyric he
PARTI selected for his purpose, but, if he was writing an ode to glorify an athlete from Cyrenê, Salamis, or Thebes, it would be astonishing if he did not as a matter of course prefer the type which was locally recognized. I shall have occasion further to return to the question of myths and their localities, and to Pindar in particular as the exponent of the cultus to which they gave rise. I take leave of this part of the argument with the remark that, if our Homer is shown to be later than Aschylus because the latter borrowed from the Cyclics, he is for the same reason later even than Apollonius Rhodius and Virgil; and that, if we are to go to the Cyclics for Tragic plots as often as we find the situations altered where Homeric characters or at any rate personages are preserved, we shall often want one Cyclic for Æschylus, another for Sophocles, and a third for Euripides.
XXV. But Pindar only illustrates the tendency of the Greek mind in his own day and probably a much earlier day. Every city would have its own cycle of legend modifying locally the generally received legends, and in the local form rather than in the general every Greek child would doubtless know them first, whether that form were rhapsodical or not. Therefore we can never be sure that poets whose names, and perhaps whose works, we know as embodying successive forms of the same legend, were indebted directly to one another for the form which the legend takes. I will make my meaning more plain by a modern instance, - that of the same imagery of penal doom traceable successively in several poets of modern Europe.

Milton says Parad. Lost II. 587 foll.
Thither, by harpy-footed furies haled, At certain revolutions all the damned Are brought; and feel by turns the bitter change Of fierce extremes, extremes by change more fierce, From beds of raging fire, to starve in ice Their soft etherial warmth, and there to pine,

If Eschylus, because he borrowed from the Cyclics, is earlier than our Homer, so are Apollonius Rhodius and others too.

But the direct indebtedness of poet to poet is very uncertain, as shown by an instance taken from Milton compared with

Immoveable, infixed, and frozen round, Periods of time, thence hurried back to fire.
The passages which I am about to compare have been quoted again and again. They are to be found in the notes ad loc. to Keightley's edition of Milton, in Drake's "Shakspeare and this Times", Vol. I. p. 378 -9, and doubtless elsewhere.

In Shakspeare's Measure for Measure Claudio is made to say,(15)

The delighted spirit
To bathe in fiery floods, or to reside
In thrilling regions of thick-ribbed ice.
and Dante,
In Dante, Inferno III. 87, we read,
Io vengo per menarvi all' altra riva
Nelle tenebre eterne in caldo e in gielo,
and again Purgat. III. 3I,
A sofferir tormenti e caldi e gieli
Simili corpi la virtù dispone,
Che come fa non vuol che a noi si sveli.
Now it is obvious to infer that Milton borrowed from Dante directly, or from Shakspeare, who borrowed from Dante; but the inference is not necessary. There were abundant stories propagated by the medieval theologians of the precise forms of suffering which await the sinner in a future state, so generally current, and which retained so strong a hold on the imagination, that the great changes in religious views in the sixteenth century were unable to break the tradition. The poets in particular of that and the subsequent century had nothing to substitute for them, and therefore the tradition may have penetrated Milton from wholly different sources than Shakspeare, and the latter from wholly different sources than Dante.

Again Claudio, condemned for incontinence, continues,
To be imprison'd in the viewless winds, And blown with restless violence about
This pendant world;

Chaucer, before him, "Assemblie of Foules", had said,
And likerous folke, after that they been dede, Shall whirle about the world alwaye in paine, and further illustrated from Chaucer'
Till many a world be passed.
Compare the doom of Francesca de Rimini and her and again from lover in the Inferno V., and especially the previous lines which describe the whirlwind of the Second circle, 3 foll.

Le bufera infernal che mai non resta
Mena gli spirti con la sua rapina,
Voltando e percotendo gli molesta.
and $40-2$,
E come gli stornei ne portan l' ali
Nel freddo tempo a schiera larga e piena
Cosi que fiato gli spiriti mali.
Virgil before all these had said, En. VI. 740-r, Aliæ pandantur inanes
Suspensæ ad ventos.
Knowing, as we do, what Virgil was to Dante, the actual passage in the former may very probably have been present to the mind of the latter, but for the reason above mentioned there is no need of the supposition. At any rate there can be little doubt(16) that Chaucer and Dante were independent. The same medieval view of the forms of penal doom had reached both.

Now what prevailed in Europe with regard to this particular view of the penal doom of the dead is, I believe, like what prevailed in Greece with regard to all heroic legend. There may have been other - call them Cyclic - poets, who partly bridged the interval between Æschylus and Homer, but it is wholly superfluous to call in their aid. The legend, as distinct from any particular form of it, - the automythic essence, so to call it - was what they had to deal with, and

16 Chancer was born in the same decade in which Dante died. Of course therefore the former might, as a matter of possibility merely, have borrowed from the latter. But the probahility lies strongly the other way.

## PART I

The free circulation of rhapsodic strains, and the incessant accompanying development of legend would make it probably impossible to ascribe the source of particular tragedies to particular ballad-epics, even if we had them to compare.
each may very probably have dealt with it from a purely independent stand-point.
XXVI. But in fact much more must the receptiveness of whatever was popular and floating have prevailed with greater frequency than conscious adaptation or studied imitation of a given poem in an age when books were not. Suppose a man of poetic imagination hears a highly popular recitation on the legend of (Edipus, carries away an outline of leading facts, retains entire some specially impressive passages, and catches a great deal of striking imagery and phraseology. He goes to another city and hears another version current there with strong local colouring, he assists at a $\varkappa \omega \mu \circ \rho$ and finds old features effaced and new ones still added. His own imagination is then kindled and he reproduces, but in a still further altered form, a variation on the same epic theme. His novelty wins acceptance; for Homer has himself in the words of Telemachus stated the law of popularity in his own day, $\alpha \cdot 35^{1-2}$,


These words, although referring to novelty of theme, yet suggest novel treatment as a secondary condition. There were a host of receptive and impulsive minds, there was an abundance of material, the one acting and reacted on by the other. This process may be conceived to go on till there are currents of rhapsody circulating everywhere, till on festive occasions a people's whole mind is steeped in them, till, as after a heavy downpour of rain, the flood is all about the land, and you cannot see the river for the water. Now if in this state of things the Tragic impulse took a new development, it may be impossible to say that a particular tragedian was directly and principally indebted to this or that particular form of ballad or epos. But the flood finds its level and the banks reappear and the ancient channel is left majestic and alone.

Nay it seems to me very likely that, had all this mass of ballad literature come down to us, we might find it impossible to decide which composer, or whether any,
singly and primarily furnished any particular dramatist with the plot of any particular play. We might find the original Homer so lost in a swamp of secondaries and imitators as to leave the question wholly obscure.

The inference of direct indebtedness is therefore very precarious, and no less so of course with regard to Homer than with regard to the "Cyclic" poets. But when it is sought to prove Homer late and these Cyclics early because, it is alleged, the Tragedians drew from them and not from him, it is important to point out on what slippery ground the allegation rests.
XXVII. Thus, when Mr. Paley says, "my position "is that it was from this mass of ballad literature and "not from our Iliad and Odyssey, that Pindar and the "Tragic writers took their themes" (Essay, p. 3), I believe that he asserts what, in the absence of the "balladliterature", cannot be proved, and what might have been equally difficult to prove or disprove, if it had survived. I am not, therefore, concerned to prove that any given number of the Eschylean plots, those for instance mentioned above, XX, were in fact taken directly from our Iliad or Odyssey. I believe, indeed, that I have shown in the notes there as strong proofs of their being taken from our Homer, as can be given in favour of any such plots being taken from the Cyclics. But for my present purpose it suffices to show that a large enough proportion of them bear a sufficiently close resemblance to certain characters, groupings of incident, or situations of plot, in our Homeric poems to negative the presumption of modernism which Mr. Paley seeks to establish against those poems by comparing them with the Cyclics in this respect. It therefore matters very little what was the precise date at which such portions of the Trojan story as "the Building of Troy, the Rape of Helen, the Judgment of Paris, the Murder of Agamemnon", and - to add one more - the Sack of Ilium, acquired a fulness of development at all corresponding to the scale of the present Iliad and Odyssey. I see no reason whatever for supposing that the ном. ор, II.

It is precarious to attempt this with regard to Homer as with regard to the Cyclics. All that can be shown is that the comparison, as far as it goes, furnishes no argument from defect against the antiquity of our Homer.

PART I
last two existed at whatever time the Odyssey was composed in any fuller form than as they are there

To speculate what was the date of particular episodes, and whether any of those given succinctly or condensed in $\mathrm{Ho}^{-}$ mer pre-existed in a fuller poetic form is equally uncertain. given. But I am not to be understood as assuming the opposite of this and arguing from it. On the death of Agamemnon we have one passage of fifteen (17) lines, another of eight(18) lines, and a third of twenty six,(19) all of which contain from various points of view in part or whole the outlines of the same tale. In another (20) which turns on the details of the murder, the narrative is given by the shade of the murdered king. There are further several passages which allude in passing to some of the facts thus conveyed. Now, what reason is there against this being, so far as we know, the earliest poetical form in which the legend was presented? As regards the sack of Ilium, we have it proposed as a subject by Odysseus (21) to Demodocus; and a brief summary, as represented, of the lay of the latter is given in twenty one lines, $(22)$ which is again further epitomized in the three lines in which the subject is proposed. Again we have narrated by Odysseus in seventeen (23) lines more the special part born by Neoptolemus in the same enterprise. Now why must we assume as a fact any fuller then existing form of this epic narrative? The poet undoubtedly assumes it for the purposes of his song, but are we to yield to poetic illusion and take it for a criterion of fact? I therefore wholly reject the notion of an 'Thiov Пと́@бıs, like that known by the name of Lesches, as on this ground existing when the Odyssey was composed, and so with regard to other such portions of the general Trojan legend. The building of Troy, the rape of Helen, and the judgment of Paris, are dealt with in a far less complete and much more allusive way. But, if we suppose that they existed at the time of the Iliad in a form as full as that in which the death of Agamemnon and the sack of Troy are included in the Odyssey, we shall be making an assumption quite large enough to meet all the known facts.

```
    17 <. 29-43. 18 \gamma. 803-10. 19 \delta. 512-37. 20 \lambda. 405-34.
```


XXVIII. I have already stated my own belief that the epic material may have undergone several successive manipulations before our Homer, and that he may have had much pre-existing material in some form or other to draw upon (Vol. I. Pref. Part. I, XIII). I have also stated my view (ibid. XIII) of the period when, and the manner in which, the art of writing and the use of mss. came in to aid the resources of the rhapsodist. I still think that the most probable view. If the tale of Troy had floated unwritten down to the time of Pindar or later, as Mr. Paley supposes (Essay p. 3), I cannot see how it is likely that the story of the Pisistratids and their care of the Homeric text could ever have arisen. Further, the Greeks must have become acquainted with the use of Egyptian papyrus at any rate in the time of Amasis, if no earlier.(24) We cannot surely suppose them so dull and unreceptive as not to have readily assimilated the habit. Again, were the Phœnicians likely, with the stimulus of colonies and commerce, to be more or less forward than the other Canaanites in the use of writing materials at 800 or 700 B. C.? The same commercial and colonial stimulus reached the Greeks, at any rate those of Asia, not long afterwards; and with the Ægean as a highway and its islands like stepping stones, where is the unlikelihood of the European Greeks becoming speedily as forward as their Asiatic kinsmen? Indeed the fact that Hecatrus and Charon flourished as prose writers B. C. 500 -480 seems to me proof positive that writing as a means of literature was thus early known. Mr. Paley nowhere notices these and argues as if they had not existed.
XXIX. Mr. Paley appeals under 2. to early Greek art. Let us take the Parthenon (25) as the best known

PART I
But writing for
literary purposes
was, I am per-
suaded, not so late an introduction into Greece as has been assumed. The facts of the reign of Amasis and the prose writings of early Ionian geographers suffice to prove this. (25) as the best known

24 Amasis gave the Greeks the emporium of Naucrates (Herod. II. $1 ; 8$ ). All the settlers mentioned by Herodotus as availing themselves of it, whether Dorians Ionians or Aolians, are either from western Asia or from some of the Agean islands on the Asiatic coast. Thus an Asiatic Ionian poet would have the earliest opportunities of this Egyptian intercourse and its resulting culture.

25 It is generally believed, and has indeed been deliberately stated by
parti of Greek monuments of the best period. It belongs Greek art, as to the age of Pericles, later than which not even Mr. regards the legends which it Paley seeks to place the date of "our" Homer. The illustrated, is legends of the Troica are absolutely unrepresented upon local rather than
general in its its remains. (26) This is because in Greek art local

Lord Byron in Child Harold, II. st. xi-xv, that the deportation of the Parthenon relics to this country was mere plunder, connived at or reluctantly consented to by a people unable, in the abeyance of all save barbarian authority, to resist the spoiler's hand. The complaint was repeated by Mr. K. S. Pittakys, an Athenian, who published in 1835 "L'ancienne Athènes", from which I select the following passages.
"Toutes les statues de ce fronton (de l'ouest) furent pillées par Lord Elgin. "Il faut en excepter une qui a été prise par les Vénétiens et deux autres qui "existent encore avec un fragment dans leur ancienne situation", p. 35ı.
"Les plus beaux restes du Parthénon, c'est-à-dire les admirables bas-reliefs "qui ornaient le fronton et les métopes, furent transportés par Lord Elgin en "Angleterre. Ils ont été achctés par l'état et exposés au Musée Britannique. "Jamais nous n'avons senti plus vivement la tyrannie des barbares que lorsque "nous nous vîmes trop faibles pour empêcher un Ecossais d'enlever ce que les "Goths, les Turcs, et les siècles avaient épargné."
"Je crois que dans l'état d'independance où nous entrons, nous aurons le "droit de réclamer auprès de la nation Anglaise les chefs d'œuvres de nos "ancêtres, pour les remettre à la place que le divin Phidias leur avait chcisie."

Lord Byron, ub. sup., congratulates himself that the "dull spoiler" was a Scot and not an Englishman,

## Blush Caledonia! such thy son could be!

England! I joy no child he was of thine;
if the statement, however, be true which is made above by Mr. Pittakys, our Government, though not the thieves, were the receivers of stolen goods and our National treasure-house is their repository. I own to an uncomfortable feeling, as often as I pace the stately Greek Sculpture galleries of the Museum, and think, if the principle of suum cuique were applied, how bare those walls would be! The Greek Government should, I think, make some demand, either for the unconditional release, or at any rate the ransom of all this, I fear, illgotten spoil. We probably have no better title to them than the first Napoleon had to the Horses of $S^{t}$ Mark - a title resting solely on the weakness of the rightful owner. But at least it would be better any how to reunite the severed members of the same whole - say by our purchasing and deporting the building and remaining statues of the Parthenon entire. The Greeks might then say of what we now have, in Byron's words,
"Keep it now and take the rest".
26 I speak here of the remains only as they are seen in the Brit. Mus., since these seem all to belong to one, the Periclean, period. The notice by Pausanias (I. xxv foll.) of what he saw in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ cent. A. D. would of course embrace much that was later.
interest predominates over general; and because, as re-
PARTI
gards Homer, it did not, till much later, enter into the spirit, like Greek conceptions of art to illustrate poets upon strictly politics:-shown national monuments. (2i) This prevalence of local rather non; than general mythology is but the reflex in art of the spirit which prevailed in politics, and led every Greek to concentrate his patriotism on one limited community, with its jealous autonomy and narrow political sympathies, rather than on Hellas as a whole. We have therefore on the Parthenon friezes Centaurs defeated by Lapithæ and Amazons by Greek hoplitr, because Peirithoüs and Theseus were the local genii, and the legendary leaders of these mythical wars. We have the contest of Pallas with Poseidon, in which he produced the horse and she the olive, of which Homer yields no trace; and we have her birth from the head of Zeus, which again is not found in Homer (see Vol. I. App. C. 5), but occurs in Pindar, Hesiod, and the Hymns, and thus presumably was a post-Homeric development.
XXX. Numbers of ancient vases have been preserved. Among these perhaps the most ancient of Greek workmanship bearing a human figure is the one copied in the first volume of this edition facing $p$. xii in Appendix C. Pallas appears thereon clad in the $\chi \iota \tau \omega \nu$, and armed with xgis, helmet and spear, as in E. 736-44. She has also a shield, which is not mentioned in the passage, but would be necessary to complete her resemblance to a panoplied warrior. The back of the figure is turned towards the eye, hence the development of the forms on the ægis could not be fully given. There is, however, a sort of fringe terminating in snaky heads of which three only are visible, suggesting the poct's description rather than expressing its detail;

27 The Delphian Lesche, painted by Polygnotus, of the Periclean period. is no exception. The "Tale of Troy" was looked on as a glorious epech in the nation's history, and therefore the proper theme of art as of song; but it was the tale that Polygnotus illustrated, not Aretinus or Evenus or even Homer, as such. They might assist his vehicle of expression, but the idea was taken from $x \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \propto \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \varrho \tilde{\omega} v$, which were older than all.

## 


The monster forms corresponding to the lines,



would probably be seen only in front, unless so far as the snake-heads may conventionally assist to indicate the Gorgon; cf. Eschylus Choëph. 1048-1050,


$\pi v x \nu 0$ ũS $\delta \varrho \alpha ์ \varkappa о v \sigma \iota \nu$.
The long hair, as noticed in Vol. I. p. Lxxxiii, App. $\mathrm{E}(2 \mathrm{I})$, is seen escaping from under the helmet and trailing over the shoulders; and, probably in order to which, though develope this more fully, the helmet is conventionalized into a mere skull-cap to which the tall crest is ludicrously disproportioned. Of course there is no ground for saying that the artist had "our" Homer in view, but if he had recently listened to a recitation of $E$. 736 foll., he might have been expected to produce some such result as that before us. A more conventional and less minutely graphic idea than that of the poet was probably in his mind. Yet even the conventionalities of art are more or less governed by the images of poetry, and such an approximation as this might well be the result of the popularity of our Iliad at the date of the vase, which probably belongs to the early $6^{\text {th }}$ cent. B. C. (28) The most striking feature however of the representation is the device of the dolphin on the shield. I have suggested one explanation as possible on page xii of Vol. I; but, as the same shielddevice is seen in other armed figures on later vases,(29) I incline to regard it as having a local prevalence, and perhaps as distinctive of Athens. If so, it is an ex-

[^1]ample of what is observed above, how the local element tends to develope itself at the expense of the general.
XXXI. It is apparently in order to display the shield with its device full-face that the attitude is chosen; and this has necessitated the subduing or supressing the grander features of the regis. If the dolphin was an actual Athenian shield-device at the date of the a note). vase, to put one on Pallas' shield would ummistakably express her connection with Athens; and this would be more to an Athenian craftsman than conformity with the poetic ideal, although this latter might all the while exercise a powerful influence on his general conception. The same feeling, I think, rules in Greek vase-painting, at any rate in the earlier period. The vase-painter was a citizen, or had local sympathies, or was under the patronage of powerful families, and addressed a strictly local public. Even if one such acquired a wider faine, he might, and probably would, still speak the truth of art through a local medium. It would even be a greater homage to his renown, if strangers from afar came to buy vases shewing local subjects for the pure merit of their style.(30) The same feeling caused the manufacture of the "silver shrines" for Artemis to be an influential branch of trade at Ephesus. The very same feeling, I may add, governs local photography and local art generally at the present day.(31)

30 This prevalent local tendency of Greek art receives a strong testimony from Pausanias Y. xviii, who, speaking of a subject supposed to be represented





31 Thus all the legend of Agamemnon's fate and Cassandra's as blended with it would have a special Peloponnesian local interest; and a secondary Athenian local interest, when the great Attic dramatists had once made the theme their own. So the story of Penthesileia and her Amazons at the siege of Troy doubtless formed a highly popular episode for Attic artists, owing to the connection of the same Amazons with the story of Theseus. So cven the story of Herakles, Pan-Hellenic as it became, was specially Atticized by his being placed always uuder the guardianship of Pallas. Hence also the thousands of vases which represent the Eleusinian or Dionysiac festivals, the very flower of Attic cultus.

PART I
Pindar is local, the Cyclics were presumably local, and vasepainting would find in them a more congenial class of subjects, than, on the whole, in our Homer, in proportion as he shows the same tendency less. theo-myth of the Dioscuri, because he is praising an athlete who boasted a descent from one of their comrades. He interweaves the chief Argive legends, because his hero is a man of Argos. (Pyth. IV. Nem. X.) Now the more largely the "Cyclic" poets dealt in local allusions, the more congenial subject-matter would they afford to the vase-painter; and therefore any preponderance which we find of such subjects over Homeric on the vases is natural, since the local element in our Homer has but a limited range, and several of the allusions in which we trace it, - that for instance to Erectheus, B. $547-5 \mathrm{I}, \eta$. $80-\mathrm{I}$, - may be from a later hand.

But the conditions of art greatly limited the painter in his choice of subjects The earliest figures are solitary or in pairs, and identification is difficult; groups come later. Thus an appeal to early art is not easy to make effective.
XXXII. Now it is plain that, if any Cyclic poems were being evolved in a continuous metrical form from floating legends at the time of Pindar, they must have come under the same influences which were powerful with him. And local influences are apparent in every ode of Pindar. He hardly can escape into general legend but trough a local door. Thus he diverges into the story of the Argô, his longest extant flight of song, because it tends to glorify Cyrenê. He introduces the derance which we find of such subjects over Homeric
XXXIII. Another feeling which would always influence the artist is that in favour of subjects specially suited for his treatment. The area of his picture was rigorously limited, and the range of his choice proportionably narrowed. We may compare, for this purpose, the older examples of stained glass exhibiting figures or groups in our Church windows. In the earlier vases groups of many figures are of course rare: and solitary figures, such as the Pallas already mentioned, or at most pairs of figures, predominate. Hence $\mu$ ovoucxicu, such as we have in abundance in the Iliad, often occur. They gave balanced figures in effective poses. Whether these are or are not Iliadic it is in many cases impossible to say. One among these earlier ones is called by connoisseurs "the combat of Hector and Ajax", and may be so for aught I know. Ajax would certainly interest the Salaminian and therefore the Athenian public, when the surreptitious line of Solon
B. $55^{8}$ was once firmly rooted in the Homeric text. Whereas Achilles would interest no city in particular. Probably the knowledge of the conventional marks of recognition has perished ages ago. These duel-pieces represent the heroes nearly always assaulting, seldom in any such marked attitude, or with any such special attribute, as would enable us to compare them with the descriptions in our Homeric text. But assuming that we could be sure of this Hector and Ajax pair, still, several versions of the Tale of Troy might have embodied that particular duel; and there would thus be room for considerable uncertainty, especially in the eyes of critics who disparage such evidence as is accessible, and demand such as is out of reach.
XXXIV. I dwell on these considerations in order to show that a great deal more than we are likely to find, especially at an early period, would be required to establish any confirmation of the bulk of our present

But a legend, rather than a poet's version of it, was the object aimed at by the artist ; Iliad as then current. And indeed, to illustrate a poet by a series of vase-paintings does not seem to have entered into the conceptions of practical art at the early date which alone could qualify them as evidence upon the question before us. Those paintings illustrate legends; mostly, as I have said, of local interest; but even where of general, legends still, not poems. The illustration of a poem is mostly an accidental result. As the resources of art grew, the drama, which appealed to the cye as well as to the ear, became indeed a direct source of suggestion to the vase artists, and we find, although rarely, scenes depicted. But mere recitations, though they might fire the imagination of the painter, yet would give him little but general impressions to carry away; and all the detail, all that constitutes the distinctive and characteristic features of the group - say of combatants or chariotecrs - would often be worked out subjectively. And beyond recitation there was nothing to aid him. To give weight to Mr. Paley's negative argument each artist should have had a copy of the Iliad in his studio.
XXXV. There is, however, one subject which appears

PARTI
and such legend as could be most effectively represented, as instanced in the duel of Achilles and Memnon.
repeated on the early vases more frequently than any of the same number of figures, - that viz. of the combat of Achilles and Memnon with their goddess mothers looking on.(32) Now this subject, I take it, was so much favoured simply for its superior artistic capacity. It gave the opportunity of two pairs of figures, one of each pair balancing the other, contrasted in attitude, sex and habiliments, and thus producing a perfectly symmetrical group. It is easy to see why such conditions, especially in the carly days of art, should have been preferred. Of course in the Iliad the most important $\mu 0 v o \mu \alpha x^{i \alpha}$ is that of Achilles and Hector. But in this a third figure - to give the group its distinctive complement, - that viz. of Pallas in the guise of Deïphobus, would have to be admitted; and, the other pair balancing each other, this third would be left isolated.(33) We see at once why the artist preferred the easier conditions of one pair or of two pairs. That the death of Hector was dramatically more important was nothing to him. He thought chiefly of what he could most effectively express.
XXXVI. The chest of Cypselus (34) is a work which

32 Precisely as they were represented on the Chest of Cypselus, ' $A \chi\llcorner\lambda \lambda \varepsilon \imath$


33 There is such an one, in which the Pallas is feebly balanced perhaps by the éolveos, noticed in the text a little further on. - This illustrates my point.

34 The site of Corinth, where Cypselus reigned, was in favour of the earliest results of civilization, whether Asiatic or European, being accumulated there. Thus we have Sisyphus "the Æolid" named in Z. 154, as living there. Now "Æolid" probably means of foreign extraction, and this foreign source would in most cases be Asiatic. The earliest carved work amongst the Greeks, in which workmanship the Phœnicians were early proficients, may more probably have been at Corinth than elsewhere in Greece. Pausanias, by saying that the inscriptions on the chest of Cypselus ran $\beta$ ovarœo甲 $\eta \delta \dot{\delta}$ ov, gives some warrant for the antiquity which he ascribes to this relique. By saying that Eumelus was in his opinion the author of the inscriptions, since they are solitary lines or distichs, not quotations, he intimates that the work in his belief was as old as Eumelus' time. Now Eumelus is usually regarded as one of the "Cyclic" poets, author of the vóбтol or some of them, and assigned to the $5^{\text {th }}$ Olympiad or about 750 B. C. This would agree with the age assigned to the chest. But Pausan. has rather an easy faith in reliques. Thus he discusses the genuineness of the alleged ópuos of Eriphylê in a way which shows
it is impossible accurately to date. It may probably
PART I be as old as 600 B . C. There are a number of legends on the chest of illustrated upon it - in fact all the more notable legends of Dorian Peloponnesus and Ionian Attica, that of Enomaïs and Pelops, of Eriphylê and her necklace,(35) that of Phineus and the harpies, of Boreas and Oreithyia (Attic), that of the Argonauts, parts of that of Herakles, that of Marpessa, wife of Idas, ( $3^{6}$ ) carried off by Apollo, that of Medeia and Iäson, that of Atlas and the Hesperides, that of Ares and Aphroditê, (37) of Peleus and Thetis, of Perseus and Medusa, of the Dioscuri and Helen, connected with that of Theseus by the name of Æthra. There the Judgment of Paris, ( $3^{8}$ ) the outrage on Cassandra in the temple of Pallas by the Locrian Ajax, (39) the beautiful Hesiodic allegory of Sleep and Death, the children of Night, and the fate of the sons of (Edipus, were also represented; and besides all these, several scenes from Homer, as we have him, the duel of $H$., the Coôn and Agamemnon,(40) Odysseus and Circê, (41) the arms presented to Thetis for her son, Nausicaa and her handmaids. (42) Besides many of the scenes briefly referred to in the same poems, such as several of those mentioned above; see the references.
XXXVII. Now, I think, we may fairly assume that, when Pausanias saw this chest, it was the most ancient piece of wood or ivory carving extant in the Greek world, and most likely had been so for many ages. Its work is full of complex groups of figures. All save one, which is a historical battle piece, have the loose general connexion of illustrating Greek legend; but the greater number have no more. There is on the whole
he saw no reason why it might not be genuine (IX. 41, 2. 3). He mentions nothing in the inscriptions which struck him as characteristic. If Eumelus had possession of local fame and of reputed antiquity, P'ausanias would be nearly certain to refer such lines to him. No doubt the chest may have been dedicated at Olympia in memory of Cypselus, and speedily grew, under the influence of relique-hunting credulity, into the real one in which he had been preserved.

$$
35 \text { cf. } \lambda .326 \text { foll. } \quad 36 \text { I. } 556 . \quad 37 \text { গ. } 266 \text { foll. } 38 \Omega .25-7 .
$$

39 cf. $\delta .502 .40$ A. 248 foll. 41 . and $\mu$. $42 \xi .85$ foll.

PART I
a preference for Peloponnesian over Attic legend; but the illustrations are rather of general than of local interest, a Homeric quality (sce XXXII, end) favouring high antiquity. There are five which Pausan. recognized as illustrating our Homer and apparently designed to do so. There is no suggestion, or reason for supposing, that the other designs bore a like reference to any Pansanias refors other poet. (43) Now this is strange if there were "Cyto several from
Homer express- clic" poets equally well known or better at the date ly, but to not of the artist. It would be unreasonable to say that one from any Cy - Pausan. may not have known of them, or been able
clic to identify them as represented. Let us remember how in the similarly elaborate description of the Delphic Leschê he repeatedly refers to Cyclic poets or poems, as Lesches and Stesichorus, the Sack of Troy, the Cypria, the vóбroı, etc. He then evidently was familiar with all these, but on the chest of Cypselus they are nowhere; although the very legends which furnished them are there in abundance both from Troy and Thebes. Why in particular should not the incident, represented on the chest, of Menelaüs pursuing Helen with drawn sword (V. 18, 3), which appears in Euripides, (44) and is represented on a vase in Millingen's Ancient unedited monuments, no. XXXIV, not have been recognized as belonging to some early 'IAiov $\pi \varepsilon^{\prime} \rho$ $\sigma \iota$ of the date of the chest? I can see no reason so likely as that there was no such poem then in existence. Thus we have some ground for thinking that Pausanias regarded the Homeric poems as already current, when other incidents represented on the chest floated in legend only; unless the early poetic forms of these shifted too rapidly for any one or more of them to be viewed as definite and permanent. That such floating legends, or loose and shifting sporules of


 @ov $\tau \alpha$.

44 Eurip. Androm. 630-1.
ballad, preceded Cyclic and Homeric poems alike, I readily admit. They were du,ubtless the "protoplasm" out of which all epic was generated. But the question is chronological; and so far as the chest of Cypselus can show anything, it shows that our Homer was, when the Cyclics were not.
XXXVIII. But as regards the argument derived from vases it is obvious that the subject would be better worth dwelling upon, if the dates of the vases themselves were less disputable. In testing the Homeric poems by them we should be making the incertius a test of the - for argument's sake - incertum. But while Mr. Paley has been trying to upset the antiquity of the poems in England, Professor Heinrich Brunn at Munich, has, I am informed, been doing the same thing with the vases. There are, however, some

As regards vases, their own date is disputed. Some of the confessedly most ancient, however, bear Sirens, harpies or the Sphinx upon them ; now such compound monsters have no place in Ho mer, save as expressly notified. few which may be regarded as of unquestionably high antiquity, such as the Burgon Panathenaïc Vase on Table Case A., ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Vase Room, Brit. Mus. to which I have already referred. Close to it is a large vase, the shape of which is said to condemn it as comparatively late; yet probably not later than 450 B. C., which, I believe represents a Homeric Scene, Priam preparing to start for the ransom of Hector's body. (45) The great majority of the vases in the cases at the wall r-5 and 6-10 with II-12, adjacent to Table Cases A and $B$ seemed to be too early to offer specimens of the human figure. A great number are striped, banded, zigzagged, chequered, and otherwise patterned. Then appear animals, and among the earliest types, the fabulous form of the Siren or Harpy - a bird with a woman's head - and that of the Sphinx. There is a

45 Priam an old man seated near the horses' heads (should be mules), Hermes, known by his wand ( $\oint \dot{\alpha} \beta \delta o \varsigma$ ), near him, is signing to the driver to dismount. Three armed figures, all with their faces turned away, represent the unworthy sons whom their father is rebuking. This method of carrying on a scene throngh several stages of the action is familiar alike to ancient and medieval art. Thus in Tischbein's Homeric Vase ete. illustrations V. p. 21, from an engraved gem, Eurycleia is represented washing Odysseus' feet, with the dog Argus, who in the poem dies previously, at his side.

PART I
grotesque little lecythus moulded in this Siren shape. Close by is an early platter of about a finger's length in diameter, with the same form crudely daubed upon its face, and the Burgon vase bears the same device on its neck above the Pallas. I think the 'genuine antiquity of these is allowed by even Prof. Brunn. Now I boldly assert that there is no Homeric trace whatever of this conception. There is not - except the Chimæra of Z. 179-8r, which has Asiatic surroundings, and perhaps the Scylla of the Odyssey, which looks like an exaggerated mixture of cuttle-fish and shark, - a compound creature form in the whole descriptive portraiture of his poems. But when these fabulous forms were once introduced, they established themselves, and became as thoroughly current in early Greek art, as the unicorn or the wyvern in mediæval heraldry. We can trace them uninterruptedly downwards from their first appearance to the decline of art. But of these, as of hippocamps, minotaurs and hippocentaurs - of which last I shall have something to say further on - Homer, as we know him, is wholly innocent. And the solitary instance of the Chimæra justifies us in assuming that where the poet meant a compound creature to be understood, he would with his usual straightforwardness have said so. His Sirens have no form but the human. There is nothing to suggest talons or plumage. We know that from Assyria, Persepolis, and Egypt, such compound types might have been gathered in abundance, and that Egyptian types largely influenced Greek art, cannot, I think, for a moment be doubted by any who has studied these earlier vases. But as the Homeric Siren is purely human, so the brief mention of CEdipus and his house wholly omits the Sphinx, and that of Bellerophon is ignorant of Pegasus. I shall have occasion to return to these characteristic omissions under the head of legend, I now mention them in reference merely to art. Now these being the facts, it is a fair presumption that the Homeric conception, as the simpler, is the older of the two. The poet would have introduced the
form, had it been conventionally current. In the oldest vase painter's day it was already an established conventionalism. He would have only baffled his audience had he skipped it then. The inference is that the poet knew not of it. But that could only be by his being older. But, as regards, the Sphinx, Egypt and its wonders must have been known so largely by the time of Solon, (46) about 600 B. C., that Sphinxes must have been then, if not sooner, imported. Therefore we have an indication that the poems are older than $600 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{C}$.
XXXIX. Another indication to a similar effect is the following. On perhaps the oldest Greek vase in the Museum which shows the human form - unless indeed the Burgon Pallas be older - equestrian figures occur. They are labelled "Centaurs" - an obvious mistake. Now in our Homer equestrian exercise is just the rarest thing possible. It occurs in fact only in the Doloneia, which has been suspected as itself later than the bulk of the lliad. But it occurs there only in the last resort, when, the horses of Rhesus being stolen and his chariot left behind, speedy escape is the object. It occurs also in two similes, either of which might be detached from the context, either as it stands or with the slight change of a particle. I, however, have no wish to rob the corpus Homericum of these rare testimonies to horse riding. It is remarkable, however, that, alike in the Il. and Ody., we have only this rare, exceptional, and similistic notice of it. It was in the poet's day the rarest thing, and for warlike use unknown. But in the period of the vases, set down as ranging from 700 to 550 B. C., (47) it was highly common. Either then the great majority of those vases are recent and prove nothing, or they are ancient and prove that, say at 600 B. C., horse-riding
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an indication that the poems are earlier than that date.

The evidence of the vases as regards horse-riding is in favour of the same conclusion. On the earliest of them equestrian figures are by no means rare. In our Homer that exercise is the rarest thing possible. If then the vases are as early as $600 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{C}$., the poems are presumably earlier still.
$\qquad$ .
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$\qquad$

PART I
was common, as who can doubt it was? - and that the Il. and Ody. are therefore presumably older than 600 B. C.
XL. I pass to vases which give us human figures dramatically combined. Table case B has several such, although few as compared with the numerous others bearing single figures or none at all; and I draw attention to these, because, having been exhumed by British engineers from Cameirus in Rhodes, it is possible to verify the criteria of their age, and I am assured that they are trustworthy. Certainly the style of design is in the great majority rude and primitive. Several here show Gorgons, Sirens and Sphinxes; several show equestrian figures. Some show the Heraclean legend, one blazons a nameless vavuaxia, but there are two which give scenes from the Iliad with the names of the heroes and their comrades written in Archaic letters over. Mr. Paley mentions these. One is from Il. P. 124-5, the combat of Hector and Menelaüs over Patroclus' corpse; the other from II. П. 530-6, Hector and Glaucus, with Cebriones Hector's charioteer, ib. $727,73^{8}$, and a fourth unnamed, either Sthenelaüs, 586, forgotten by the artist, who only knew the scene from hearing the rhapsody recited, or merely a supernumerary put in to make the group symmetrical, having two pairs of figures complete.(48) Of the antiquity of at least the former of these even Professor Brunn had no doubt. The other which stands on the floor in the lower part of the case, and was obligingly taken out for my inspection, has, at any rate to an uninstructed eye, every presumable indication of antiquity. There is another beside it on the left, bearing also a group of four, the Apollo Citharoedus, Hermes, and two female figures, probably Muses. It may illustrate the legend of Apollo trying the lyre which Hermes

48 As the action extends over 200 lines, in the course of which the rapid turns of battle give rise to constant vicissitudes of grouping, it cannot strictly be called a scene. But it seems to me just such an illustration as might occur to an artist who had heard that rhapsody recited and drew from recollection only.
had bronght him, according to the legend embodied in the Homeric (so called) Hymm. But on its reverse is the combat of Achilles and Memnon with the goddess mothers, if I remember rightly, as described above on the chest of Cypsclus. This certainly showed more finish and looked less antique, and was, I was told, condemned by Prof. Brunn. Still, here we have two books of the II. confirmed so far as vases can confirm them by the most ancient specimens, found under circumstances which make it possible to verify their data; whereas the vases of the same class show but one specimen, and that probably later, verifying a nonHomeric subject from the Troica together with one of the Homeric Hymns.
XLI. To pass on, I saw in Wall-case 13, no. 22, dated from 500 to 440 B. C., a battle-picce, in the centre of which an archer is crouching under the shield of a full armed warrior assailed in front by another with a spear. The frieze-like meléc, which is prolonged round preponderate; the neek of the vase, is full of figures, and doubtless represents the battle of II. $\Theta .266-33$ I, in which Teucer is so shielded by Ajax Telamon against Itector. This vase, however, must be far later than the date of the poem, since horsemen are taking part in the fight. The artist has evidently generalized the minor features from contemporary customs of war. The next case 14 contains again the same Achilles and Memnon subject. In Wallcase 16, no. 560 , same date, in a battle-piece of gods and giants, appears a Pallas accoutred similarly to that on the Burgon vase. In Wall-cases iy ... 24 the nonHomeric subjects from the Troica begin to predominate. I saw seven of these to three Homeric and twelve of the Heraclean legend. In $25 \ldots 30$ were seven of the non-Homeric Troica to five Homeric and nine Heraclean. But if two labelled respectively "Agamemnon in Council", and "The quarrel of Agam. and Achilles" be reckoned, the Homeric number is seven, or equal to the non-Homeric. I have reckoned, however, one as Homeric which is amonymons. A female figure drives a biga and two other females stand be-
side it with bundles on their heads. It is on the floor in the left hand corner of Wall-case 25 , and I think undoubtedly represents Nausicaa and her handmaids starting on their errand in Ody. $\xi^{\text {., a subject already }}$ noticed as figured on the chest of Cypselus.
but the preponderanceis slight, if we strike out from our reckoning all which recur. Such recurrences suggest that particular scenes dominated in the sphere of art irrespectively of theirdramatic interest.
XLII. I noticed among those from the non-Homeric Troica a tendency to repeat the same subject. Thus among those already reckoned in Wall-cases $13 \ldots 30$ the story of Achilles pursuing or surprising Troillus occurs three or four times over, showing that some particular legendary scene dominated in the sphere of art irrespectively of its dramatic interest. As we go further on, and especially as we follow the Museum Catalogue, (49) this tendency becomes more and more

49 I have examined this "catalogue of Greek and Etruscan vases, by S. Birch and C. T. Newton, London $185^{1 "}$, but I cannot say that the classification there seems to me satisfactory. In it the vases are arranged in periods or schools, withont definite dates. Thus i-23 are "early Italian" vases; 23183, "black Etruscan"; 184-308, "miscellaneous varnished ware mostly of the earlier period"; 309-445, "Italian vases of archaic Greek style"; 446-715, "the transitional style". I could find among the titles of the designs on these none which seemed to describe a dramatic group (unless some of the deities, e. g., no. 181) before no. 42 I , which is the Teucer and Ajax described above. Nos. 42 斤, 428 (2), 429 and 434 have also been already referred to.

It is in the next or "transitional style" that the balance seemed to incline, although slightly, against Homeric and in favour of non-Homeric Troïca. Of the former there were,
Nos. $459,462,478,5^{1} 5,5^{16}, 5^{2} 4$, all repeating the parting of Hector and Andromachê.
No. 468, Hector, Cebriones, Deïphobus, Paris and Andromachê.
No. 469 , Combat of Hector and Ajax over Patroclus' corpse.
Nos. $5^{12}, 532,582(2), 583(2), 591$, all repeating the departure of Hector.
No. 486, Priam ransoming Hector's body.
Nos. 524, 533, Rhesus and horses.
No. 550 , Sisyphus and his stone.
No. 552, Nestor and family bidding farewell to Peisistr. and Telem. (not so named but seems clear from the description).
No. 553, Hector's body dragged by Achilles.
No. 555 , Nestor giving instructions to Antil. before the race.
No. 592, Agamemnon in Council.
No. $6_{33}$, Telemachus' farewell to Menelauis or Nestor (thus perhaps repeating 552).
No. 658, The Phæacian galley turned to a rock (?).
manifest both in the non-Homeric and the Homeric r.ant Trojan subjects. The Troillus legend, the Memmon and frequently combat, the arming of Hector, his farewell of Andro- some differencer machê, occur over and over again. But I have hither- vents dotail preto counted each repetition as a distinct subject. If these be struck out as they recur the preponderance of non-Homeric over Homeric Trojan subjects will I believe be much reduced. I would add that the testiconciliation with any protic scene. (The detail of the evidence is given mony given by the vases often fails in some detail as compared with some known poem, embodying the same subject. Thus there is one numbered 434 (I forget in which case) labelled "the Sacrifice of Polyxena", but which cannot be strictly reconciled with the Hecuba of

No. 668, The forge of Hephrstus in Lemnos.
Nos. 465 and 711 , Achilles and Agamemnon quarrelling.
I suspect that some of these are among those already noticed in the text above, since the order of the vases as catalogued seems not to tally with their arrangement on the shelves. They possibly have been rearranged since the date of the catalogue in 1851 . The same remark probably applies to those which here follow - the non-Homeric Troica. They are,
Nos. $447,460,504(2), 543(2), 549$ (3), $55^{6} *(2), 561,629$, Combat of Achilles and Memnon.
Nos. 473, $5^{6} 5$ (2), Death of Troïlus.
Nos. 450 (2), 474, Achilles, Troilus and Polyxena.
Nos. 471 , 554, $554^{*}$, Achilles and Penthesileia.
No. 472, Penthesileia dead, carried by Achilles.
Nos, 45 I (2), $513,524,530,553$ (2), Judgment of Paris,
No. 503 , Achilles dead carried by Ajax.
Nos. 504,595 , Anchises carried by Eneas.
Nos. 509,667 , Peleus and Thetis.
Nos. 608, 616, 625, 634, Achilles and Ajax at dice.
No. 577 , Agam., Antil., Talthyb., Epeius.
Nos. 556, 556*, Ajax Oïleus and Cassandra.
No. 607, Death of Priam.
No. 649, Troïlus, Memnon and Paris.
Nos. 469 and 652 , repeat some of those before mentioned, but my memorindum omits so state which.
Thus we have 25 Homeric against 37 non-Momeric Trojan or Olyssean representations, whilst the number of seenes represented, not comting repetitions, is in each 14 or 15 . However, one or two of these depend on my own identification and may be deemed doubtful, or requiring contirmation. At any rate the preponderance is only large in the repetitions. I canmot think that Mr. Paley's rather broad conclusion is sustamer, if these details are correct.

Part i Euripides, since Polydorus is present. It seems clear that in many cases the artist drew from a general recollection only of what he had heard recited or seen performed, or knew as a floating legend, and filled up the scene with accessories more or less inconsistent. Thus in one of the combat scenes reckoned above, that of Achilles and Hector, known by the Éoıv\&òs of $\boldsymbol{X}$. I45, the artist has placed a quail between the legs of each figure, borrowed doubtless from the Attic custom of fighting quails, and denoting that the heroes were, as one might say, the "cocks" of their respective sides.

The result of the evidence from vases in Brit. Mus. summed up.

A series of engravings (Clener, Paris 1808) from vase-paintings of probably the 4th century B. C. gives substantially the same result.
XLIII. Now, I confess, I cannot reconcile the above evidence with the remark of Mr. Paley, Essay, p. 15. "Only the later vases, viz, those of the third era, or "dating after B. C. 400 contain subjects directly taken "from the Iliad, and then (like the quotations in Plato) "they suddenly become rather common." I shall be very glad if any reader who takes an interest in this branch of the subject will verify my statements upon it. My view is that Homeric subjects appear as soon as groups appear. They are adequately represented; they are even much more, when we consider that, by $500-45^{\circ}$ B. C., when the non-Homeric first appear to outnumber them, all the leading Cyclic poems, forming a bulk probably several times as large as our 11. and Ody, had become current; and if in any part of the series their proportion appears small, some recommendation of special subjects to popularity, on artistic or local rather than on poetic and general grounds, will probably account for the fact.
XLIV. I looked with much interest through the two elaborate volumes of "Peintures de Vases Antiques Gravées par A. Clener, expliquées par A. L. Millin." Par. 1808 fol. but the style of art was evidently too late for our purpose. I may remark however that late as these vases were, being probably of the $4^{\text {th }}$ century B. C., there was no great increase of Homeric scenes. In Vol. I, Thetis bringing Achilles his armour no. XIV, the duel of Ajax and Hector with the heralds at their
side no. XXXIII, the battle over Patroclus body PAKT I no. XLIX, and in Vol. II, one, no. XV, probably representing Menelaus and Telemachus, with Helen pouring the $\nu \eta \pi \varepsilon \nu \mathfrak{\imath} \grave{\varepsilon} s$ draught, were all that occurred. On the other hand Memnon slain by Achilles no. XIX and the last scene of Troy with Ajax Oilcus and Cassandra, were the only non-Homeric Trojan subjects. There was also a representation of Clytemnestra slaying Agamemnon, Vol. I, no. LVIII. The axe in her hand marks the late character of the work, in Eschylus (50) she uses the $\varnothing$ ć $\sigma \gamma \alpha \nu 0 \nu$ or $\xi i \varphi o g$. The great majority were the same Heraklean, Dionysiac and miscellaneous legends which form the staple of the vase-paintings in the British museum, with a large number illustrating probably the Eleusinian mysteries and other rites. Still, though the number of Homeric representations was small it was larger than any which could be called Cyclic.
XLV. I must next notice the two splendid volumes in which Mr. Laborde has illustrated the collection of vases (upwards of 500 ) of the Comte de Lamberg. In the Introduction occurs a letter from the Abbe Mazzola. The view which he takes of the relative frequency of Homeric subjects is so opposite to that of Mr. Paley that I quote it entire. After arguing that the successive formations of soil which had taken place above the tumulus in which these vases were found, point to an antiquity much greater than the age ascribed to Homer, he continues thus.
"... A cette antiquité antérieure du temps d'Ho"mère, on pourra m'opposer la quantité de sujets re-

The Lamberg collection is remarkable for the great depth at which it claims to have been found, and the high antiquity which is therefore ascribed by the editor to the vases.
Here I counted ten Homeric subjects against three non-Homeric, all from the Troïca. "tracés dans les chants de ce poëte, et représentés sur "les vases; mais il faudra me prouver d'abord que la "mythologie d'Homère étoit une invention de son génie "ct ne provenoit pas de tradition encore plus ancienne. "Homère en effet ne fit autre chose que de réunir les "idées mythologiques qui étoient reçues de son temps, "ainsi que les faits des héros plus anciens; il les orna
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"de descriptions poétiques, les habilla à son manière, "et leur donna une forme et une vie nouvelles, comme "le font encore les poëtes de nos jours." p. xi.

Now the Abbé Mazzola, I need hardly say is no believer in the lateness of our Homer. He evidently regards the Iliad and Odyssey as the oldest extant product of the Greek Muse; but he finds presumptive tokens of a far higher antiquity in the circumstances of the tumulus itself. He may be right or wrong in that inference; but what I wish to call attention to is, that he finds such a "quantité de sujets retracés dans les chants de ce poëte" on the vases exhumed, that he thinks it necessary to explain the fact and reconcile it with his theory of their pre-Homeric age. With his theory I am not at present concerned, but the fact to which he deposes is important.

But the argument in favour of "Cyclic" antiquity as presumed from the vases, forgets that we have "our Homer" to compare with them,
XLVI. The following ten representations correspond with scenes from our Iliad and Odyssey,
Vol. I. pl. II. no. I, not explained by editor, but doubtless representing Peisistratus and Telem. departing from Nestor. (51)
il. pl. XVIII. Achilles and Lycaon(52) II. Ф. 34 foll.
ib. pl. XXI. Hector refusing Hecuba's libation. He has helmet in hand anticipating the later scene with his wife in Z. 472 and appears to decline the offered cup.
il. pl. LXXXIII. Iris forbids Hector to combat Agam. A. 200 foll.
ib. pl. XCIV. Odys., as an old man, welcoming Telem. at Eumrus' hut, beside him a dog.
${ }_{51}$ Two young men are in a chariot with three horses standing. Aromid them on foot are grouped 6 other figures, 3 female, of whom 2 in front appear of ${ }^{\prime}$ greater note.

52 Lycaon is on horseback, unarmed, in chlamys only, and with a ring on one foot perhaps as a mark of his previous captivity. Achil. pursues on foot hurling a spear. I suspect this was a conventional way of displaying his $\pi \boldsymbol{o}^{\prime}-$
 he similarly on foot pursues a mounted Troillus.

Vol. II. pl. VI. Combat of Achilles and Hector with
PART I names added.(53)
ib. pl. VIII. Combat, Ilector and Ajax, heralds attendant.
ib. pl. XIII. The same.
il. pl. XV. Menelaius seeking Paris after his rescue by Aphroditê, see $\Gamma .379$.
ib. pl. XVIII. Not explained by editor, but doubtless representing the young Odys. taken hunting by the sons of Autolycus, see $\tau .426$ foll.(54)
il. pl. XXII. Not explained by editor, but doubtless representing Nestor welcoming Telem. A female figure behind with a cup, Polycastê offering wine.(55) In the same volumes were three scenes from the nonHomeric Troïca,
Vol. I. pl. XXXIV. Menelaïs in the sack of Troy pursues Helen with sword drawn, which he drops, "désarmé à l'aspect de ses charmes". Comp. Eurip. Androm. 630-1.
Vol. II. pl. XIII. Combat, supposed of Achill. and Memnon over Antilochus, whose shield, as that of Achill., shows device of dolphin. Two figures like statues watching fight on right and left, with spears. il. pl. XXIV. Cassandra torn by Ajax Oïleus from the statue of Pallas, which she grasps.(56)
Here then were ten Homeric against three non-Homeric scenes from the Troica. It should further be observed, however, that we late the Iliad and Odyssey to compare with the vase-pictures. We have not the Cyclic the "Cyclics" we poems. If we had, we might find equal variations from their text as finally settled, to those which we encounter

53 This appeared in Millingen's "Unedited monuments", p. 24 vignette, as did also the next, p. 30 vignette.

54 An elder bearded man turns round to a younger beardless one as if to hand lances, although be holds two already. Each has also a club and dog, held by the younger in a leash and collar. A hare sits in front.

55 Nestor an aged man seated. Telem. a young traveller with petasus and two spears.
${ }_{5} 6$ Her name is over, KEEAN $\triangle P A$, over another fig. to right, $T P O I O(N$ ? ) WIEPEA; the first symbol of thee last word is probably a mark of breathinr, $=i \varepsilon ́ \rho \varepsilon \iota \alpha$.
part i in comparing those pietures with the Iliad and Odyssey. The variations might be due to local influences or to the deviations introdueed by the dramatists, but as facts stand we have no adequate material for a comparative argument.
XLVII. The next group of arguments refers to the language of Homer. Firstly I contend that the carlier Greck pocts reflect it copiously, and that each in turn, taken in proportion to his bulk, shows larger evidence of coincidence than Mr. Paley has extracted as between Homer and Herodotus, which he urges as a reason for believing our Homer the compilation of an Ionic rhapsodist writing about 450 B . C., in short a contemporary of Herodotus. I therefore claim to prove that our Homer, on similar grounds, was the contemporary of Archilochus in the $8^{\text {th }}$ century B. C., of Tyrtous in the $7^{\text {th }}$, of Theognis in the $6^{\text {th }}$, and of Eschylus, Pindar, and Simonides of Ceos in the $5^{\text {th }}$. This of course reduces the argument ad absurdum. But then, how are we to account for the evidence? What are we to think of a poet who casts his shadow everywhere from the $8^{\text {th }}$ century B. C. to the $5^{\text {th }}$ ? It is plain, that our Homer cannot be contemporary with the scries, but may be as old as the earliest, or older than them all. He stands in fact further back from us than the first of them, and behind him is the dawn of poetry.

I, secondly, claim to negative certain arguments advanced by Mr. Paley in favour of Homeric modernism and founded on certain features which he finds in the diction. I assert with regard to a great number of these, especially transitive middle verbs, the fluctuation of the digamma, and the "Attic" use of the article, that the same features, so far from being especially Homeric, are common to all the carliest poctry; that in fact, we have no remains of Greek literature so old as to exhibit either no middle verbs or none which are transitive, a consistent use of the digamma, (57) or a purely pronominal use of the "article", as we rightly call it in later Greek.

XLVIII．I proceed then to extract from Archilochus the passages which appear to reflect Homeric phraseo－ logy．Among them will be noticed several in which the sentiment is the same as one of Homer＇s parallelled with it，although in one or two key－words alone do we recall his language．This is one probable result where a later poet becomes familiar with an earlier one through recitation only．The same applies also to others of the earlier Greek poets from whom coincidences with our Homer have been gathered．The date of Archilochus， however，I will remind the reader，is earlier than that of any other lyric or Iambic poet；being generally ascribed to $700-730 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{C}$ ．
oĩvos＇Iбرん＠ıxòs， 3 ［56］Bergk．
๙́vけとos viòs，
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \varphi \iota \beta \varepsilon \beta \dot{\eta} x \varepsilon \imath \nu$, ८．196－8．

 4 ［50］．

 $\dot{\alpha} \mu \omega^{\prime} \mu \eta \tau о \nu, 6$［51］．
$\vartheta \circ \tilde{\eta}_{S} \delta i \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \varepsilon ́ \lambda \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ขךós 5 ［49］．
 $x \alpha ́ \delta \omega v$ ．



หท́ $\delta \varepsilon \alpha \mu$ 文 $\nu$ бโоขо́ $\varepsilon \nu \tau \alpha, 9$［48］．


$i b$.


$\propto \mu \varphi \varepsilon \pi о \nu \eta \eta^{\imath} \eta, 12$［54］．
$\tau \varepsilon \varrho \pi \omega \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ ， 13 ［53］．
 xん＠ঠínv iんiverol， 36 ［25］． そ＇eyov ídeıs， 39 ［8］．




ф८甲ое $\left.\alpha_{\varsigma}\right)$ ，$\beta$ ． 353.



$$
326 .
$$

หท่ $\delta \varepsilon \alpha$ ．．．．бтоขо́ $\varepsilon \nu \tau \alpha$, ८． 12.
 meric addition？），$\lambda .603$ ． B． 209.




$\tau \varepsilon \rho \pi \omega \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu, \sigma .37$.
हैv $K \alpha \varrho o ̀ s ~ \alpha i ̋ \sigma \eta, I . ~ 378$.

$\dot{\alpha} \nu \eta \varrho$ í $\delta \varrho \iota \varsigma, \zeta .233$.

## PAたT I

Coincidences with Homer traceable，in Ar－ chilochus，where they sometimes show more in the thought thath in the language． 40 ［16］．

 $\delta \iota \propto \pi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \iota \gamma \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v, 5^{8}$［33］．
 §． 318 ．
 $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu, \Phi .564$.


 $\delta \varepsilon i \alpha \nu, 78$［1］．


82 ［110］．
ع＠үos，б．53，cf．$\eta .216$.
 иผ́х＇


 Г． 318 et al．

$\pi \varepsilon \pi \alpha \varrho \mu \varepsilon \dot{v} \nu \circ, 84$［68］．
боло甲＠оขє̇ovส $\alpha, 93$［62］．



दे $\lambda v \sigma \vartheta \varepsilon i s, 103$［61］．



oivoß๙＠と́ $\omega$ иє甲 $\alpha \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu, 503$ ．

100 ［76］．
 467 －8．
 Ap．Pyth． 180.
бодо甲＠оขと́ovбк，Г． 405 et al．


ह่ हैvбข้ะis，$\Omega .510$ et al．


 ムยvov $x \propto \tau \varepsilon \varrho$ v́xยı，o．72－3．

in Tyrtæus， where they abound so as of－ ten to form the entire material，

XLIX．I pass on to Tyrtæus，whose remains show that he wrote in a kindred spirit with our Homer，and which accordingly offer a far larger quantity of coin－ cidences with his language；I take the fragments in Bergk＇s arrangement and would remind the reader that they amount in all to no more than a hundred and fifty five lines．
 вœуоs＇А
 $\dot{\alpha} \delta \dot{v} \tau \circ v, 3.3$.


 ＇$A \pi$ ó $\lambda \lambda \omega v, \Phi .46 \mathrm{r}$ ，«́ ๑үv＠ó－ $\tau 0 \xi \operatorname{os}{ }^{\prime} A \pi$ ．，B． 766, है $\tilde{\sim}$ हैvi ォiovı ข $\eta \boldsymbol{\omega}$, B． 549.

 $\tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma i \varphi \varrho \circ \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \varrho \delta \varepsilon \dot{\sigma} \circ \varsigma \varepsilon i \lambda \varepsilon v$, A. 42 I .
$\varepsilon^{\prime} \chi \omega \nu \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \pi \varepsilon \nu \vartheta \vartheta \dot{\varepsilon} \alpha \vartheta v \mu \dot{o} \nu$,

$$
\text { ع. } 222
$$


 к $\iota^{1 " H} \dagger$
$\tau \iota \mu \tilde{\omega} \sigma \alpha \iota \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \tilde{\eta} \alpha$, А. 45-6; cf. $A$. 175.


 X. 303.


$\pi i o v \alpha s$ ब́r@oùs, ס. 757.


 A. 458 .

ह้ห इ. 456
 P. 72 I .
 10. 24.
 ह'x $\chi$, 10. 28 . N. 484 .


иоvюıдіŋ $\tau^{\prime}$ « $\lambda о ́ \chi \omega$, юо. 6.


 r. 163 .

11. 26-30. (58)
 $\varkappa \varepsilon \varphi \propto \lambda \tilde{\eta}_{S}$

$\vartheta \varepsilon \nu$ हैv $ข \varepsilon v \varepsilon \nu, ~ Г . ~ 337 ~ e t ~ a l . ~$


 $\varepsilon^{\prime} \gamma \chi \varepsilon i ँ \mu \propto \alpha \varrho \tilde{\omega}$, E. 45 et al.

58 The reader will not fail to observe that in the following extracts the whole texture of the diction is of Homeric thread.
 غ̇ $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \omega$.
$\sigma \chi \varepsilon \delta \dot{\partial} \nu$ ov̉兀ん́Gov七o，H． 273.


 $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \varrho ’ \alpha \sigma \pi i \delta^{\prime} \xi \varrho \varrho \iota \iota \delta$ หо́－


N．131，П． 215.
ib．33－8．


$\mu .108$.
$\mu \varepsilon \mu \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon ́ v o s \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \varrho i \mu \alpha \chi \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \vartheta \omega$, T．${ }^{153}$ ．

 et al．



 $\tau \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \varrho \mu \alpha \delta i ́ \iota \sigma \iota \nu, 4.265,541$ ．



 iбт $\alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu о \iota$
12.


 $\lambda \alpha \iota \sigma \mu о б v i \nu \eta$ S $\lambda \alpha \iota \sigma \mu$ обvv $\tilde{\eta}_{S} \alpha{ }^{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \iota \nu \eta_{S}$ ， $\Psi$ 701；cf．Э．103， 126.
 $\gamma \varepsilon \vartheta$ ós $\tau \varepsilon \beta i \not \eta \nu \tau \varepsilon \quad \tau \varepsilon, H .288$.


 $\sigma \tau \varepsilon @ \circ s \varepsilon i \eta \eta$
 ขv́＠ร $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu$
 $\sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon v ं \tau \varepsilon \varrho \circ \varsigma \varepsilon^{i \prime \eta}$




 $\varepsilon ้ \nu \pi о \lambda \varepsilon ́ \mu \omega \quad \lambda \varepsilon ́ \mu \omega, \lambda .536$－-
 $\alpha i \mu \alpha \tau$ ósvt $\alpha$ v． 311.
 íт $\alpha \mu \varepsilon v o s . ~ \gamma v ́ \vartheta \varepsilon v i \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu \nu$ оя，P． $5^{82}$ ．
 $\pi \alpha \nu \tau i \quad \tau \varepsilon \delta \dot{\eta} \mu \omega, \quad \tau i \tau \varepsilon \delta \eta^{\prime} \mu \omega, \Omega .706 ; \pi o ́ \lambda \eta i$ $\tau \varepsilon \pi \alpha \nu \tau i \tau \varepsilon \delta \dot{\eta} \mu \omega, \Gamma .50$.
 $\chi 0 \iota \sigma \iota \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta$
 $\pi \alpha ́ \gamma \chi v$ れর́ध $\eta \tau \alpha \iota, \quad \delta$ os $\alpha i \eta S, x .236$.




 عбб८，$\triangle$ ．${ }^{2} 33$.
 B． 188 ．
oṽ̃os $\dot{\alpha} \nu \eta \grave{\varrho} \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \mathfrak{\vartheta}$ òs $\gamma i \not \gamma v \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \pi \sigma \hat{\varepsilon} \mu \omega$.


 $x \tilde{v} \mu \alpha \mu \dot{\alpha} \chi \eta s$.
 ゆíえov ต้ス $\lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon$ Эvuòv


$\pi о \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \delta i \alpha$ бтと́＠voıo x $\alpha \grave{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i \delta o s$ ${ }_{0} \mu \varphi \alpha \lambda о \varepsilon ́ \sigma \sigma \eta$ ，


 $\varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \alpha \mu \varepsilon ̇ v o s$,


 $\pi о ́ \lambda \iota \varsigma$,


ห $\alpha i \pi \alpha i \delta \omega \nu \pi \alpha i ̌ \delta \varepsilon s ~ n \alpha i ~ \gamma \varepsilon ́ v o s ~$ हैं $о \pi i \sigma \omega$.
 $\lambda v \tau \alpha \iota$ ov่ ${ }^{\prime}$ oैขo $\mu$＇$\alpha v$ v่ov，
x $\alpha i \pi \alpha i \delta \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \pi \alpha i \delta \omega v$ roí $ห \varepsilon v \mu \varepsilon-$

»．H．91．






PART I
$\tau \alpha \iota \dot{\alpha} \vartheta \dot{\alpha} v \alpha \tau 0 \varsigma, \quad \varepsilon^{\prime} \chi 0 \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma, \lambda .102 ;$ cf． 603.
$\mu \alpha \rho \nu \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu \nu$ óv $\tau \varepsilon$
H． 90.
＂A＠ $\begin{gathered}\text { ỏd } \\ \varepsilon \\ \sigma\end{gathered}$
$\eta{ }^{\prime} \delta \varepsilon े \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \circ i^{-}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota \varepsilon \iota_{\mathrm{S}}{ }^{\prime} A l \delta \eta \nu .
\end{aligned}
$$

รixova＇ย่x ұю́＠クร oí $\tau \varepsilon \pi \alpha-$
入人ьо́тє＠оь
$\pi о$ ќ́uov．ef．97， 4.240 et al．
13.
งยสбนข है้ $\chi \circ \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma, ~ \triangle . ~ 309 . ~$
in Theognis， where they are rare but notable，

L．I proceed to take a sample of Theognis 1－503， although the sententious style of a reflective poet has too little in common with the more objective character of the Epic，for us to expect here so large a propor－ tion of coincidences of language．
 X． 263.


115.




$\tau \iota \vartheta \varepsilon i, \alpha$ 191－2．
$\mu \alpha \lambda \alpha \dot{x}$ หv $\beta \alpha \vartheta$ v̀ $\lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \iota 0 \nu \alpha i \varepsilon i$

 ס． 716.

$\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha x \eta ่ \tau \varepsilon \alpha \pi o ́ v \tau о \nu, \gamma 1{ }_{5} 8$.

 غ́ббך，239．$\pi \alpha ́ \varrho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \iota, K .217$.

 ${ }_{\eta}^{\eta} \delta \delta^{\alpha}{ }^{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha}$ ขทંбоvs，


$\pi$ óv $\tau$ оข $\varepsilon$ ย่ ${ }^{\prime} \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \tau \varrho v \dot{v} \gamma \varepsilon \tau 0 \nu, \beta .37 \circ$ et al．

${ }^{\dddot{\alpha}} \propto \mu \varepsilon \nu \alpha \pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \dot{\sigma} \chi \circ \iota \varsigma, 275$.

ふ้ขウ่＠$\pi \varepsilon \pi \nu v \mu \varepsilon ์ \nu 0 \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} \nu \alpha \iota, 309$.
$\varepsilon \dot{v} \varrho \varepsilon ์ \alpha \nu \tilde{\omega} \tau \alpha \vartheta \alpha \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \eta \varsigma$ ，B． 59 PART I et al．

$\varkappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \tau \varrho \omega \varphi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$, I． 463 ．
 V． 580 et al．
${ }^{2} \propto \mu \varepsilon \nu$ о $\nu$ हैv $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu \eta \sigma \iota \nu, \Sigma, 600$ et al．


ठ． 204 ．
 ov̉ঠย̀ 甲í $\lambda \circ \iota, 326$.
 $x \eta, x .273$.
－$\mu \tilde{\eta} \nu \iota \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon v 0 \varsigma, 400$.



 $\varrho \varepsilon \tilde{L} \alpha \nu, \varepsilon .482$.

 614 ，密． 2.


 غ́หผ́бтю，иоí $\pi о v$ боi $\tau \alpha \delta^{\prime}$,
 $\mu \varepsilon \nu$ है $\mu \pi \eta$ s，豸．188－90．

LI．I proceed next to the Suppliecs of Eschylus and and in the Sup－ set down below from this single play a number of plicers if hischy－ similar correspondencies to those noticed by Mr．Paley which is void of as found between Homer and the father of History． I select this play purposely，as one of those most re－acter or plot． mote from Homeric affinities in plot，scene and char－ acter．

PART I oi $\omega v 0 \pi o ́ \lambda \omega v, 57$ ． $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\gamma} \vartheta \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega \nu$（in s．of haunts）， 64 ．

ঠvбия́то＠оs， 67.
 $\Sigma i \delta o v i \alpha \alpha \alpha \lambda v i \pi \tau \rho \alpha, 12 \mathrm{I}$.
 $\tau \mu \alpha ́ s, 205-6$.
$\hat{\varepsilon} \sigma \mu \dot{o}{ }_{\varsigma} \hat{\omega}_{s} \pi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon i \alpha \delta \omega \nu$
 фо́ $\beta \omega, 223$－ 4 ．
ย้น $\tau \eta, 247$.

ßоךлќт $\eta \nu$ зоך． «̈ $\mu \pi \varepsilon ์ \tau \varrho \alpha \iota \varsigma$

$\pi \alpha \varrho ’$ ỏ $\psi \iota \gamma o ́ v o v, 3^{6}$ ．
«̈üdœıs， 453 ．



$\tau \varepsilon ́ \rho \alpha s \delta^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\prime} v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \beta o v v, 570$ ．

甲vaígoov үध́vos， $5^{85}$ ．

$\chi \varepsilon \varrho \sigma i \quad \delta \varepsilon \xi \iota \omega \nu v ́ \mu \circ \iota \varsigma$

$\dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma \propto \rho \tau о \nu, 64 \mathrm{r}$.
ßюотодоно̀s＂Аৎクя， 665.

жv $\alpha \omega \dot{\pi} \iota \delta \alpha_{\varsigma} \nu \tilde{\eta} \alpha_{\varsigma}, 744$.

ঠодó甲＠oves， 750 ．
$\pi \varepsilon \varrho i \varphi \varrho о \nu \varepsilon я, 757$.
oiตvoлólตv，A．69，Z． 76.

Z． 511 ．
$\mu \tilde{\eta} \tau \varepsilon \varrho$ है $\mu \dot{r}_{1} \delta \dot{v} \sigma \mu \eta \tau \varepsilon \varrho, \psi$ ． 97.

 ঠоví $\boldsymbol{v}$, Z．289－90．
$\mu \varepsilon \mu \nu \tilde{\eta} \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$ ह́ $\varphi \varepsilon \tau \mu \dot{\omega} \nu, \delta .353$.


 $\nu \eta \tau 0 i ́ \tau \varepsilon$ है่ $\tau<\iota \tau \varepsilon, \quad$ ． 273.
दُ $\dot{\xi} \dot{\alpha} \pi i \eta_{\mathrm{S}} \gamma \alpha i \eta_{\mathrm{S}}, ~ A . ~ 270$ et al．

 11． 35 et al．
 cf．$\alpha, 302$ ．
«̈ï $\rho \iota s, x .282$.

＠． 577 ．


$\chi \lambda \omega \varrho o ̀ v ~ \delta \varepsilon ́ o s, ~ H . ~ 479 ~ e t ~ a l . ~$
 ídov，$\beta .{ }_{155} 5$.
$\pi \varepsilon ́ \mu \pi \varepsilon \iota \nu \ldots$ ．．．$i^{\prime \prime} \alpha \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\alpha}{ }^{\alpha} \pi \eta^{\prime} \mu \alpha \nu \tau$ ov， r． 282.
甲vбiそoos $\alpha \tilde{i} \alpha, \Gamma .243$ et al．

 $\sigma \iota \nu, N .339$.
$\alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \propto \tau$ оv $\alpha \ddot{\sim} \tau \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$, 2．400，
407；cf．＠． 219 et al．
ßৎотодогуоя＂А＠クら，E．518，846； ef． 3 r．


$\nu \varepsilon \dot{\alpha} \varsigma_{\varsigma} x v \alpha v o \pi \varrho \omega \varrho \varepsilon i ́ o v s, \gamma-299 ;$ ef．O． 693 et al．

$\pi \varepsilon \varrho i \varphi \varrho \omega \nu$ Пŋขєло́л $\varepsilon \iota \alpha, \alpha \cdot 329$ et al．
 бшт ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\prime} \alpha$ $\chi \varrho \varepsilon \dot{\omega} \pi \varepsilon i \sigma \mu \alpha \tau$ о乌 $\varepsilon \sigma \tau i v, \ell$ ． 136.

ย̇s $\gamma \tilde{\eta} \nu$ ह̇vย
$\mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \iota v o ́ \chi \varrho \omega s, 785$ ．
$\mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi$ œoins，$\pi$ ． 175.
 N． 63 et al．


ภทíovs $\pi о \tau i \not ้ \not ้ \sigma \tau v ~ \delta i \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$, M． 276 ．
ท̀ $\mu \varepsilon \varepsilon$ ह́¢ $\eta \propto \tau i \eta, x .79$.
 N． 760 ．

$\tau \kappa \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} v \tau o v, 822-3$ ．
阝रобvৎóp९ovк， 833 ．
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \varphi \varepsilon \sigma i \beta o t o v ~ \tilde{v} \delta \omega \varrho, 8_{55}$ ．
$\mu \alpha \iota \mu \tilde{\alpha}, 895$ ．




$\varkappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \chi \varepsilon \vartheta \dot{\omega} \nu, 1067$ ．

PAfiT I




The above are from a single play of Æschylus．I think the words and phrases selected are no less char－ acteristically Homeric；and they are nearly as numerous as those which Mr．Paley has set down as gathered from Herodotus．

LII．I now proceed to a single group of Pindaric odes，the Nemean，I－IX，which I happened to be looking through lately for another purpose．
 A． 527.

${ }^{29}$ ． 4.49. HOM．OD，II．









 200－3．
$\tau \varepsilon \tau \varrho \alpha 0 \varrho i ́ \alpha s$, IV．45，cf．VII．137．$\tau \varepsilon \tau \varrho \alpha о \varrho о \iota \ldots i ́ \pi \pi 0 \iota, \nu .81$ ．甲út $\varepsilon v \varepsilon$ ô $\vartheta \alpha \dot{\gamma} \nu \alpha \tau 0 \nu, 9$ ．

$\tau \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ 甲 $\dot{́} \sigma \gamma \alpha v o v, 8$ I．



 $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha i \varphi \alpha \tau o l, 25$.
$\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha i \varphi \alpha \tau o \nu$, III． 24 et al．


Coincidestrace－ able in Pindar， Nem．I－IX－a specimen group of odes taken at random．

PART I $\quad v \alpha v \sigma \iota x \lambda v \tau \dot{\alpha} \nu$, V． 18.
$\pi i \tau v \alpha \nu \tau{ }^{\prime} \varepsilon \iota_{S} \alpha i \vartheta \varepsilon \varrho \alpha \chi \varepsilon i \varrho \alpha s, 20$ ．$\pi t \tau v \dot{\alpha}_{S} \varepsilon i i_{S} \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \varepsilon i ̌ \varrho \alpha s, \lambda .392$.

$\pi o \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \varrho \mu \iota v \pi \alpha v \tau i \quad \vartheta v \mu \tilde{\omega}$
$\pi \alpha \varrho \varphi \alpha \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta ~ \lambda \iota \tau \alpha ́ \nu \varepsilon v \varepsilon \nu, 57-8$. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha v \alpha ́ v \alpha \tau о$ vv́ $\mu \varphi \alpha \nu, 60$ $\chi \varrho v \sigma \alpha \lambda \alpha x \alpha \dot{\tau} \omega \nu$ ．．Nךৎ $i \delta \delta \omega v, 6_{5}$ ．

$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha i \xi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha, 79$.

ßiov ．．．غ̇л $\pi \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ vóv， 19.



$\pi \propto \varrho \pi o \delta \grave{\nu} \nu \alpha \dot{s}, 95$.
$\lambda \iota \pi \alpha \varrho \omega ̃$ тє $\gamma \eta \varrho \alpha і ̈, 146$.
ทீ＠ผ́ $\omega \nu$ ．．．$\pi \varepsilon \varrho \iota \nu \alpha \iota \varepsilon \tau \alpha o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ ，
VIII． $15-6$.
 $\pi \lambda$ оข่ $\omega$

（cf．Pyth．II． $27-8$ ）．（59） $\pi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \mu \iota$ 豸ó $\mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \iota$ vi $\pi$
んٌ $\lambda \varepsilon \xi \iota \mu \beta$ ¢о́ $\omega$ до́ $\gamma \chi \alpha$ ，50－2．
$\alpha i \mu v ่ \lambda \omega \nu \mu v ่ \vartheta \omega \nu,{ }_{5} 6$ ．
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \pi \varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \nu \alpha \iota . . . \vartheta v \dot{v} \varrho \iota$, IX． 3.
$\alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \mu \alpha \iota$（in s．of begin song）， 69.


et al． 504，$\gamma .2$.
$v \alpha v \sigma \iota ห \lambda v \tau о i \not ้ \nu \delta \varrho \varepsilon \varsigma, \eta .39$ etal． $\alpha \varrho i ́ \gamma \nu \omega \tau \circ \iota \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \vartheta \varepsilon \circ i ́ \pi \varepsilon \varrho \iota, N .72$
$\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \delta \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \iota \nu \lambda \iota \tau \alpha \nu \varepsilon v \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \rho \omega \nu$, I． 58 I ．
$\pi \alpha \varrho \varphi \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu$ о̧ $\varepsilon$ है $\pi \varepsilon$ ย́ $\sigma \sigma$ ，M． 249. oi $\delta^{\prime} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \eta \nu \eta \dot{\nu} \alpha \nu \tau 0, H .185$.
＇Аৎт $\dot{\mu \iota \delta} о$ оя $\chi \varrho v \sigma \eta \lambda \alpha x \alpha ́ \tau о v, \Pi$ ． 183 et al．

$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \overparen{\iota} \alpha \varsigma \mu \alpha \varrho \psi \eta$, Ф．${ }^{5} 64$.
 427，ef．$\eta .99$.

 $T \varrho \omega \omega \nu \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \gamma \varepsilon \varrho \omega \dot{\chi} \omega \nu, \Gamma .36$ et al． $\pi$ ó $\delta \alpha \nu \eta$ òs $\varepsilon \nu \omega \prime \mu \omega \nu$, и． 32.
$\gamma \eta \prime \varrho \alpha$ vi $\pi \dot{o} \lambda \iota \pi \alpha \varrho \tilde{\omega}, \lambda .136$ et al． $\alpha \nu \boldsymbol{v} \rho \dot{\pi} \boldsymbol{\sigma} v_{\mathrm{S}}$ oi $\pi \varepsilon \varrho \iota \nu \alpha \iota \varepsilon \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v}$－ $\sigma \iota \nu, \beta .66$ et al． тóv $\pi \circ \tau \varepsilon$ oi $\delta \tilde{\sim} x \varepsilon v ~ K \iota \nu v ́ \varrho \eta S$ छยıขท่ $\iota \circ \nu$ ع $\tilde{\imath} \nu \alpha \iota$
 ö $\delta$ غ̀ $\chi \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \varepsilon v o s ~ \pi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \mu i ́ \chi \vartheta \eta$, ป． 535 ． $\alpha i \mu v \lambda i ́$ оєбє $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \iota \sigma \iota v, \alpha .{ }_{5} 6$.
 （ $\pi v ่ \lambda \alpha_{\varsigma}$ or $\sigma \alpha \nu i ́ \delta \alpha_{\varsigma}$ ）$\alpha \nu \varepsilon ́ \varrho \varepsilon \varsigma$, M．122，and $\pi \varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha \mu$ ย́v $\alpha_{S}$ हैv $\chi \varepsilon \varrho \sigma i \pi v^{\prime} \lambda \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon^{\prime \prime} \chi \varepsilon \tau^{\prime}$ ，Ф．531．
 $\alpha .155$ et al．
 $\nu \varepsilon \circ \vartheta \eta \lambda \varepsilon \alpha \pi o i \eta v$ ，志． 347.

Similar coinci－LIII．I next proceed to review some of the extant dences in Simo－ nides， fragments of Simonides，who scems to have had a long career，ending not till after the battle of the Eury－ medon，B．C．460．I take these and the following from Bergk＇s Poetæ Lyrici，the numbers referring to

59 Here the $\varphi \tilde{\alpha} \mu \alpha \iota K v \pi \varrho i \omega v$ perhaps is an allusion to the $K v i \pi \varrho \iota \alpha{ }^{\prime \prime} \pi \eta$ ．
the pages there. I cannot find room to go through them PART I all. I take the earlier ones therefore only, just as they stand in the edition named.
 Ald.), III3. $\tau \mu \dot{\alpha}) x \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$ @́óov, $\mu, 204$.
 65 et al.


 II24. ห. 94.
 I25. $\quad$ ข. 88.
 K. 159 , cf. $ห .548$.
$\delta \alpha \sigma \pi \lambda \check{r} \tau \alpha X \alpha \varrho v \beta \delta \iota v, \mathbb{I} 32$.


Nウ́@ıтоv \&ivoбívv $\lambda$ оข, B. 632 et al.
 et al.
 $\chi \alpha \nu \varepsilon, \pi .418$ et al.
 ع. 445.
 $\mu \alpha \gamma \delta \dot{o} s, 1137$.
ү $\alpha \lambda$ Эๆvòv $\tau$ ย́xos, ib.


 et al.
 $\tau \varepsilon \varsigma, 1146$.
 $\nu \varepsilon ́ \mu \circ \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma, i b$.





ұ@vбоข̃ тıムท่รvтos, ib.
$\alpha,{ }^{133}$.
$\nu \varepsilon \beta \varrho \circ v s_{s} \quad \nu \varepsilon \eta \gamma \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \alpha_{S} \quad \gamma \alpha \lambda \alpha \vartheta \eta-$ vovis, $\delta .336$, @. 127. $\tau \iota \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \tau \alpha, \lambda .327$.

6o Sec Pind. Ol. XII. 8, $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mu \omega ́ v \iota \alpha \psi \varepsilon v i \delta \varepsilon \alpha$, and Aristoph. Pax, 117, ? xó@кияS $\beta \alpha \delta \iota \varepsilon \check{~ \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mu \omega ́ v \iota o s ~ a n d ~ S c h o l . ~ t h e r e . ~ T h e ~ L e x i c o n s ~ c o m p . ~ ¿ \nu \varepsilon \mu \omega ́ \lambda \iota o s . ~}$ 6r Recognized as the ancient name of Corinth.




и $\alpha \grave{\kappa} \dot{\nu} \nu \varrho \omega \tilde{\nu},(62)$ 1146-7.
 et al.


 $\stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \mu \varphi \omega, \quad$ о. 366.


$$
\text { o. } 126 .
$$

 $\tau \alpha \iota, B .{ }^{3} 6 \mathrm{r}$.
ransitive midale veabs are next considered. The poems ascribed to Hesiod show an adequate assortment both in the 'Theagony',
LIV. Mr. Paley has further urged the frequent use of transitive middle verbs, $\left(6_{3}\right)$ as implying a remodelling of the epics, in order to bring the language into metrical conformity. That is to say transitive middle verbs are comparatively modern - so modern as to help the proof that our Homer is a mere modern "cookery". I call attention to this, because I think I can prove that such verbs pervade the earliest authors of whom we have any remains. I will begin with Hesiod. Theogon. in which we have





 $\delta^{\prime} \dot{v} \pi \varepsilon \vartheta \eta \eta^{\prime} \alpha \alpha \tau$ о $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \tau$.

62 Bergk ad loc. thinks this may belong to Simonides of Amorgos, but this and the last but one fragment before it, being from the same book and same specch in the Il., confirm one another.

63 I would further remind the reader that the very high antiquity of the middle form of the verb is one point for which Curtius has contended in his "Results of comparative philology in the Classical languages", of which I saw a translation in the Brit. Mus. library, but have not at hand to refer to. If he is right in this, we may presume that it would not be long before these verb-forms acquired a transitive force; and that the argument in favour of modernism, founded on this use of them, therefore breaks down.

I pass on to the＂Works and Days＂，

PART I
and in the
＂Works and
Days＂．


 ふ̀ $\lambda \lambda ’ \dot{\alpha} \pi о \pi \varepsilon ่ \mu \pi \varepsilon \iota \nu$.
105．oӥт


186．$\mu \varepsilon ́ \mu \psi$ о $\nu \tau \alpha \iota \delta^{\prime}$ 火$\varrho \alpha$ 兀ov̀s．

I have omitted in the latter poem several examples， as they had occurred in the Theogony．I pass on next lochus in his res－ to Archilochus，following Bergk＇s text and cnumeration of the fragments．
Bergk，page Fragment，no．



 $\sigma \alpha ́ \mu \varepsilon \nu о \iota$.

9 ［48］．

 ขо́бтоv．

II［55］．



25 ［2］． 694．$\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon ́ \varrho \chi о \mu \alpha \iota ~ \sigma \varepsilon, ~ \sigma v ́ \mu \beta о д о \nu ~ \pi о \iota \varepsilon v ́ \mu \varepsilon \nu о$ я． 44 ［27］．

LV．I have limited myself to those which form the
so does Alcman：
－surely we need three first classes of these fragments as arranged by go no further． Bergk and to the instances which include an unmistake－ able accusative of the object expressed．I pass on to Aleman．The number of lines or half－lines assigned to him by Bergk is close upon 200.
Bergk，page Fragment，no．




$$
\text { * } 17 \text { [22]. }
$$



850．бغे $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \varrho \ddot{\alpha} \xi 0 \mu \alpha i$ ．＊． 5 ．

PARTI

 $\sigma \alpha \tau 0$.

69 [48].



Surely after this it is needless to crowd the page with further quotations from the fragments of all pocts down to Pindar and Eschylus whom we possess in bulk. I believe there is not one of them who would not yield a respectable number of specimens.

Certain other word-forms, supposed late, are next exemplified from various poets, to whom Homer, because he has these forms, is supposed junior.

1. nouns in -oбv́v $\eta_{\text {and }}-v{ }^{\circ}$.
LVI. I will next bring together a number of specimens from early poets of each of the types of words on which Mr. Paley seems to rely as showing the late origin of our Homer (Essay, p. 24).
r. Substantives in -oбv́v $\eta$ and $-v_{s}$. The former crop up thickly everywhere and the following list is nothing like exhaustive. The latter, except such as are in $-\tau \boldsymbol{v}$, being a dialectic byform of the verbal in - $\sigma \iota$, were at no time of the language very numerous. But why, with such unquestionably primitive specimens as $i \sigma \chi \dot{v}_{S}$ Theogon. 146 et al., ixvis $W$. and D. 277, oirisis ib. tr3 et al., no nouns in -vs should be rated modern, I cannot see. ix̧̀ vocat. appears Erinna r, r, and $\alpha \chi \chi \lambda \dot{v} \nu$ accus. Archil. 103, 2, $\nu \eta \delta \grave{v}_{S}$ is found in some of its cases in Hes. Theogon. 460, 487, 890, 899. I might compare the adj. form $\pi 0 v \lambda \dot{v}_{\mathcal{S}}$ found early in
 et al. Yet nouns in -oбiv $\eta$ and - $\dot{v}_{S}$, with $\pi \lambda \eta \partial v_{S}$ and the like, are said to be characteristic of the Ionic of B. C. 450-400. $\pi \lambda \eta \vartheta v$ v́ , however, which implies $\pi \lambda \eta$ $\mathfrak{v} \dot{v}$, occurs Æischyl. Pers. 420. Again, the Latin analogy of senat-us, old gen. senatu-os, is in favour of the -vs ending in Greek being among the oldest in the language. That a certain class of these are more frequent in Ionic, I do not deny, nor that they may be found in sufficient quantity at the time in question, but that they originated then is wholly 2. verbs with reunproved. "Reduplicated forms of perfects" (I preduplicated perfects (but, be-
tended) are thrown into the same class. Yet we


 are added; yet we have K@ovíd $\varepsilon \omega$ W. and D. 7ı, - $\varepsilon \omega$.
 jectives in $-\eta \dot{\mu} \omega \nu$ swell the list; yet $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \nu$ occurs W. and D. 670, besides several times in Pindar, and бкíuove for $\delta \alpha \eta \dot{\mu} \rho v \iota$ W. and D. 314, so Archil. 4, 4 даíuoves $\varepsilon i \sigma i \quad \mu \alpha ́ x \eta s$. Nouns in -обv́v $\eta$, as has been observed, are plentiful everywhere. Amongst the He-

 бv́v $\eta$, $\mu \nu \eta \mu о \sigma v ่ \nu \eta, \lambda \eta \sigma \mu о \sigma v i v \eta$, in the $W$. and $D$. In Sapphô I find $\dot{\alpha} \beta$ @oбv́v $\nu \nu, \mu \nu \alpha \mu о \sigma v ́ v \alpha$, in Archilochus $\tau \lambda \eta \mu о \sigma v i v \eta$. Tyrtæus, Solon and Theognis abound with this form of noun. I see that "contractions of verbs in $-\alpha \omega$ into $-\varepsilon o \nu "$ ", are the last item in the list; but 4. $-\alpha \omega$ verbs forming $-\varepsilon \boldsymbol{0} \nu$. surely this supposed late Ionicism is of precisely the
 iv@avvídos, Archil. 25, 3. In another place Mr. Paley (Essay, p. 16-7) remarks that "very many words re"garded as 'archaic' can be shown by philology to be "either false forms or unmeaning corruptions from their "original digammated forms. For example $̇ \pi \eta \eta \varepsilon \tau \nu$ òs "is only a metrical shift to express $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \mathcal{F} \varepsilon \tau \alpha \nu \grave{s}$, from

 tend to prove the "comparative modernness" (i. c. as compared with Pindar) of our Homer? Besides, we have the similar $̇ ̇ \pi \eta \beta$ ó $\chi_{o}$ in Aschylus, which shows that when several short syllables concur the voice sought a rest by lengthening $\iota$ into $\eta$. So far from being a "false form", غंлクモг $\alpha \nu$ òs seems to me perfectly normal. Mr. Paley finds "another and most cogent evidence of "this modernness . . . . in the very irregular use of the "digamma and the article. The latter is often used "demonstratively - the Homeric use, as it is called "Wut often in the ordinary Attic sense, as in II. I. 3.3, "ย้ $\delta \delta \varepsilon \iota \sigma \varepsilon \nu \delta$ " $\delta ~ \gamma \varepsilon ́ \rho \omega v . "$ I have in some of the notes
parti to the present volume stated my suspicion that the 6. digammated digamma having become a weak letter $\sigma$ and $\nu$ did forms.
2. the article.

These two last require fuller exemplification. not make prosodiacal "position", when before it. This will be found to reduce greatly in our Homer the number of irregularities complained of. But as I am about to show that similar irregularities in the use of the $f$ occur in all or nearly all early pocts (so far as we can decipher the fragments of them which alone remain) I only notice this here, because I have not counted in the examples from the poets whom I am about to cite, those irregularities of this class in which $v$ or $\sigma$ precedes the $\mathcal{F}$. If they are counted, those irregularities in these early pocts are largely increased in number. As regards the article $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau \dot{o} ;$ its variations between a demonstrative and the article proper may similarly be exemplified in every one of the elder poets. Nothing I confess, when I look at the evidence, has astonished me more than this common but questionable phrase the "Attic" use of the article.
They receive it from Archilochus,
LVII. But I will take the digamma first; inserting it in a bracket, thus ( $f$ ), in the places where it is defective. Archilochus has 'Evvoגiooo fơvaxros in I, i, but xגv'ध'

 74,9 is read roĩб८ $\delta^{\prime}(f) \hat{\eta} \delta \dot{v}$ ทँv ő@os, again 63, 1 ov́ $\tau \iota \varsigma \alpha i \delta o i ̃ o g ~ \mu \varepsilon \tau \tau^{\prime}(f) \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \omega \nu \nu$, and $33 x \alpha \tau^{\prime}(f)$ oìxov, also 3, $1 \quad \dot{\varepsilon} v \delta \delta \varrho i \delta^{\prime}(f) o i ̄ v o s . ~ N o w ~ t h e ~ f i r s t ~ o f ~ t h e s e, ~$ being the end of a hexameter verse, admits the $\mathcal{F}$, whereas in all the rest, being words, of all others, which
 Foizos), it is rejected. In the fragments of Alcæus are

 $\pi \lambda \varepsilon i ́ \sigma \tau o \iota s$ ह̇ं $\alpha^{\prime} v \alpha \sigma \sigma \varepsilon$ גóoıs: now plainly, in the two first of these fragments, the digamma is skipped in fóvag fóv $\nu \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha$, whereas in the last, $\varepsilon$ ź $\alpha v \alpha \sigma \sigma \varepsilon$ requires it. Again in 55 [41. 42] Эे $\grave{\lambda \omega} \tau \iota$ feíл $\eta \nu$ is read whereas in 82 [85] גix' $(f)$ siln $\eta \mathrm{s}$ is found, with the digamma lost. Alcæus and Sapphô, And this is Alcæus, in whom, if anywhere, the "Æolic" digamma might be expected to be an unobliterated
feature. In Sapphô 8t [4.5] róooosos occurs where the metre seems to require $\smile-\simeq$; i. e. the $f$ of foĩos is lost. Again in *104 [34], 2. we have ö $\rho \pi \alpha \kappa \iota ~ \beta \varrho \alpha-$
 word is one to which the $f$ is proper. See App. A. 24.
LVIII. Alcman is the most nearly consistent in his use of the $f$. The only example of irregularity which Alcman, and negatively AuaI have noticed is in 37 [27], $\tau 0 \tilde{v} \vartheta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \delta \varepsilon \tilde{\alpha} \nu M \omega \sigma \tilde{\alpha} \nu$ 光 $\delta \varepsilon \iota \xi \varepsilon v$, where $\dot{\alpha} \delta \varepsilon \tilde{\alpha} \nu$ should have the $f$ but cannot. In Anacreon the $f$ seems wholly lost, if we may judge from such examples as $\mu \eta \vartheta$ víov $^{\prime}$ ( $F$ ) oïx $\alpha \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \lambda \vartheta \varepsilon \tau ั \nu$ * 57 [55],
 (F)'Avácapó@os 105 [Ep. 6]. With regard to Hesiod and Hesiod; Mr. Paley has said in his preface to that poet, p. xxx, "As far as we can judge in the really genuine verses Hesiod's use of the digamma is pretty constant, if not absolutely invariable." I will set down from the Worlis and Days the following lines which seem to me inconsistent with this statement,
 દ̇@úxoь,
and all these would more largely show it,
in which Mr. Paley proposes to substitute $\ddot{\alpha} \int \varepsilon \varrho \gamma 0 \nu \vartheta v \mu \circ ̀ \nu$.
 (f) $\tilde{\eta}^{\circ} \vartheta 0$,
which of course could not stand if the $\mathcal{f}$ made position. Mr. Paley, who views it as so doing, would alter this


 біठŋऍоऽ,
or rather $\chi \alpha \lambda x \tilde{\omega} \delta^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon} f \varepsilon \varrho \gamma \dot{\alpha} \xi 0 \nu \tau 0$, which of course lames the metre. Here Mr. Paley would drop the $\delta^{\prime}$, but indeed
64. With regard to this, as we have the word in the plur. in all the other places where it is found in the poem, especially in $699, \pi \alpha \varrho \vartheta \varepsilon v \iota x \dot{\eta} \nu \delta \dot{\delta}$ yauciv, ivve F $167,222,5^{25}$, "haunts" or "localities of abode", but, as in 67 and $7^{8} 8$, "moral habits", it seems to me very unlikely that $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi i x \lambda o \pi o v ~ \tilde{j} \vartheta 0$ would have established itself had it not been genuine; although of course it is possible that the corruption engendered by the voice shunning hiatus in recitation may have taken that form.
it cannot be spared without the obliteration of a genuine Hesiodic feature, the tacking, viz., of clause to clause by $\delta \grave{\varepsilon}$ successively repeated.(65) Again
 о̋ $\boldsymbol{\beta} \rho \circ$ о.
Here Mr. Paley would sink the $\tau^{\prime}$ of $\mu \eta^{\prime} \tau^{\prime}$, but it is obvious that the $\tau \varepsilon$ repeated with $\mu \dot{\eta}$ distributes the negative force of the previous $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \eta^{\prime} \vartheta \frac{\iota}{}$. We have also just such a previous negative with two similarly related members in 488-9 previous,

$$
\mu \eta \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \lambda \eta \eta_{\gamma}
$$

$\mu \eta^{\prime} \tau^{\prime} \propto^{\prime} \varrho^{\prime} \dot{v} \pi \varepsilon \varrho \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ 及oòs ó $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \mu \eta^{\prime} \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \lambda \varepsilon i \pi \omega \nu$. Again in 714 the digamma finds no place,
 My last instance is from Theogon. 459, where ő́б兀ıs $(f))^{\prime \prime} \chi \alpha \sigma \tau 0$ ends the line. Mr. Paley, citing this on W. and D. 393, says it "is corrupt", but gives no reason, unless the mere inadmissibility of the $f$ is such. But the very point I am now aiming at is to show that a fluctuating usage in this letter is no proof of corruptness in Homer or Hesiod, but a genuine feature. On if examples in the view above stated that $v$ and $\sigma$ final are not strong which the digamma does not make position enough to form position, the difficulty of this example, as of many others, disappears; and so in all the poets in

65 Such as we have in $112-9$,






and another precisely similar sequence occurs in $70-80$ sup.
66 Mr . Paley says, this is corrupt, and urges that the sense is obscure. I admit that there is some confusion of thought, but the obscurity is not greater than in several passages of the same poem. Pindar has a converse but some-
 his deed convicting his appearance", $i . e$. of being empty pretence; so above, "let no mere pretence (by being exposed) convict your judginent". The remark preceding the maxim is "a weak man takes up first with one friend and then another". "Be not you such", then, "as to be weakly misled by appearances" is in effect the purport of the whole.
whose remains the $f$ is traceable. And that it had in fact begun so to fluctuate, seems to me clear from the after a final $-v$ fact, that of two closely related words féerov and (F) $\varepsilon$ é $\rho \delta \omega$, the former has the $f$ and the latter not in the great majority of cases where each occurs alike in Homer and in Hesiod. (67)
LIX. I pass on to the article. The following are examples from Archilochus of its ordinary use as in found in Archilochus, later classical Greek,


 $\mu \alpha \iota \nu \varepsilon$.
29 [7. 13]. ท̀ $\delta \varepsilon ́ \alpha ~ \varkappa о ́ \mu \eta ~ ต ้ \mu о v_{S} \varkappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon б x i \alpha \xi \varepsilon$.




 $\sigma \varepsilon ́ \beta \omega \nu$.
LX. The next are from Alcman. Here we find in in Alcman, Frag. pag. I such expressions as $\tau \dot{o} \nu \beta \iota \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \nu$, $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ xo-





 §ผ兀єv́சย.

In Sapphô I find the article as freely used, Fragm. Sappho




67 In $K .503, \zeta .258, \eta .202, \varepsilon .342,360, \vartheta .490$ the $\mathcal{F}$ appears inadmissible in $\varepsilon^{\prime} \rho \delta \omega$, unless indeed allowed after -s -v without making position. In $\alpha$. 293, $\lambda$. 80 the $F$ would he admissible by omitting $\tau \varepsilon$. It can hardly be said to be necossary in the only two places in which there is any strong plea for
 $F$ to stop it is far from rave in Homer. I suppose it umnecessary to quote places where 'gorov has the $\mathcal{F}$.


and Alcwus,
In the fragments of Alcreus I open at random upon

 ка兀тю $\pi \circ \lambda i \omega \sigma$ б $\eta \dot{\eta} \vartheta \varepsilon \rho$ and on the immediately previous 1age, 9++ Bergk, I find д̀ $\delta^{\prime} \omega ้ \varrho \alpha \chi \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ́ \pi \alpha, 40 \pi i v \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu$
 also on the previous page, 943) and 41 [3r] лivancvv $\tau i$ tò $\lambda v^{\prime} \chi \nu 0 \nu \mu \varepsilon ́ v o \mu \varepsilon v$. On the next page after I find



LXI. In the Hesiodic Theogony I have marked the following,





690-1. оí $\delta \check{~ \varepsilon े ~ x \varepsilon @ \alpha v v o i ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ \pi о \tau \varepsilon ́ o v \tau о . ~}$






From the "Works and Days" I take the following,

217. x@ziбб


The above are from the first three hundred lines of the poem. Those which follow are from its later sections in Gættling's arrangement, beginning at v. 695.



I might add such expressions as $\tau \alpha \pi \rho \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha \mathrm{H}_{3}, 202$,
and to toízov, 3r3, which could hardly have become current until the usage of the pronoun as an article had been definitely recognized.
LXII. Now, are all these texts to be noted as un- and in Solon.
trustworthy? If they are, nothing in the way of language remains from which we can arguc. Early Greck inscriptions are so few and their dates so far precarious, that no adequate matcrial can be gathered from them on which to found a theory. The genius of the Greeks was not higly legislative. If we only had a few fragments of the Solonian xv@ú $\beta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$, similar to those preserved from the twelve tables of early Roman law, they

## If these remains

 are spurious and modern, there remains no test to apply to Homer; if genuine, they quite overthrow the alleged ground for ascribing a mo. dern diction to Homer. might suffice to settle the question. The extant remains, however, ascribed to Solon convey the same lesson, that the use of the article was in his time current. I will only cite one, Fragm. 4 [13], i6, Bergk Which is the more remarkable, because the use of $\chi \varrho o ́ \nu \omega$ alone, adverbially, for "in the course of time", is equally established by subsequent classical usage, c. g. in line of somewhat similar sentiment Eurip. Here.

LXIII. In Tyrtæus the examples are not numerous; but $\dot{o} \dot{\eta}$ zò occurs used by him, in his extant fragments, at least as often as an article as it is pronominally; and, in proportion to the bulk of his fragments, at

It is also found in Tyrtæus sparingly, yet in proportion, as frequently as in Homer. least as frequently as it is in Homer. I will cite
4 [2], 7. $\mu v \vartheta \varepsilon \imath ̃ \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota ~ \delta \grave{\varepsilon े ~} \tau \alpha े x \alpha \lambda \alpha$.
เ० [6], 3. тウ̀v $\delta^{\prime} \alpha v ่ \tau о \tilde{v} \pi \varrho о \lambda \iota \pi o ́ v \tau \alpha ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota v . ~$
 दृ $\lambda \alpha \varphi \varrho \dot{\alpha}$


12 [8], 4I-2:. oí $\tau \varepsilon x \alpha \tau^{\prime} \alpha v ๋ \tau \grave{\nu}$

 $\tau \tilde{\sim} \Sigma \Sigma \pi \propto \varrho \tau \alpha$.

LXIV. From Hesiod it will be noticed that no large number has been gathered. In short, the lan-

PART I The contradistinctive use of the article or pronoun, with $\mu \stackrel{\varepsilon}{\nu} \nu$ and $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon$, is plain in Hesiod; and in all the earlier poets.
guage seems to have been at his period, as in Homer's, in the plastic state which admitted of this same word being either article, demonstrative pronoun, or relative; and any reader who will be at the trouble to read my App. A. 23 in this volume which follows, will see evidence to show that there was a precisely similar fluctuation in a very early stage of our own mother tongue. But it is with the first only that I am now concerned. It may be noticed also that what is now recognized as a feature in the logical use of this demonstrative, viz. its contradistinguishing two previously mentioned subjects by the aid of $\mu \grave{\varepsilon} \nu$ and $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$, is thus early traceable. The example below is such, W. and D. II-7,




$\tau \grave{̀} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ ह́ $\tau$ ย́@ $\nu \quad x . \tau . \lambda$.
1 will not weary the reader by a longer string of quotations on this branch of the subject. Suffice it to say that in all the early pocts whom I have cited, from Archilochus to Æschylus, the distinctive $\delta \dot{\eta}$ rò with $\mu \grave{v} \nu$ and $\delta \grave{\varepsilon}$ abounds.
LXV. The course of my argument has been hitherto rather defensive, and confined, with a few incidental exceptions, to the disproof of supposed presumptions in favour of Homeric modernism. I have not quite done with this defensive chapter yet, but I wish to point out more generally than has yet, I think, been done the certainty of great fluctuations in the corpus Homericum. A great deal might pass for not only Homers, but for parts of the Iliad and Odyssey, at one time, which at another would be rejected; many passages which before Zenodotus were current in one particular rhapsody, might after his time be relegated to another; and not a few of the grosser inconsistencies, whether original or imported, might disappear.
A great want
LXVI. In the Preface to vol. I. of this edition I of fixity probably prevailed in the poem in its have contended for an unwritten Epos, floating, so to speak, in the poet's mind, and liable to a perpetual
readjustment of details; or even, possibly, to a rearrangement of some of the primary constituent parts; and of this latter, I think, we have an example in the portion of the Odyssey included in parts of books $\vartheta$. critics. and $\nu$.; see further under Appendix G. 2. A poem too long to be recited at a sitting can hardly be said to have an objective existence, as a whole, till fixed in writing. It only exists subjectively in the pact's conception; and the want of preciseness in all human faculties forbids us to ascribe to it, while in this form, a fixed uniformity of minor features, and perhaps even a constant order of succession of the larger members of the epic narrative. Many inconsistencies in the poct himself, and many errors made by those who, knowing him by recitation only, attempted to reproduce him in quotation or allusion, may be set down, I am persuaded, simply to infirmities of memory, which could not be easily corrected nor even perhaps detected, when there were no written copies at hand to refer to. Nay, have we not in Milton himself, with all the help not only of writing but of printing, traces of such infirmity, when the superadded infirmity of blindness hampered the poet in making reference to his own earlier words? At any rate, I see that a recent editor of Milton on Parad. Lost X. 478 thinks it necessary to apologize on this ground for the poet, saying, "it is probable that here, " 1 s so often elsewhere, he did not accurately recollect "the preceding part of the poem". (Keightley's Milton vol. II. p. 126.) Many of the arguments founded on discrepancies between Homer and those who profess to quote Homer really postulate habits of critical accuracy founded on centuries of print, and yet are applied to a time where, on the objector's own view, a written literature did not yet exist. I believe that our Homeric poems continued for no few centuries liable to the caprices of rhapsodists, adding, omitting, recombining, and rearranging, as best suited their immediate purpose. It would, however, be probably in the interests of these rhapsodists that the poems were first committed to writing; and the more copies mul-
part i tiplied, the greater would be the accumulation of material, which might enable criticism, when once awakened, to attempt the task of reconstituting the corpus Homericum. Criticism, however, as it is never infallible, so in its carliest stages it is especially liable to err. There is reason, therefore, to suppose that at every revision of these poems, something which was genuine may have been let slip, and something which was spurious have been retained. We have then, $1^{\text {stly }}$ an indefinite amount of possible fluctuation and inconstancy to his own first ideas in the poet himself, caused by perpetual recitation in the absence of a written(68) text; $2^{\text {ndly }}$ the equally indefinite variants, omissions, interpolations ete. introduced by rhapsodists, some of whose effusions might win their way to popularity and general currency till they coalesced with the original $;(69)$ and $3^{\text {rdly }}$ a frailty of judgment in the Early Alexandrines in reducing the results of these errors.

Thus many apparent discrepancies or omissions, as they now seem, may be accounted for by these fluctuations in the genuine form.
LXVII. The margin which these considerations require appears to be undefinable; but it is obviously large enough to account for our not now being able to find in these poems lines, or the tenor of passages, alleged by Herodotus, or any early writer, to be cited or gathered from them. So far as we can trace the course of Alexandrine criticism, its first work was expurgatorial. This is perfectly probable, for the impulse of creativeness in the rhapsodists would obviously have led to large accumulations of extraneous matter. But then this expurgatorial severity was probably not guided by an uniformly sound discretion either in Zenodotus, the first who is known to have set the pruning-knife to work, or in those successors of his who revised his labours, and often overruled his judgments. Entire legends which had been incorporated - as long, perhaps, as that of Glaucus in the sixth, or that of Nestor's Pylian and Epeian foray in the eleventh book of the

68 Of this we probably have an instance in the traces of alteration found in various parts of books $\vartheta$. and $\nu . ;$ see App. G. 2.

69 Of this a conspicuons example is probably the earlier part of $\omega$.

Iliad - may have been adjudged spurious, whether rightly or wrongly we camnot now even conjecture, and may have disappeared. Where, then, is the difficulty in our finding Herodotus ascribing to Homer, in the Iliad, a statement that Paris, in his abduction of Helen, wandered from his course to Sidon and elsewhere? Such a statement, by interpolation or otherwise, might easily have once found place in the conversation of Hector, Paris, and Helen, in II. Z. 326 foll., or in some other part of the poem. I have assumed, for the sake of argument, what I think is disputable, that Herodotus is not in these words merely dwelling and commenting upon the tenor of three passages which be proceeds to cite verbatim, one from II. Z. $28 y-92$, and the others from Od. $\delta .22 \%-30$, and $35 \mathrm{I}-2$. But indeed the lax simplicity of his style might well allow of this interpretation being put on the way in which he states first his belief of Homer's knowledge of Helen's wanderings, and on the three quotations with which be fortifies it.

I confess some surprise at Mr. Blakesley thinking another statement of Herodotus quite inapplicable to the Iliad or the Odyssey, which refers to Cleisthenes interdicting rhapsodic recitations in Sicyon, "on account of Argives and Argos being the general theme of the Homeric Epic".( 70 ) Surely the "Argive Helen" being the prime cause of the war, Agamemnon's, the generalissmo's, "home" being "in Argos", (ir) and the whole multitude of his glorious followers being repeatedly called Argives, in such lines as those cited below,( $\tau_{2}$ ) would be more than enough to fire the patriotic recollections of a festive mutitude, and awaken the jealous precautions of a tyrant.




71 Il. A. 30.




ном. OD. II.

PART I
But be this as it may, the force of the argument, that because we do not find in our Homer all that early authors cite under his name, therefore our Homer is of later date than those authors, is wholly removed by the reflection that the early critics must probably have found a mass of interpolations which they summarily removed, possibly with the sacrifice of some genuine passages. Those early authors may have erred in citing them as Homeric, or Zenodotus in rejecting them as non-Homeric. But this merely leaves the question where it was.
LXVIII. The unity of the Iliad, it is said, has been much exaggerated. That is probable enough. But the deviations from unity are perfectly natural in a poem composed and carried as a whole solely in the mind of the author. Why should not an author reciting his own poem so far reconstruct and modify it under the present glow of imagination, as to make each portion severally recited out of keeping with some other or with several other portions? In the Catalogue, B. 625 foll., for instance, we find Dulichium assigned to Meges, but Odysseus claims it in Od. ८. 24 as part of his dominion; and later in the Iliad Meges is prince of the Epeians from Elis (II. N. 692, O. 519). Perfect unity under such circumstances would be the most suspicious feature which such a poem as the Iliad entire could exhibit. We should at once be forced to infer from the fact the tampering of critics; and there is little doubt that the amount of unity which we find in the Iliad is largely due to their perverse industry. I therefore fully admit, nay I insist on, the inexactness of the unity which we find in the Homeric epos as a token of its probable genuineness.
LXIX. But then, if such deviations are of no weight materials are blended by the fusing power of genius into a living whole.

Much of the unity apparent in the Iliad was probably not originally there.
form, are lost. A. Köchly says he can detect sixteen of them. Let us assume that he is right, and that, either through the transparency of the language or the perspicacity of the critic, so many outlines of early ballad can be made out. Still, the only conclusion which we can draw from this is that the parts separately are older than the whole. There is no ground furnished by such analysis for the assumption that the incorporation was subsequent to any given epoch. Thus this view of A. Köchly's may be held quite consistently with my present position, and I therefore pass it by. But whatever name we give the process which yields the epos or the drama from such crude materials, that process is the work of genius. It is the vitalizing and organizing effort, which subordinates details to a general idea, breathes the life of the whole into all the parts, and makes them "members one of another", by virtue of that epic symmetry, or dramatic unity, which lives in and through them all. No mere piecing and patching together will effect this. There may be piecing and patching even in a work of genius; but the work is done in spite of this, and not by means of it. Shakspeare tacked two old stories together to make his Lear; but Lear is "every inch a king", not by virtue of the successive touches of Harding and Higgins, or even of Sidney and Spenser, nor of all of them together, for "two hundred couple of white rabbits can never make a white horse", but by the prorogative of the one genius who cast him in its native mould. For the completion of an epic poem from such materials we need not a mere concretion of particula undique desecte, but a fusion. One would think that some pupils of the school of Lachmann supposed that an epic is essentially a thing of paste and scissors; or, at any rate, that the unity of character in an Odysseus or an Achilles was something like that in a child's doll, where one artist fashions the waxen bust, another the sawdust carcass, a third the flaxen wig, and so on. No amount of mere piecing or "cooking" will give us an Odysseus or an Achilles, save in the sense in which
part i Shakspeare pieced his Lear ont of the "Leir" of early legend tacked on to the "Paphlagonian Unkind King", or "cooked" Othello out of "Un Capitano Moro". Presuming, then, still to call by the name of "Homer" the genius who did for Achilles and Odysseus that for When did it which Shakspeare did for Othello and Lear, the quesoriginate? tion is, when could he have lived? It is alleged that he lived as late, or nearly so, as the age of Herodotus. That is the main position which I about to controvert.

Geography is a sign Its rudimentary character in the Iliad traced in detail ;
LXX. The geography of the Homeric poems is no bad index to the period at which they took, substantially, their present shape. If it differed from the geography of the older ballads, out of which these poems took their rise, it is sure to have differed from it on the side of modernism. The poet would naturally seek interest for his new creation by casting it in a framework of localities known to his hearers, and under names familiar to them. The plan of the Odyssey, in particular, involving a mental chart of the world, as known or imagined, required the poet to produce whatever was currently believed concerning coasts and remote regions accessible by sea. If we find that those geographical resources in the poem are extremely scanty, we may presume that this was owing to the narrow limits of his knowledge. Our Iliad (assuming the catalogue of $\boldsymbol{B}$. as an integral part of it), shows an inland knowledge of Greece from the Peneus' mouth to the southern extremity of the Peloponnese, and westward as far as the Ætolians and Ionian islands. It embraces mention of the coasts and several islands of the Ægean, with Crete and Cyprus; but in Asia it touches the coastline merely, save that Mount Tmolus is mentioned; and that in the angle of the Propontis and Ægean, the names of peoples and cities are rather thickly clustered. The furthest eastern point, on the northern shore of Asia Minor, is the land of the Halybes, probably Aschylus' Chalybes, near the Halys mouth. On the southern shore of the same we have the Lycians on the Xanthus, marking the furthest eastward point. To the north-west of Greece the

Pæonians are the furthest people known to the poet;

PART I and he gives in the north the names merely of several tribes, Hippomolgi, etc., as borrowed from their habits, all apparently belonging to the race afterwards called "Scythian".
LXXI. In the Odyssey, our view is extended. But the furthest westward sites which can be said to have a trace of reality about them, are some which indicate Sicily; as Sicania, and perhaps, Thrinakiê, although, I think, transposed eastward; while Scheriê undoubtedly indicates Corfû. Now is this utter dearth of all facts of western geography compatible with the fifth century before Christ or even with the sixth? I shall presently show that Æischylus and Pindar, poets of the carly fifth century, have a wealth of information on these points where our Homer is barren. Eastward Homer knows Sidon and the Solymi mountains, which, as the name of a people, appears in the Iliad in one legend, that of Bellerophon. The Arabs are supposed intended by the Erembi; and in some unknown further regions are the Eastern Ethiopians, who followed to Troy "the brilliant son of Eös". Southward we have Libya and Egypt, a single city of which, Thebes, is mentioned in the Iliad as wealty and warlike. There is no trace in either poem of a knowledge of the northern shore of the Propontis, or of the western shore of the Euxine; unless by the "Hellespont including the Thracians", we may suppose one of these coasts indicated. The furthest river eastward in either poem is the Parthenius, the mouth of which lies on the Euxine coast, about midway between the Bosphorus and Sinopê; the furthest westward is the Acheloüs; the furthest north, the Axius and Strymon; the furthest south, the "Egyptus", which, of course, represents the Nile. I think, with Mr. Gladstone ("Homeric Stud.", III. 283), that the poct probably believed in a northern seapassage from near Corfît to the Euxine. (73) Such names

73 This is confirmed by Scylax making the Danube pour a double stream, eastward to the Pontus and westward to Adria. (Historia Gracce Fragmenta, Klausen, p. 57-58.)
parti as Lastrygonia, Eara, Ogygiê, the Pygmies, and the Cimmerians, I have not taken into the account; but it is possible that by the last some north-eastern site beyond the Hellespont may have been intended by the poet; and, similarly, the Lotophagi may represent some point on the African coast, west of the Cyrenaïca. But we have no lhint at the existence of Cyrenê, the legends connected with which fill so many glowing pages of Pindar. Thessaly nowhere appears as the name of a region, but we have once Thessalus as a proper name. The names of Peloponnesus, Europe, and Asia are also unknown to the poet. The epithet "Asian", with the narrowest local application, occurs, however, once; and Asius is also a proper name. And the names Hellas, Hellenes, are similarly restricted to a small district of Thessaly; including perhaps (if the Eleion mentioned Il. $K .3^{67}$ be the same as that of Il. B. 500) a portion

Komarkable insignificance of Dorians and Ionians. of Bœotia. The Dorians only occur as one amongst the mixed peoples of Crete.(74) The Ionians occur once, not as Asiatic Greeks, but in connexion with the Bœotians; but the Ionian towns Miletus and Mycalê are named. This omission of the Dorian name among the confederate Greek host is, to me, inexplicable, on the theory of a late Homer. If the Dorians had long established their conquests over the fairest portions of Peloponnesus, it seems nearly certain that in a poem so devoted to Greek warlike fame as the Iliad, some allusion to them must have escaped a poet who so freely follows the bent of nature as Homer does. This is even more remarkable, as we have in the Abantes (75) a remarkable anticipation of the historical portrait of the fighting Dorians, with their long hair and solid array of spears, as drawn by Herodotus, on the eve of Thermopylæ. Moreover, the Dorians were, if any among the Greeks, men of tactics and military method,
i4 Also Doris is a sea-nymph or nereid, Il. $\Sigma .45$, and $\Delta \omega \varrho \iota o v$ appears in the Catalogue, B. 594, as a town in the domain of Nestor.

$\alpha i \chi \mu \eta \tau \alpha i, \mu \varepsilon \mu \alpha \omega ั \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ○○ $\varrho ห \tau \tilde{\eta} \sigma \iota \nu \mu \varepsilon \lambda i \eta \sigma \iota \nu$

doubtless from the earliest time. But in the Iliad parti (B. $552-5$ ), the prime tacticians are the Athenian Menestheus and the Pylian Nestor. If we found a markedly favourable prominence given to the warlike glories of the Ionian name, we might perhaps explain this on the score of national or tribal jealousy. But the Ionians barely appear once (Il. N. 685), as one amongst a string of names. Their epithet $\varepsilon \lambda x \varepsilon \chi i \tau \omega v \varepsilon \varsigma$, is distinctive, but hardly in a warlike sense, culogistic. (76)
LXXII. It should be mentioned that the Phrygians and Cilicians of the Iliad are not the people of the Phrygia and Cilicia of the historic ages, but some near neighbours of the Troad, as shown by the local names.

The name "Pityeia" in the Catalogue furnishes a test which points to earlier than 600 The Arimi, or, as the scholiasts read it, "Arima", B.c. from the mention of them in connexion with Typhoëus,(77) must probably be looked for in some volcanic region, probably the south-western one of Asia Minor, which has undergone many commotions, probably from the most ancient, certainly down to very recent times. I have assumed the Catalogue, the legend of Bellerophon, and some other disputed passages to be integral parts of the prem. Those who view them as later additions will have to content themselves with a Homeric geography at once narrower and shallower, and also the preexisting portions of the poem will be thrown back to a very considerably greater antiquity. As regards the Catalogue itself, there is in it an evident aim at completing the local picture of each tribe or people with some natural feature and group of chief cities; lout, as we follow the northern and southern coast-lines of Asia Minor, these fade off into very faint touches, and it is presumable that the poet told no more be-

76 See Juv. Mundi p. 80-1, where it is shown that the circumstances under which the Ionians are brought upon the scene, are (N. 635 foll.) not such as would attend any of the more distinguished contingents of the Greek
 'Av $\eta v \alpha i \omega v, i$, e. probably under Menestheus, 0.337 , cf. B. 552 , is slain by Eneas in O .337 - a mark of disesteem.


PART I cause he had no more to tell. The name of one city named in the Catalogue, in these regions, may be worth remark. Pityeia was the birthplace of Charon, one of the earliest historiographers, a fragment of whose work, preserved by Plutarch, $(78)$ connects the change of the names from Pitycia to Lampsacus or Lampsacum, with the settlement of some Ionians from Phocœa and with their preservation from massacre by the jealous natives through the tenderness of Lampsacê, daughter of a local chief. Now, Herodotus tells us that Croesus threatened the Lampsacenes to "rub out Lampsacus like a pine tree", (i9) and that the saying puzzled the people, who could not make out his meaning, till a senior solved the riddle by some supposed characteristic of the pine. Now, this seems, to show that in Herodotus' time the name of Pityeia was wholly lost. If he had known it, he could hardly have failed to allude to it, as bearing at any rate on one side of the dark saying of Crœsus ( $\pi \iota \tau \dot{v}$, Пıгv́عıん). But the Phocran colonization of Massilia took place in or about 600 B. C.; and after the reduction of their city by Harpagus, we find that, even by the time of the Ionian war, they had not recovered their power, since three ships then were all their quota. We can hardly err then in fixing their colony at Lampsacus earlier, viz., in the seventh century B. C. The change of name connected with this colonization was in Ionian interests; and it would certainly have been named Lampsacus by an Ionian poet of the time of Herodotus, and, perhaps, even of the time of Crœsus. The original name of a place or people is often retained by foreigners when changed by the local residents. Thus we keep Germani, the French keep Alemanni, names known to Cæsar and Tacitus, for the nation which has for some centuries called itself Deutschen.(80) Thus

78 Creuzer, Histor. Grcec. Fragm., p. 108.

80 The name Deutsch connected with Tuiseo, their deity, may be as old as Germani or Alemanni; but I speak of its extension to a Pan-Germanic comprehensiveness.

Crœsus may have known Pityeia familiarly under its ancient name; its then inhabitants solely under its name of Lampsacus. But at any rate this Catalogue, which names Pityeia, could not be the work of an Ionian poet composing in the fifth century B. C., probably not even in the sixth. An Ienian poet, of or nearly of the age of Herodotus, would have called it as he calls it, Lampsacus, not Pityeia. But again, the bulk of the poem is supposed older than the Catalogue.
LXXIII. I have hinted how very slender a clue of connexion with positive sites the geography of the Odyssean wanderings has in books $\tau$. - $\mu$. of the Odyssey. Yet the poet seems reluctantly to quit his hold on reality; and we may assume that any known sites in Italy, Sicily, or Africa would have been readily turned to account by him. And in fact we know from the beginning of the sixth book of Thucydides the historical sequence of Greek colonies in Sicily. The great majority of these were settled from $800-600$ B. C., and none of them probably later than 560 B . C., and yet we are asked to believe that a poet a century later or more could find nothing but phantom geography in this direction to give to an audience who had brethren and kinsfolk everywhere from Cumæ to Lilybœum. Is there any credulity to equal that of such scepticism? Limitation of knowledge, however, implying a total absence of familiarity with foreign scenes and, indeed, persons - save occasionally a Phœenician shipmaster - leads, further, to the incapacity which Homer everywhere shows for the foreigner's point of view. Thus his associations are always Greek or Asiatic Greek. The narrated battles with the Ciconians and Egyptians (Od. ८. 40 foll., $\xi .262$ foll.) illustrate this. The second is perhaps a reproduction of the former, but less graphic and more dealing in generalities, in proportion as the scene is more remote. The Egyptian king "reverences the wrath of Zeus the guardian of the stranger", even as Odysseus himself might have done. Now compare this with the scenc in the Eschylean Supplices, where the chorus are instructed in their be-
'AR'T
haviour as strangers, and in the names of the Greek deities (r76-227); or where their own foreign appearance and that of their ship is especially noticed (279 foll., 716 foll.). Here we have an evident recognition of a difference which Homer nowhere shows. The foreigner's stand-point enters into the dramatist's view, and he does his best to interpret it to his audience. llomer, indeed, recognises the Carians as $\beta \boldsymbol{\beta} \rho \beta \kappa \rho$ ó $\varphi \omega-$ $\nu 0 \iota$ (II. B. 867), and the natives of T'emesê as $\alpha \lambda \lambda_{0-}$
 scription of the Trojan allies the line B. 804,
«ँ $\lambda \lambda \eta \delta^{\prime}$ «" $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \gamma \lambda \omega ั \sigma \sigma \alpha ~ \pi о \lambda v \sigma \pi \varepsilon \varrho \varepsilon ́ \omega \nu ~ \alpha ้ \nu \vartheta \varrho \omega ่ \pi \omega \nu . ~$ but in all actual contact with foreigners the case is as above stated. I hold this to have been impossible in Asiatic Grecee at a period subsequent to Eschylus. It shows the human mind in the infancy of society; when it has never been from home, and refers everything to the standard of the nursery consciousness.

The omission of Thebes in the Catalogue points to a time when Thebes was "Cadmeian", and blotted out temporarily from Bœotia.
LXXIV. Another remarkable fact in the Catalogue (81) is the omission of Thebes from amongst the Bœotian
 there. Capancus' son, the Argive leader next to Diomedes, speaks as one of the Epigoni who had destroyed or at least captured it,


The Bootians appear marshalled in the Catalogue (83) under five leaders who have apparently equal authority. The number of towns mentioned is twenty-nine. Thebes the leading town of all in the historical period would have made thirty. Now this is the more remarkable, since Thebes is repeatedly mentioned in the Iliad and Odyssey, but always in connexion with the previous age, and its people are in those narratives always called "Cadmeians". They are spoken of disparagingly, as easily worsted by Achæans, and in such a way as barbarians might be, exhibiting a marked inferiority in prowess to their conquerors. Now, Herodotus, in his

$$
81 \text { B. } 494 \text { foll. } 505 . \quad 82 \text { A. } 405-6 . \quad 83 \text { B. } 494-5 .
$$

narrative of the Persian war, distinctly reckons the Thebans as being "Eגdqves. Their crime was that they, being Greeks, deserted the cause of the common country to side with the barbarous invader. Some might say that this is the reason for their being omitted in the Catalogue, as unworthy to share the patriotic glories of the oldest war between Greece and Asia. But we ought in that case to have found not Thebes only, but all the Bœotian towns which followed her leadership omitted similarly; and further, surely in that case we must have found some greater prominence given to the Ionian race and Athens in particular. Indeed all the relative distinctions recognized as belonging to the Greek races must have been altered to harmonize them with so late a historic stand-point. Assume the Iliad an early poem, belonging to a period when at any rate the memory of Thebes having been temporarily blotted out of Bœotia was recent, and while the recollection of her previous greatness was still prevalent, and the whole falls naturally into its place. The directness of the poet's manner, always recalling with the mention of Thebes the foreign dynasty and outlandish ruling element which he names "Cadmeian", and assuming it to be perfectly familiar to his audience, is another circumstance no less significant, especially as the legend of (Edipus is still in Homer completely in the bud, and stops directly short of some of the accessories which subsequently gave it so deep a tragic pathos. There is no one fact which speaks so incontestably in favour of the antiquity of the poem and of a historic basis for its main fact as this which relates to the omission of Thebes in the Catalogue.
LXXV. But is it conceivable that a writer of the time and country of Herodotus would have limited his this narrow geopoetical geography within our Homeric dimensions? It This bespeaks an early date. would be unfair, indeed, to test the question by the knowledge possessed by Herodotus himself, who was in geography doubtless far beyond his contemporaries. But in order to approach the question fairly, let us take the geographical limits of successive poets, be-

PARTI
$\qquad$
ginning with Hesiod, assuming the "Theogony" to be his. Such a poem gives little scope for geographical notices as compared with the Odyssey. Here, however, we have the western tour of Herakles and Erytheia, the spot where he slew Geryon, twice mentioned ( $290,9^{8} 3$ ), which, according to Strabo, III. 148 , was the carly name of (iades or Gadcira, coupled with his passage of the ocean stream on the way back to Greece; we have the name of Lateinus as one of the sons of Circê, who were kings among the Tyrseni, implying some legendary knowledge of the Italian western coast (LO13, ror 6 ); we have the names Europê and Asia (357-9) among a list of river nymphs; and we have a list of rivers in which the Nile appears under its historical name, the Ister (Danube) northward; the Phasis, Hermus, and Granicus eastward; the Eridanus (Po or Rhone?) westward. The mere number of rivers is a considerable addition, especially as these mentioned are not the landmark of some petty tribe, but important streams; while the limits are extended over a geographical area of about twice the magnitude. A Scholiast on Apollon, Rhod. IV. 259, seems to imply that Hesiod and Pindar knew of the Isthmus of Suez. (84)
the still ampler LXXVI. Let us next glance at the geography of
Fischylean, Fischylean, Eschylus. Here, indeed, the regions and cities of the Persian empire stand in a peculiar relation of personal interest to the poet, owing to his own share in the great struggle which the Perse commemorates. Yet his drama must have gone far to popularize the knowledge of them, and they may fairly be regarded as so much added to the domain of fact viewed as a possible substratum of fiction for later poets. Thus, then, we have the towns Susa and Ecbatana, Lydia and Sardis, (85) Syria and Babylon, Bactria and the Mardi, carrying us far up into the Asiatic mainland. I will not clog

[^2]85 The more noteworthy, since Mount Tmolus does occur in the Il. B. 866.
the page with references which any index to the poet
PART I will easily supply, especially as the names are easily canght in glancing over the pages of Mr. Paley's own edition.

To pass to the Prometheus and the Septem, we have the Scythians and the Chalybes, known as the land of iron; the Arabs, not as a conjectural interpretation of हैosußol, but by name; the Caucasus; the Palus Mæotis, in definite conjunction with the Cimmerians; and northward and eastward of the same northerly region, Salmydessus, Themiscyra, and Thermodon. We find in the Perse the names of Thessaly and Macedonia, Dorians and Ionians, and an Ionian Sea. We find a "Tyrian ship", whereas in Homer we have only Sidonian and Phœnician, Tyre being wholly unknown. Yet Tyre was famous in Solomon's time. We find Hellas as the distinctive name of Greece, in the same express contrast with the "barbarians" which it occupies in history. Passing westward, we find Etna and its eruption an object of familiar description; and southward we find the poet knew that the Nile had a delta, had at least one cataract, had a mouth at Canobus, was the means of irrigation to a fertile region, and that the $\beta \dot{v} \beta \lambda_{\text {os }}$, or papyrus, was one of its products, $\begin{gathered}\text { its fulness of de- } \\ \text { tailabont Egypt, }\end{gathered}$ and contributed to the diet of the natives. He knew, moreover, of an "Ethiopian river" in a region of blackamoors, and was familiar with the contrast between the colour of Egyptian sailors and the white turbans, etc., which they wore. These later particulars are from the Supplices, whence we also obtain Libya, not as a vague glimpse on a horizon of mist, but as the name of a widely-extended tract, having coloured natives, and a definite local relation with Egypt, in which last country also the "fen-landers" ( $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota \circ \beta \alpha \alpha_{-}$ $\tau \alpha_{S}\left({ }^{(86)}\right)$ ), have a similar renown as a "formidable oarsmen", to that which Thucydides (I. 110) concedes to them. The Supplices farther furnishes the names of Memphis and of the Indians. All the three plays men-
tion Europe or $\Lambda$ sia, or both, as well known and often as contrasted regions; and a fragment of the "Unbinding of Prometheus", cited by Arrian (177, Dindorf), mentions the Phasis as the boundary line between the two. Other fragments (290) mention the Nile as having seven streams, as "rolling soil", i. e., having a turbid stream, as connected with the melting of心thiopian mountain snow, and as producing rich harvests from its flood, and (428) specify the Tyrrhenians as a race of pharmaceutic skill - possibly a reference to the poisons with which the Sardinian and Pelignian regions are credited by the Augustan poets.
and especially as regards the names of local seas,
LXXVII. But above all I would contrast Eschylus with "our Homer" in respect to the detailed knowledge of the sea which each possessed. Homer's love for the sea is hearty and boundless; he lavishes a wealth of epithets on it, which is greatly in excess of those bestowed on any other physical object whatever. No attribute of it escapes him. But it is to him always a vast, unmapped, indefinite expanse. Amidst his luxury of descriptive terms we have no single name to stamp with geographical preciseness this or that portion of the watery surface, nor any apparent consciousness of the detail of its configuration, or of parts and members as making up a whole. In his day there most certainly was neither Ionian, nor Ægean, nor Euxine. He only speaks of the "Hellespont", which, as we see from its epithet of "broad", he manifestly rather regarded as a river, and the knowledge of which marks the native of north-western Asia Minor. Can anything more clearly indicate the absolute infancy of geography than this? Eschylus on the other hands gives us the Ægean, the Bosphorus, the Ionian, the Mœotic, and the sea which is
 epithets which with sufficient distinctness designate the Euxine. It is significant also that, although Homer knows not distinctively even the Egean, yet Agre was with him the locality of the sea-god's palace. ( $8_{7}$ )

We see in this fact the germ of an influence whence the
part i "Жgean", as a distinctive name, was afterwards developed. This seems clearly to mark an earlier as contrasted with a later stage, the former mythical, the second, if I may be allowed the word, nomenclative.
LXXVIII. Topography, rather than geography, is or the rich topothe characteristic to be looked for in lyric poetry, graphical knowowing to the limitation of its immediate scope. We general radins glean, accordingly, from Pindar chiefly minute local of Pindar, traits with regard to various parts of Sicily and Greece. We find, however, Cyrenê, Cumæ, and the river Amenas near Ætna, by way of an extension of our survey, and Gadeira or Gades is by him first, I believe, so named. In connection with Cyrenê we have the lake Tritonis on the Libyan coast, and a mention of Zeus Ammon. The Tyrrhenians are again mentioned; and the Hyperboreans enlarge in one direction mythical, and perhaps imply a further advance northward in real geography. The name Hellas (Pyth. I. 146), also appears in one place to mean Magna Grecia, or Southern Italy. The testimony, however, which his odes afford to the progress of Greek colonization westward makes it inconceivable to me that a subsequent poet, even from Greek Asia, taxing the known world for scenes of maritime adventure, should have left the Odyssean wanderings without a single definite locality west of Corfû.

Taking, then, Pindar and Eschylus together, the area eastward and westward is considerably enlarged, but this counts for little in comparison with the far greater insight into details which these poets between them had as regards Egypt and northern Africa, Sicily and Italy, and a large portion of the Perso-Median territory in Asia. The geographical knowledge of Homer, as compared with that of Eschylus and Pindar, is like that possessed by the natives of western Europe concerning western Asia and the Levant before the Crusades, as compared with that possessed by the same after. Unless we are prepared to abandon all the larger landmarks of internal evidence in favour of far

PART 1 less trustworthy criteria, such considerations as the above must be allowed a presumptive weight which it is difficult to ver-rate, and not easy to counterbalance. These are rather the lines on which the whole fabric and context of the poems are moulded than mere features, however broadly marked, which pervade its surface. The geography of Homer, in the midst of the earth as known to Æschylus, is hardly more than the British islands in the British empire. It is a geography that lies in a nutshell. To ascribe to the Homeric poems a date posterior to Eschylus and Pindar seems to me to be like trying to roll all human knowledge backwards, and making the river re-ascend towards its source.

But geography was the very province of knowledge in which an Ionian Greek would probably have been strongest.
LXXIX. I have only pursued, so far, the widening expanse of poetical geography; but we surely ought not to omit that Cyrene was founded in the $37^{\text {th }} \mathrm{Ol}$. or about ${ }_{625} \mathrm{~B}$. C. and that a large measure of familiarity with the before rarely visited coast of N. Africa must have dated from that period. The exceedingly scanty knowledge of that coast which we have traced as manifested in the Homeric poems seems to show a strong probability, that they preceded this settlement. To descend lower, it is well known that Hecatæus, an Asiatic Greek of Miletus in 520 B. C., or about sixty years before Herodotus, gave that impulse to travel and geographical knowledge which the latter continued, and published at least one considerable work expressly on geography. Indeed, Asiatic Greece, and Ionia in particular, in the fifth and sixth centuries B. C. quite takes the lead in these studies, of which we have a further token in the map which Aristagoras took to Sparta before the Ionian war. This makes it morally impossible that an Asiatic Ionian poet of the Herodotean period could have dwarfed his geographical conceptions within the Homeric limits. Geography would have been precisely the point in which he would na-
 of Hecatæus included notices of a tract of Europe and Asia extending from Spain in the west, to the Oriental
provinces of the Persian empire in the east, and of
part i some part of the coast of Libya. He would therefore have supplied to the composer of the Homeric poems exactly that knowledge which he most wanted, and for want of which he apparently resorted to the precarious and distorted information furnished by Phœnician navigators. To suppose that this poet studiously avoided such later knowledge, in order to give his poems a fictitious antiquity, is inconsistent with their entire spirit and tenor. Homer, if he is anything, is simple and straightforward, and shows rather an anxiety to find room for all he knew. He shrinks from no inconsistencies in order to incorporate a legend. The tables in Spruner's Atlas "Orbis ad mentem Homeri, ad mentem Hecatæi, ad mentem Herodoti", as clearly show successive strata of knowledge, as the fossils in geological formations attest so many successive deposits on the earth's crust. But the Homeric poems are further characterized by pre-historic signs, on some of which I purpose to touch further on, as plainly as those of Virgil or Apollonius Rhodius are characterized by the opposite. They seem to me to belong unmistakably to a period earlier than the colonization of Sicily, earlier than the Dorian-Heraclid invasion of Peloponnesus, earlier than the time at which Greek settlements had been developed with any degree of fulness on the coast-line between the Troad and Cnidus.
LXXX. These remarks lead me on to consider the department of myth as an element in Homeric epos,

Myth considered as an element of epos. and forming, as it were, the threads of its strand. I am not now going back to the question of its origin to the period in which, as we are told, it was the concrete form of cosmical facts as interpreted by human consciousness. A myth in my sense is merely a tale of the deeds of some real or supposed extraordinary person, which acquires acceptance and currency, and, maintaining that hold on successive generations, becomes traditional. I need not, I think, go through an inductive process to prove that such myths tend to acquire enlarged proportions in successive ages, and HOM. OD. IL.

PART I become in their later period more fully developed. The later form of the myth is as a general rule the fuller form, certainly among a people so rich in imagination as the Greeks. The hero's name is carved on the bark of am ever-growing tree, and expands with its growth, crescent sylva, crescetis amores.
Mere diversity LXXXI. To the ever-expanding world of Greek art of legend iuvolves no necessary criterion of date :

- an instauce of an argument retorted. and intellect the legends were interesting for their own sakes, irrespective of the form in which they were conveyed. The greatest genius who might have lived to re-mould them afterwards had heard them first as an infant, and they formed a common treasury upon which all poets might draw. If we suppose that our Iliad and Odyssey originated about $800-900$ B. C., any subsequent poet was free to try his hand at the legends, not only those which they left untouched, or touched but lightly, but on those also which form their very staple. There may therefore have been later epics covering the same legendary ground as the Iliad and Odyssey. But if so, these later epics perished. The legends might have been "cooked" over and over again, but the cookery came at last to nought. So it did eventually in the case of the "Cyclics". They had no vitality as compared with the Iliad and Odyssey. They might have the longevity of the "crows" or even of the "nymphs", but "our Homer" had the immortal youth of the Olympians themselves. I have already dealt with the argument of Mr. Paley, that, because the tragic poets and Pindar represented certain Iliadic legends differently from the form in which our present Iliad gives them, therefore our present Iliad is posterior to the date of those poets. I will only add the question; if those poets were earlier why did not the author of our Iliad follow them? Their popularity is unquestionable: they and others of their school led the mind of Greece as no poets ever had before, if our Homer be late. Why did the supposed later bard disregard these conspicuous examples of excellence and success? Mr. Yaley says, if our Homer was earlier, why did not the tragedians and Pindar follow him? I say, if the
tragegians and Pindar were earlier, why did not our Homer follow them? Thus the argument whatever its worth, may be completely turned round.
LXXXII. Some arguments which have been advanced regarding legends as found in our Iliad and Odyssey, when compared with their form in other poets, are indeed curious; and may challenge a passing remark for their singular incapacity of proving their conclusion. For instance, Mr. L'Estrange of Belfast, to whom I am indebted for several courteous communications, writes in his Essay, "On the date of our Iliad and Odyssey", p. 24, that Odysseus' detention of seven years in Calypsô's island was "a device unknown to Euripides", and contrived by a compiler to fill up the ten years between the hero's leaving Troy and his reaching Ithaca. He cites in support of this view the abridgement of the Odyssean wanderings which we find in the prophecy of Cassandra in the Troudes 426-43, in which no mention of Calypsô occurs. Of course if nothing in a poetic abridgement may be skipped, however little it may be suited to a poet's purpose, without proving the poct's ignorance, the conclusion follows. But who would ever think of thus fettering the instincts of a poet when handling legend? The objector fails in this objection to notice that nothing save the more dismal horrors of the hero's career are included by Euripides, and not all even of them. For instance the Lestrygonians are skipped, Scylla is not mentioned, his disappointment on all but reaching his home by the aid of Eolus is not mentioned, when he was almost ready to leap overboard in despair. Now, there is nothing specially dismal in the detention endured by Odysseus at Calypsô's hands - nothing which would suit the raving utterances of Cassandra's dismal prophecy, or form a specially tragic point for a playwright. Further, the period of ten years after the fall of Troy is mentioned (43.3); and as the period coincides, surely the filling up may be conceded. Further still, Euripides we may feel sure from Cyclops 264 knew of Calypsô in comexion with

Odysseus' wanderings, as there we find $\mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \dot{\nu} \nu K \alpha-$ $\lambda v \psi \omega$ т $\alpha$ s $\tau \varepsilon$ N by Seilenus in Odysseus' presence. Again, p. 22, as regards Ajax, Sophocles makes Teucer speak as if Ajax had alone and completely repelled the Trojan attempt to fire the ships. The objector continues, "this is confirmed by Ovid, Met. XIII. 7 and 8, where "Ajax is made to take the credit of that exploit to "himself". But he seems unable to see that this just as much or just as little proves that Ovid was earlier than "the compiler of our Iliad" as that Sophocles was. Ovid had certainly both legends (if the objector pleases so to call them), before him, and chose the non-Homeric or Cyclic. But then, we may reasonably retort, so had Sophocles. As to the date of either legend these facts prove just nothing.

Some others of his arguments, especially on the ethical character of Homer, noticed.
LXXXIII. But having thus attempted to show "the compiler" to be later than Sophocles, and later than Euripides, the objector goes on, with admirable fortitude and consistency, to show him to be later than Alexander the Great, whose treatment of Battis "shews that Alexander "followed the edition of Homer used by Sophocles, not "our Iliad". But of course "our Homer" is as clearly shown to be more modern than Alexander by virtue of this reasoning as it is than Sophocles. In the same note the objector adds, "The contest for the armour proves "the story in our Iliad about Achilles having two suits of "divine armour to be a modern fabrication". But I should like to know where in the Iliad we have any more than one "suit of divine armour", viz. that of $\Sigma$., ascribed to Achilles? He continues, "if such had been "the Homeric story, there need not have been any "jealousy between Ajax and Odysseus - each would "have been given a suit". Surely this remark shows the objector has overlooked $\lambda$. 543-556, where the quarrel, and the armour as its cause and the death of Ajax as its consequence are all noticed. Still more curiously the same writer argues that the ethics of the Iliad in general, and the character of Achilles in particular, shew the influence of the superior morals of

Socrates and his school: one instance alleged may suf-
PART 1 fice. - The treatment of the corpse of Hector. This writer argues that the dragging the corpse only was an alteration in favour of humanity in consequence of Socratic teaching, and that the older version is the barbarous one which we read in Sophoc. Aj. 1028 foll.

Now a poet, aiming at popularity, would certainly not have sacrificed it to a moral theory; and, if we found him humanizing earlier barbarous sentiments, we should feel sure that the ethical tone of his hearers had already risen. But I maintain that the contrary is the fact, that, as we progress from Herodotus to Thucydides, the moral tone is lowered: the massacre of Melos, the character and popularity of Alcibiades, and the Sicilian expedition in many of its details, surely prove this: as we pass on to Xenophon the tone of public men falls still more markedly, until in Alcxander's age it drops with a sudden collapse under the corrupting influence of conquest and plunder. The writer himself furnishes a curious comment on his own argument. Homer is pure from brutality because he trails the corpse only, not the living man. Yet Alexander who was a pupil of Aristotle, who was a disciple of socrates, actually in his reproduction of the Homeric type deliberately adopts the change from the humane back to the brutal, and trails the living Battis at his chariot wheels, driving himself "amid the triumphant jeers and shouts of the army". This is what the age had become with the teaching of Socrates to guide it, and yet a poet who is comparatively humane must be deemed to have partaken of that teaching! The notion of proving modernism by superior humanity is refuted the moment the facts are adduced in illustration of it. Similar is the remarkable purity and therefore antiquity of the Homeric muse in another particular of ethics. Every Greek student knows that blackest spot in Greek morals of the Socratic period associated with the word $\pi \kappa \iota \partial \iota x \alpha$. No reader of Xenophon can miss it. A Fragment of Eschylus shows that it was familiar in his day, and that his conception
of the heroic character admitted of it. In our Homer there is not the faintest suggestion of it. "Therefore Homer is modern", say Mr. Paley and Mr. L'Estrange. My riew is - therefore he is ancient. Let unprejudiced students judge between us.

Legends, further, conflict in different coutemporary poets, and in the same poet. The question is, which are the simplest?
LXXXIV. But indeed mere diversity of legend is no test of priority. Legends have their special hold in Incalities and in families. With the celebrity of a locality and an increased resort of strangers to it came a development of its legend. When a house grew famous the feats of its mythic ancestors acquired fuller proportions. Above all, the localization of cultus had this effect. Thus the worship of Pallas, Erectheus, Theseus, Demeter, and Dinnysus at Athens, the worship of the Dioscuri and Artemis at Sparta and in the Dorian colonies, that of Herakles at Olympia and wherever the Dorian-Heraklid conquerors established themselves, formed so many congenial seats of legendary development. Thus conflicting legends often arose in different places, or even in the same place. Amyclæ is in Pindar the place of Agamemnon's murder, which others place in Argos or Mycenæ; see Pind. Pyth. XI. 40. Nor did the poets escape these contrary influences. Thus Pindar, cited by Mr. Paley, says, which reference chiefly to Achilles and Ajax, ${ }^{\alpha} \tau \rho \omega \tau o i ́ ~ \gamma \varepsilon ~ \mu \grave{\alpha} \nu \pi \alpha \tilde{d} \delta \varepsilon S$ ๆย $\varepsilon \omega ๊ \nu$; but yet Achilles is, according to him, slain in battle, and Ajax stabbed by his own hand. (Isthm. III. 3 r, VIII. 80; Nem. VII. 39.) So Sophocles in the "Trachiniæ" makes Hyllus light the pyre for Herakles, but in the "Philoctetes" that hero boasts that this last service was rendered by himself (Trachin. 1249, Philoct. 801-3). Euripides in the Troades, 901 foll., makes Menelaüs denounce Helen as a criminal and threaten her with death. The same hero in the Ardromaché, 628 foll., is represented as overcome by the sight of her charms at their first meeting. Diversity then of itself proves nothing; the only way of testing date of authorship by legend is to take such legends as are found in our Homer, and, comparing them with the same in the tragic poets and Pindar, to estimate,
not their difference merely, but their greater or less simplicity. Which poet gives us the crude form of the legend, or most nearly so? By scrutinizing the poems with this test to aid us we shall unerringly discover where the greatest amount of "cookery" lies. Let us take then some few of the principal legends and examine them.
LXXXV. In the Iliad Pallas Athenê is the daughter of Zeus, only with a total silence as to the mode of her origin. In Hesiod we are told that Zeus himself produced her "from his head". A Scholiast on Apoll. Rhod. IV. izro, has recorded that Stesichorus, circ. $5.50-480 \mathrm{~B}$. C., was the first who asserted that she leapt forth with arms from the head of Zeus. Later on, Hephæstus is made by Pindar to assist, by the singular midwifery of splitting open the head of Zeus with a hatchet; and several vase-paintings (one certainly in the Lamberg collection) represent this curious scene in full. It is also the subject of a group once in the Parthenon, now in the British Museum. Here, then, we have, I conceive, successive deposits of mythus: the simplest the Homeric, and therefore probably the oldest.
LXXXVI. Herodotus states that Dionysus, Herakles, and Pan were the latest additions to the received circle of Greek deities. He places the deification of Pan as in Homer, posterior to the Trojan war, and neither in the Iliad nor in the Odyssey does his name or any trace of him appear. But could this have been so in the case of a poet composing about IIerodotus' own time? In the Iliad we find a passage in which Dionysus is certainly rated as amongst the "heavenly deities". (88) But he appears as one whose earthly recognition was contested and as unable to maintain his rights, in short, as flee-


88 There is a single passage of the Odyssey ( $\lambda .325$ ) in which his "testimony" is brought in to account for the death of Aiadue by Artemis. It is not important and rather makes against the deity and dignity of Dionysus than for it. A probable explanation of this text is given by Mr. Gladstone, Juv. M. p. 318 .

PART I of the rivivere, and of the "mrenad", in a simile (Il. Z. $\left.1,32 \cdots, X, 4^{-70}\right)$, are all in favour of the orgiastic frenzy having been the Homeric sole characteristic of Dionysiac worship; and there seems no doubt it was the primitive one. In another passage he is spoken of as born of Zeus and Semelê, a "delight to mortals", but throughout the poems, strongly as the poet laudibus arguitur vini vinosus, we have no connexion of
but has no connexion with the vine; Dionysiac worship with the culture of the vine or the preparation of its juice. Hesiod, in his extant works, does little more than confirm the Iliad, save by adding of him and Semelê, his mother

he seems by the $\nu \tilde{v} v$ to mark more distinctly the recent acquisition of the rank of deity. But in a fragment (XCIV. Goëttling) he says, "Dionysus gave men their delight and their aversion", specifying under the latter head some of the results of intoxication. In Alcæus, circ. 650 B. C., fragm. 4I Bergk, we find"the son of Zeus and Semelê gave men wine to lull their cares". In Pindar, B. C. 464, we find the Dithyramb connected with his worship, and that worship one in which the ox was the god's symbol, as though he had by this time absorbed some of the imported attributes of the Egyptian Apis, from which country indeed Herodotus (II. 49) appears to rocognize his introduction into Greece through a Phœnician channel. A fragment of the same poet, considerably mutilated, appears to speak of the ivy as yielding his crown.
LXXXVII. Other fragments of the same poet speak of him as the "pure star of the vintage season, protecting the growth of trees", as relaxing the coil of gloomy cares, and as connected with the "fruit and the cups" (Frag. 103, 3; 89, Donaldson), and an entire passage names him as enthroned by Demeter's side, obviously connecting him with her, as wine with bread, to form the staple of human sustenance (Isthm. VI. 3-5). I need not now go into his special festivals at Athens, and that connexion of his worship with the development of Tragedy, to which the very framework
of the drama testifies, nor to the fact that, as he and Herakles were among the last to receive worship, so they were the first to lapse into the comedian's caricature. They were regarded as mere parcenи deities, and their very worshippers never quite lost their sense of familiarity with them. Hence the sort of Olympian "high life below stairs", which we recognise not only in the Birds and Frogs of Aristophanes, but which tinges the Alcestis of Euripides. But whereas we can, as I have shown, make out all the leading attributes of Dionysus from Pindar; in Homer we have a total silence regarding them, although with several excellent opportunities for some mention of them; for instance, in the details of the rare vintage given to Odysseus by Maron (Od. ८. 196 foll.), with which he intoxicates the Cyclops, and which in the play of Euripides on that subject is distinctly connected with the god. Anacreon has an ode addressed to him, of which several stanzas remain, invoking his aid in a love affair of the poet's, as though realising the proverb, "sine Cerere et Baccho friget Venus". At any rate, he is not a god to whom prayer is offered. No one in the Iliad or Odyssey ever prays to him, or pours a libation to him, or names him in connection with that wine-cup to which the poet gives such prominence. Pan on the other hand occurs in Pindar (Parthen. fragm. 72-7, Bergk p. 312-3) and, Servius says, was by him made the son of Apollo and Penelopê. Was this, I would ask likely to be after or before "our Homer"? Sce Bergk under fragm. 77, "longe aliud tradit etc."
LXXXVIII. The legend of Herakles, on the contrary, has strong roots in both the poems. We have Herê's jealousy at his birth, his parents and birth-place named,

Herakles is a mortal man contrasted with the Gods in Homer, his imposed labours, his expeditions to Pylos and Troy, with an adventure there, and shipwreck on return, his wounding two deities, his son Tlepolemus is a leader in the Greek host, with other sons and grandsons contemporary. Pallas befriended him at Troy, but Herê's wrath wrought his death, after which bis armed shade, endowed with consciousness and memory, is seen by

Part i Odysseus in the abode of the dead, and is made to recognize him as though he had seen him on earth
 foll., 氙. 251 foll., 324, O. 639, $\Sigma$. 117, T. 98 foll., $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$. 145 foll., Od. $\lambda$. 60 I foll., $\varphi .25$ foll. If I assumed here for argument's sake that $\lambda .602-4$ are genuine, which I do not allow; see App. G. 3, (25) (26): still, though among the gods, he is not yet of them; but, like Tithonus or Ganymedes, an immortalized man, even as Calypsô proposed to make Odysscus. Indeed what can be plainer than that he was a man and mortal in the poet's conception? In E. 382 foll. Dionê is consoling Aphrodite, wounded by Diomedes, by enumerating deities who had similarly suffered from human
 $\tau \varepsilon \varsigma \mathcal{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \xi \alpha^{\alpha} \nu \delta \varrho \omega ̃ \nu, \quad x, \tau, \lambda$. Her second and third examples are Herê and Aïdes both wounded by Herakles, who is $\alpha v \eta \grave{\eta}$, viòs $\Delta i o g ~ \alpha i \gamma \iota o ́ \chi o \iota o . ~ A g a i n ~ i n ~ \vartheta . ~$ 221 foll. Odys. boasts of being superior in archery to


 $\pi \varepsilon @ i \quad \tau o ́ \xi \omega \nu$. See the note therc. Now, in the times in other poets of Eschylus, Pindar, and Sophocles, he has become
we trace his ascent through the hero to the god - these are later, therefore. not only immortal, nor only the favourite and typical hero of adventurous prowess, but the one whose fame is co-cxtensive with the furthest limits of the known world. He has visited the Hyperboreans in the remote north. The "pillars" which Atlas (of whom more anon) in the "Odyssey" is made to hold, but whose function he now seems to have usurped, are his alone, and these close the western horizon. He has accompanied the Argonauts to the further extremity of the Euxine eastward, whilst he yet holds the very keystone of national Hellenic feeling at Olympia in the great games which he founded, and where none but Greeks might contend. Besides this, we have on numerous vases, probably of Pindar's age, Herakles conquering the Nemean lion, conquering Geryon, conquering the Amazons, sharing the Gigantomachia, es-
corted by Pallas both down to Hades - this, indeed,
PAHT I is in "Homer" - and up to heaven in an apotheosis. Pindar gives at length his infantine struggle with the snakes, and Teiresias' prophecy of his future greatness. In the dramatists we have I)eianira his wife and Hyllus his son, his love for Iolê, his adventure with the horses of Diomedes, his recovery of Alcestis from the dead, his fatal passage with the Centaur, his release of Prometheus, his connexion with Philoctetes, to whom he appears in full-blown deity, his madness and massacre of wife and children, his dying paroxysms on Mount Eta. His contest with Geryon, as we have seen, appears in Hesiod's "Theogony", localized at Gades. Stesichorus composed an entire poem, the "Geryoneïs", on that subject, and another on his encounter with Cycnus, which last adventure is also embodied in the "Shield of Herakles", a poem sometimes ascribed to Hesiod.
LXXXIX. I think we may roughly assume that the Homeric bundle of legends about Herakles is the Achæan portion, having, however, a Theban, that is, rakles, a Cadmeian, or, ultimately, a Phœnician root; while the later one, gathered primarily from Pindar, may be referred to a Dorian source, and later still, these seem blended and lost in the tragic and subsequent poets. There is, also, to be noticed the singular change in the costume of the hero in the earlier and later forms of the legend. In Homer the only weapons ascribed to him are the bow and arrows, with, in the Odyssean notice of his shade, a marvellous belt ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu \omega v$ ) in addition. The Dorian development gave him the lion's skin, slain and spoiled at Nemea by himself, on which Theocritus has an epic Idyll, and the club which, in the Odyssey, Orion wields as a huntsman's weapon. Xanthus, the lyrie poet, older than Stesichorus (Athen. XII. $5^{1} 3$ A), is said to have armed him after the Homeric.fashion, which I interpret, by the aid of the poem known as the "Shield of Herakles", to mean accoutred in the panoply, chariot, etc., of a Homeric hero. As regards the testimony of the vases, I think

Part i I have seen one ( 89 ) which gave him this heroic costume. All the rest, a vast number, from the earliest ages of group paintings, pourtray him in the lion's skin. Pitholeon, of Rhodes - or, according to others, Stesichorus, of Himera, a lyric poet - is said to have been the first who thus accoutred him. Each of these poets belongs to a region of Dorian associations. But of this costume, which becomes his dominant token in subsequent mythopoetry, we have in "Homer" no trace whatever. I think the lion's skin and club, referable not only to a Dorian source, but in particular to the athletic vein which so abounds in Dorian institutions. It arrays Herakles as the athlete, contending not absolutely with the weapons of nature, but with a costume and equipment but one remove from them; and harmonises with his foundership of the Olympic games, of which the legend is so prominent in Pindar. But in and on the ab- Homer, although athletic games occupy nearly a book
sence of any sence of any
Olympic games of the Iliad, and are also prominent in the Odyssey in Homer. (Il. $\Psi$., Od. ท.), and although the former poem is strongly, and the latter slightly, charged with Heraklean legend, there is no suggestion of Herakles having the slightest connexion with such games, nor any allusion whatever to the Olympian, or any other established seats of such contests, as known to the poet. When we consider what a rallying-point for national feeling these games were to the Greeks, it seems unaccountable that a poet, so intensely national as our Homer, should have omitted all notice or trace of them amidst such suggestive opportunities, if they had really been established for nearly three hundred years.
A passage in $\boldsymbol{A}$. XC. In connexion with this a passage in 1.696 - 701 697 foll. discussed in reference to this. deserves special notice, in which Nestor tells how Neleus his sire reserved a large part of the booty captured from the Epeians for himself in recompense for four race-horses and a chariot of his which Augeias the king of those Epeians had seized, "and which had gone to Elis to compete for a prize, for they were going to

89 "Peintures de Vases Antiques", gravées par A. Clener, expliquées par A. L. Millin. Paris, 1808 , fol, No, Lxxv.
race for a tripod". It is noticeable that the Scholl. on the previous v. 67 I say, "the horses had gone to the Olympic games ( $\alpha \hat{\omega} \tilde{\nu} \alpha$ ) or else some funeral games" (द̇лıг $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varphi i \omega v$ ), whereas at v. 700 the same authorities state, "the poet knew not of the Olympian games ( $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ 'Oגv'uлı人), but means that the horses came thither to contend for a material stake" ( $\pi \varepsilon \varrho i \quad$ гıvos $\chi \varrho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota x о \tilde{v}$ $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \boldsymbol{\sim} \nu \mathrm{s})$. They imply that, by a tripod being stated as the prize, whereas a leaf garland was all that the victor won at Olympia, the poet clearly shows that he knew not of the Olympic contest, or he must have known this characteristic condition. Other Scholl. go on to state how Herakles, after ravaging Elis and expelling for breach of agreement Augeias, whose жо́л@оs he had cleansed, took measures for recruiting the population thinned by the war, and then, on the region being thus replenished, instituted the Olympic games, and competed in person.

Now I think it is certain that had Homer known of the legend of Herakles founding the games, of which, if later than Pindar, he could not have been ignorant, he would not here have omitted all mention of it. The inconsistency of the Scholl. above cited shows the carelessness with which the compilations current under that name were thrown together. But the remark on the earlier line 671 seems in itself to contain an inconsistency in the alternative which it puts forward. By saying "they had gone to the Olympic ${ }^{\alpha} \gamma \omega \tilde{\nu} \alpha$ ", it evidently refers by anticipation to the words $\varepsilon \lambda \vartheta \vartheta \begin{gathered}\text { óvés }\end{gathered}$ $\mu \varepsilon \tau$ ' $火 \varepsilon \vartheta \lambda \alpha$ in 700 ; and by saying, "or else some funeral games", it refers as clearly to the sequel of $700, \pi \varepsilon @ i$
 an alternative what is manifestly all one proceeding, it confuses the matter which it purports to explain. The poet by adding the words last cited, clearly shows that it was an incidental and not an established contest to which Neleus sent his team. And indeed, assuming for the moment that he could possibly have meant to speak of the Olympic games as then established and Neleus as entering for them, it would be unmeaning to
add that it was some particular prize which accounted ( $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho$ ) for Neleus thus competing. The subsequent view of the Scholl. is therefore undoubtedly to be taken as the correct one: - viz, that the mention of the tripod puts all notion of the Olympic games out of the question. We have here then the remarkable fact that the poet, in the midst of associations which suggest the Olympic games, and to a superficial critic, such as the author of the mistaken remark of the Scholl., seemed to imply them as known to his hearers, yet not only stops short of any mention of them, but subjoins a statement which precisely excludes any notion of them as being the occasion of the contest to which he refers.

Opportunity for naming the games in mentioning Elis.
XCI. I may add that the poet's total silence in the passage of the Catalogue B. ${ }^{615} 5$, where Elis is mentioned concerning any connexion with games, with Herakles, or with Zeus, is hardly less remarkable. He nowhere shuns an allusion which stamps the celebrity of any spot which he mentions in this otherwise dry enumeration. For instance he speaks of Onchestus, B. 506 , as the "noble grove sacred to Poseidon"; he gives on Dorium 594 foll. the legend of Thamyris blinded by the Muses in their wrath; he alludes in 604 to the legend of the hero Æpytus; he states under Dulichium the exile of Phyleus and its cause, 625-9; he refers under Calydon to the death of its famous chieftain Meleager, 642; he marks the double name of the mound in the Trojan plain with an allusion to the Amazons and their connexion with the Troad; and notices Alybê as the source, i.e. mine of silver (814, 857). It can hardly be said that such an obvious allusion as this would have been, to the Olympic games in connexion with Elis, lay out of his course. From all this together the natural inference is that those games were in his time unknown.
XCII. The Atlas of Homer is an obscure but potent

Atlas in Homer is free and has an honoured charge; in other poets a Titan, with a burdeu personage, having in personal charge the tall columns which keep asunder earth and heaven. The goddess Calypsô is his daughter, dwelling in an island which
embosses the middle of the sea. There is no trace JAR'T 1 of penal durance, or of the doom of Zeus, resting on imposed: - few the Homeric Atlas. He is not allied to the Titans, giants appear in who, in our Homer, are located beneath Tartarus, nor to Iapetus and Cronus, who "sit at the ends of earth, with deep Tartarus around them". The various giants broods who figure so formidably in later mytho$\log y$, have but a few isolated types in Homer. Briareus and Typhoëus in the Hliad, and Tityos, Otus, and Ephialtes in the Odyssey, exhaust the list. On the contrary, Atlas appears in Hesiod and Æischylus as in penal durance, not "keeping the pillars", but bearing heaven, no easy burden (Theogon. 517-20, Prom. $347-50$ ) ; and so in Pindar, "wrestling up against the heaven" (Pyth. IV. 5r 5-6). But the "pillars", $(90)$
go I should like to add a word with regard to these supposed pillars Had they any basis in reality? I think a probable one may be pointed out It is natural for the first explorers of an unknown coast to set up some conspicnous mark, cairn, or monolith, or what not, to guide their successors, or, on any possible future visit, themselves. The Portuguese, when in the days, or subsequently to the stimulating efforts of Prince Henry the Navigator, they crept gradually down the western coast of Africa, set up at every headland which marked the furthest goal of each band of voyagers a massive cross; and the iron bands or foot-holds of one or two such venerable monuments remain on some of those lonely beaches to this day. It is highly natural that those who first explored the northern coast of Africa might have done the like. But if pillars marked successive stages of exploration, those which marked the month of the Mediterranean, beyond which lay the mysterions, untried, outer ocean, and at which navigation seems to haye made a long panse, would naturally be called "the pillars", par excellence. Pindar expresses his belief that a man might get "behind the north wind"; but he proclaims it "impossible for wise men or for fools to pass beyond the pillars of Herakles" (OI. III. 55, 77 ; ef. Nem. IV. ıII-2). Further, taking in the notion that earth was a sort of flat dise, and heaven a sort of hemispheric dome imposed upon it, the two figures implied a real horizon where they met. This was naturally made tu coincide, or nearly so, with the site of the furthest landmark of exploration, and thus the "pillars" would necessarily be conceived as wedged in between heaven and earth, and exactly corresponding to the Homerie phrase, di $\gamma \boldsymbol{i}$ $\tau \varepsilon x \alpha i$ ovj@ $\alpha \nu \dot{o} \nu \dot{\alpha}^{\alpha} \mu \varphi i \varsigma \xi^{\prime \prime} \chi o v \sigma \iota v$. What, then, is Atlas's connexion with them? I believe, with Hermam, that he personifies the spirit of adventurous exploration and the experience which it confers. He "knows the depths wf all the sea", and at the same time consistently "holds the pillars" which mark the limits of that knowledge. Afterwards, as the legend expanded, the pillars took majestic proportions, and hecame two momatains, whe in spatin :the one in lai-

PAKT I
now no longer those of heaven and earth, have become attached to the ubiquitous fame of Herakles, who is said to have "set them up in testimony of his furthest voyage" (Nem. III. 35-40, comp. Ol. III. 79, Isthm. IV. 20).

Various legends - of the Titans, Elysium, Bellerophon, CEdipus, Theseus, shown to be all simpler in Homer;
XCIII. The Theogony ( 717 foll.) places the Titans in Tartarus associated with Cronus (851), as in the Iliad; but in the "Works and Days" ( $569-72$ ) Cronus reigns among the blessed in the happy isles by the side of Ocean; and so Pindar says Zeus "released the Titans", with whom Cronus is so far associated that his release may have been involved in theirs (Pyth. IV. 518). In Homer, however, there are no happy isles; but the "Elysian plain at the ends of earth" is spoken of in a passage which contains the germ of the heroic paradise, developed, owing probably to the subsequent discovery of the Madeira group, into the famous Hesperides of later poets. Similarly in Homer we have the legend of Bellerophon (II. Z. I 55 foll.) given with some fulness, but no hint of the horse, Pegasus, which by Pindar's time, and even by Hesiod's, had been interwoven with the story, and which the former represents as taken up to heaven (Theogon. 325, Ol., XIII. 91, 120-31, Isthm. VII. 63-8). So we have an outline of the legend of Edipus in the Odyssey, but without any mention of the Sphinx, or of any curse as cleaving to his house, $\lambda .271$ foll.; see Pind. Ol. II. 70 foll. where the latter is clearly recognized, as in the Tragedians. Nor is this Homeric outline, as Pausanias remarks IX. 5, consistent with the Tragic form of the tale of Iokastê bearing Edipus four (9r) children
bya; and were pressed, like most other famous and far-off spots, into the fame of the ubiquitous Herakles. But the Dorians, through their Sicilian kindred, who were in close juxtaposition with Phœnician and Carthaginian settlers in the same island, would have their attention most strongly drawn to these western sites. They would know, through Punic channels, of Gadeira, of its actual remoteness, its nearness to the unknown ocean; and, mingling perhaps with their own hero some similarly derived traits of the Tyrian Herakles, they doubtless first gave him in that far west "a local habitation and a name".

91 The name of Polyneices occurs $\Delta .377$ in connexion with the war of Thebes but he is not stated to have been the son of Edipus.
since we read, Ody. $\lambda .274,{ }^{\alpha} \varphi \alpha \varrho \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha ́ \pi v \sigma \tau \alpha ~ \vartheta \varepsilon o i ̀$
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 found its opportunity in the complications to which this issue gave rise. Thus a new point of departure was seized on, and a new crop of sensational horrors reaped. Theseus in Homer, if mentioned at all, is merely one of those whom Nestor had known in the previous generation of mighty men. But the isolated lines in which his name occurs have been regarded as doubtful, except perhaps 2.322 (where see note). He has no connexion at any rate with Athens, with which in later poetry and art his name is indissolubly linked. In Homer several groups of mythological personages and triads of appear vaguely, who are formulated in precise triads in Hesiod and Pindar, with their names given. We formed. resolve the nebula; as myth progresses, into distinct stars - tum numeros et nomina fecit. Such are the Charites or Graces, the Horæ, the Moiræ, and the Cyclopes (Theogon. 901 foll., 140 foll.; Ol. XIV. 19-22). So the Harpies have neither name nor number in Homer, but in Hesiod are two, Aellô and Ocypetê (Theogon. 267). The Muses in Homer are mentioned as nine once only, in a part, viz., of the Odyssey, which all critics, I believe, abandon as post-Homeric (Od. $\omega$.); but they have no connexion with locality, they are merely heavenly or Olympian. In Hesiod they belong to Helicon and to Pieriê, and are daughters of Mnemosynê; and so in l'indar, who adds three of their names (Theogon. 1, 25, W. and D. 1, $65^{8}$; Isthm. II, 50 ; VI. 110; Isthm. VIII. 126; Isthm. II. 12; Ol. XI. 117).
XCIV. I have already referred to the development which took place in the Pelopid myth. The fate of Agamemnon, 1 have shown, is filled with sensational accessories which vary with the genius of the poet. But its great and notable novelty is the inclusion of a highly fruitful germ of tragic pathos, the myth of Iphigenia's sacrifice, to which we find in Honer not the remotest allusion. This gave a new point of growth to the whole legend; furnished a plea for even the depth of atrocity which we find in the tragic Clytammestra; and

PART I stimulated the pity which forms with teror the opposite poles of the drama. I hardly need add that in Homer we have no Electra and no Iphigeneia named. Now according to Mr. Paley (92) the compound myth is older than the simple. As regards certain other heroes, Pindar makes Diomedes immortal by the aid of Pallas (Nem. X. 12), whereas in Homer he is apparently doomed to a short life, as warring on the gods. The Scholiast on this passage in Pindar, mentions Ibycus,

Ajax, the Dioscuri and Asclepiadx. the lyrist, as following the same legend. Ajax's sad tale is augmented by Pindar with the item that the Greeks "favoured Odysseus with secret votes", implying some under-handed proceeding (Nem. VIII. 44-5). So Sophocles, in referring to the ballot which decided that Ajax should combat Hector, blends with it the myth of the fraudulent ballot of Cresphontes (Ajax 1285-6). Similarly the legend of the Dioscuri, - not that in Homer they are entitled sons of Zeus, is augmented in Pindar by the passage of fraternal affection in which Polydeukes, addressing Zeus as his sire, agrees to share immortality with his mortal brother. The alternate life and death of the brothers is noticed in Homer if we allow $\lambda .303$ to be genuine (see note there); but still, this touch, which regards immortality as inherent in the one and imparted to the other, is extra-Homeric. In Homer it is imparted alike by special privilege to both. Both are called $\tau \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \nu \pi \varrho \dot{\varrho} s$ $\Delta \iota^{\prime}{ }^{\text {én }} \chi \chi 0 \nu \tau \varepsilon$, since they are not his sons, nor even one of them, but expressly both the sons of Tyndareus and Ledê. This is the more remarkable because it occurs in a series of legends many of which turn precisely on the hero-sons of women loved by gods; see $\lambda$. 241, 267, 306. Surely nothing is more strongly stamped on Greek theomyth of the historic period than the partially divine paternity to which the name "Dioscuri" testifies. Yet here, where it must have been a feature of the poct's song, had it then been current, it is utterly passed by. In Homer Asclepius is only mentioned as an excellent healer (Il. 4.194, I. 518), and that not 92 Odes of Pindar translated p. 155 n. 2.
for his own sake, but as the father of two heroes who are chiefs in the Greek camp, but exercise there the same art. In a fragment of Hesiod he is apparently son of Letô, which would seem to identify him with Apollo as Paiêon; but the fragment (XLIX. Goëttling) (93) is corrupt, and probably made him son of A pollo; what is clearly stated, however, in this passage is, that he was struck with lightning by Zeus. Now as these heroes are repeatedly named in the course of the poem, its author would probably have found occasion to mention this legend had he known it.
XCV. The nymphs are repeatedly mentioned in Homer, and seem to personify the charm, grace, and freshness of nature, but there is no mention of Satyrs or Seileni. These grosser forms of nature appear, the former in a fragment of Hesiod (CXXIX. Goëttling), and the latter in the Hymn to Aphroditê (262). In each case they are connected with the nymphs. I think there can be no doubt that our Homer knew nothing of them, and is older than the poets who name them. As regards Aphroditê, she is in Homer daughter of Zeus and Dionê (Il. E. 348-370), but in Hesiod (Theogon. 187-200) we find the fable of the foam and the $\mu \eta^{\prime} \delta \varepsilon \alpha$ explaining her name and her Homeric epithet $\varphi \iota \lambda o \mu-$ $\mu \varepsilon \iota \delta \dot{\eta} s$, altered to $\varnothing \iota \lambda 0 \mu \mu \eta \delta \dot{\eta}_{s}$. The older and simpler legend is surely that which affiliates all Olympian deities to Zeus.

The greatest difference, however, as between Homeric and later mythologies, is one which no detailed investigation of individual gods or heroes can adequately measure. It consists in the familiar tone with which the poct of the Iliad and Odyssey always treats his deities, and the perfect human level upon which they move in all the details of action. "The gods", says Alcinoüs, "meet us face to face; they sit at our banquets, and fall in with us undisguised by the way." So Hesiod, seeming to recognise a change in the spirit

Great difference in the level on which Homeric deities move with men.


part i of the world, says, "there were common feasts and common seats of assembly to immortal gods and mortal men" (Fragment CLXXXVII. Goëttling). In Æschylus the deities stand on a much higher platform. Their intercourse with men is chiefly to punish, rescue, or purify. In Pindar the spirit of reverential awe is supreme. He enters a protest against, even while repeating, the legend which disparages deity; the word revolts his lips as he utters it, "it is a hateful lore to traduce the gods" (Ol. I. 82-3, IX. 54-7). In Herodotus the reverential silence in which he passes by some tale too sacred to be told, or deprecates divine wrath for having mentioned it, is as un-Homeric as anything can be.(94) This again seems to mark a great lapse of time as necessary to form such a spirit. It appears in all these three writers, modified by their own individuality, but yet a common characteristic, and seems to place them together, and to separate Homer by a broad line from them all. The shell of the old legend may be retained, although even this, as I have shown, is often amplified beyond its Homeric dimensions; but how different is the spirit which animates it!
Some local mi- XCVI. The Homeric forge of Hephæstus is in Lemnos. grations of legends; their Homeric seat the earlier. In Æschylus (95) it is in Ætna, and, according to Thucydides, (96) local legend in his own day placed it in Hiera of the Stromboli group. We have here the course of maritime exploration followed, as it were, step by step. The Homeric legend manifestly came from the period when Lemnos was an active volcano, one surely far enough back to satisfy the utmost demands of my argument for Homeric antiquity. Having discovered and colonized Sicily, and witnessed an eruption of Etna, - the greatest physical fact of the $5^{\text {th }}$ century B. C. - the Greeks compelled tho fire-god to migrate.





95 Prom., 354-72. 96 III. 88.

The same course was pursued by the legend of the part i buried giant Typhôs, or Typhoëus, whom the Iliad (II. 783) places among the Arimi, probably the volcanic region of Caria, but whom Pindar and Eschylus lodge under Etna, noticing, at the same time, the fact that he was before in Cilicia (9i) (Pyth. I. 29-39, cf. VIII. 2r, Ol. IV. 10, ir). Now, Pindar and Eschylus were both alive when this great eruption took place. Accordingly Etna exploded, for poetical purposes, the Lemnian forge of Hephæstus, and the Carian cubicle of Typhoëus, and became an established poetic property. Here then we have the very footsteps of the legend's migration locally imprinted, and they clearly indicate its course.
XCVII. I cannot here refrain from noticing the singular use by made Mr. Paley of one argument founded on the fact that myths expanded by Pindar and writers of his age are not found, or only faintly touched in

Popularity of the "Peleus and Thetis" legend in Pindar's time: - but not necessarily earlier. Homer. He says Pindar p. 249, note 2, "It is plainly "stated in this passage (Isthm. VI. 35-6), that five "centuries before the Christian era the story of the "marriage of Peleus and Thetis had become everywhere "famous. Our Homer, - a compilation from these "older ballads, - has only the faintest allusions to it." This implies that because it "had become everywhere famous" in the $5^{\text {th }}$ century B. C. therefore it must have been so in the $6^{\text {th }}, 7^{\text {th }}, 8^{\text {th }}$ or $9^{\text {th }}$ century B. C. I say, it had become so in the $5^{\text {th }}$, but probably not before, and therefore that our Homer is not later but earlier. Mr. Paley will, if he does not take care, prove that Homer was later than Theocritus, who gives us in an Idyll an epithalamium of Helen (Theocr. XX.).

The testimony of Pindar, however, to hero-worship, as pervading the Greek world, is unique of its kind.

Strong element of hero-worship in l'indar,

97 In Fragm. 7 Donaldson, p. 369, we have the actual Homeric phrase $\varepsilon$ iv 'A@íuots, with the significant addition of $\pi 0 \tau \varepsilon$, and an express notice of the transfer. And so in Eschyl., xai $\nu \tilde{v} v . . . i \pi o v ́ \mu \varepsilon \nu o s ~ \varrho i \zeta \alpha \iota \sigma \iota v ~ A i t v \alpha i \alpha \iota s ~ v ̈ \pi o, ~$
 Prom. $363-5,351-60$. The $x \alpha i v \tilde{v} \nu$ seems, as the $\pi 0 \tau \varepsilon$ in the previuus quotation, to mark transition to a new locality.
part i He shows that almost every notable locality was a centre of such adoration as Herodotus describes by the word $\dot{\varepsilon} v \times \gamma i \xi o v \sigma \iota$. I need not surely adduce quotations in support of this. The wanderings of Herakles, the vogage of the Argô, the achievements of the Aacidæ, the Perscïdæ, the Pelopidæ had dotted over the Greek world with local shrines. Now, of this we have nothing in Homer. There is, indeed, one doubtful passage
faint beginnings of it in Homer. (II. B. $55^{\circ-1}$ ) in which Erechtheus may be intended as the person to whom, in Pallas's temple, yearly offerings were made. But the total silence of the poct elsewhere is so emphatic as to make this exception, if it be such, of no weight. We must remember the abundant occasions which he had for mentioning it, if known to him, and the clear traces which he yields at Dodona, Delphi, the Troad, Athens, Cyprus, and elsewhere, of the localized worship of the Olympians. How can we account for a field unquestionably so suitable for the local allusions of which Homer is so fond, and so fruitful as it evidently was in the fifth century, B. C., remaining such a total blank to his mind? I see only one way of accounting for it, namely, by assigning to him an antiquity in which it had not yet begun.
The drearystate XCVIII. Before quitting the domain of mythology, of the Homeric dead, I ought to notice the Homeric belief as regards the state of the dead. The dreary and cheerless aspect which this presented to the poet's mind, even in the case of Achilles, his prime hero, and Agamemnon, king of men, and Ajax, whose peculiarly unhappy fate and brilliant services on earth would have entitled him to consolation, if there had been any to be found, hardly needs a comment. The first of these bitterly contrasts his shadowy primacy with the lot of the meanest hireling on earth. The dead have no prospect: they only look back to the past, or seek to snatch a glimpse of the present. They dwell on the triumphs, or on the wrongs and sufferings, of this mortal life, and sympathize, after a forlorn and bereaved fashion, with those whom they have left behind. The picture is one of such blank desolation as came spontaneously to the poet's
mind, on whom neither faith nor philosophy had yet
I'AR'T I dawned, but who yet could not so far renounce man's birthright of immortality as to conceive of the utter extinction of personality in what had once been a human soul. The dead of Homer have pride, they cherish grudges and curiosity, affection and resentment, but they have, in a later poct's phrase, "left hope behind". The casual exceptions of the fow favoured heroes who were by birth or marriage connected with Zeus himself, only proves more pointedly the dismal universality of the rule by which the rest are bound.
XCIX. Now, in Pindar, and indeed before his time, all this is changed. A remarkable passage in the second Olympian ode warns men that "there is one who avenges sins done in this realm of Zeus, pronouncing their doom with implacable destiny; whereas the good are in perpetual sunshine by night as well as by day, and have a life free from toil, not scraping earth by dint of plough, nor troubling the deep, to win the meat that perishes". (98) Precisely :imilar doctrine is enunciated in Aschyl. Suppl. 228 foll.

甲v́rク̆ $\mu \alpha ́ \tau \alpha \iota o \nu \alpha i \tau i \not \alpha_{S}, \pi \varrho \alpha ́ \xi \alpha_{S} \tau \alpha ́ d \varepsilon$.


Again, in a fragment of one of his Dirges, Pindar says: "The souls of the impious flit on earth beneath the sky in deadly pangs and bands of woe, but up in heaven the pious dwell, and hymn with songs the mighty Immortal."(99) In another fragment the same poet says that: "In the ninth year after death, Persephone, after receiving compensation for the woe long since wrought, restores again the souls to the upper world, whence spring a race of wise and mighty kings" (by transmigration, it should seem), "and thencefor-

98 Others take xelv̀̀v to be xeivqv: so Mr. Paley; but surely the dative with $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha}$ would be far more suitable to his sense.

99 This fragment is suspected by Dissen and the late Professor Domaldson, p. 373. Probably it has been tampered with. The passage from O1. I1. is, however, abundantly sufficient for my purpose.
parti ward they are called spotless heroes among men." Pythagorean doctrines and hero worship are here combined in one picture. Now, can we suppose that a poet sn broadly human as Homer is, would have shrunk back from this doctrine once current and fairly afloat in the Greek mind, into the cold funereal negations which pervade the Odyssean shades? Compare with the dreary powerless phantoms which there we find, the Æschylean view of the hero after death. In Choeph. 324 foll. the Chorus exclaims,
 $\pi v \varrho o ̀ s ~ \mu \alpha \lambda \varepsilon @ \dot{\alpha}$ रvผ́vos,


where the characteristic feature of the whole passage is the intense and vigorous vitality which it ascribes to the dead. Before Pindar, indeed, the change had set in. Earlier poets than he could not brook to leave their Achilles uncomforted; but gave him a blissful abode, mated with a fitting heroine, in some isle of the distant Pontus, or of the further Hesperides. (100) This is plain from the notices of Ibycus and Simonides (Schol. Apollon. Rhod. IV. 815, ap. Bergk; p. 1007); and probably even was the view of Stesichorus (ibid. p. $98 \mathrm{I}-2$ ). And the same sentiment has coloured the heroic imaginings of every poet, in the line of tradition of Greek thought, from the sixth century, B. C., to Mr. Tennyson: -
"It may be that the gulfs will wash us down,
It may be we shall touch the happy isles,
And see the great Achilles whom we knew."
To date the Homeric poems in the fifth century B. C., forces an astounding exception on an otherwise universal rule. The eleventh book of the Odyssey alone becomes an utter anachronism. And yet this eleventh book is by a large array of modern critics considered later than the bulk of the two poems.

100 ef. Pind. Nem. IV. $78-80$.
C. The legend of the Centaurs demands some notice. A mention occurs in Odys. $\varphi .295$ foll. of a brawl over wine, which brought punishment on Eurytion, the centaur, and bred a standing "quarrel between Centaurs and men". Now, except this last phrase, there is nothing to suggest that the Centaurs were not men; nor need we assume from it that they were more remote in the poet's conception, from humanity, than were the Cyclopes, or the giants. Cheiron, the most humane ( $\delta \iota x \alpha \iota o ́ \tau \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma)$ of the Centaurs, is spoken of as if in all respects a man (Il. A. 82-3). The Centaurs of Homer, however, have been identified (r) by a long tradition with the Phêres (see Schol. Ven. on II., I. 268), whom Peirithöus and others of the race called Lapithæ, expelled from a part of Thessaly, near Pelion. Now these Phêres are called "mountain wildmen" ( $\varrho \varrho \varepsilon \sigma x ต ั o \iota) ; ~ a n d ~ i f ~ w e ~ a c c e p t ~ t h e i r ~ i d e n t i f i c a t i o n ~ w i t h ~$ the Centaurs of Odys. $\varphi$., the effects of wine, to which perhaps he was a stranger, upon one of them, rendering his passions ungovernable, and bringing out the true savage, are perhaps there described, and may be compared with its effects upon the Cyclops in Odys. ו., and on Caliban in Shakspeare; savage frenzy and brutal stupor being two standing types of intoxication. But in all this there is not a word of the horse-cen-

## part i

The centaurs are not hippo. centaurs in Homer, in other writers they are.

PARTI
taurs of later poets, begotten by Ixion (Pind. Pyth. II. 8o foll.), who is unknown to Homer. For had he known him, we might surely expect to find him with Sisyphus and Tantalus expiating his crime in Hades. These hippo-centauri have so entirely supplanted the simpler conception of the older poct, that the notion of a Centaur, who is not partly horse, is unintelligble to most. Yet nothing is plainer than that Homer's Centaurs are no more quadruped than Shakespeare's Caliban. Such compound creature-forms seem to show a familiarity with Egyptian or Assyrian art. The only one such in Homer is the Chimæra, and that is found on Asiatic ground, and in a story having Asiatic relations. By Pindar's time, and from the earliest records of ancient art, the horse-centaur prevailed. But here again we must admit that the myth had germinated into a new form since Homer left it.

Argument from Homer's weapons and tactics,
CI. I have been obliged to omit many important tests of the progress of the human mind, well worthy of examination, but which all, if applied to the Homeric poems, indicate a very backward stage at the period of their composition. I will briefly touch a few of these. First, as regards the Homeric method of fighting. The heroes drive chariots to battle, and fight at choice from them, or on foot. They seem to prefer the use of the lance as a missile, at any rate in the first instance, mingling the javelin-man with the hoplite. They hurl (so Tyrtæus) massive stones, picked up amid the mêlée. In Il. B. 720, and N. 716, archer brigades are mentioned; but on the field the only trace of them is that the spectator who would survey the fight must move amid the hurtling of arrows. We see only the individual archers, Teucrus, Paris, Pandarus. Now at the earliest historic mention of fighting Greeks, all this had disappeared. Cavalry, and light skirmishers, and heavyarmed infantry had their distinct places in the division of labour proper to historic war. In a fragm. (i68 Dind.) of the Palamedes Aschyl. made that hero say,
 it is superfluous to add, that of such organization "our

Homer" yields no trace. Even Tyrtæus distinguishes
part I the hoplite and the skirmisher in a way which shows that Homeric tactics were in his day left far behind (Tyrt. ap. Bergk, p. 4or). Can any one doubt that the poet's fighting field was a reality at his own time? I think not. To think otherwise would be to introduce that spurious and archæological antiquity, so utterly foreign, as I have before noticed, to the whole spirit and feeling of our Homer. The charioteer in Homer is as natural as among Cæsar's Britons; and, whenever the poem was composed, was unquestionably as real. Homeric heroes, moreover, never ride, save once, I believe, when, in capturing the horses of Rhesus, Diomedes and Odysseus have left the chariot behind. Besides this, riding, as above remarked, is found in simile only; see p. xlvii sup.
CII. Next, as regards Homer's knowledge of the ${ }^{\text {and }}$ from his metals. Iron is precious, though useful. It is rated with copper and gold in the material elements of a proposed ransom. It is used, however, but seemingly in very scanty proportions, by the ploughman and the shepherd, and stands in several passages as the material of axe or sword.(2) Homer, however, seems to have known nothing of smelting and fusing it, although he knew of the primitive method of tempering, by plunging it hissing-hot in water. But in Hesiod we have iron pouring from the moulds distinctly mentioned as a simile for the battle of the Titanomachy. There is no standard of general value or common medium of exchange in Homer but the ox. However, by the time of Eschylus the ox stamped on a coin had superseded this primitive method, Agam. 37. We know too from other sources that Pheidon had about 750 B. C. introduced silver coinage into Greece. In western Asia money was probably current still earlier, since the source was undoubtedly Phœnician and Babylonian (Grote, vol. II. p. 219). This certainly points to any degree of antiquity which my present argument requires.

[^3]PART I
CII. In Homer we have no carving, no painting, from the arts, save the daubing, perhaps, on the prows or sides of and the absence his galleys, and one mention of a tablet with written
of writing, $z$. 1/is: or charactered contents. This is the famous and doubtful passage of the "fatal symbols", or "symbol", which Bellerophon conveyed. We may assume that a message in some sort of hieroglyphic, perhaps, could, on a matter of life and death, be sent, and deciphered. But it is from a prince in Argos to his father-in-law (3) in Asia, and may have been meant as a family secret, not an art generally known. Indeed, it seems to me that the poet speaks with the vague obscurity proper to a mind unfamiliar with the subject. The language is just such as might have been used by a poet who thought the folded tablet would act like a baneful talisman.(4) And this would undoubtedly be the way in which one not only ignorant of reading and writing, but unfamiliar with the effect of intelligence so transmitted, would at first regard the effect produced by a written communication between man and man. But be this as it may, there is certainly no evidence of the poet's having any such grasp of writing as an art, as would lead him to regard the surface of the tablet of which he speaks as bearing traced symbols conveying a message of information. He had probably heard of the result of a letter, and failing to understand the means conducive to them, filled up the blank by his own imaginative

3 Prœetus, of Theban, i.e. Cadmean or Phœnician connexion, spoken of as one who had come in and acquired a sovereignty in Greece by strength and talent. Juv. Mund. p. 130 .

4 With $\sigma \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda v \gamma \varrho \dot{\alpha}$ of II. Z. 168, comp. Ф $\propto \rho \mu \alpha x \alpha$ $\lambda v \gamma \varrho \dot{\alpha}$, Odys. ס. 230 and $x .236$, these latter being the drugs or potions with which Circê operates on the comrades of Odysseus; with $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} v \mu \circ \varphi \vartheta \vartheta \rho \propto \alpha 0 \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$, II. Z. 169, comp. $\vartheta v$ $\mu о \varphi \vartheta \mathfrak{o} \rho \alpha$ 甲 $\propto \dot{\rho} \mu \alpha \alpha \alpha$, Od. $\beta$. 329. In the last passage the context shows that what we now call "poisons" are intended. But the earliest pharmacopoists did not distinguish between natural and magical action; nor could do so, through want of knowledge of natural processes. Thus Prœetus meant to "poison", as we say, the mind of the Lycian prince against Bellerophon, in requital for his having, although innocently, so wrought upon the feelings of his wife Antæa, that she $\varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \mu \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu \alpha \tau$, Il. Z. 160. Nor would $\varepsilon ่ \pi \varepsilon \mu \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu \alpha \tau o$ ill describe the altered bearing of Bellerophon's host towards him, when he saw the $\sigma \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$.
power. Eschylus on the contrary, was clearly familiar with the common use of tablets; as we see from two whereas Wischy.


 Again Prometheus says, Prom. V. 789, ク̈v ż $\gamma \gamma \varrho \alpha ́ \varphi o v$
 admits, the words $\alpha \nu \alpha \gamma \nu \omega ั \nu \alpha \iota ~ a n d ~ \gamma \varrho \alpha ́ \varphi \varepsilon \iota v ~ b o t h ~ o c c u r ~$ in our sense of "read" and "write". But he insists on the oral conveyance of the poet's odes by "ै $\gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda o \iota$, and urges that "the words in Ol. VI. I53-5 admit "of no other interpretation; for the poet there compares "the person who is sent to impart the ode to a scytale "or writing-staff, - a short wooden cylinder round "which a paper was wrapped for penning brief mes"sages. If the man carried with him the ode written, "the comparison is utterly pointless. He is called a "scytale because he performs the same part, vicariously, "of communicating a message." I really cannot discern the aptness of the argument. In the anecdote given in Sir W. Scott's "Legend of Montrose", the Highland retainer is called a "candlestick" because he carried a candle. Why may not a man be a "letter-stick" because he carries a letter? But I would further call special attention to the ode Nem. V., which begins with an "allusion to the song being sent about on shipboard from Ægina" (Mr. Paley ${ }^{6}$ )). Surely he does not mean, when he speaks of the ode, "a man who had learnt the ode". Yet how otherwise to make it square with his argument I do not see.
CIV. As regards the mention of Homer by Thucydides, in his discussion concerning the Trojan expedi-

On a Homeric reference in Thucydides. tion, two things are plain; firstly, that he considers the war a historical fact, and next, that he regards the poet only as a second-rate authority (7) for the detail of its incidents. That he had some other evidence

5 Odes of Pindar Translated, pref. X-XI.
6 Ibid. p. 183 .


part i before him, beyond what he mentions, I make no doubt. Possibly local traditions of the Chersonese and the Troad may have seemed to him trustworthy on such points as the cultivation of part of the Chersonese by the Greeks, their necessity to plunder for a livelihood, and some others. He takes Homer into the account, but rationalistically, and with abatements for poetic exaggeration, correction of false views, and the like. Thus he accounts for the length of the siege by the above-mentioned avocations of the Greeks; and, similarly, it seems to me, corrects the poet's account as regards the fortification; which, in the lliad, is built to protect the Greeks when worsted, bnt which he regards as a proof of their superiority in the field from the first. (8)
On the caricature citations of Homer in Aristophanes.
CV. As regards the alleged citations (9) by Aristophanes - are they citations, or caricatures, or partly both? is the first thing to settle. Judging from the

8 That is, Thucyd. I. if regards the rampart as a certain fact, but treats the occasion assigned to its erection by the poet as fictitious. Were there any local traces on which he relied? At any rate the supposition of Mr. Paley seems to me unnecessary that "the remark of Thucydides suggests some arrangement of the events of the Iliad (i.e. of the poem), materially different from what we now have". (Introd. to Iliad, p. xxxiv.) It seems to me likely that the historian, with a contempt for the poet as an authority in points of fact, leaves us to infer that the events of the actual siege differed in. his view of them from the representation of them in the poem. It is not impossible that he viewed it as absurd to conceive of an army drawn up on an open beach, with their ships at their back, and no fortifications in front, for nine years together, in the face of a powerful and fortified capital with armies in the field, and with their own forces divided by the necessities of annual cultivation and constant predatory excursions. His own narrative of the proceedings of the Athenians at Syracuse, VI. 66, may be taken as guiding his views of what was possible. There the Athenians, taking advantage of a diversion of the Syracusan forces to Catana, chose their ground at their leisure, but at once proceeded to fortify it. He mentions (using the same word, e' $\rho v \mu \alpha$, as in the case of the Greeks before Troy) a line of defence on the Dascon, being their most accessible side. Precisely similar was the course of the Greeks at Mycalê, as stated by Herodotus, IX. 97, who says, "there they drew up their ships, and threw around them a fence of stone and timber, having cut down the forest trees, and drove in palisades round the fence".

9 Pac. 1089 foll., ${ }^{1273-87}$; Vesp. 180 foll., the last corresponding with Od. ८. 366.
way in which Eschylus and Euripides are treated in the Froys, there seems no adequate reason for thinking these quasi-Homeric snatches worth the trouble of verifying. It is sportive buffoonery, with a Homeric smack tinging it all, and a Homeric real line here and there. But how would the Comedian be amused, could he learn that it was proposed to erect it into a formal ground of grave criticism on the date of the Homeric poetry! The same spirit of parody is manifested later in Lucian, but mingled with more of philosophic irony.
CVI. A good deal of stress has been laid on the apparently modern points of much of the Homeric diction. With some of these I have dealt already in some detail in the carlier part of this preface. But it cannot be doubted, and indeed, it is what we should expect in a genuine antique poem orally transmitted, that the remoulding influence of recitation in the details of language has inserted tags of later diction here and there. In Homer the dialogues and episodes are fullest of these, as the rhapsodist would work most congenially upon them. These minor changes may all be set to the score of popular recitation; whereas it is impossible to account, in that or, I believe, in any way for the uniformly archaic tone of the sentiments and manners, the unstudied simplicity of character and action, and the elementary form in which we find the myths. All that is deeply set in the framework of the poem, all that is broadly featured on its surface, is indicative of greater antiquity than any other Greek poetry whatever; all that is alleged on the score of modernism depends on such finishing touches as were inseparable from the manipulation of the rhapsodist, and were probably the accumulated results of centuries of recitation.
CVII. The greater part of my argument has consisted in rebutting supposed presumptions against our Homer's antiquity arising from various considerations. Some of these are negative arguments, the force of which is liable to be turned by conditions which have been overlooked; as in Pindar's case, by his strong tendency to cultivate
part i local and family legends, as contrasted with the more A summary of generally national themes of our Homer. Some of them the chief defensive argument. prove too much; as for instance the argument which shows no less conclusively that our Homer was later than Euripides than that he was later than Eschylus. Another argument, on which great emphasis is laid, rests on the supposition that the dramatists and Pindar were indebted to the Cyclic pocms for their plots.

The assertion that Pindar and others took their plots from the Cyclics seems to rest on a misconception of the law of legend. I have endeavoured to show that this rests on a misconception of the law which governed the creations of the Greek mind. A dramatist might of course draw more or less directly from a legend preexisting in some special form, but the essence of a dramatic plot was found in the matter of some current legend merely, without caring for the special form in which it came to hand. It is, I believe, an error to suppose, except in the case perhaps of Æschylus, that the dramatist or lyrist cut a stick from the tree of epos, and then planted and trained it into his own form. Rather, he would find a suitable plant anywhere springing directly from the soil, and then shape the growing tree as the Virgilian peasant his ground elm,

Continuo in silvis magna vi flexa domatur
In burim, et curvi formam accipit ulmus aratri.

Æschylus is
perhaps an exception, in the closeness with which he clung to Homeric subjects.

I have excepted Æschylus, whose relations with epos seem closer than those of his brethren; but then in Æschylus the Homeric element, as I have shown, is strongly traceable as distinct from the Cyclic. Some of the arguments again, e.g. that on the "composite character" of our Homer, have altogether no bearing on the question of any absolute date for him. In short, the only argument on the other side of any weight, if it could have been sustained in fact, is that founded
The language on the language. I believe I have successfully impugned would furnish the most powerful argument, if it could be sustained. in detail a great number of the instances of alleged modernism. The proof supposed to rest on the use of the pronoun-article and of the digamma I have shown to be inconclusive. And while this preface is being printed, I have become aware of some further examples of alleged modernism in the Pref. to Mr. Paley's second
volume of the Iliad p．xxvi foll．，whence I quote，＂To ＂suppose for an instant that hundreds of such words ＂as $\dot{\alpha} \tau \iota \mu \dot{\alpha} \xi \varepsilon \iota \nu, ~ i \sigma \dot{\alpha} \xi \varepsilon \nu \nu, \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \iota \tau i \xi \varepsilon \iota \nu, x \varrho о \tau \alpha \lambda i \xi \varepsilon \iota \nu, x \varepsilon \lambda \eta \tau i-$
 ＂$\sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$ ，are archaic，is to outrage the science of Greek．＂ Now I find in Archil． 29 ［7．13］$\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \sigma x i \alpha \xi \varepsilon$ ，ib． 137
 $\pi \alpha \xi 0 \nu$ ，ib．Bergk fr．Pollux $̇ ห \tau \varepsilon \nu \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon ́ v o \iota ~(\varkappa \tau \varepsilon \nu i \xi \omega), ~$ Simon．Amorg．I． $24 \alpha i x ı \xi о i \mu \varepsilon \vartheta \alpha, i b .7$［8］， $70,77 \dot{\alpha} \gamma \lambda \alpha \ddot{i}-$
 I find ふ̌ког兀i̧ต in Ping．Nom．V． 55 et al．，ib．Pyth． IX． $21 \cdot x \varepsilon @ \alpha i ̄ \xi \omega$ ，$i b$ ．Fragm． 217 Don．$\alpha \varkappa x i \xi \xi о \mu \alpha \iota$ ，$i b$. Pyth．IV． 221 ar $\gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \dot{\beta} \xi$ ．I find in Hes．W．and D． 690
 764 甲＠${ }^{6} \mu i \xi \omega \sigma \iota$ ，in Theogon． $706 \sigma \varphi \varrho \alpha \gamma i \xi \omega$ ，and in Æschyl．Sept．c．Th． 374 di $\alpha \varrho \tau i \xi \varepsilon \varepsilon$.

Now it is not necessary to prove our Homer＇s lan－ guage absolutely＂archaïc＂，inasmuch as every lan－ gage is many stages earlier than its earliest poetical developments．Still，I venture，on the strength of these examples from other poets，to doubt the force of Mr． Paley＇s instances from our Homer，as proving that he was as late as Herodotus．

CVIII．This reminds me of another catalogue of words collected by Mr．Paley in his Essay p． 23 as ＂probably not earlier than 450 B．C．＂I will write down those，to which I have found in other early poets analogical or similar forms，with these latter in a parallel column．

From our Homer．
$\alpha y ข \varrho \tau \alpha \dot{\zeta} \varepsilon \iota v$
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \tau \varrho о \varepsilon \pi \grave{\eta} \varsigma$
${ }_{\alpha}^{\mu} \sigma \sigma \alpha$ and ${ }^{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \sigma \alpha$
$\dot{\alpha} \varphi \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau 0 \varepsilon \pi \dot{\eta} \varsigma$
$\dot{\alpha} \varepsilon \lambda \pi \tau \varepsilon \check{\iota} v$
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \check{o}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$
${ }^{\alpha} \varphi \varrho \alpha i v \varepsilon \iota \nu$
HMM．OD．If．

From other early poets．

$\dot{\alpha} \tau \iota \mu \dot{\alpha} \xi \varepsilon \iota \nu$ Theogn．821．
๙́＠tィદ́л
${ }_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime} \sigma \sigma \alpha$ Phocyl．6，2，also Theogn． 1048. $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \nu o ́ \omega$ Solon 22 ［20］．
 $\tau \iota \varepsilon \pi \dot{\eta} \mathrm{\eta} \dot{\eta} \delta v \varepsilon \pi \eta \grave{\eta}_{S}$ ibid． $\dot{\alpha} \varepsilon \pi \pi \tau i \alpha$ Ping．Myth．XII． 55.
Hes，W．and D． 483 and 824 ，Find． Pyth．III． 187 et al． $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \varphi \propto i v \omega \nu$ Theogn． $5^{\circ} 6$ ．

PART I
Some Notice of Mr．Paley＇s Pro－ face to his vol．II． of the Iliad．
 $-$







[^4]帾

Our Homer＇s language may be far older than Herodotus with－ out being $a b$－ out being ab－
solutely＂arch－ ic＂．

Some remarks on a list of words deemed suspi－ cious by Mr．Pa－ ley in his Essay， p． 23.

 －



PART I

|  |
| :---: |
| $\alpha{ }^{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \beta \lambda \eta \sigma \iota$ ¢ |
| ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \mu \varphi \chi^{\prime} \beta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \iota_{\text {S }}$ |
|  |
| $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda о \pi$ оо́бк |

«̈vvals
$\alpha i x \omega$ for $\dot{\alpha} \varepsilon \iota x \dot{s}_{s}$
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda o \gamma \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota$
$\dot{\alpha} \varphi \varrho \alpha \delta \varepsilon i \nu$
$\alpha i \nu i \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$
ขทหоvสтยıข
๙ंข $\eta$ หоขбтะіัข


$\alpha^{\alpha \pi} \pi \sigma \kappa v \delta \mu \alpha i \nu \varepsilon \iota \nu$
$\mu \alpha \rho \gamma \alpha i \nu \varepsilon \iota \nu$

ผ’ $\gamma \varkappa \alpha \mathfrak{\alpha} \xi \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$
$\dot{\alpha} \varepsilon \iota \sim i \sigma \sigma \alpha \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$

oivoлoचќ乡ยเข and about 15
others like

$\beta \varepsilon \beta$ in $x \varepsilon v$
$\beta$ ov $\lambda \varepsilon v \tau \alpha i$
$\beta \varepsilon \beta \lambda \alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon ̇ v o s$
$\eta^{\eta} \sigma \chi v \mu \mu \varepsilon ́ v o s$
ßócıs
${ }^{\alpha} \mu \pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ Pind．Nem．I． 1.

 vise＠ßaбiך Hes．W．and D． 828. ब́ $\gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \omega$ Pind．Pyth．IV． 428. $\dot{\alpha} \mu \varphi \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \dot{\xi}$ ои $\alpha \iota$ Hy．Cer． 436.
 docus，but deemed later by Bergk， p． 443 ．
${ }^{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} v v \sigma \iota_{\mathrm{S}}$ Theogn． 462.
$\alpha i x \varepsilon \lambda i \omega$ for $\alpha \varepsilon \iota x \varepsilon \lambda i \omega$ Theogn． 1344.
$\alpha i x \iota\} o i ́ \mu \varepsilon \vartheta \mathfrak{\imath} \alpha$ Simon．Amorg．I． 24.
$\alpha \dot{\alpha} \vartheta v \mu \varepsilon ́ \omega$ Aschyl．Prom． 474.
$\dot{\alpha} \varphi \rho \alpha \delta i \eta$ Hes．W．and D．134，also Solon 334.
$\dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\nu} \dot{\xi}{ }^{\xi} \alpha \nu \tau 0$ Pind．Pyth．VIII．${ }_{57}$ ．
 $\nu \dot{\eta}$ кєбтos Hes．W．and D． 283. ๙่ $\nu \tau \iota \varphi \varepsilon \rho i \xi ̧ \propto \iota$ Pind．Pyth．IX． 88.
 $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \varepsilon \varepsilon_{\sigma \sigma \alpha \nu}$ ib．XCIII．7．XCVII． $x v \delta \alpha i v \omega v$ Hes．W．and De 38.
$\lambda v \mu \alpha i v \omega$ do＠ı－$\lambda v^{\prime} \mu \alpha \nu \tau 0$ ®schyl．Fragm． Myrm． 122.
ふं $\pi \alpha v \alpha i v o \mu \alpha \iota$ Hes．W．and D． 454. кं $\lambda \iota \tau \alpha i v o \mu \alpha \iota ~ i b . ~ 330$.

 ह́ $\xi \propto \varphi \varrho i\} o \mu \alpha \iota$ Eschyl．Agam．1067． $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \varphi \lambda \alpha v \varrho i \xi \omega$ Pind．Pyth．III． 23. oivoтотќधю Anacr． 94 ［69］．

๙ं $\pi \omega \sigma$ о́ $\mu \varepsilon \nu 0 \iota$ Solon 2， 3 ［12］， 6. $\tau \varepsilon \tau o ́ \lambda \mu \alpha \varkappa \varepsilon$ Pind．Pyth．V．${ }_{5} 5$. ह́ßьウ́б人七o Hes．Theog． 423.
ßov́ $\ell \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ Eschyl．Prom．823，Pind． Nem．V． 52 ；cf．дo＠$\varepsilon v \tau \alpha \dot{s}$ Pind．Pyth． XII． 49.
$\beta \varepsilon \beta \lambda \alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon ́ v o s$ Theogn． 223.
$\tau \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon ́ v o s$ Hes．W．and D． 727 and Pind．

хєкл兀цє́vos．
หєห๑ицє́vos Theogn．381． $\pi \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \sigma \mu \varepsilon ́ v o s(\varphi \alpha ́ \iota \nu \omega)$ Solon $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ ，ク1．
ло́бıя Æschyl．Choëph． $5_{7}{ }^{8}$ ．
－обv́v $\eta$ ，nouns in
see § LVI sup．，also عv่ๆ $\eta \mu$ обv่ข $\eta$ ккио－ $\vartheta \eta \mu o \sigma v v^{\eta}$ r．$\tau . \lambda$ ．Hes．W．and $D$ ． 471， 472.
$\delta \alpha \mu \tilde{q}$ and $\delta \alpha \mu o ́ \omega \sigma \iota$ ，for possibly mere corruptions，e．g．mól $\varepsilon_{\varepsilon}$
$\delta \alpha \mu \alpha ́ \sigma \varepsilon \iota ~ \delta \alpha \mu \alpha ́ \sigma о v \sigma \iota$
$\mu \circ \chi \vartheta$ Һระ
$\delta \mu \tilde{\eta} \sigma \iota \varsigma$ кг $\tilde{\eta} \sigma \iota \varsigma \pi \varrho о ́ \tau \mu \eta \sigma \iota$

ย̇л $\varepsilon \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \alpha \tau$
ท்бv́xしos
$\ddot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \tau 0\left(\eta{ }^{\prime \prime} \delta \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \sigma \iota\right)$

ๆ $\eta^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \sigma$

$\chi \alpha \nu \alpha \chi i \xi \varepsilon \iota \nu$
ย̇ $\pi \iota \nu \varepsilon \emptyset \emptyset i ́ \delta \iota o s$

ह̇ $\tau \alpha \varrho \varrho \dot{\sigma} \alpha \sigma \boldsymbol{v} \alpha i \quad \tau \iota \nu \alpha$
ह̇ $\pi \alpha \gamma \lambda \alpha \ddot{\varepsilon} \varepsilon і \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$
หนотолをv่єเข

кє $\chi \alpha \varrho \eta \omega_{s}$
к $\alpha \tau \alpha \delta \eta \mu \circ \beta о \beta \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$
$x \alpha \tau \alpha \mu v{ }^{\prime} \xi \alpha \tau 0$
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \pi \alpha v \sigma \omega \lambda \dot{\eta}$
$\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \tau \varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \alpha \sigma \vartheta \mathcal{}$

ỏ $\iota \iota \eta \eta \pi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ́ \omega \nu$

$\dot{\delta} \varrho \tilde{\sigma} \sigma \vartheta \sigma \iota$（mid）
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota \psi v \chi \grave{\eta} \nu$
$\pi \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \varrho \eta \mu \varepsilon ́ v o \varsigma$
สvขข่ย่бนณ兀
$\mu$ os $\delta \alpha \mu \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \iota$ might be read in $A$ ． 15 ．
$\mu \circ \chi \mathfrak{V} \iota \zeta \varepsilon \iota \nu$ Pind．Fragm．88． 2.
$x \tau \tilde{\eta} \sigma \iota$ Hes．Theog．606，$\chi \varrho \tilde{\eta} \sigma \iota s$ Pind． Ol．XI．2，бтќбıs Pind．Nem．IX． 3 I et al．，$\pi$＠ó $\propto \alpha \sigma \iota$ S Theogn． 323.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \varphi \dot{\alpha} v \alpha \tau o$ Pind．Nem．VI． 43.
बंбv́xıog Pind．Pyth．IX． 40.
possibly corrupted fr．$\eta$ グ $\delta \varepsilon \tau o$ imperf．， n．b．$\eta_{\eta}^{\prime} \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon$ follows：yet $\varepsilon$ é $\mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau^{’}$ fr． $\mu \eta$ ঠо $о \boldsymbol{\alpha} \iota$ occurs Pind．Nem．X． 120 ； cf．Hes．W．and D． 49 ．
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \forall \eta \dot{\eta} \chi \alpha \tau о$ Pind．Ol．VIII． 90.
 $\mu \varepsilon v o s \mathrm{Hy}$. Cer．${ }^{2} 36$.
i＇vvve Hes．W．and D． 7 et al．，Eschyl． Pers． 411 et al．
$\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{v} \alpha \chi \alpha \delta \dot{\alpha}$ Pind．Nem．VIII．${ }_{5} 5$.
ह̇лı兀vんßídıos Eschyl．Choëph． 335.

ต๐иіббغv Pind．Isthm．VIII． 45.
$\stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \lambda \alpha i$ ī\＆$\tau \alpha \iota$ Simon．Amorg．7．［8．］ 70.
 and D． 55 ．
иєұ๙＠ضஸ̀s Hes．Fragm． 223.
к $\alpha \tau \varepsilon \varphi v \lambda \lambda о \varrho o ́ \eta \sigma \varepsilon ~ P i n d . ~ O l . ~ X I I . ~ 22, ~$ $\pi \propto \iota \delta \circ \varphi \iota \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \eta$ Solon．25．［1．］．
 $\tau \alpha \iota$ Eschyl．Pers． 115.
$\varphi \varepsilon \iota \delta \omega \lambda \grave{\eta}$ Hes．W．and D． $7^{20}$ ，Solon 13．［4．］，46，$\tau \varepsilon \varrho \pi \omega \lambda \dot{\alpha}_{S}$ Archil． 22.
тєหгкivouผ८ Ну．Merc．${ }_{5} 5$.
$\pi \varepsilon \iota$ ¢人ivo Pind．Isthm．VIII． 49.
$\mu \alpha \varrho \alpha i v \omega$ Eschyl．Prom．597．
ỏlıjodৎкvíav Eschyl．Prom． 546.
probably a rhapsodic figment；but ef． シ́бт́́ $\tau \iota o s$ mox inf．
ó ¢ю́иєvov（mid）Hes．Fragm，IV． 2.
Tyrt．12．［8．］， 18.
$\pi \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon i \varrho \eta \mu \propto \iota$ Hes．W．and D． 660.
 Theogon． 228.
ro I am unable to see why this word should be deemed noteworthy．I have shown above § LIV，LV of this Preface that the middle form of the verb） is as ancient as any fragment of the Greek language now extant．
 $\tau \varepsilon \vartheta \propto \varrho \sigma \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\tau} \alpha \sigma \iota$ тv $\boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \alpha_{S}$ vi $\beta$ ふं $\lambda \lambda \varepsilon เ \nu$ $\chi \eta \dot{\jmath} \boldsymbol{\chi} \boldsymbol{\tau}$
vi $\pi \dot{\eta} v \varepsilon \iota ห ข$

$\sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma v \tau \alpha \iota \dot{\alpha} \gamma \tilde{\omega} v \alpha$ Нy. Apoll. Pyth. 150. $\pi \varepsilon \varphi i \lambda \alpha x \varepsilon$ Pind. Pyth. I. 25.
غ́ $\tau \dot{v} \chi \eta \sigma \varepsilon$ Hes. Fragm. 45. 3. x $\alpha \beta \alpha \lambda$ ' Hes. Theog. 189 .
évท́@๙兀o Hes. Theog. зı6.
 $\dot{\alpha} \propto \mu \varepsilon \dot{v} \omega$ ib. Nem. VIII. 87.

ย̇б $\chi \alpha \tau i ́ \alpha$ Pind. Pyth. XI. 86 et al.
CIX. Mr. Paley, however, in the Preface to his vol. II. has not stopped here, but has stated a suspicion that a number of our Homer's words have no better than

The suspicions alleged against the language im pute to some of it a much later source. an Alexandrine pedigree. He says, p. xxviii, "Our "great uncertainty as to the doings of the $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \varkappa \varepsilon v \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha i$, "the good faith and honesty of the Alexandrine critics, "and the precise extent to which they tampered with "the Homeric text, added to the generally important "fact that it was by Alexandrine heads and hands that "our Homeric texts were first critically edited, should "make us cautious in denying that a considerable "number of words belonging to the latest, $i$. $e$. the "post-Platonic Epic dialect, may have been foisted into "the older compositions, whether by fraud or ignorance "is immaterial to the argument. For my own part, I "may state that I am entirely convinced that such is "the case, and that to an extent which I sometimes "hesitate fully to contemplate."

Now the author of this observation seems not to have been struck with the obvious remark that it really
if The few words of Mr. Paley's list which are not included either expressly, or implicitly by virtue of their close parallelism to others, are $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \alpha \gamma \alpha \lambda$ -
 $\tau \iota \mu \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \vartheta \alpha i \quad \tau \iota \nu \alpha$, $\tau \varepsilon \tau v \chi \eta u \omega_{s}$, $\dot{\pi} \boldsymbol{\pi} \propto \varrho i \nu \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$ - no very large list surely for poems of such a bulk as Homer's, and for a poet with such an array of language at his command. One would feel sure that there must be some expressions which the, after all, somewhat scanty remains of early poetry could not match. But why $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \alpha \gamma \alpha \lambda \lambda \hat{o}^{\mu} \dot{\varepsilon} v_{0}$ should be thought worth challenging, the simple verb being thoroughly current, merely on the score of the compound

 ceive; especially as Pindar has $\pi \varepsilon \pi \alpha \varrho \varepsilon i v$ and $\pi \varepsilon \pi \iota \vartheta \dot{\omega} \nu$, Pyth. II. 106, Isthm. III. 12 I .
answers all the rest of the argument founded upon language of which it forms part. If our Homeric text drew materials in whatever quantity from grammarian poets of the $3^{\text {rd }}$ century B. C., and yet Mr. Paley supposes it to have originated in the $5^{\text {th }}$, why may not I suppose it to have originated in the $9^{\text {th }}$ in spite of the materials which it is supposed to have picked up from the rhapsodists of all the ages through which it passed? Even Mr. Paley acknowledges that we have the same Il. and Ody. which Plato had, and yet he pereeives Alexandrine words in them! Yet he writes as though he somehow failed to perceive that text may equally be 500 years older still, and yet have Herodotean words in it. It may reflect the influences of every period of the Greek language down which it has glided, at the same time that it has left its own mark on the literature of every period, like the glacier transporting fragments from the rocks which it grooves and scores.
CX. But it would be unfair not to examine some of these "Alexandrine" specimens. I have only space for a very few samples which I take at hazard from the page. Mr. Paley urges,
 "is viri media seems more than probable."

But surely the fragment of Archil. 138. ìvas $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \varepsilon-$
 into account, as it tends, if genuine, wholly to upset the opinion suggested. It is from Et. Mag. He adds,
"Of nouns I may mention $\tau \varepsilon i \varrho \varepsilon \alpha$, 'stars'." But $\tau \varepsilon i ́=~$ 2. $\tau \varepsilon i \rho \varepsilon \alpha$. $\varrho \varepsilon \alpha$ is certainly contained in the prop. name Teı@\&бías, and I suppose I need not quote Greek poetry to prove that name's antiquity. My own opinion is in favour of the connexion of the same word with the Latin trio (terio) in Septentrio (= "seven ox") the starry host being viewed as the herd of the sky, or rather probably the groups of stars being so regarded before they acquired with the growth of mythology individual names.
 else than the neuter form of an adj., which, as derived

PART 1 from "I $\sigma \vartheta \mu 0$ g nom. propr., occurs in Pindar Ol. XIII. 4. I find also in Aristoph. Fragm. 414 the further adjective form of iбэucaxòs. Why, then, with this unquestionably legitimate kindred of old family, is the word $i \sigma \vartheta \mu \omega \nu$ set down as a base-born Alexandrine?

I take from the list of adverbs the one which closes 4. $x \alpha \tau \varepsilon \nu \alpha v-\mathrm{it}$, $x \alpha \tau \varepsilon v \alpha \nu \tau i o v$. For the simple $\dot{v} \boldsymbol{\nu} \alpha \tau i o v$ see Archil. $\tau i ́ o \nu$. 66. [3I.] 2. Now there is no preposition in all the Homeric and Hesiodic poems, I might perhaps say, in all Greek literature, which so readily lends itself to composition as $x \alpha \tau \alpha ;(12)$ why then should this particular compound be viewed with suspicion? But again,
 ध̇xatध́ $\alpha \tau 0$. And yet this word is produced as "in all probability characteristic of the later poets" and as having "crept into our Homeric texts" from Alexandrine sources.
CXI. I see in the same preface tokens of scepticism as regards the use of writing entertained by Mr. Paley, apropos of which I will merely refer to Boeck's Inscriptions. A few of the earlier ones are ascribed to On early writ- about $600-700 \mathrm{~B}$. C. In one on a recumbent pillar, ing. Axgument from 1. Inscriptions, the Columna Naniana, ascribed to the period of Solon or Pisistratus, is a considerable number of words all clearly written and requiring only familiarity with their character to decipher them. Yet Mr. Paley says, 2. names on va- "Why, it was with difficulty they (the Greeks) could ses. "write one or two names at all legibly (they are fre"quently positively illegible) on vases very much later "than that". Now this seems to me to show a misconception on the subject. "They" who "wrote names on vases" would probably be the vase-artists. But, throughout all the earlier centuries after its introduction, writing was probably the gift of a professional writing not few, such as were the Levites among the early Hebrews. common, but The craftsmen of the mystery were alone familiar with limited to a few
professionals. the art, and all the rest, vase-artists included, would be

12 The Homeric reader will remember many words like $x \alpha \tau \alpha-\vartheta \nu \eta \tau o i, x \alpha \tau \alpha-$ $x \lambda \tilde{\omega} \vartheta \varepsilon \varsigma$ etc., which seem to have puzzled editors to decide whether they are compounds or not.
$i \delta \iota \omega \tau \alpha \iota$ to it. Of course many such bungling attempts as Mr. Paley refers to were likely to result from these trying their hands at it. But this is surely irrelevant to the point at issue. An inscribed helmet referred to
3. An inscribed helmet.
by Donaldson, Pind. Pyth. I., Introduction, seems to be perfectly legible, and should, manifestly be dated 476 B. C. The Burgon Vase is probably a hundred years older and is perfectly legible. But where is the difficulty of believing writing in use among the Greeks in the eighth century when Egyptians and Phœenicians had used it already for ages? All the credulity lies, it seems to me, on the side which supposes that so rarely gifted a race as the Greeks, with these ample opportunities, could have remained ignorant of it so long; or, with the Levant and Agean as highways of traffic could have so long continued as if a wall had been built up between them and their more advanced neighbours.
CXII. But, when we come to the sixth century B. C., the story of Histiæus in the Ionian war, of the map of 5. Some statements in Herodotus. Aristogoras, and the well known and often quoted $\pi \varepsilon \varrho \neg \gamma \gamma \eta \sigma \iota$ of Hecatæus, ( 1,3 ) all forbid our disbelief in writing having become fairly common among the higher classes. Or are all these statements to be set down as mythical, and is that regarding Solon, and his laws incised on wooden blocks, to be swept away also? When an art is once on foot, it soon finds out its own Art is apt to applications, for its growth is vigorous and makes its $\begin{gathered}\text { make its own } \\ \text { way to new ap- }\end{gathered}$ own way; and, given even wooden blocks and a chisel, plications. the papyrus or $\delta \iota \varphi \vartheta \varepsilon \varrho \alpha$ and reed-pen would, where

13 See some fragments of it in Creuzer's Histor. Grac. Antiquiss. Fragm. who says p. 18, that Eustathius ad Hom. II. I. p. 7 ed. Basil., "laudans illa Strabonis de Prose orationis initiis et alia disputat et illud addit: Herodotum Pherecydis et Hecatæi in orationis genere similem esse." The proem of Hecatæus is cited by Creuzer p. $1_{5}$, from Demetr. de elocut. § $1_{2}$ as being, 'Exo-

 a statement cited from Strabo hy Creuzer p. 9, that Anaximander first produced a map, but that Hecatseus left behind him written matter ( $\gamma \rho \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu \alpha, v, l$.
 XII. 550, VII. 32I, 316 , VI. 271 for citations from Hecatreus.

PART I commerce was open, be sure speedily to follow. I canAntiquities, the not now go through the chapter of this same preface
 axle and wheel tire $\varepsilon \pi i \sigma \sigma \omega \tau \varrho \alpha "$, of the chariots, come in for suspicion, I may quote a fragment of Stesichorus 1. б८ $\alpha \varrho \omega \tau \omega \tau \nu$ ह̇л兀ббю่ $\varrho \omega \nu$, which shows that this feature is not modern in Mr. Paley's sense. (14)

14 A considerable portion of this Preface part I. towards the end appeared some few years since in the Contemporary Review, but I have considerably altered and recast the matter so published.

## PARTII.

CXIII. It remains to notice one or two facts relating part in to the present volume. It has been inordinately delayed by events which I could not foresee. I promised myself to have completed the edition long ere this, when I first began it. It is only half done. This very volume was to have contained two more books, but I was obliged to sacrifice their present publication, in order to avoid a postponement of which I could not calculate the end. But not only has my time been more heavily taxed, but the work of editing has become more laborious. La Roche's edition of the Odyssey, with collations of a considerable array of mss., appeared in Germany shortly after my own first volume appeared here. I determined to avail myself of his labours, and at the same time to collate such as were within my reach at home. I have accordingly collated the following,

Harl. No. $5^{6} 58$ vellum, referred to as . . . $\alpha$ " " 5673 paper, ", ". . . . $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ (in part only,) A ms. in the collection of the late Sir Thos. Philipps Bart. at Cheltenham, referred to as . . . . . . . . . . . $\gamma$
Harl. No. 6325 vellum, referred to as . . . . $\delta$
The Schol. vulg. or Didymi in the Bodleian Library, Oxford, referred to as . . . . . v
The editio princeps Flor. 1488(15), referred to as Fl. and I should also add that the principal Harl. ms. of the poem, No. 5674 in that collection, which Porson collated, has been continually referred to by me in all doubtful passages. I am, further, indebted to the kindness of Mr. F. A. Paley of Cambridge for the collation in book $\mu$. of the Corp. Chr. Coll. ms. It is re-

[^5]part il ferred to as $\varepsilon$, but appears to have been collated before; see pref, to vol. I. § LXIX.
CXIV. It is necessary to state that, in order to agree with the signature of the mss. and other primary sources in the fist volume, those of La Roche have been altered as follows.

| In La Roche's, |  | In this edition. |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | corresponds | with | Vi. 5 |
| D | $"$ | $"$ | Vi. 56 |
| L | $"$ | $"$ | Vi. 133 |
| Q | $"$ | $"$ | Vi. 50 |
| S | $"$ | $"$ | Stu. |
| F | $"$ | $"$ | Fl. |
| E | $"$ | $"$ | Eu. |
| P | $"$ | $"$ | $\gamma$ |
| V | $"$ | $"$ | Vr. |

In the rest of La Roche's mss. I have retained his signatures, putting, however, for the scholl. the small letter corresponding to the capital which denotes the MS. to which those scholl. belong. Thus H. is the Harleian no. 5674, h its scholl. Those of La Roche are as follows; A. the Codex Augustanus, Munich, $5^{19}$ B, - B. the Vindobonensis 307 , - G. that of Vespasian Gonzaga di Columna, - I. the Venetus 457, - K. the same 456 , - M. the same 6r3, - N. the same 647. I have designated the editions by their first letters; Ald. for Aldine, Ro. for Roman, etc.

As regards the Corpus Christi Cambridge ms. I am enabled by the kindness of Mr. SS. Lewis, fellow and librarian, to add the facsimile at the end of this Preface, taken from a tracing of its readings in some particular passages, as to which I had specially enquired. Mr. Lewis describes it as a paper folio, probably written at the close of the $13^{\text {th }}$ century and numbered in Nasmyth's Catalogue LXXXI.

Rugby, October 1872.
H. H.

## OuTささEIAとH．

## SUMMARY OF BOOK VII.

The evening of the $33^{\text {rd }}$ day continues, Nausicaa returns and Odysseus follows her ( 1 -14). Pallas appears, counsels him and conceals him with a mist ( $15-77$ ). The magnificence of the palace of Alcinoüs and the beauty of his gardens are described ( 28 -1 $\mathbf{3 2}$ ). Odysseus, entering unseen, supplicates Aretê, the queen (133-51). His favourable reception is solemnized by a libation $(152-83)$. Alcinoiis appoints a council in honour of Odysseus for the next day ( $184-225$ ). Odyssens, in answer to a question by Aretê, tells briefly his story from his first shipwreck till his meeting with Nansicaa (226-297). After some conversation they retire to rest ( $298-347$ ).

## 


 グ $\delta^{’}$ ő $\tau \varepsilon ~ \delta \grave{\eta}$ ov $\pi \alpha \tau \varrho o \dot{S} \alpha^{\alpha} \gamma \alpha x \lambda v \tau \grave{\alpha}^{c} \delta \omega^{\prime} \mu \alpha \vartheta^{\prime}$ i＇x $\alpha \nu \varepsilon \nu$ ，



 ү○ท̃̈̈s＇A

 Фаиท่น
a $\varepsilon .171, \eta .133$.
b $\Omega .442$, Э．124， c $\gamma .428, \eta .46$.
d d．20－2．
е $\beta .5, \delta .310, \tau$ 267，$\omega .371$.
f $\zeta .57 \mathrm{mar}$ ．
\％cf．$\zeta .91$ ．
h $\Omega .191$.
i 9.277, ，$p .8$.
k ef．$\sigma$ 84，115，$\varphi$ ． 109，$\omega .378, B$. 635.

1 \％．293．
$m$ cf． $\boldsymbol{\gamma} .312$.
n $\Pi$ ． $56, ~ \Sigma .444 ;$
cf．$\xi .232, \boldsymbol{B} .227$.
o $\eta .23,62, \lambda .349$ ．
p ef．ס．160， $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$ ．
467，v．231，o． 520, X．394， $\boldsymbol{I}$ ． 155，297， 302.
 scr．a man．pri．Vi． 56 ，id．suspicor in $\beta$ sed non plane liquet． $5 . \dot{v} \pi \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta^{\prime}-$
 Eu．St．Er．Wo．Ox．Bek．，ү＠ $\begin{aligned} & \text { vis p．t．Fl．Di．Fa．}\end{aligned}$

1－21．Describes Nausicaa＇s returu home and her reception by her brothers and her old nurse．Odysseus on his way is protected by Athenê，who makes him invisible，and near the entrance into the city appears before him in the guise of a young maiden of the place． 1．$\dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \ddot{\boldsymbol{o}} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v}$ र． $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ．$\lambda$ ．The resumption， if $\omega s$ accented be read，somewhat repeats そ． 328 which caused Payne Knight to question the genuineness of that passage．But cos，unaccented， stands also for＂as＂or＂when＂of
 थ．$\tau$ ．$\lambda ., \Gamma$ ． 21,30 тòv $\delta^{\circ} \omega$ óg ovv Ėvó $\begin{aligned} & \sigma \varepsilon \nu\end{aligned}$ к．$\tau$ ．$\lambda$ ．，and with the imperf．， as here，would mean＂whilst he was praying，her the mules were taking．＂

2．$\mu$ ย́vo̧ ท̀uıóvouv，for the＂power－ ful mules＂，as in $\mu$ ह́vos＇Aдหıvóoıo，$\eta$ ． 167,178 ，and the like phrases．

4．$\sigma \tau \tilde{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon v$ used sometimes absolu－
tely，where $i \prime \pi \pi o v s, \nu \tilde{\eta} \alpha \varsigma$ ，or the like is implied by the context；so in $\tau .188$
 x． $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ．$\lambda$ ．on the ethical bearing of this passage see App．F． 2 （13），on the $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \dot{\alpha} \lambda c \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$ ，of Nausicaa in 7 ．see $i b$ ．（28）．
 Whether a conntry，island or city is intended，it is impossible certainly to say．，The name＇A $\pi \varepsilon i \rho \eta$ may probably be $\eta \pi \varepsilon \iota \varrho \circ \frac{s}{c}$ converted into a fem．nom． prop．；ef．＇H $H \alpha \vartheta$ in（ ${ }^{\aleph}$ ．226）from ${ }^{\prime} \mu \mu \alpha$－ $\vartheta 0 s . \eta \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho o s$ is used of any land，even Calypso＇s island（ع． 56 and note）in contradistinction to the sea，but espe－ cially in the Odys．of the neighbour－ ing mainland to Ithaca（ $\xi .97,100$ ， б．84，v．109，ம．377－8）．This，coupled with the apparent nearness of Scherie to the Thesprotians，points to the $W$ ． side of Epirus as a probable site for ＇Aлвíŋ $\eta$ here．
a ¢ $101,186,251$ ； cl． 5.17.
be． $251,308, x$ ． $358, \varphi .181$.
c $\boldsymbol{x} .91$ ．
d 5.255 mar．
e リ． 140, A． 75 ，
П1． $790, \boldsymbol{P} .269$ ， ©． $549 ;$ ef．$E$ ． $776, ~ ๒ .50$.
f $\zeta .313, \quad \eta .74,75$ ， $\boldsymbol{\alpha} .307$ mar．， $\boldsymbol{E}$ ． 116 ；cf．v． 5.
g d． 547 mar．，$\zeta$ 275.
h $\beta 323$ mar．
i J．61；cf． $\boldsymbol{I} \cdot 167$ 192,226, 九． 252.
k H．298，O 345 ， X． 99.
1 I．531， $57 \%$.
m $\varkappa .277, \eta, 16$ mar．，
N． 246.
n $\lambda .39, \Sigma 567$ ．
－$\beta$ ． 383 ，ס．122， є． 337,353, ， .194 ．
p cf． $\mathbf{\Sigma} .418$.
q E． 170, ，© 100， A． 397
r ゆ． $508, \boldsymbol{\alpha} .231$ mar．
S2． 425.
1 ૬． 57 mar．
и ढ．114， 300 ．












13．Fot．19．Fol．20．Fetrvía．
 ab eadem manu $\alpha v \tau \alpha \varrho, \dot{\alpha} \mu \varphi{ }^{2} \delta^{\prime \prime}$ Fl．St．Er．Wo．Ox．in mar．$\alpha$ ，$\alpha v \tau \alpha \rho \alpha \beta$
 abundat） $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ ，ita sed $\mu \iota \nu$ pro $\varepsilon$ Vi． $56 ;$ Vi． 5 et 133 ut vulg．18．$\delta \dot{\delta} \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta^{\prime}$（men－




12－3．As Nausicaa seems to have enjoyed the privacy of her own cham－ ber，$\varepsilon i \sigma \omega$ probably refers to the supper as served there．She does not reappear till the next day $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} .457$ foll．Zenodotus rejected v． 13 because $\delta \alpha i \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon ́ ~ o i ́ \pi \tilde{v} \varrho$ had preceded in $v .7$ ．
 til a quest had been received and his wants provided for，was a rudeness according to the standard of heroic manners；see $\gamma .69-70$ ．

18．غ́Qavvìv．In Chevy Chase（older ballad）occurs＂lovely London＂．The noun $\varepsilon$＇$\rho \alpha v o s$ ，for＂a feast to which the guests contributed＂，should be com－ pared：thus $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \varrho \alpha \nu \nu \eta \grave{\nu}$ may mean＂socially pleasant＂，occurring as it does with a local name，as does often，though not
 of which it is a shortened form；so ovx ย่̇น
＂sociable（of Polyphemus）towards them （my comrades）＂，九．230．This latter is also epith．of $\dot{\delta} \mu \eta \lambda \iota x i \eta v \quad \Gamma,{ }^{1} 75, \dot{\alpha} \mu$－ ßeofinv T．347，353，$\pi \alpha i \delta \alpha \delta^{2} 1_{3}$ ， ழilótytos $\psi .300$ ．Two MSS．have ह$\varrho \alpha \tau \varepsilon \iota v \dot{\eta} \nu$ here with a change in the form of $\delta \dot{v} \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$ previous．
19－21．See App．E． 4 （3）（21）as re－ gards the disguises of Pallas and their ethical effect on the poem．sci $\lambda \pi \tau \nu$ ， perhaps akin to $x \dot{\prime} \lambda \pi \sigma$ viewed as a receptacle．Comp．also Lat．calpar a wine vessel（Varro ap．Non．15，31）． $x \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \pi \eta$ is a bye－form．

21－47．The conversation between Odysseus and the stranger maiden， turning chiefly on cautions to be ob－ served by him in entering the city． Its principal features，as they met his eye，are described．
22．ov่e थैv $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{u} \boldsymbol{\iota}$ ，Aristoph．read $\eta^{\prime \prime}$ $\varrho \alpha($ perhaps $\propto \mathscr{\alpha} \rho \alpha) \mu \circ \iota$ ，displeased perhaps







 $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon^{m} \tau \iota \nu{ }^{\prime} \alpha \nu \vartheta \varrho \omega \prime \pi \omega \nu \pi \varrho о \tau \iota \circ ́ \sigma \sigma \varepsilon 0^{\mathrm{n}} \mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ ह́@ $\varepsilon \varepsilon \iota \nu \varepsilon$.



 бi$\chi \vartheta \omega v$.



a v. 112, B. 669.
b $\eta .11$ mar., $x, 110$.
c ఢ. 193 mar., $\xi$. 511.

11 o. $492, \pi .31, \zeta$. 206, E. 129.
е $\pi \cdot 18, ~$ 1. 270, $\boldsymbol{\Gamma} .49$.
if $B$. T51.
g $\gamma .254, \delta$. 2833, $399,612$.
h $\eta$. 18, 9. 145, 108.
ら. 17x, 194.
k §. 776 mar.
1 ૬. 261 mar., $\omega$. $225, x .445$.
m $\psi .365$.
n e. 389 mar.
$0 \varrho 13, \tau .27, E$. 895 ; cf. $\omega .8, \delta$. 595.

1. $\Omega .464, \pi \cdot 17$; cf. $\xi .381, \boldsymbol{I I}$. 192.
$q \quad \eta, 52, \gamma, 318$.
r cf. $\zeta .271-2, \eta$. cf. B. 792, $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$. 286.
t App. B (3) mar.
и с. 323, 9. 561 .
v ef. $\lambda .125, \psi .272$.
w $\beta .405-6$ mar.
2. F $\alpha v \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \iota$ 25. Foĩ $\alpha$. 26. F'́ $\gamma \alpha \alpha$. 27. $\pi \varrho 0 \sigma \varepsilon ́ \mathcal{F} \ell \pi \varepsilon$.

 27. $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \beta \mathrm{Vi} .133$ sed a man. pri. $\tau \tilde{\omega}$. 30, 31. inter hos medium quendam versum intercidisse suspectum sibi e siglis in cod. Vi. 5 appositis monet Buttm .


 56, vךvбi Vi. 5, 50. 35. $\delta \omega \tilde{x}$ K Kœoví 1 Fl. et in mar. St.
with the apparently familiar tone to a stranger of $o \dot{v} x \not{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\nu} \nu \mu o \iota$, cf. mar. Yet the tone is meant to be that of assumed familiarity, as shewf́ in ${ }^{*}$ vénos.

25-30. ひ́лing, see Buttm. Lexil. 24. voryc@.. vor implies assent to a request as reasonable, mar. - $\boldsymbol{\sigma} i \gamma \eta$ toíov, see on $\alpha$. 209.
$3^{1}$. The direction to "ask no questions" suits the fact of his concealment, and the fact (which we are probably to assume) of his unconsciousness of it.
$32-6$. The character of being wanting in respect for the guest - that first principle of Homeric ethics - is perhaps a touch of nature added from the poet's observation of the habits of a maritime place. That respect would be probably first impaired among a sea-faring po-
pulation who themselves roved everywhere and imported new ideas, and be longest retained among inland populations with fixed territorial habits. So
 274, of whose free-spoken remarks Nansicaa was in dread. Odys. receives at once, it is true, a most friendly reception: but then a good deal of poetic machinery prepares the way for this. -
 some MSS. is here confused with $\tau \eta^{\prime}$ $\lambda 0 \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \varepsilon v$ perhaps introduced fr. 194 inf . גबítuce, see App. B. (3). - véz̧, on these and their marvellous qualities see Э. 556 foll. - vónue this comparison is expanded into a simile in $O .80-2$.

37-8. This dependence and mechanical guidance suits the circumstances of isolation in whichOdys. is placed here, as it does the character of the young
：$\pi$ ． 227.
（1）$/$ ． 789 ，I． 337. X． 445 ，८． 44 ， $r$ ．299．
ref．ク． $72,213$.
del．Z． $92,273,203$.
е $\% .136, \lambda . s, \mu$ ． 1 （4），449，ご，394：
ci．A． 511.
i O．biti，Y． 311 ， に．127，Y． $3 \because 1$ ， 421.

м ァ． 12 mar．；cf．
\％． 15 mar．
h $\% .15$ mar．
i cf．乌．262－9．
k ef． 9 ，16，5－6，
l． 112.
1 M．55，（33；cl． $\Theta .343, O .1$.
m ఢ． 306 mar．
$0 \mathrm{\pi} .335, \delta .44$.
p $\eta, 3$ mar．
E． $420, \boldsymbol{\alpha} .28$ mar．ct sepiss．
q $K 341,477$ ；cf．
乃． 40.
$r \eta .28 \mathrm{max}$.
－cf．$\tau .477$.
। 2． 115, v． 407 ； cf．$\zeta .291$.
＂$\gamma=480, \boldsymbol{B} .445$ ， $\equiv 27$ ．
v $\gamma .66$ mar．，$\lambda$ ． 185－6，ת． 802.
w $\sigma .330-1,390$－
1，Ф． $574-5$.
$x$ ef．＠． 449.
y $\eta .33$ mar．
z $\tau .409$.
ละ $\boldsymbol{\tau} .409$, I． 562.
bb cf．$\Omega .377$.




















 Fl．h．p．，nostram H．a man．pri．et（cum menda） 50 et pro var．l．h．p．，ita


Telemachus in $\beta .405-6$ ，where see note．The entry of Aeneas into Car－ thage，where he infert se septus nebula， will occur to every Virgilian scholar． So Miratur molem Aeneas，magalia quon－ dam，Miratur portas etc．Aen．I． $443,425$.

39－47．vavaıx veroi，H．uses $\nu \alpha v \sigma \iota-$ in compound words，but v$\eta$ vai or $\nu \eta$ ท́عбб८ as simple．See Buttm，II．io6． On the epith．$\varepsilon v \pi \pi$ дóxouos applied to Athenê see App．E． 4 （21）．－arogàg， ＂places of meeting＂．－ $\boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\pi} \sigma \sigma \iota \nu$ ， probably timbers driven into the ground acting as a support for the masonry see App．F．2．（3）（4）（6）．

48－77．Chiefly a family narrative of the royal house，ending with a more
detailed description of the queen Aretê， who seems the most important per－ sonage in it，and whose patronage Odys．is to bespeak．

49－52．лモч＠$\alpha \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \varepsilon \nu$, cf．$\alpha .273 \mu \tilde{v}$－ Эov $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \varrho \alpha \delta \varepsilon \pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu$ ，the notion of declaring or indicating here predomi－ nates．－ $\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \pi \sigma \iota \omega \boldsymbol{v}$ ，this word in H． is limited to the Odyssey．

 a name given as descriptive of or suited to some characteristic of the person． So Odys．is named by Autolycus his grandfather from a sorrowful associa－ tion；so also Cleopatra was named Alcyonê from the sorrow of her mother



















a ૬．7，ク．62，63， 4． 565.
b 0 ． 74 ct stepiss．
c cf．© 5.142.
d ef． $9.116, \lambda .468$.
B．715，I．124，
Z． 252, N． 365.
e $\gamma .465$ mar．
1 cf．$\Delta \cdot 228, ~ \Theta$ ． 114，A． 620 ．
๒ $\eta, 206, \% \cdot 120$ ； ef．5． 5.
$h$ ef．$\psi .68, \omega .428$ ，
B． 115, I．22， X． 104 ．
i cf．$\lambda .241-52$ ．
$\mathrm{k} \eta .56$ mar．
$1 \boldsymbol{\eta} .11$ mar．
m ท．146；сf．§．5，
H．228，II． 146 ．
n $\gamma, 279$ mar．
o 4.223.
1）ef．I．181，ス．6к， $\pi .117$.
if cf．$\tau .247, \lambda .181$ ， ェ． 81 ．
r ク．307，थ． 191. s $\varepsilon .36$ mar．
t t．280，భ．339； cf．I．38－9
u $7.11 \mathrm{mar}, \boldsymbol{9}, 173$ ．
v X．135－6；cl． d． 4.
wef．7． 40 mar．
$x$ cf．it 137，$\delta$ ． $264,5.192$.

57．Fعǐðos．62．Fর́v $\alpha \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v . ~ 68 . ~ F o i ̆ ห о v . ~ 72 . ~ F র ́ \sigma \tau v . ~$
 $\nu \iota \stackrel{S}{v} \mathrm{Vi} .5$ ，quod $\tau i \varepsilon \tau^{\prime}$ postulat．68．$\gamma \varepsilon$ om．ce Vi． 50 ．133，$\varepsilon$ ，$\pi{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Vi} .56 \underset{\varepsilon \pi}{v}$ ， Vi．5，et $\varepsilon \pi^{\prime}$ et $\dot{v} \pi^{\prime}$ agnoscit 1．69．$\tau \varepsilon$ om．$\beta$ ．72．lectionis $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \iota \chi \omega \sigma$ ， vestigium prodit H．
（mar．）．Comp．also the names Eury－ saces，Neoptolemus and（ס．11）Mega－ penthes see App．E． 8 （6）（16）．Here the notion of a child much prayed for（cf．
 the original idea，but passing into the notion of prayed to by all，or＂the adorable＂；cf．the name $\Delta \eta \mu \alpha \rho \alpha \tau o s$. The pedigree stands thus：－

Poscidon
Periboea

Nausithoiis
Rhe exenor Alcinoiis
Aretê．
 Nausithoüs and his wife（name unmen－
tioned），Alcinoüs being，however，in the first generation and Aretê in the second；who were therefore uncle and niece before they were husband and wife；and who，like Rhexenor，have an only child，a daughter，Nausicaa． Nausithoüs it was，who scttled the Phoenicians in Scheriê after a forced migration from Hypereiê；see そ． 7 －ıo and notes，especially as regards their kindred with the giants and cyclopes．

64－9．On this function of Apollo， （shared by Artemis as regards women） of sudden death，see $\gamma \cdot 279-80$ and notes．－ $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon \varepsilon \varrho \iota}$ кeñ $\iota$ ，see on $\varepsilon .35-6$. －xai \＆்бtiv，éariv developes the pre－ sent force always latent in a real per－ fect，which brings a completed fact into present view，the full form would

a cf. $\boldsymbol{\eta} .15$ mar.
b ૬. 313-5 mar.
c $\gamma .371$.
d $\beta_{.} 370$ mar.
e cf. $\pi .341$.
f ع. $34, \zeta . S, v$. 160.

ร. B. $571,591,607$.
h ef. $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$. 362 .
i J. 246, B. 12,29 $66,141,329, I$ $28, \approx 88$.
k $\gamma$. 278, 307, $\lambda$. $323, \boldsymbol{B} .546,549$.
1 B. 547 .
m §. 134 mar.
n e. 381 mar.
o $\sigma$ 344; cf $\delta .427$,
N. 282, $\boldsymbol{Y} .169$.

р $\eta .89, \Theta .15$; cf.
ழ. 43, 9.80 .
q J. $45-6$ mar.
r $\boldsymbol{\eta} .95,2 \boldsymbol{\mu} .281$,














$$
\text { 76. Fe } \lambda \pi \omega \varrho \eta \text { фílovs Fıঠ́́sıv. 77. Foĩxov. 82. Foı. }
$$








74. The balance of evidence seems in favour of $\tilde{\eta} \sigma i v$, although oĩoiv has been preferred by all recent editors. $\eta_{\eta}^{\tau} \sigma \iota v$ is further confirmed by the probably corrupted reading of the ed. Rom.
 "between (those women) whom she discreetly advises and their husbands she reconciles differences", $\varepsilon \stackrel{v}{v} \varphi \varrho o v \varepsilon ́ \omega \nu$ meaning in H. rather prudential discernment than kindly feeling.

78-94. Athenê departs to Athens, having accomplished her mission. Odys. then enters the domain of Alcinoiis. The impression produced on him by the splendour of the palace is described. Copper walls, golden doors and silver doorposts, with golden and silver watch-dogs, animated marvels, are the chief external details.

79-81. The mention of Athens in conjunction with Erechtheus, and of both with the goddess, is in harmony with the passage relating to that region in the Catalogue, B. 547-51. Erectheus is there the son of the soil ( $\tau \varepsilon$ re $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ $\left.\xi \varepsilon i \delta \omega \rho \circ \varsigma{ }^{\prime} \rho \circ v \varrho \alpha\right)$ reared by Athenê and raised to divine honours in her temple
as the local hero (Эั\&òs ह̇л兀хต́oıos). Theseus, although mentioned, had not attained that eminence ( $\lambda .322,631$, A. 265). He belonged to the early generation whom Nestor had known, and was now dead. Thus the Homeric poems are older than the deification of Theseus, and if this passage and that of B. 547 foll. are later additions (as Bekker regards the two latter) then the poems are so much the older still. The present passage ( 79 foll.) has the air of an interpolation to glorify A thens; but cannot now be detached withoutviolating the integrity of the whole. After

 The verb $\delta \tilde{v} \nu \varepsilon$ occurs in pres. P. 392 $\delta \dot{v} \nu \varepsilon \iota \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \tau^{\prime} \quad \dot{\alpha} \lambda o \iota \varphi \eta$.
86. '่̇ $\lambda \eta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \boldsymbol{\tau}$ ', I have followed Buttm. Irr. Verbs s. $v$. in this reading. He compares the Ionic form $\pi \varepsilon \pi \tau \varepsilon \alpha \tau \alpha \iota$. The preponderance of MSS is against any form from $\varepsilon \varrho \varepsilon i \delta \sigma$, although $\Psi .329$
 slightly countenances it. Comp. on the contrary Hes. Theog. $7^{26}$ vì $\nu \pi \varepsilon \varrho i \quad \chi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda$ xєov ह'อхоя है่ $\lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \alpha \tau \alpha \iota$.




 oưs＂Н甲 ${ }^{\text {＂}}$


a $\eta .96, \pi .285, \chi$ ． 270.
b o． 267.
c App．F． 1 （19）mai
d v．109，o． 267.
e $\zeta .134$ mาr．
f B． 845, I． 104.
－App．F．2（16）mas．
h $\eta$ ． 83 mar．
i $\alpha .441$ mar．
k Y．12，A． 608 ． 5． 233 mar．，$\Sigma$ 350.

1 ク． 85.
m K．312，399， 119.
n ع． 136 mar．
o cf．$\tau .230,489$.

92．Fıঠ＇vínбıv．


 fallor $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ．

86－90．For the structural details see App．F． 2 （3）（16）（23）and for the Э甲izrog rvávoto App．F．I（19）．－
 the order exhibited by the MSS．ap－ parently scanning $\delta \check{\varepsilon}$ before $\sigma \tau$ ．．The instance of＇Iб ${ }^{\text {I }} \iota \alpha$ ins，B．537，is hardly parallel since the $\iota$ there has probably a consonantal force $=y$ ；rather comp．


The lines $84-5$ are borrowed from the description of Menelaus＇palace as seen from within，$\delta .43-6$ ：but Odys． has not yet come to the threshold．The whole passage down to 94 seems to relate to the view which struck him as he approached．I should be inclined to reconstruct it thus omitting 84：
 x $\alpha$ ह̀ हैv ${ }^{\circ} \alpha$
 xvóvoto，
thus dropping the latter part of 85 and the first part of 87 ．The word $i \sigma \tau \alpha-$ $\mu \dot{v} \nu \omega$ in 83 will naturally mean that he paused somewhere in the $\alpha v^{3} \lambda \dot{\eta}$ ，which lay always in front of the palace and must be crossed to reach it．Then the above distich would describe what he saw in pausing，roǐoo being the walls of the $\alpha v\langle\eta$ itself reaching up to the mansion（ $\delta \tilde{\omega} \mu \propto \quad x \alpha \vartheta^{\prime} \dot{v} \psi$ ．）and the $\vartheta$ Эryoos surmounting them．The gates， threshold and doorposts would form the front centre of his view；the garden， or o＂$\rho \chi \alpha \tau o s$ ，outside the $\alpha \dot{v} \lambda \dot{\eta}$ ，would be behind，but visible by a mere turn of the head．Similarly in e． 260 foll．

Odysseus disguised and Eumaeus pause probably in the $\alpha v \lambda \dot{\eta}$ ，and Odys．re－ marks on the members of the structure the $\tau 0 \check{\chi} \chi o s$ of the $\alpha v \lambda \eta$ and its $\vartheta \varrho \iota \gamma$ кol， doors，etc．
 oi $\alpha \vec{v} \lambda \dot{\eta}$


Similarly in $\xi$ ． 5 foll．as Odys．ap－ proaches the lodge of Eumaeus，the $\alpha v \lambda \eta$ is described as $\pi \varepsilon \rho^{\prime} \delta \rho o \mu o s$ ，re－ ferring to its fence，as is shown by the mention of the vorynos in the words

 belongs to the $\alpha \dot{v} \lambda \dot{\eta}$ not the $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \alpha \rho \circ v$ as our text here would imply．Such a de－ scriptive passage would probably have tempted the ingenuity of rhapsodists to tamper with it．
 not elsewhere occur．The word ővids being non－Homeric condemns v． 94.
 the correct reading．In $\tau .4^{89}$ ov้б $\eta_{S}$ has been corrected to $\alpha v i \tilde{\eta} s$ ．I should， however，be inclined to reject there $487-90$ as dressed up from $495-8$ of Euryclea＇s reply．

94－132．A glimpse of the palace interior with its golden statues，and fifty handmaids engaged in household work，here follows：then，retrospecti－ vely a view of the garden with its perpetually ripening crops of fruit and garden plots is thrown into the picture．
a ef．$\vartheta$ ．422，$\pi$ ． 408，ৎ． 32, v． 150.
b 7.86 mar．
c o．105，Z．289， ת． 796.
1）\％．223－4．9． 280 ．
（1）工．54に，$\Omega$ ．5so．
f к．ӟнi mat．，$T$ ． 245.
！ $7,136,186, \gamma$ 186， 210.
Һ $\pi .311$, K． 198.
і \％．З®it．$\sigma .3$.
$k \zeta$ ．si mat．
1 ct．$\eta$ ． 11.
in $A$ ． 448 ．
n $\alpha$ ．12s mar．
o $\Lambda 4.181$, O． 717
1 $\tau$.
4）$X .421$.
r．．6． $6, \pi .108, v$. 318，I． 473.
if cf．v．105－9．
ro． 517, C． 125.
s \％． 53 mar．
i $\boldsymbol{Y} .12$ ．
u $\% .510$ ．


#### Abstract

           



 p．q．Eu．Ro．Wo．tum in mar．St．et Ern．104．$\mu v ́ \imath \eta s$ Fl．Bek．，$\mu v ́ l o \iota s ~ V ., ~$ $\mu v ́ \lambda \eta s$ Vi 56 b．p．q．t．Porphyr．（ex e．）Eu．Ro．St．Barn．Er．Wo．et recentt． 1०5．vं甲 $\omega \sigma \iota \boldsymbol{\beta}$ Vin．56，v́甲о́шбє Vi． 133.
 notice．In $\Gamma$ ． 358 ，however，we have ทु＠ウ่ৎยєбто：also in Hes．Scut．Her． 362 ทุ＠cícoto．Apollon．Rh．II． 320 uses

 from छ̊eine．The doors，which were double and wide，see App．F． 2 （23）， being supposed open，these details would be within view from the $\pi \rho^{\circ}$－ $\vartheta v \varrho \alpha$ ．

98－9；For éf＠tócvto see App．A． 2 ，for غлทยтとvov see $\delta .89$ and note．

100．rov̌＠ot，these perhaps are to be regarded，like the watch－dogs，as ani－ mated works of art．By $\beta \omega \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu$ we must understand merely plinths or plat－ forms，like those in $\Theta$ ．441，on which the chariots rest，where Hesych．has the explanation $\beta \alpha \dot{\sigma} \varepsilon \sigma \iota$.

104．The Scholl．and Eustath．notice an interpretation of this line，only， however，to reject it，＂rub the yellow wool（ $\alpha \propto \rho \pi \dot{\circ}$ ，$\tau \tilde{\nu} \nu \pi \varrho о \beta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega \nu$ ）on their



They cite Porphyry as condemning it， and rendering，＂grind the yellow grain in a mill＂，which is undoubtedly cor－ rect；comp．v． 105 foll．，where the $\mu$＇s $\lambda \alpha \iota$ are mills worked by $\gamma v v \alpha i x \varepsilon s{ }_{\alpha}{ }^{\alpha} \lambda-$ $\varphi \iota \tau \alpha$ $\tau \varepsilon \dot{v} \chi 0 v \sigma \alpha \iota$ иоi $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon i \alpha \tau \alpha$ ．The in－ dividual $\gamma v v \dot{\eta}$ is there called $\alpha^{3} \lambda \varepsilon \tau \rho i s:$ see note on $\beta$ ． 290.

106．The simile seems to illustrate the words $\sigma \tau \varrho \omega \varphi \tilde{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu \eta_{\eta}^{\prime \prime} \mu \varepsilon v \alpha \iota$ ，rapid motion in working combined with a fixed position at work．The way in which the leaves of the poplar tremble and shew both sides，yet without quitt－ ing their hold on the bough，is meant． Some would limit it to illustrate $\eta_{\mu} \mu \varepsilon \nu \alpha \iota$ ， ＂sitting as close as leaves on a poplar＂ （Eust．and Scholl．）．The Scholl．quote a fragment of the Aegeus of Sophocles



 $\mu \alpha x$－＠ós we have $\mu \alpha x \varepsilon \delta \nu \dot{s}$, as fr．$\pi \varepsilon$ ． lòs $\pi \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \grave{s} \pi \varepsilon \lambda \iota o ̀ s, \pi \varepsilon \lambda \iota \partial \nu o ̀ s ; ~ c o m p . ~$ also Aeschyl．Pers． $700 \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \varepsilon \mu \alpha x_{\imath}$－











b $\zeta .79$ mar．$\Psi^{S} .281$.
c cf．$\lambda .216$.
d $\zeta .233$.
e cf． $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ ．168－9．
f p． 117 mar；cf． ち． 233.
g．2．367，P． 470.
h ci．九． 235.
i $\omega, 222,245$.
k $\sigma .374$ ．
1 ミ．564，I． 579.
m v．196，غ． 238 mar．
n 2． $589-90$.

108．Fíd＠ıяs． 1 it．Fध́ø $\alpha$ ．


 $\tau \varepsilon \chi \nu \tilde{\eta} \sigma \tau \alpha \iota \beta$ ，iбтõv $\tau \varepsilon \chi \nu \tilde{\eta} \sigma \sigma \alpha \iota$ Vi． $5^{6} \tau \varepsilon \chi \nu \varepsilon ́ \sigma \sigma \alpha \iota$ Bek．Di．Fa．，$\tau \varepsilon \chi \nu \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$ Vi．50，
 utrumque h．，$\varepsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \alpha \tau \tau \alpha \iota$ Eu．et fere omnes．II4．pro $\mu \alpha x \varrho \alpha$ Athen．I．I9 $x \alpha \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ ，

 contra edd．omnes．

107．rotooббと́ $\omega v$ ，the vulg．is rou－ ＠oб\＆$\omega v$ ，but the argument of the Scho－ liasts，who plead Aristarchus＇authority， and deduce the word from кoцюо์яя the adj．of xo兀̆oos（the row of thrums for weaving，plainly requires $\sigma \sigma$ ，the $\varepsilon \in \omega \nu$ as in óvovéตv being then read in synizesis．The full form would be $x \alpha \iota-$ ＠oعббと́ตv．The word means＂closely－ woven＂，so closely that the oil runs off．From $\Sigma$ ．596， $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{3}$ ко бтiג $\varepsilon$ हो $\lambda$ ico it is probably that oil was used as a varnish to wearing linen．The words
 and $\boldsymbol{\alpha < i \rho \omega \mu \alpha , ~ f o r m e d ~ u p o n ~} \boldsymbol{\alpha < \rho \rho o s , ~ a r e ~}$ also cited by Eustath．and the Scholl．， the former giving the fragm．$v \delta \alpha \dot{\sigma} \tau \nu 0 \nu$


108－9． $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma \sigma 0 \nu$ x．$\tau$ ．$\lambda$ ．＂as the men are expert seamen，so（ $\omega_{S} \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ ）the wo－ men are skilled at weaving＂．
 $\tau \varepsilon \chi \nu \eta \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \sigma \alpha \iota$ confirms the $\sigma \sigma$ ．The word， however，seems properly the 1 ．aor． infin．of $\tau \varepsilon \chi \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$ ．The formations on the fut．stem from verbs in－$\alpha^{\prime} \omega$ ，$-\dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ in H．，when the metre demands a long syllable，either change $-\alpha,-\varepsilon$ into $-\eta$ as in the common standard form otrj
$\sigma \alpha \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$ ，or double the $\sigma$ ，as in $\pi \alpha^{\prime} \sigma-$ $\sigma \alpha \sigma \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \alpha \iota$ ．Here by some caprice of orthography the two seem united．This， being unusual，may have give rise to the notion that we have here $\tau \varepsilon \chi \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \varepsilon-$ $\sigma \alpha \iota$ contracted，and this in turre to the corruption of $\tilde{i} \sigma \tau \dot{\nu} \nu$ into $\hat{i} \sigma \tau \tilde{\nu} \nu$ as if dependent upon it．This likewise accounts for the variant $\tau \varepsilon \chi \nu \tilde{\eta} \sigma \tau \alpha \iota$ ．－ On ili see note on $\beta$ ．115－26．On Pallas as the communicator of gifts of skill etc．see App．E． 4 （ 17 ）．

112－3，őoxatog our＂orchard＂， from trees planted in a row，comp．
 oo 0 os is used Hes．Scut．294， 296 for the actual row of the vineyard，the Latin antes；so Aristoph．Acharn． 997
 Pax 568 нєто́＠хıov，so Schol．on Theocr．
 qعi⿱亠䒑𧰨刂 referring to Hes．„ The word
 On terocizvog see App．F． 2 （5）． Eustath．interprets，＂having a $\gamma \dot{\eta} \eta$ （ $\gamma \dot{\eta} \eta_{s}$ ，measure of land）in each of its four sides＂．－$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda c \boldsymbol{c} \boldsymbol{c} \boldsymbol{c}$ var．l．＇ॄŋך＠$\delta \delta \alpha \tau$＇here，testifying to a confusion between the text here and in vv．86， 95 sup．
a w． 246,310 ．
b cf．$\mu .76, \xi 384$ ．
c ef．ঠ． 567.
d $Z 148$ ．
e ef．J．Jlis，$\Theta$ ． 513.
f сf．N．131， $\boldsymbol{\Pi}$. 215.
※ $\varepsilon$ ．（ 69 ，（ 1 ）313，
工． 561.
h $\omega .220$ ．
i 丂． 98 ．
$k \leq$.











1ュ6．$\sigma v x \alpha i, ~ p . ~ E u, ~ F l . ~ R o . ~ S t . ~ W o ., ~ \sigma v x \varepsilon ́ \alpha \iota ~ r e c e n t t ., ~ \sigma v x \alpha i ́ V i . ~ 56 . ~ E r ., ~ \sigma v x \varepsilon ́ \alpha \iota ~ \boldsymbol{~}$.
 Eu．cod．Bentl．Ro．Barn．Wo．et recentt．et in mar．St．120．o้ $\gamma \chi \eta \delta^{\circ} \varepsilon \varepsilon \pi \pi^{\prime}$
 －$\lambda \grave{\eta}$ Vi．50，І 33．124： 125．$\tau \varrho \alpha \pi \varepsilon ์ \circ v \sigma \iota$ ill $\tau \alpha \tau \varepsilon ́ 0 v \sigma \iota ~(p r o ~ \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon ́ o v \sigma \iota ?) ~ c o r r . ~ V i . ~ 1 ~ 33 ; ~ m o x ~ \pi \alpha ́ \varrho o \iota \vartheta \varepsilon v ~ \delta ’ ~ \beta . ~$

118．غ่лをчぞбוog not admitting the $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{F} \varepsilon ́ \tau 0$ casts suspicion upon $118-9$ ．
 plot is（has been）planted；comp．$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega \tilde{\eta} s$ oivo $\frac{1}{\delta} \delta o \iota o \quad \alpha .190$ and note there．There is no trace here of arable land，for $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega \eta$ to mean the threshing－floor（area） here，－a sense which it also bears； as $e . g$ ．in Hes．Scut．291－2，oí $\delta^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime \prime} \varrho^{\prime} \varepsilon \in v$
 oi $\delta$＇ṡ $\tau \varrho \dot{\gamma} \gamma \omega \nu$ oi＇vas．The sequel here shows，however，that something ana－ logous to such a floor was included， viz．in the drying－ground 123.

123．हैtcoov，this might mean＂the further side＂，－comp，the explana－ tion given of $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon ́ \varrho o v$ ह̂t $\varepsilon$ g $\omega \vartheta \varepsilon v$ in App． F． 2 （20）（26）．The inference，however， suggested by $\varepsilon$ é＠í $\xi \omega \tau \alpha \iota 122$ is，that the plantations lay on one side，the drying－ ground on the other；thus $\lambda \varepsilon v \rho \tilde{\sim} \dot{\varepsilon} v i \chi$ ． contrasted with ह́＠$i \xi \omega \tau \alpha \iota$ explains the contradistinction implied in $\varepsilon$ ह́v $\varepsilon \varrho \circ \nu$ ． Taken thus $\lambda \varepsilon v \varrho \omega$ means＂clear of trees＂rather than＂levelled＂．－вiдó－ $\pi \varepsilon \delta o v$ originally $\mathcal{F} \varepsilon i \lambda o ́ \pi \varepsilon \delta \delta \nu$ see Cur－ tius 659 and II p．， 145 ，who recognizes the $\mathcal{F}$ in $\varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime \prime} \lambda \eta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon \alpha \alpha \lambda \omega \eta$ ．The $\vartheta$ arose from $\tau \varepsilon$ before $\varepsilon i$－to stop the gap after $\mu \varepsilon \varepsilon v$ short in thesis．The true form is suggested by $\tau \tilde{\omega} v$ siरo－
$\pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \delta \omega \nu$ к $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \varepsilon \lambda \omega \dot{\nu} \omega \nu$ Elor．Schol．on Eurip．Or． 1481 ．The var．l．Э $\varepsilon i \lambda o \pi \varepsilon$－ $\delta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \iota \nu \nu \delta \varepsilon \iota \lambda o \pi \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \iota \iota \nu$ in Dioscor．（Ni．） is probably founded on the corrupt
 $\sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ ท่ $\varepsilon \lambda$ ．comp．Virg．Georg．II 522，93， in apricis coquitur vindemia saxis，and the passo Psithia utilior，passum being a name given to wine made of grapes so doubly ripened．
 accusatives refer probably to $\sigma \tau \alpha \varphi v \lambda \alpha_{S}$ （12I），the $\pi 0 \lambda v x . \dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega \dot{\eta}$ carrying on the image of vineyards in the mind－＂they are gathering some grapes while they crush others＂．－ceच̈ $\gamma \omega \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ，the omission of the $\tau \varepsilon$ ，which many MSS． have，leaves the $v$ long here；comp． $\tau \varrho \bar{v} \gamma, \eta \tau \eta \varrho_{\substack{~}}$ ves．Scut．293，but 292 oí $\delta$＇$\varepsilon ่ \tau \varrho v \gamma \omega \nu .-\tau \varrho \propto \pi \varepsilon ์ ๐ v \sigma \ell$, whence trapetum for the oil－mill，Virg．Georg．
 V interprets this＂in front＂，Eustath．： ＂before the eyes＂，i．e．not expected merely．The former seems best，as the intention evidently is to exhibit the local arrangement，and state how the ground was laid out．There are suc－ cessive earlier stages of nature＇s pro－ cess－the blossom，the unripe grape， and the ripening，all presented to－














a $\omega .247$.
b）$\omega .341$ ．
c $\boldsymbol{N} .265, \quad$ T． 359.
d $\varepsilon 70$ ．
e App．F． 2 （5）mar．
f $\varrho 206, \%{ }^{\prime} 105$.
g Г．65，II．381， $867 ; \cdots$ T．3，18， Y． $265, \Omega .534$.
h ع．75－6．
i $\boldsymbol{v} .63, \pi .41, \varrho$ ． $575, \psi .88, \omega$ 177， 443.
k 7.98 mar
13． 79, A． 276 К． 301 Е． 144 II． 164, 2ノ． 457 573.
m 9．89，Z． 259.
n ع． 43 mar
o $\gamma .334, \quad \sigma .418$ $424-7$.
p $\gamma .334, v .138$.
q e．255；cf．$\sigma$ ． S $41, \chi .495$. 134．F\＆$\tilde{\omega}$ ．
 Ro．et in mar．St．，v́dévóovio St．Barn．Er．Wo．et recentt．I32．चoíć $\varrho^{\prime}$ p． q．t．Barn．，$\tau 0 \imath^{\prime} \varkappa^{\prime} \rho^{\prime}$ h．Eu．Fl．Ro．St．Ox．Wo．et recentt．135．d＇${ }^{\prime} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ Vi． 5 ．
gether，as if parallel to those of hus－ bandry previously mentioned－the sun－drying，the gathering，and the crushing；all which，however，are per－ formed on the ripe fruit．－viлолعৎх．， formed from $\pi \varepsilon$ غ́os or $\pi \varepsilon \varrho x \nu o s$, lividus．
 the eagle in $\Omega .316$ ，distinguishing him by his colour．The $v \pi 0$－here，as in ขлє́ৎvテ̃＠os＇Thucyd．II．49；cf．subfuscus， Tac．Agric．12，denotes incompleteness， and marks the gradual change：so the Schol．ov̉xi ő $\lambda \alpha \iota$ ．Another similar com－ pound occurs in Sophocl．Thyest．Fragm．

阝о́теия．

127－8．veicuovö．，carrying on the local laying out，these＂beds＂are be－ side the＂farthest row＇．This sense is
 0． 108 and $\tau \alpha \nu \varepsilon i \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon i \rho \alpha \vartheta^{\prime}$＇＇rk $\quad \alpha \iota$ roíps rod лóvтоьo ©．478－9．We have $\nu \varepsilon ́ o s, \nu \varepsilon \alpha \rho o ́ s ~(B .289), ~ \nu \varepsilon i \alpha \chi \tau o s, ~ a s ~ i f ~$ degrees of comparison in H．，as well


 Hy．Ceres 8－10，also the neqvaiov ravos of Aeschyl．Pers． 485 （Palcy．）

Here＂in perpetual brilliancy＂．In H． commonly of armour，so mar．；comp． $\gamma \alpha \nu v \mu \alpha \iota$ ．

129－31．One fountain sent its stream towards the garden（ $\boldsymbol{v} \dot{\eta} \pi 0 \nu={ }^{\prime \prime} \rho \chi \alpha \tau 0 \nu$ 112），the other towards the palace be－ neath the＂entry of the outer court＂ （App．F． 2 （5））．í $\eta \sigma \iota \nu$ here intrans．

 describes their habit．

133－52．Odys．entering finds the Phæacians on the point of retiring for the night．He supplicates the queen Aretê，and，the mist which Pallas had spread around him rolling away，en－ treats that he may be sent home．

137－45．＇A＠yeupóvty see on $\gamma$ ．332 and App．C． 2 （latter part）as regards the probable Phoenician origin of this deity．This trait in the Phæacian worship suggests that they are a poetic reflex of the Phœonicians，so also their seaman－ship etc．Hermes is also the special deity of sleep and with his wand lulls the eyes of men and wakens them from sleep，\＆．47－8．－deic $\delta \tilde{\omega} \mu \boldsymbol{c}$ ，the fire round which the party sat being towards the upper end of
a $\% .15$ mar．
b $\mathrm{ef} . \geqslant, 66$ ．
c $\varrho .38,{ }^{6} .347$
d ל． 142 mar．；cf． A． $407,500, Z$ ．
45，ゆ．65，68，
$71, \Omega .357,465$.
cf． $\boldsymbol{Y} .311$.
i $\beta .210$ mar．
g ל． 199.
h \％． 481, X． 414.
i $\eta .63$ mar．
k $\delta .322$ mar．
1 pr 343 mar．
1 m ๆ． $413, \omega .402$ ；
cf． $0.354,3.42$.
no． $2 \pm$.
0 ef．1． 10 mar．
1 \％． 193 max．
4 ८．518，ג． 357 ；
cf．$\beta .253, \pi .355$ ．
d． 474 mar．
a． 49 mar．
t $\boldsymbol{c}, 190$, \＆． $33, \varrho$ ．
444， 594.
${ }^{\mathrm{u}}$ ß． 224 mar．
$v$ ． 52 mar．；ef．
ג． 191.
w $\beta_{,} 82$ mar．，$\delta$ ． 285，ч．239， 385.



















the $\mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma \propto \rho o \nu$ ；see App．F． 2 （20）．－ ơvと $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ see on $\beta$ ． $239-40$ ．

146－50．$\pi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota v$ ，although the queen＇s influence is great，the king＇s proper personal dignity is recognized，ro $\tilde{v} \gamma \dot{\alpha}$ e
 is nothing like unfeminine forwardness in Aretê．She does not speak even to her husband till the $\gamma \varepsilon ́ \rho o \nu \tau \varepsilon s$ have retired， 233 ；although the pause is evidently an awkward one（154－5）； but leaves it to the senior of the as－ sembled guests．A heroic etiquette in these matters is probably to be under－ stood．Comp．Soph．Ajax 293，rv́vaı，
 tvuóvas，they being the royal coun－ cillors，to bespeak their favour is proper．－Эعoì．．．סo兀̃とv，comp．the similar propitiatory prayer of Chryses to the Atridae in A． 18 foll，$v \mu \check{\iota} v \mu \varepsilon े v$ Эย App．A．3．（3）．－子é＠as，this might be any prize for honourable services （which Odys．，courtier－like，by impli－ cation ascribes to the guests），some－ times a female slave，$\eta$ ．10；so П． 56 ，

víss＇$A \chi \alpha \omega \tilde{\omega}$ ，cf．$\Sigma^{\prime}$ ．444．It comprehends even the regale itself，as in＇Oסvбб ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\circ}$

 ह̇v $\chi \varepsilon \varrho i$ Ə $\eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \varepsilon$ ，says Achilles to Aeneas， in case of the latter＇s killing him；and it includes the complimentary portions assigned at a banquet to the king，or councillors，cf．$\nu \omega \bar{\tau} \tau \alpha$ ßoós ．．．$\tau \alpha$ of $\gamma \varepsilon ́ \rho \alpha \pi \alpha \alpha^{\circ} \vartheta \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \nu \alpha v \tau \omega ̃(M \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega), \delta$. 65－6；but more often signifies an estate （ $\tau \dot{\varepsilon} \mu v \nu_{\mathrm{S}}$ ），such as the Lycians gave Bellerophon，and the Calydonians of－ fered Meleager Z． 194 foll．I． 576 foll．

153－81．The startled silence which succeeded Odysseus＇appearance is broken by Echeneüs the senior of the party who remonstrates with Alcinoüs on the guest being kept in suspense． Alcinoüs graciously receives him and gives suitable orders．
 end．rovinat，the ashes from the fire； the attitude is one of humiliation：also the sanctity of the hearth seems ap－ pealed to；so Odys．makes the iovin of his own palace the subject of an

















## 170




a d）．Th；Ha：
1） $1.8: 3: 3$
с d．20．，J3．こう．．．， р．沓）（1）．1（6） cf．I． 161.
i）$\beta .158$ mat．，it． 219.
$\Omega, 52, \gamma, 69$.
［ T．336；cl．$\beta$ 403 mar．
っ．M． 38, T． $2=3$ ； cf． $9.288, \boldsymbol{\Psi}$ S． 300.
h $x .314,366, \chi$ ． 341, 玉． $38^{4}$.
i $5: 319, \Omega$ ．515； （cl．5．7，ク，170， S．T6t．
k $\eta$ 1s0 $-1, Z . こ .5^{4}$ Л． 287 ，乌．ごに， т． 365.
1 थ．5． $16, \tau$ ，1\％ 1
 m ら．209；cl．ce．1； mar．
n cf．$\eta .176, \alpha, 140$
0 Э．2，4，385，421， v． $20,24, \sigma .34$ ．
p छ． 319, A． 646 ， 778, ゆ． $416, \quad E$ $30, \Omega .515, ~(b)$ $28 t$ ．
$q \quad \gamma \cdot 163, \alpha$ 4s mat
$r$ ． 59 mar．
s． 86 mar ．
O．64， $\boldsymbol{\eta} .163$ mar． u ef．O． 516.
v Э．7，玉． 422.
w $\alpha .435$.
x $\alpha, 136-40$ mar．
${ }^{1} 55 . \mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \dot{f} \mathcal{\varepsilon} \frac{1 \pi \varepsilon .}{}$
157．F\＆ı $\delta \omega \dot{g}$ ．
158．$\mu \varepsilon \tau \dot{\varepsilon} F \varepsilon \iota \pi \varepsilon \nu$ ．
159．Féfoıxยv． 164．Foìvov． 171．Fou．



expresses the involuntary stillness of astonishment，so this the deliberate hush of expectation．

156－9．ग＠oうとvéaregos，the senior speaks first as in the $\dot{\alpha} \gamma o \rho \dot{\eta}$ in Ithaca， ß． 15 foll．－̇̇zézcuto，see on $\gamma .282$. －ov ．．．zíc $\lambda \lambda \iota o v=\alpha i \sigma \chi \iota o v, ~ a$ respectful way of intimating a distaste－ ful remark．
 action of Themistocles when a sup－ pliant at the court of Admetus，Thucyd．


 غ́бтíav．－ioquvóavtoct，we find in H．$i^{\prime} \sigma \chi \omega, i \sigma \chi \alpha \nu \omega$ ，x $\alpha \tau \iota \sigma \chi \alpha, \nu \omega$ and $i \sigma \chi \alpha-$ $\nu \alpha ́ \omega$ ，as here．This must be care－ fully distinguished from iббvoivต to wither，dry，not found in H．，who has，



 probably dat．The form in－ope from a noun fem．is unusual．

170－2．The turning out his son is a token of honour for the guest，en－
 $\mu \iota \nu$ being the son，with a change of subject as in $\alpha .7$ r．－$\chi \dot{\varepsilon} \varrho \nu \boldsymbol{\nu} \beta \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ a ce－ remonial sanctity seems involved in the act，implying an admission to the family circle for the time：so Telem． receives the stranger in $\alpha .136$ ，and Clytemnestra says to Cassandria Eschyl．Agam．1003（Paley）ब＇हैं $\eta \boldsymbol{1}$
 $\chi \varepsilon \varrho \nu i \beta \omega v$ ，Soph．Oed．R． $240 \mu r_{j} \tau \varepsilon$ $\chi \varepsilon ́ \varrho \nu \iota \beta \propto \varsigma$ ขє́usเv．Comp．also $\gamma .445$. Athenaeus I． 15 remarks that the cere mony is not observed in the 11．For the detail see on $\alpha$ ．136－43．
a \& ! ! m mar., 气
$10:-10$.

1) $\gamma .1$ mar.
c. $\eta .167$ mar.

11 v. $50-3, \% .3 .3 ;$ -7, v. 253, $\gamma$. $303 . \pi .423$.
c. $\eta 164$ mar.

1 S. 28.4.
$\because \gamma$. 210 mar., $\sigma$. 425.

11 $\gamma, 342$ mar.
i $\geqslant .136$ mar.
К $\boldsymbol{I}$. $456, \boldsymbol{H} .68$,
249, 369.
1 $\sigma .408,418$; cf.
$\alpha .121$ mar.
m cf. $\rho, 265$.
ㄱ A. 344 ; ef. $O$.
303.
o $\gamma, 355$.
1' $\delta .473$ mar.
ฯ ع. 173, 233, $\leftrightarrows$
290, $\quad$. 151, $\lambda$.
$332,352,357$.
re. 26, ס. 474 mar.
s $\check{.} 312$.
t ef. $\boldsymbol{Y} .370$.
u сf. ع. 179, 187, $\gamma .152$ mar.
v $\alpha .49,190$, ع. 33 , 2. 411.
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 193. "' $\varphi$ ' pro $\tilde{v}^{*} \varphi^{\prime}$ Ro. 195. $\mu \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \eta \gamma v^{\prime} \tau \varepsilon$ Vi. 50 et post rasuram H.
174. This $\nabla$. is condemned by four Scholl. It suits the context here as well as that in $\delta .54$.
176. This v. has a pertinence here which in $\alpha$. 140 (see note there) it has not. Here it is in effect the execution of the king's order in 166 sup. the
 there. The guest's arrival took them all by surprise and the banquet was over (see 137-8). To serve him whith whatever was ready at the moment was therefore a natural thing, to do. The $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{i}^{i} \delta \alpha \tau \alpha$ are probably $={ }^{\prime} \psi \alpha$ in
$\gamma .480$, and to be understood as consisting of flesh.

182-206. The king gives notice of a banquet in honour of the guest for the morrow and states his intention of sending the wanderer home; but seems half to suspect he may be a deity in disguise.
188. See on $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$. 340.

195-6. Alcinoüs speaks as if with a confidence derived from similar successful efforts on behalf of strangers ; comp. v. 174-80.
 $\pi \varepsilon i \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ \ddot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \alpha$ оi $\alpha \tilde{i} \sigma \alpha^{b} x \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} x \lambda \omega ̃ \vartheta$ ह́s $\tau \varepsilon \beta \alpha \varrho \varepsilon i ̃ \alpha \iota$









 бยv́s．


[^6]196．$\pi \varrho i v \gamma^{\prime}$ غ゙т $\mathcal{F} \tilde{\eta} s$ ，cf．Z． 465.


 $\beta \propto \rho \varepsilon i \alpha \iota$ b．h．p．q．t．et edd．recentt．198．रıүvouє́v๗ Bek．Fa．，$\gamma \varepsilon \iota \nu о \mu \varepsilon ์ v \omega$



 $\xi v \mu \beta \lambda \tilde{\eta} \tau \alpha \iota, \xi \dot{v} \mu \beta \lambda \eta \tau \circ$ H．Vi．56．208．$\tau 0 \iota$ M．Bek．Fa．

197－8．лをiбetce $\boldsymbol{x}, \tau$ ．$\lambda$. ，see on
 Hes．Theog．218－9．gives their names making them a triad $K \lambda \omega \vartheta \omega \dot{\tau} \tau \Lambda \alpha^{\prime} \chi \varepsilon$－

 $\tau \varepsilon$ ккหóv $\tau \varepsilon$ ．Löwe compares Ovid． Heroid．XV，81－2．Sive ita nascenti legem dixere sorores；Nec data sunt vi－ tue fila severa meae，and Theocr．XXIV．

 Chaucer，Kniyhte＇s T．1092，＂So stood the heven whan that we were born， We moste endure．＂

200．＂̈2 $2 \lambda \pi \tau$ ，＂something else（than is their wont）＂：their wont being to ap－ pear to the Phæac．not in disguise．The ordinary Greek notion（Acts XIV，ii）was that the gods went about commonly，but





201－6．Ėvcegeĩs，see on $\gamma .420$. The derivation is doubtless from the root $\dot{\alpha} 0 \gamma \gamma^{-}$，see on $\beta$ ．11，meaning，＂in clear light＂，undisguised，cf．ov $\tau$
 intrans．as in $\delta .247$ ，a suspected pas－ sage，however．－zúxえ $\omega \boldsymbol{\pi} \varepsilon \varsigma$ ，see on §．5．these，like the Phæacians，dwell apart and have no intercourse with men；cf．८． 125 foll．そ．204－5．All alike claim divine kin（ $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \gamma \boldsymbol{\chi} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\vartheta}$ عoı $\varepsilon$ ．35） through Poseidon；comp．Aeschyl．Frag． 146 Dind．，oi $\vartheta \varepsilon \tilde{\omega} v \dot{\alpha} \gamma x i \sigma \pi o \varrho o \ell$ ，of $Z \eta$－ vos érrves；but the obvious kin is li－ mited to the royal house $\eta$ ． 55 foll．， and to Polyphemus，$\alpha .71$ ；see App， G． 1 ．

207－39．Odys．replies repudiating immortal pretensions，demanding sup－ per，and entreating his return．The guests retire，and the queen，recognizing the garments given to Odys．by Nam－ sicaa，inquires whence he is．
a ל． 243 mar ．
h $\boldsymbol{\alpha} .67$ mar，
ce． 212 mar．
d）$\gamma, 3, \mu .386$ ．
．．．（ils－20． 4
$30=$ ：cf．er．2：9．
i $\lambda$ 16í，そ． 415.
上 $\gamma, 114, \lambda .376$.
ㄴ 11s．
e 119，2．341，
（1．1！1），$\pi$ ．थ3
＇T． 9.
k $\mathrm{X}^{-116}$
e． $308,454, x .26 .4$ ．
m＠286，$\sigma .2$.
n 2．世だ，v，1N．$\Theta$ ．
483：cf．K． 503.
a 1．it，u． 330 ，
ㅍ． 128
，A．），в． 321.
』．105，$\omega .423$ ，
$\pm 73$.
1 f．ᄃ． $1: 3 ;$ ．
x． $373, \beta .305$,
解 69.
B． $600, \quad$ O． 60 ，
$\gamma .224, \times .144$.
u 九．296，X．312，
ज川．
и．24，I 617，682，
$\Omega 600$ ．
w $\eta .248, \delta .182$ ，
X． 59.
х J． $521, \quad \eta .156$ таг．
X． $2 \geqslant 0$ ．
E．（885，11． 453
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209．Féfolxa．211．Fígue．217．F＇̇o．224．Fioóvid．

 ${ }^{21} 5$ ．$\delta \varepsilon \iota \pi v \eta \eta_{\sigma} \alpha \iota$ var．1．ap．H．et p．，$\delta$ oen $\tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$ Eu．et edd．，utrumque p．




 $\bar{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \beta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ Vi． $5^{6} \beta,-\sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ Vi． 5.

209． $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{2}$ ．ěouza，i．e．＂sinee the gods， even in human form，would appear with a grace and majesty the very opposite to what you see in me＂．
216．દ̇лi，＂Beside the abominable maw nothing else is more shameless＂： see mar．for this sense of $\varepsilon \pi i$ í Löwe， following Gunther，here renders＂at the time of＂，i．e．at the moment of the belly＇s ravening there is nothing else more shameless；comp．Chaucer，Par－ donere＇s T． 12537 ，＂ O wombe， O belly， ．．．．How gret labour and cost is thee to find！＂－zuvive＠ov，a positive adj．xú－ $\nu \varepsilon о$ occurs $I$ ． 373 ；cf．$\sigma о i ́ \tau \varepsilon \varkappa v \nu \omega \pi \alpha$ ， A．159．The following picture of the lowest animal wants asserting them－ selves over all others，shows how
thoroughly human is Homer＇s heroic． Coming，however，as it does close after the suspicion of his being an immortal in disguise，it seems half－comic to us．
22I－5．$\lambda \eta 9 \dot{\alpha} \nu \varepsilon \ell$ ，in tmesis with $\varepsilon$ है， is transit．，as $\varepsilon^{\varepsilon} x \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \alpha \not \approx \vartheta v, \lambda \varepsilon \lambda \dot{\alpha} \vartheta \eta$ ，mar．
 221 and note．$H$ ．never has $\lambda \alpha \nu \vartheta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$ for pres．although several times its imperf．，always $\lambda \dot{\eta} \vartheta \omega \omega$ ．Buttm．Irr．V． s．$v$ ；；the Cod．Vi． 50 ，however，has
 seems better than Aristarchus＇reading $\varepsilon \mu \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \hat{\eta} \tilde{\eta} v \alpha \iota$ ，as keeping the $x \alpha i$ short． －idovec $x$ ．$\tau$ ．$\lambda$ ．the same sentiment is ascribed to him by Pallas in $\alpha .5^{8}$ ，
 voท̄б $\alpha \iota \eta$ ทेs $\gamma \alpha$ ins；comp．also $x .49$ foll．，


















 $305-6$.
b J． 15 ma1．．0．211． c d． 673 mar．，$v$ ． 17－乌．
1） $5.266,9.397$ ，
 v．37，$\rho$, Līs，$^{2}$ 486.
a $\% .184$ max．
f $\alpha$ ． 424 mar，
ir $\tau$ ． 1 ．
11 of．9． 256
i 1．20rt．
k $\eta$ ． $335, \lambda .335$.
๒．166， 502 ；cf．
5． 101.
in ク．296；cf．丂． 214 แат．
n $\alpha, 362$ mar．，$\zeta$ ． 52， 80.
1 K．122，乃． 269 ह＇ scepiss．
1）$\tau$ ．10． 5 ，509；ef． J． 61.
（ \＆． $17 \theta$ mar．，$\%$ 17 mar．
r ケ． 228 mar．；cf． o． 361.
S A． 351 ，＝． 265 ， u．391，گ．117，
ย． 377 mar．
и $\eta .207$ mar．
т．22 $2, ~ P .252$, Y． 354.
w 2． $376,542, \xi$ 185.
x $\quad$ ．15，т． 281.
y 1.11, A． 570, I． 195.


 a man．pri．Wo．et recentt．，$\varphi \dot{\eta}_{\boldsymbol{s}} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ Eu．Ro．Fl．St．Er，$\varphi \eta_{\boldsymbol{\prime}} \mathrm{H}$ ．ex emend．et
 Vi．5．242．vॄoì dó́ $\alpha \nu$ Vi． 5 ．
where，on being baffled almost within reach of home，his first impulse is to drown himself（App．E．I（io））．
 being the hap or lot）in respect to the occasion，circumstances，persons，etc． It probably includes his speech in $146-52$ as well as $208-25$ ．

228．This v．recurring denotes per－ haps the completion of the libation of 137－8，interrupted by the apparition of Odys，and the libation of 183－4 in his honour as a guest．With 228－9 cf．Chaucer Cant．Tales Prolo．＂We， dronken and to reste wenten eche on．＂ 238－9．चí̧，ef．Soph．Phil．59，ö $\tau \alpha v$
 $\varphi \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ ，for the various accentuation and spelling see in the middle margin．－ غ่лi ภovtov，＂over the sea＂，like है $\varphi$＂
 Odys．had not stated to the speaker what he had to Nausicaa in $\xi_{\text {．170：but the }}$ inference is obvious from his request to be sent home．The conclusion from all the facts taken together is that Nau－ sicaa had said nothing about him，but discrectly left him to tell his own story．
240－97．Odys．gives a summary of his wanderings to and from Ogygiê， and hew on landing he met Nausicaa．
a $I \cdot 177$, o． 402 ， т． 171.
b $\alpha .231$ mar．
e App． 12 mar．
it $\delta$ ． 3 3－4 mar．
e．ל．21s mar．
f 1.25.
ㄲ $\alpha$ ． 52 ：ef．e． 29 seqq．
h 4.448.
i $\% .136 . \lambda .8, \mu$ ． $150,449, ~ \Sigma .394$.
k ᄃ． 205.
I غ． 32 mar．，$\omega .64$ ， E． 442.
m ク． 223 mar．
n $\gamma .234$ mar．
o A．480，छ．386， $\pi .370, \varrho .243$ ， $446, \sigma .146-7$ ．
pe．131－3 mar．
q e． 128 mar．
$r$ r． 110 mar．
ع． 130 mar．
E．371，ת． 277, $\equiv 346,353, \Psi$ 711.
$11 x .156$ ，$\mu .368$ ，
o． $283, \varphi$ ． 390 ．
v щ．447－50，८．82，
छ． 314 ；cf． ．． 28.
w $\eta .244$ mar．
x $\eta .246 \mathrm{mar}$ ．
y $\tau .390$ ．
z \％．450，छ．62，$\quad$ ． 305,543 ，อ． 56 ， 111，т． 195.
aа ع．135－6 mar．
bb e． 33 ，火．337， I． 587, Z． 51.



















 Mag．quod（p． 532,42, p．119， 56, p． 456,18 ）utrumque agnoscit，cf．ad $\varepsilon$ ．iro，

 et edd．preter Di．Fa．qui $\alpha^{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\varrho} \varrho \omega v$, Aristar．$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\eta} \rho \alpha o \nu$, h．ad $\varepsilon$ ． 136 ．

244．＇${ }^{2}$ yvin，see App．D．2．－
 Hermes，$\pi \alpha ́ \rho o s ~ \gamma \varepsilon \mu \grave{\varepsilon} v$ ov $\tau \iota \vartheta \alpha \mu i \xi \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ ， ع． 88 ．

251－8 are condemned by the Scholl． as containing facts repeated in $\mu$ ． I follow Ni ．in thinking that no ob－ jection to them，and some such sum－ mary proper here；in rejecting，how－ ever， 255 only，as repeating 246 in great part．
 $\xi .425$ partic．pr．of $x \varepsilon i \omega$ only occurring in that form．Both should probably be viewed as digammated $\varepsilon$ ह́x $\mathcal{E} F \alpha \sigma \sigma \varepsilon$ иध́ff
 favourite formula with $H$ ．in dating a sequence of events see，besides mar．， A． 53, Z． $174-5, \Omega .610-2,664$ ．－ On 257 see note on $\varepsilon$ ，136．The Scholl． remark that she promised what she could not perform，or not without Zens＇ consent；and that 258 means that Odys． did not believe in her ability．Cicero de Or．I．44．says that he preferred his home to immortality，implying rather the contrary；and this probably re－ presents the view popular in antiquity of the passage，besides being most con－ sistent with his actual words in E． 219 foll．$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} x \alpha \dot{\omega}$ ต̈ $x . \tau . \lambda$ ．




















a $\lambda .152,628, E$ 527, O． 406.
b ef．є． $82-4,151$ -3 ．
I． 570, ヶ．5ะะ．
d $\varepsilon$ ． 321 ．
7．265，$\omega .59$, J1． 670， 680.
i $\alpha$ ． 16 ．
g．छ．287；cf．293， 301－3．
h cf．2．248， 295.
i ß．422，七．561， 0 ． 217.
k o． 73 ．
I ع． 150.
m P． 546.
n E． 33 mar．
o d． 746 mar．
р $\eta .260$ mar．；ef． ع． 347.
¢ ع． 268 mar．
r e．278－80 mar．
s $\alpha .60,114$ el see piss．
८ II． 46
и ع． 289.
v cf．ع． 109 ， 366 ， $385, \mu .313$.
w $\varepsilon$ ． 282 seqq．
$x$ e． 383 mar．
y cf．B． 294.
z $\Omega .123$, 上．121， ס．721，$x$ ．413， $\omega .317$ ；ef．$\pi$ ． 216.

аа є．369－70．
bb ع． 409 mar．
cc $\gamma .291$ mar．
dd $\gamma .291$ mar．
ее ع． 415 ；cf． 425
seqq．
ff $\tau .27$ ；cf．$\varepsilon .40 ะ$ ．
 265．F $\eta \delta \dot{v} \mathcal{F} \varepsilon i \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \mathcal{F} \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \nu$.

 Vi． $133 \boldsymbol{\alpha} . \quad 269 . \dot{v} \mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon_{\eta} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{S}}$ H．Vi． $133 \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ，var．l．ap．p．，ita fere omnes，





26 I ．obyסoov，here and छ． 287 is scanned as if oy $\delta o v v$ ．

263－4．The alternative implies that he knew not of the visit and errand of Hermes，whereas $\mu$ ． $389-90$ rather implies the contrary．But those lines have the air of a later insertion to account for the strangeness of Odys． knowing what went on in heaven－ a difficulty which in the most primi－ tive epic age would surely not be felt．－ $\boldsymbol{\pi o \lambda v \delta \varepsilon ́ \sigma \mu o v , ~ s e e ~ A p p . ~ F . ~}$ I（4）．

266．ovّ＠ov ห．т．$\lambda .$, see on ع． 268 ， and for $267-8$ on $\varepsilon$ ． 279 ．
$270-1$ ．ઈvбщógø，＂luckless＂，ex－ plained by $\eta^{5} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \propto, \tau, \lambda$. ，as thinking the end of his troubles was come．－ $\dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\tau} \tilde{\omega} \varrho \sigma \varepsilon$, used especially of the kind of calamity＂roused＂by Poseidon，viz． winds and waves（mar．）．This speech consists largely of a summary of passages from $\varepsilon$ ．and $\gamma$ ．；the notes on the passages referred to in mar．may be consulted．
 Lexil．66．－cidथvé see App．A． 6 （2）．
a $\delta .501$ ；cf．e． 405.
h 2.44 ；cf．\％．121， T．35．1．
（c）H．2til．（D．103： ef．TI． 819.
（1）$\varepsilon_{0}$ 141－3 mar．
e．$\delta .429$ mar．
i $\delta$ ． 17 i mar．
๕．є． 471 ；ef．ち． 127.
h e．182－7．
i ع． $1: \underline{2}$ mat．，cl．
$\overline{=} 164-5$.
k $\alpha, 114$ mar．，$\beta$ ． 298．9． 303.
$1 B 2,21$, ii,$\Omega$ ． （i78，К． 2.
III（b． 111.

（） $0.1 \%, B$ ． 71 ．
11 cf．ち． 117.
if c． 100 scy\％．
そ． 102 scqq．

cf．$\Omega .630$ ．
। 5． $111,116,119$ seqq．
11 cf．$\beta$ P． 121.
v 1.73.
w ef．\％ 125.
x cl： 1 ！．1us．
y I． 32 ．
2 u． 19.
an ל． 248.
hh م． 57 cesmpiss．
te ל． $210,216,224$ $-7$.
dd $\eta .238$.
ce ら．214， 228.

















 Foivov．296．Fsi $\mu \alpha \tau$ ，
 Aristar．，h．p．，idem mavult e．En．Ro．Basil．，plerique dúvéo．291．$\alpha \dot{v} \tau \tilde{\eta} \beta$ ；



283．Vvนท
 475 ，which seems to describe the re－ turn of consciousness，and contrariwise $\lambda \varepsilon i \pi o \psi v \chi i \alpha$ ，Herod．I．86，as also in Hippocrates $\lambda \iota \pi 0 \vartheta v \mu i \alpha$ ，the loss of it．
 frequently used of liquids，as，$\pi$ ollòs
 but also of solids，here and $A$ ．171， $\alpha \nsupseteq \varepsilon v o s ~ x \alpha i ~ \pi \lambda o \tilde{v} \tau o v \dot{\alpha} \varphi \dot{v} \xi \varepsilon \iota v$ ．There is a by－form $\dot{\alpha} \varphi \dot{v} \omega$ found compounded §．95．oĩvov．．．$\varepsilon \xi \alpha \propto$ vंov $\begin{gathered}\text { s．A com－}\end{gathered}$ pound of this is $\delta \iota \alpha \varphi \dot{v} \sigma \sigma \omega, \tau .45^{\circ}$ ，

289．Aristar．read $\delta \varepsilon i i^{\prime} \varepsilon \tau 0$ ，with a view to reconcile the passage with $\zeta$ ． 32 I ，where the sun is made to set after Odys．has parted from Nausicaa．Löwe
 the sunset of the previous day，as taking place while he slept．But this
is very awkward after telling us that he woke up at noon．Besides we
 $28_{3}-4$ sup．which makes the statement superfluous and indeed makes the suri set before he went to sleep．There seems no way of reconciling such dis－ crepancies．See Vol．I．Pref．，Part． I．IX．

 $\sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ ，and note there．The present passage tends to support Jelf＇s view there given．The notion of meeting by chance seems implied in both．Nau－ sicaa，he means，was not surprised or taken aback at the chance－meeting but shewed presence of mind beyond her years．－With 294 comp．Г． 108 ，
 Эัข七๙兀（Löwe）．















a $\pi .226$, ＠．108， $122, \varphi .212, \Omega$. 107.
b $\boldsymbol{\eta} \cdot 308$, Э． 400 ， 2． 347,362, v． 3 ，
0． 445.
с $\beta .122$ mar．
d ß．55，＠．534， 9.
39；сf．I． 619 ．
e $\zeta .176$ mar．
1 g． 388.
ㄷ．cf．e．577－8．
h I． 370 ．
i cf．E． 441.
k o．409，$\gamma .282$ ，三． 361.
$1 \eta .298$ mar．
$\mathrm{m} \alpha .341$ mar．
n cf．§． 365 ．
0 0．71，Э． 348.
p $\delta .341$ mar．

## 306．Fıסóvet．



 ap．p．，veíx $\varepsilon \varepsilon$ libri． $304 . \mu^{3}$ दُหย́ $\ell \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon$ A．I．St．Vr．Vi．omn．et Fl．， 306.

 et suprascr．vó $\eta \mu \alpha$ ． $311-5$ ．de his dubitabat Aristar．，p．

297．夭́xvv́urvós ォe＠，the notion implied is that his sufferings had not induced him to depart from truth in order to gain favour by flattering Nausicaa．

298－347．The conversation between Odys．and Alcin．continuest，the latter making the former an offer of his daughter＇s hand，but proceeding at once to fix the morrow for his return．Odys． passes the offer by unnoticed，but accepts the promise．All then retire to rest．

304－5．This is a misrepresentation； cf．Nausicaa＇s words directing Odys．in $\zeta .262$ and note there．That Odys，should have thought it worth while to make it， shows his estimate of the king as not over－wise，as likely to blame his daughter seriously for the praiseworthy discretion which she had shewn，The Schol．Tre－ marks that Odys．in his $\delta \varepsilon i \sigma \alpha_{S} \tau^{\prime} \alpha i \sigma \chi v$－ vóuعvós $\tau \varepsilon$ keeps up a high moral tone， and thus improves his own character， whilst shielding that of the princess．

307．$\delta \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \zeta_{\eta} \boldsymbol{\lambda o \ell}$ ，for this，in synesis with $\varphi \tilde{v} \lambda^{`} \alpha \nu \vartheta \varrho \omega \dot{\alpha} \pi \omega \nu$ ，Ni．comp．Hes．

Theog．591－3 $\varphi \tilde{v} \lambda \alpha$ $\gamma v \nu \alpha \iota x \omega ̃$ $\pi \varepsilon v i \eta s$ ov бv́r甲оюоя．By using the first person Odys．politely removes the re－ flection on the king＇s temper which his words would otherwise imply，and by $\varphi \tilde{v} \lambda$ ’ $\alpha \nu \vartheta \varrho$ ．fixes it on human nature at large．
 moderation in all things＂，so commonly $x \alpha \tau$ ’ $\alpha \tilde{i} \sigma \alpha \nu$ ，ov̉ $ข$ vi $\pi \varepsilon \varrho ~ \alpha \tilde{i} \sigma \alpha \nu$ ，and si－ milar phrases；comp．also $\varphi .294$＂wine hurts him who $\mu \eta \delta^{\prime} \alpha i^{\prime} \sigma \mu \propto \pi i \nu \eta$ ，drinks immoderately＂，and mar．；so $\alpha \bar{i} \sigma \alpha$ being
 is the＂day allotted＂i．e．for the term of life．－थï $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \dot{\varepsilon} \varrho$ и．$\tau . \lambda$. see App．C． 6. The grammatical structure is incom－ plete，having no personal verb，through the abruptness natural to the expres－ sion of an ardent wish；but $\varepsilon \ell$ ह́v่＇$\lambda \omega v$ $\gamma \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon ́ v o \iota s, 315$ ，shows what is implied． The offer of his daughter to the stranger by the king is probably not unsuited to the standard of heroic manners．So Nausicaa herself had said before $\xi$ ．

i．$\alpha, 257$ mar．
म．$\delta .569$ ；cl． 9.582 ．
cโ．I．429．
1 乡．110，a．s：；cu． ঠ． 699, H． 387 ， X． 41.
v．2．563，2．112， ㅆ． 139.
2． $112,1.15 \%$ （D） 187.
＠ $\boldsymbol{y} .119,0.6, K$ ． 2，క． 318 ．
h A． $541,{ }^{2}$ IS． 548 ct sutpiss．；cf．$\ell$ ． 211.
i $\gamma$ ．17t，R． 335 $-6$.













 Wo．et recentt．et in mar． $315 . \varepsilon i x$ H．Fl．Wo．Di．Bek．Fa．，$\alpha i^{i} x^{\prime}$ Ro． st．Barn．Er．Ox．，utrumque p．Distinguunt post $\alpha \underset{v}{\text { poıov omnes edd．ant．et }}$

 Vi． 56,50 ，1 33 ；mox $\varepsilon$ voíns Vi．5．322．$\pi \varepsilon \varrho \beta$ Vi． 56 Fl．Ox．Wo．et recentt．， $\gamma \propto \varrho$ Vi． 5 R Ro．St．Barn．Er．，$\eta \boldsymbol{\nu} v \varepsilon \varrho$ Scholl．ad $v .119 ; \operatorname{mox} \varphi \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \iota \nu$ Vi． 56.
 Aristarchus consistently doubted the genuineness of both passages．Alcinoüs takes Odys．to be a man of princely lineage and high renown．Perhaps his simplicity in making the assumption on such defective evidence is to be noted as characteristic；but，the as－ sumption made，the rest follows natu－ rally．The most characteristic point is that Alcin．seems to assume that Odys．will not accept his offer，by passing on at once to promise his de－ parture home．This shows the gar－ rulity which allows the inconsiderate thought to escape．

318－9．aṿ゙ıov ह̈¢ ${ }^{\bullet}$ ，the earlier edd． and Wolf punctuate $\alpha v v^{\circ} \iota \frac{\nu}{}{ }^{\text {és }} \tau \tilde{\eta} \mu{ }_{s}$ $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ ，but Homeric usage is in favour of viewing $\tau \tilde{\eta} \mu \mathrm{m}$ os as a separate adverb． $\eta \mu \operatorname{los}^{\boldsymbol{s}}$ and $\tau \eta \mu o s$ are from pronominal forms related as quum and tum in La－ tin：so Curtius who refers them to the Sansk．jasmât，Fasmât．${ }_{\text {，}}$ Buttmann＇s －view，referring them to $\eta^{5} \mu \alpha \rho$＂day＂， may be rejected．If we take the sense of $\pi 0 \mu \pi \eta$ from V．41，＂preparations for despatch＂，（for so only is $\tau \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \iota$ there intelligible，）the king＇s promise is so far kept，for these are complete on the morrow， $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} .417$ foll．，where see
note．－oí $\delta^{\prime}$ ，obviously the crew， although not expressed in the foregoing． －घ̇えówन九（fut．），as a verb of motion intrans．is used of chariots and ships （Crusius）；but all the passages seem to involve an ellipse of $\tilde{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \pi \pi \sigma v v_{s}$ or $\nu \tilde{\eta} \alpha$ ． Here＂run along the calm sea＂is the sense，arising of course from＂drive their ship along＇＂；comp．vย́ovб $\alpha$ ソ $\alpha-$ $\lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \eta s$ ג $\lambda \mu v \varrho \dot{v} v$ v̈ v $\omega \rho$, о．294，currimus aequor Virg．En．III．191．So our sailors speak of＂running down the trades （winds）＂．
 this form，the $\tau$ ．would be easily doubled in recitation by the voice．－Evjoins $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{v} \pi \varepsilon \varrho$ и．$\tau . \lambda .$, I agree with Mr．Glad－ stone in supposing the route to have been by some supposed sea opening into the Agean north of Thrace．He says，vol． III，p．283：＂If we suppose a maritime passage from the Adriatic round Thrace to exist，then we keep the Phæacians entirely in their own element，as bor－ derers between the world of Greek experience and the world of fable． They still when they carry Rhada－ manthus，as in all other cases，hang upon the skirt as it were of actual humanity．And thus viewed Euboea might fairly stand for a type of ex－











1a 8． 5644 ，こ．322．
b $\tau .260,597,7 \% 19$.
с $\lambda .576$ ．
1．1．＇D．5．I．313：
e cf．8．357，o． 291 ． f $v .78$.
\＆ $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ．281， $\boldsymbol{P} .567$ cf．$\varepsilon .171$
h ع．486，v．10．4， ep． 414.
e． $354, \zeta .1,249$ ， $\eta .1$ mar．
$k$ cf．ع．298， 464.
1 ß． 302 mar．
m $\varphi$ ． 200.
n $\boldsymbol{\gamma} .3$ inar．

326．Foixa $\delta^{\prime}$（？）vide inf．inter annot．327．Féi $\eta^{\sigma} \sigma \varepsilon \iota$ ．330．Feime fémos． 331．Feime．
 Vi．50．325．oi（dativ．）H．Vi．56．Wo．Di．，sed etiam oí h．Ro．Bek． 326．$\alpha \pi \dot{\eta} \gamma \alpha \gamma \operatorname{l}$ mar． $\boldsymbol{e}$ ．Fl．Ro．Eu．var．1．，ita St．（sed in mar．$\alpha \pi \eta v_{0}$ ）Barn．Er．Ox．，$\alpha \pi \eta \nu . \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ Eu． ，$\xi$ 人



treme remoteness＂．No donbt，by making so highly central a place as Euboea was to Greek experience，the standard of remoteness to the Phæa－ cians，the poet means to express con－ versely their eccentric position，as regards his own circle of maritime experience．

323－4．＇Podciucvevve，he was（志． 32I－2，a passage suspected however， by the Alexandrines）son of Zeus and brother of Minos．His mother there is Фoíxıvos xov́＠$\eta$ ．In $\delta .564$ ．Rhad． is said to be in the Elysian plain at the ends of Earth，and in $\lambda .{ }_{5} 67$ foll．Tityos is suffering penal doom in the gloom of Hades，although that pas－ sage is viewed as spuriots by Ni．Our passage makes them both to have been recently among living men，since $322-4$ forbid us to suppose Alcin．as speaking from tradition．Tityos in Pind．Pyth．IV． 81，160，was father of Europa and slain by Artemis．The sense of ह̇лó $\psi \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} v o v$ or é $\varphi о \varrho \alpha$＇in H．is to＂visit or oversee for punishment＂＇，as in $\nu .214-5$ ，where
 öбtıs $\propto \mu \propto \rho \tau \eta$ and in $\varrho .48_{5-7}^{8}$ cited at 200 sup．，also without any such moral element to＂survey＂；twice with a no－ tion of choosing $I, 167$ ．$\tau 0 v s \propto \nu \nu \gamma \omega \nu$

 connexion with his brotherhood to Mi－
 $\nu \varepsilon x \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \sigma \iota v, \lambda .569$ ，and with the doom of Tityos，as above，the meaning prob－ ably is that the visit of Rhad，was judicial；comp．the Spartan épo＠ol． Tityos＇offence was violence to Letô， and its scene Panopeus in Phocis．－
 $v i ́ o \nu, \lambda .576$.
 $\alpha \nu v \omega$ similarly used．The $\mathcal{F}$ dropped in Focród＇throws suspicion upon this and perhaps 325 ．We might，however，in
 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \boldsymbol{\eta} v v \sigma \varepsilon .-\pi \eta \boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\omega}$ ，＂the oar－blade＂， see App．F； 1 （14）．
 on $\gamma \cdot 374-5$ ．－öбc eiлe，＂all that he has said he will do＂，gracefully dropping all notice of the king＇s offer of his daughter，and saying nothing to suggest that he had a wife at home， which in $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} .24 .3$ is，somewhat incon－ sistently with this passage．（see note there）assumed by Alcin．This offer was only a suggestion，the former related to Odysseus return，and was positively fixed（ $\tau$ кицкi＠онає， 317 sup．）for the
a $\delta .584$ mar．
l．d． 171 mar．
c．$\delta$ ．$\frac{1}{2} 0$ ma＊。

द．71，$\tau .418$
ๆ． 233 mar．
）S．297－300 mar．
5．$\chi$ ．497，\％． 294. S．647；cli．c． 134.
h 21／．291，I． 659 ת． 648 ；cf．$\gamma$ 135.

I． 249
k \％．395，E． 109
ふ2．88；c1．ऍ． 255.
cॅ．$\xi .532, \sigma .428$ ， т． 3.10.
m 3 337，Z． 108 ct．$\sigma .341$ ，
9．295，ع． 398.
ら． 1.
，$\% .1$ mar．
$\gamma .399$ maг．，$\alpha$ ．
410 mar．
$\gamma$ ．403－3 mar．，
App．F． 2 （34）mar．
s ef．A． 611.















 $\propto \mu \varphi \iota \pi$ ．Vi．5，133．338．ह゙б


 Ox．Er．，$\chi \alpha \vartheta \varepsilon \varepsilon \hat{v} \delta \varepsilon \propto$ Ro．Wo．et recentt．，H．$\chi \alpha ́ \boldsymbol{v} \varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon$ sed $-\varepsilon \tilde{v} \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ ex emend． 347．$\pi \dot{\varrho} \varrho \sigma \alpha \iota \nu \varepsilon$ Aristar．，p．
 such hospitable despatch，ef．$\sigma \varepsilon$ идعíш
 ＂for thy bounty in relieving want＂is implied．
336－9．$\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \nu \iota^{\circ}$ x．$\tau$ ．$\lambda$. ，see on $\delta$ ． 297－300；for ciЭovín see App．F． 2 （8）（9）；for ov̉2as App．A． 2 （3）．

342．$x \dot{\varepsilon} \omega \nu$ ，$x \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ and $x \varepsilon i \omega \omega$（originally $x \varepsilon F \omega \quad x \varepsilon F F \mathcal{F} \omega$ ，cf．Lat．cubo）are epic fut．forms of $x \varepsilon \check{\mu} \mu \alpha \iota$, cf．$\xi$ ．432．$\beta \tilde{\eta} \delta^{\prime}$ íueva九 x\＆i$\omega \nu$ ．This must be distin－ guished from the other $x \varepsilon i \omega v$ ，see on $250-2$ sup．－$\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ here $=\gamma \dot{\alpha}$ ；see on $\alpha$ ． 433 ．

345．r＠そtoĭg．In the description of the bedstead fashioned by Odys．，in廿． 198 ，осcurs $\tau \varepsilon ́ \tau \varrho \eta \nu \alpha ~ d \grave{\varepsilon} \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \propto \tau \varepsilon$－

๑ย́ $\varrho \varrho \omega$ ，where $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha$ is the olive－stock （xóguos）．The word $\tau \dot{\varepsilon} \varrho \varepsilon \tau \rho \circ \nu$ is prop． the wimble or augur for boring：with it Odys．bores the holes to receive the pegs which hold his raft．\＆．246．The bed was perhaps suspended from the posts by straps of leather $\psi$ ．201，and these secured by being passed through holes pierced in the posts；cf．r＠ $\begin{aligned} \text { roio }\end{aligned}$ lívoıo v．77．Such straps seem intended in Herod．IX．118：toves tóyovs $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$ x $\lambda \iota v \varepsilon ์ \omega v$ ．This boring seems more li－ kely to be here meant than the notion of carving as a decoration．The $\lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \alpha$ were probably included in the $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \nu \iota \alpha$ of 336 ．This is still the night of the $33^{\text {rd }}$ day，the sunset of which is men－ tioned そ． $32 \mathrm{I}, \eta$ ． 289.

## $0 \Delta \Upsilon \Sigma \Sigma E I A \Sigma \Theta$.

## SUMMARY OF BOOK VIII.

On the $34^{\text {th }}$ day of the poem the Phreacians attend Alcinoiis' summons to the Assembly and the chiefs are invited to a banquet in honour of Odysseus; for whose return a ship is meanwhile made ready, and the scene changes to the palace (r-6r). Alcinoiis, perceiving that the minstrel's song, touching an incident in the Trojan war, affects Odysseus to tears, proposes athletic games as a diversion $(62-103)$. After various contests, Odysseus, at first declining, is roused by a taunt from Euryalus to hurl the quoit, easily surpasses all, and lets out that he is "an Achaean from Troy" (ro4-233).

Alcinouis then calls for a dance, which is followed by the song of Demodocus concerning the illicit loves of Ares and Aphroditê (234-369), and this again by gymnastic ball-play and a further dance (370-86).

Alcinoiis orders an apology from Euryalus for his depreciation of Odysseus; Euryalus also presents Odysseus with a sword; other presents from the nobles follow (386-448). After a bath Odysseus makes his acknowledgments to Nausicaa momentarily appearing, and calls on Demodocus to sing the overthrow of Troy, on which Odysseus being affected as before, Alcinouis is led to enquire his name and story $(449-586)$.














a $\beta .1$ mar.
b $\beta .2$ mar.
c $\eta .167$ mar. ; cf.
p. 409 mar.
d cf. ८. 504, 530.
e 421.
f $\zeta .266, \eta .44,9.12$.
g. $422, \pi .408, ~ A$. (623.
h $\gamma .406$ mar.
i B. 383 mar.
k B. 280 .
l $\boldsymbol{\alpha} .87, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} 31$, $\psi .68$.
m $\beta .384$ mar.
n $\eta .186$ mar.
o 9.5 mar.
p \%. 537 ; cf. . . 494, 505, @. 510.
$q$. $\alpha .180$ mar.
₹ $\eta .239, \gamma .73$ mar.
s $\gamma .468$ mar.


 I Vi. 133.

1-14. The thirty fourth day. The Phæacian assembly is summoned. Pallas, taking the form of the officiating herald, prepares them to take an interest in Odys.

1. See on $\beta$. 1.

3-4. тгодiло@vog, here first in the poem, but see on $\alpha .2$. Some wrote лтолє́ло@चos, La Roche Textk. The form $\pi \tau о \lambda \iota \pi o ́ \varrho \vartheta \imath \iota o s ~ a l s o ~ o c c u r s ~(m a r) . ~-.~ \tau о ⿱ ̃-~-~$ $\sigma \iota v$, Odys. accompanied, and so doubtless did the sons of Alcin., who are named $118-9$ inf., though not now. Hence the plur. тö́aıv. - ieqòv $\boldsymbol{\mu} \dot{\text { évos }}$ a term of dignity not implying sanctity or moral worth, as is shown by its being applied to Antinoüs (mar.); comp. note on íe $\eta$ is $\beta$; 409.

5-8. 'ayo@ท่vs' mentioned $\eta$. 43-4 in conjunction with the harbour, shipping ete., and described as being $\kappa \alpha \lambda \dot{\nu} v$
 Ni. denies that the place mentioned in $\xi$. is intended here, which seems to me unfounded. - zair $\boldsymbol{i}$ ov i. e. the same persons as $\tau \boldsymbol{o}$ ö $\iota \nu \mathrm{V}$. 4, but the king and Odys. seem specially in view in $\pi \lambda \eta \boldsymbol{i} o v$ following. Hence a mark of honour is implied. Aristar. accentuated $x \alpha \mathfrak{\vartheta} i \xi 0 \nu x \alpha \vartheta \varepsilon \varepsilon \tilde{v} \delta o \nu$ as the simple words:

 $\ddot{Z \sigma z v}$, she similarly acts $\beta .383$ foll. and in B. 279 adopts the same guise of a herald. For this busy character of Pallas see App. E. 4 (12). - סגї́peovog. see on $\alpha$. 48.
 to the $\dot{\eta} \gamma \dot{\eta} \tau 0 \rho \varepsilon \varsigma \bar{\eta} \delta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \delta o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ of 11 . थ̈ $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ see on $\boldsymbol{\beta}$. 212. - $\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ here after íx $\varepsilon \tau 0$, as $\delta \tilde{\omega}$ after $i^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \nu \alpha$. 176, where see mar. - $\delta \dot{\delta} \mu \boldsymbol{c} \boldsymbol{g}_{x} \boldsymbol{\tau}$. $\lambda$., the effect
a $\boldsymbol{Y} .174, X .346$.
h $\gamma .7,31$ ．
с 9.58.
d в．Tt mor．
e $\delta$ 万欠心．
f B． 12 mar ．
g x 395－6．
h $\varepsilon .88, \xi 234, \Gamma$ ． 172, 工． 386,394 ； cf．＠． 578.
i $\varphi .135,18^{\prime \prime}, 268$ ， $\chi \quad 5$ ．
k v．336，$\varphi \cdot 180,268$ ， $410, \omega .216 ; c$ ． ঠ． 119.
1 ア． 9 mar．
m ク． 168 mar．
n $\eta .187$ mar．
－ら． 206 mar．$\eta .239$ ．
p ef．M．239－40．
q $\eta$ ．151．Э．31，$\lambda$ ． $35 \%$.
r cf．श $453, \Delta 314$ ．
s \％．327，551， $\boldsymbol{B}$ ． $703,726 \mathrm{ct} \mathrm{al}$ ．
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 $\delta^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} \varrho\left(\right.$ sive $\left.\delta^{\prime} \alpha^{\alpha} \rho \alpha\right)$ A．K．N．Vi． $5,56,133$ H．Eı．Ro．et St．in mar．Wo．et recentt．，

 ov̌g I Vi．5．St．Barn．Ern．，zoùs H．Eu．Ro．Wo．et recentt．，ita Apollon．s．v．


of the magic grace of person mentioned §． 235 foll．，and renewed inf．19－21， although perhaps Odys．was uncon－ scious of it；$\eta$ ．208－10．

15－45．The Phæacians，thronging to the Assembly，admire Odys．The king introduces him as a wanderer awaiting his return，for the prompt despatch of which he gives orders，and invites the nobles to a banquet in ho－ nour of the guest．

1ヶ－25．Э $\boldsymbol{\eta} \dot{\eta} \sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{o}$ implies admira－
 for the $-\eta \eta$－comp．$\nu \eta \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \vartheta \alpha t$ ，xo $\eta \eta \nu o v$ （цекivo）．－лcíббоvк，see Student＇s Gr．Gr．§ ${ }_{189} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{j}}$ ，for this class of com－
 dily strength and prowess were to the

Greek mind implied by beauty；so Pind．

 IX． 99,141 ．The only contest in which he subsequently engages is that of the quoit，he gives however a general chal－ lenge（ 186 foll．，214－29）．On the whole 22－3 are probably interpolated．－ rovis，the dative is more common of the action in which the trial consists；
 probably attraction may here account for the preference given to the accus．
 $\delta \tilde{\omega}$ see on 13 sup．－$\dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{O} \dot{\omega} \omega \nu$ ท̀ $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \pi$ ．， east and west，following the sun，are the most rudimentary conceptions of geographical direction；comp．$\alpha .24$. ж．190，－ёилє















#### Abstract

     mar．St．et recentt．coll．$\alpha$ ． $34 \bar{\imath}, \tau \varepsilon \varrho \pi v \eta \nu$ I．K．S．Vi． 56 St．Barn，Err．Wo．，utrumq． ข $\varepsilon$ เv 


34－39．वै́ $\gamma \varepsilon$ see on $\beta$ ．212．－ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \varrho \boldsymbol{\sigma}$－ $\boldsymbol{\tau o \pi} 200 \mathrm{~V}$ ，Eust．on the accent says that，if parox．as some would have it， it would seem to belong to the words which denote number，$\alpha \pi \lambda$ oos $\delta \iota \pi \lambda$ óos etc．－ $\boldsymbol{\delta v} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ rei $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ ．see App．F 1 （16）（ ${ }^{17}$ ）．－Jí＠og，of time，＂here－ tofore＂，as in 31 sup．－थ̈＠tбtot，a picked crew，used perhaps to do such errands，comp． $3\{-2$ sup．－$\delta \eta \sigma \dot{c}$－ н⿰vor，see App．F．I（14）（1／7）．－ Voウ̀v，соmp．$\lambda \tilde{v} \sigma \varepsilon v \delta^{\prime} \alpha \nu o \rho \eta i v \alpha i \psi \eta \rho \grave{\eta} \nu$ ß． 257 and note．－$\varepsilon \mathcal{v}^{3} \ldots \pi \alpha \varrho \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\xi} \omega$ ， perhaps including provisions for the voyage．－$\dot{\iota} \lambda \varepsilon \gamma v \boldsymbol{v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon , ~ u s e d ~ a l w a y s ~}$ of $\delta \alpha \check{\iota} \tau \alpha$ ，as here；the kindred $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon \gamma i \bar{\zeta} \omega$ is limited to II．，relates only to per－ sons，and has always ov conjoined； $\alpha \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\gamma} \omega$ is used both with $o \dot{v}$ and with－ out，and of things（but rarely，as $\nu \eta \tilde{\omega}$

persons，also absolutely，ef．xúvas ov̉x ${ }_{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon \gamma_{0} v \sigma \alpha \varsigma, \tau .154$ ．Doederlein would connect with this the Lat．diligens，ne－ gligens，relligio，n．b．$\alpha^{\alpha} \lambda \gamma v v^{2} \omega$ ．＂to cause pain＂，is post－Homeric．The king＇s bidding is，＂get ready a feast at our palace＂，implying probably that，though he provide，he will not share it；comp． the terms of the invite to the princes，
 implying his presence at their feast．

41－45．Gथทлtoṽðoc．These were 12 in number， 390 inf ．，and enjoyed entertainment at the royal table v．8，9．
 federate Greek princes before Troy 4．259．－coudòv，he seems to have been minstrel in ordinary，v．9．for the esteem paid to $\dot{\alpha} 0 i \delta o l$ see on $\gamma .268$. －Vè̈g，more specifically $\mu \boldsymbol{0} \sigma \alpha$, 6.3 inf ．
a 104.
b $\beta$ ． 405 mar．， $413^{6}$ M．251，N： 823 Y． 144.
r．$\beta .231 \mathrm{mar}$ ，三．93．
it 43 mar．
c © $\ddagger$ mar．；c！$\delta$ ． 778，N． 129.
1 A． $32 \mathrm{Z}, 621 . \zeta$ 9．4．$\pi$ ． 358 ．
g．$\beta .407$ mar．
। $\delta .750-3$ mar．
J． 785 mar．
k o．10，，9． 109.
113.
i1）ס． 15.
11 Ap．F．2（8） mar．
（1）$\pi .341, \varrho 604$ ，
v 164.
1 ce． 395.
ч v．250，ЧS． 147 ； cf．Z． 174.
－9． 476, §． 423
438，$\Psi 532$, K． 264.
s $O 547, \alpha .92$ mar
















## 51．Fé＠voб $\alpha v$ ．





 $\alpha v \dot{\alpha}$
ทु＠चv́vov七o A．H．ex ras．K．54；pro $\alpha v \alpha x \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{Q}$ ．b．q．x $\alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ．，$\dot{\alpha} v \dot{\alpha} \mathrm{Vi}$ ． ${ }_{50} \boldsymbol{1}_{133}$ ，Eu．Wo．et recentt．，$\pi \alpha \varrho \dot{\alpha}$ A．G．H．I．K．M．V．Vi． 5,56 ．；mox $\delta^{3}$ B．Q． Eu．et edd．vett．，$\vartheta^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ．Wo．et recentt．；mox $\pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \sigma \sigma \sigma \nu$（sive $\pi \varepsilon \tau \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu$ ）Vi． 133 En． $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ．in mar．Fl．Ro．et edd．，$\tau \dot{\alpha} v v \sigma \sigma \alpha v$ A．G．I．K．M．V． $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ．Vi．5， 56. H．B．Q．


 A．G．M．Vi． 133.

46－6r．The herald summons the minstrel，the ship is launched and moored in readiness．The nobles and the crew（perhaps others also）then go with the king to the palace，where a sumptuous banquet is prepared．

50－55．For the details of launching etc．see App．F．I（10）（13）and note on $\delta$ ． 784 ，for $i \sigma \tau i \alpha$, App．F．I（7）and
 note ${ }^{\text {＊＊．ibid．（7）．The long delay }}$ there noticed after launching suggests the possibility of an enlargement of the plan of the poem，by inserting the narrative of Odyss．in books $\iota . \ldots \mu$ ． This，however，need not therefore have proceeded from a later poet；see Pref． Part I．Ix，x．

57－61．For the structural parts ai＇9ovacィ x．$\tau$ ．ג．see App．F． 2 （5） －（9）．

58．This verse probably belongs not here，it being irrelevant and frigid after the young men（xoveou）and the elders（ $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \tilde{\eta} \varepsilon s$ ）have been specially mentioned as invited，to recite the fact here．It seems made up of 17 sup．and $\alpha$ ．395．The animals slaught－ ered constitute，the Suovetaurilia of Livy I．44．－க́gyódoveas，for the first component element see on $\beta$ ．II （end）．－ $\boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{c}$＂shuffle－gaited＂； Buttmann Lexil．44， $\mathbf{1}$ 6．renders＂stamp－ ing with their feet＂，i．e．so called as peculiarly fitted for treading out corn． This he founds on the remark of Hip－










62．हৎif
 ผ̉oむ

 $\mu \tilde{\nu}$ Aristonicus ad B． 599 Apoll．Soph．24，32．67．$\pi \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha \lambda o ́ p \iota v$ A．H．I．K．M． $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\mathbf{\sim}}$ V．Vi． $5,50,56,{ }^{133}$ ．Eu．et edd．vett．，－ó甲ı Q．q．Wo．et recentt．； $\partial \tilde{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \nu$ Aristoph．，$\chi \varrho \varepsilon \dot{\mu} \mu \sigma \sigma v$ h．Arist．；sic ad v． 105 inf．；$\lambda \iota \gamma \varepsilon i \alpha \nu$ hic et 105 ， sed $\lambda i \not \partial \varepsilon \iota \alpha \nu{ }_{2} 54$ Vi．50，56．H．St．Barn．Ern．，$\lambda \iota y \varepsilon i ̋ \alpha \nu$ semper Ox．Bek．，$\lambda i$－ $\gamma \varepsilon \iota \alpha \nu$ b．（1．，sic semper Eu．Wo．Di．Fa．，$\lambda \iota \gamma \varepsilon i \eta \nu$ Vi．5．69．หท́ৎvé K．Vi．5， 50.
pocrates that they have their joints loose（ $\chi \alpha \lambda \alpha \rho \alpha)$ ．But it is the peculiar－ ity of the action as it meets the eye， not any mechanical result of it，which is the basis of the epith．Comp．i＇$\pi \pi$ o «ยœбínod\＆s．The horse，as he lifts higher， so he sets down the foot with a more sudden impact than the ox，who has a rolling shuffle；so Shaksp．speaks of ＂heavy－gaited toads＂．

6r．The minstrel is introduced and placed and joins the banquet，then Muse－ inspired sings a lay of the Trojan war．

63－64．The 听家os is the Muse＇s darling；she gave him，as Zeus gives mortals，$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \propto$ ૭ैóv $\tau \varepsilon$ к $\alpha$ оо́v $\tau \varepsilon(\delta$ ． 237 ）；so Odys，to Eumæus，o．488－9，

 not enter into the poet＇s thought，any－ more than why Zeus does so．The mystery of suffering was a puzzle to men of old，as now．Homer＇s own case has been supposed hinted at，comp． Hy．Apollo 172，$\tau v \varphi \lambda \grave{o}_{\varsigma} \alpha v \dot{\jmath} \varrho$ x．$\tau . \lambda$. ； but without asserting the truth of the legend，some individual $\dot{\alpha}$ ot $\dot{\delta} \dot{s}$ thus gifted and sightless was probably that
on which the poet＇s conception of De－ modocus was moulded．The case of Thamyris，maimed（ $\pi \eta \varrho o ̀ v$ ）by the Muses and deprived of song，for his audacity in challenging them is wholly different． B． 599 foll．With＂थ́ $\mu \varepsilon \varrho \sigma \varepsilon$ ef．oैббє $\delta$＇ $\alpha \mu \mu \rho \delta \varepsilon$ Hes．Theog． 698.
 probably say＂hung it $u p$＂，the strict sense is，＂let it hang down＂，so as to be within easy reach．For similar uses of the $\pi \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha \lambda_{0 \nu}$ see mar．，also cf．Pind．Ol．I． $25-7$ ，$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}\langle\omega \varrho i ́ \alpha \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{o} \varphi \rho^{\rho} \rho \iota \gamma \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha \lambda$ ov $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \beta \alpha v^{\prime}$ ． For $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \varphi \varrho \alpha \delta \varepsilon$ in sense of showed or pointed out how，see mar．The accen－ tuation of $\lambda i \gamma \varepsilon \iota \alpha \nu$ fluctuates even in the same mss．（mid．mar．）：similarly Aristar．wrote $\tau \alpha \varrho \varphi \varepsilon \iota \alpha \iota \geqslant \sim \mu \varepsilon \iota \alpha i$ ，but Dion．Thrax $\tau \alpha \rho \varphi \varepsilon i \alpha \iota ~ \vartheta \alpha \mu \varepsilon i \alpha \iota$（La Roche，Textkritik p．360－1）．The zóveov was used for other eatables besides bread $v .300$ ．The material of one in A． 629 is $\chi \alpha \lambda$ xós．A broad shal－ low dish may be conceived as meant． See Eurip．1phig．in Aul． 1565,1569, Electr．8io foll．Placing the table last


HOM．OD． 1 II ．
a 89, I. $224, \sum .545$.
b A. 263 , ©. 189,
$\pi .141$.
c $\alpha .149-50$ mar.
d $\boldsymbol{Y} .118, \xi .465, Z$. 256.
e I. $189,524$.
f cf. $\psi .74, \lambda .122-3$, Z. 271, H. 187,
©. 177, К. 416 ,
$=.75,371$, П. 56 . § $\tau .108, ~ \Theta .192$, t. 20,264 .
h M. 421.
i $\gamma, 336,420$ mar. ;







70. Foívoio.
 72. हั้ข


70. Comp. Laudibus arguitur vini vinosus Homerus, Ennius ipse pater nunquam nisi potus ad arma Prosiluit dicenda. Hor. Ep. I. xix. 6-8. The English reader will remember in Scott's Lay, II, 34 .

How long, how deep, how zealously
The precious juice the minstrel quaffed.
74-75. $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tilde{\eta} x \varepsilon v$, common in this sense; see mar., $\varepsilon \nu \eta \tilde{\eta} x \varepsilon$ is more rare but is also a var. l. here. - $x \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \alpha$ $\dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v} \delta \varrho \tilde{\omega} v$, thus ancient epic conveys a presumption in favour of its own foundation on fact, whatever exaggeration or admixture the fact might have undergone. See on $\alpha$. $348-9$; cf. our own ballads of Cheviot (Chevy Chace) and Otterburne. The early school of oral song in Greece probably yielded similar rhapsodies of which Homer availed him-
 the relative attracting the antecendent is not uncommon in H. (mar.) and occurs in later Greek as a recognized usage (Donalds. Gr. Gr. § 404). Comp. Virg. Aen. I. 573, Urbem quam statuo vestra est. oí $\mu \eta$, akin to o $\mathfrak{\iota} \mu \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{g}}$ "road or track", ef. oi'- $\sigma \omega$ ducam, probably "line drawn", "strain", was the earliest meaning; thus in 1.24 the oĩ $\mu 0 \ell$ of Agamemnon's armour seem to be bands or stripes; akin is $\pi \varrho о-o i \mu \iota o \nu$, prelude.
$76-82$. This contest is referred by the Scholl. to the time after Hector's death, when Achilles was for direct onslaught and Odys. for stratagem.

Sophocles, in a lost play called the $\Sigma v \nu \delta \varepsilon i \pi v o \nu$ or the 'A $\chi \alpha \leftarrow \tilde{\omega} \nu \quad \Sigma \dot{v} \lambda 10 \gamma o s$, introduced a quarrel between these chiefs belonging to the earliest period of the war. Achilles was asked to a banquet too late to please his selfimportance (Proclus, Epitome of Cypria), and threatened to decamp home at once. Odys, there says (Fragm. 152 Dind. ap. Plutarch. Moral. p. 74 a.):
 หаหตัs,
 noגóv,
whence it is clear that then Hector was living. This, however, was after his death, when, the oracle having foretold that-such an event should shortly precede the capture of Troy ( 79 foll.) , Agamemnon $\chi \alpha \check{\varrho} \rho \varepsilon$ vó $\omega$, as at good omen. In no other way could such an episode have sufficient importance to be ranked as one which ov@ $\alpha \nu \dot{\nu} \nu$ عủ $\varrho \dot{v} \nu$ íx $\alpha \nu \varepsilon$, or so impress the mind of Agam, as to cause his exultation. V. $8 \mathrm{i}-82$ were not in some ancient copies, and the Harl. Schol. says are rejected ( $\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v} \varepsilon-$ roṽvzal). Probably 81 is genuine and 82 added later. $\pi \eta \dot{\eta} \alpha \tau 0$ ц $\propto \propto \chi \dot{\eta}$ means the "beginning of the end" - the final $\pi \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$ or penal suffering of the city. We may suppose a solemn banquet on the resumption of war after the armistice with which the Iliad closes, as being the $\vartheta \varepsilon \tilde{\omega} \nu \delta \alpha \ddot{\mathcal{L}}$ here intended. The gods were regarded as sharing by virtue of the sacrifice and libation.














a O. 198, ○. 216 cf. $x .448$
b A. 172 elsaepiss. in $I l$.
c 76 mar.; cf. ゆ. 389-90.
d $\mu .155, \beta .159$.
e $\lambda 581$, B. 519 , I. 405.
f $\pi .41$, , $.30, \psi .88$. g I. 404.
h $\nprec .492,565, \lambda .165$. ß. 163 mar. , $\boldsymbol{P}$ 99.
k ©. 431.
1 O. 71, ג. 276, 437 ; cf. $\pi .403$.
m $\boldsymbol{\alpha} .325$ mar.
n ©. 221, 8.230-1, ס. 115 mar.
o d. 506 mar.
p $\psi .156, \omega .317$, 2. 24.
q o. 332, $\sigma$. 192, T. 285
r $\zeta .221$, O. 563.
s $\boldsymbol{N} .88, \mathbf{\delta} .153$ mar
t I. 191.
u ס. 17 mar.
v $\Sigma .124, \lambda .527,530$.
w $\boldsymbol{I} .656, \mathcal{A} 584, Z$ 220, य. 656,663 , 699.

х II. 227, ク. 137, 165.
y 45 .

81-2. $\dagger$ deerant apud quasdam éndóбєıs, h. 81 var. 1. ф@єıó $\mu \varepsilon v o s$ Barn. 82

 $\nu \varepsilon i ́ \varepsilon \nu \mathrm{M}$.

 Herodotean $z^{\prime} x \pi \alpha \gamma \lambda \varepsilon$ éoucı and the $z^{\prime \prime} x-$ $\pi \lambda \eta \xi \iota \varsigma$ of Attic poetry and prose (L. and S.) vindicate the origin of this word from $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma-\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \omega$, in sense of wondrous, shocking, harsh, against Doed., who, from its use in one passage as epith. of $\chi \varepsilon \iota \mu \dot{\omega}, \xi \cdot 522$, whould derive it from $\pi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \boldsymbol{o}_{\mathrm{g}}$ "frost". - $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\eta}$ -
 epic form of Ion. $\chi \varrho \varepsilon ์ \omega v$, Att. $\chi \varrho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega v$
 oraculum scitaturus. - $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \varepsilon$, omitting 82 , refers to the time when the oracle was fulfilled by the quarrel, not when it was uttered. If 82 be read, then the $\propto \propto \chi \dot{\eta} \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \tau$ os to both parties must mean the beginning of the war, and róte refer to the time of delivering the oracle; but the whole clause to
the end of 82 then loses weight and seems to draw attention emphatically to a circumstance of no importance. For גá̈̈vov ov̉dòv see App. F. 2 (23).
82-ro3. Odys., overcome by memory of the past, sheds tears at the lay. The Phæacians are delighted at it and stimulate the singer with applause; Alcinoüs, with more discernment, thinks they have had enough of it, and proposes athletic games.
 his head". Ni. remarks on the group of the sacrifice of Iphigenia, in which Agamemnon is represented with covered head, as veiling his grief. $\lambda \dot{\eta} \xi \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon v$, on the sequence of optat. and aor. in -бxov see App. A. 9 (20).
 It is implied that there were at least several oral pauses in the song. -
a $108, \zeta .257$.
b $\delta .597, \varrho .518-20$.
c $532-5$, A. 22 ,
r. 86.
d e. 158.
e E. 665.
f $\boldsymbol{\alpha} .157$.
g.ef. $\eta, 274$.
h ع. 386 mar.
i $\eta, 186,9,26$.
k $\eta \cdot 136$, 9. 11.
1 छ. $456, T .167$.
m cf. $\varrho .271, \alpha .152$.
ก $9.145,184, \boldsymbol{\Psi}$.
$707,753$.
o 251-3.
p $2 \div 1-3$.
q 252, A. $103,121$.
$\Sigma .60,90, \mu .43$
ref. $\tau .325-6$.
s 206.
1 I $\boldsymbol{\Psi} .701$.
u 128.
v 46 .
w 67 mar.
$\mathrm{x} \gamma .37$.
y $\delta .301$.
z ع. $237^{6}$
aa 11,91 mar.
bb I. 124, 127, 266. сс $\beta .10, \varphi .4, \omega 120$. dd ९. 67, K. 517.



















91. Fér
92. $\alpha^{\prime} \psi \delta^{\prime}$ Stu. Vr. Fl. Ro. et in mar. St. $\alpha \hat{i} \psi$ Aristoph., h. in mar.; mox ei' $\beta \omega v$ (quod $\delta \dot{\alpha} r \rho v o v$ postularet, ef. 53 I inf.) I. et in mar. St. et var. 1. Eu. 98. Aristar.

 $\pi 0 \iota$ Vi. 56. ${ }^{103 .} \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \mu \sigma \sigma v v^{\prime}$ Aristar. hic et $\Psi$. 701 , Eu., sic Vi. 5. h. q$\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \sigma \mu$ обviv ${ }^{2}$ A. G. I. K. H. M. Stu. V. $\gamma$. Vi. 50,56 , 133 . 105. v. sup. ad 67.



" $\gamma \chi^{\prime}$, the custom of the guest sitting next the host is natural. Questions could thus be addressed to his private ear (mar.).
99. Comp. Hy;, Merc. 478, where the lyre is called $\varepsilon \ddot{v} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \pi \pi o v \ldots \lambda \iota v \dot{\varphi} \varphi \omega v o v$ $\varepsilon \begin{gathered}\varepsilon \alpha i \varrho \eta \nu \text { with the var. 1. } \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v \tilde{\varepsilon} \tau \alpha i \varrho \eta \text { here. }\end{gathered}$
100. The members of this $\mathbf{v}$. rhyme, as in $\alpha .56,266$, see on $\xi .60-5$.
101-4 غ̇víat $\eta$, see App. A. I (1). - xcдcupoбúvy, Aristar. wrote it thus without the $\sigma$, following the ana$\operatorname{logy}$ of the prop. name $\Pi \alpha \lambda \alpha i \mu \omega \nu$, Eustath. other ancient authorities $\pi \alpha$ $\lambda \alpha \iota \sigma \mu \sigma \sigma v \eta \eta .-\ddot{\alpha} \lambda \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$, unless this be meant to include dancing, there is no mention in this vaunt of the accomplishment in which the Phæacians
were most proficient. Living remote from the world, as they are represented, そ. $8, \eta .32$, they may be supposed ignorant of the prowess of others, and the vaunt he viewed as savouring rather of simplicity than of arrogance. 105-119. The party at the palace breaks up for the Assembly, to share and witness the games. Thirteen principal champions, besides three sons of Alcinoüs, are enumerated by name.
 u. $\tau$. $\lambda$., i. e. as Demodocus was blind. - $\boldsymbol{\eta} \varrho \chi \varepsilon \ldots \dot{\delta} \boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\boldsymbol{o}} \boldsymbol{v}$, ace. as with $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon$ -
 - áv $\boldsymbol{\imath} \boldsymbol{\lambda c} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \mathrm{H}$ uses this for the prize, or as here for the contest (mar.).



 'Aupíкдós $\vartheta^{\prime}$ viòs $\Pi о \lambda v v \eta ́ o v ~ T \varepsilon \varkappa \tau о v i \delta \alpha o . ~$









## a $\quad$ IF. 134.

1. 5. 284 mar.
c 129.
d cf.E. 152, A. 422, M. 140, N. 545. e 127, 396: cf. B. $565, Z .20, ~ \boldsymbol{~} \boldsymbol{L}$. 677.
f A. 295, M. 130 ,
N. 802. $\boldsymbol{Y} .46$
cf. $\boldsymbol{E} .518,846$.
g. cf. ג. $522,550, B$ 673, P. 279-80
h $\varepsilon .212$ mar.
i $\lambda .551$, B. 674
k cf. O. 516 .
1 cf. E. 678.
m205-6.
n $\mathcal{I S}_{\mathbf{S}} .758,332,338$, 344.
o cf. M. $436, \boldsymbol{P}$ 543.

р $\quad \mathscr{S} .372,449$.
q N. 820 , =. 145 .

$$
\text { I10. véfou. } 115 . \text { Fǐбos. } 116 . \text { Feĩ } 0 \text { g. }
$$

 $\omega \tau \varepsilon v_{s}$
 тov七oviov

 Vi. 56. «́uv́uoves St. Ern. Wo., $\alpha \mu v ́ \mu o v o s, ~ H . ~ R o . ~ e t ~ r e c e n t t . ~ 120 . ~ o i ~ \delta ’ ~ \eta ้ \tau о \iota ~$


111-6. The following names are all, save Laodamas, derived from the sea, ships and building; with $\Theta o$ ó $\omega v$ comp. $\Theta o ́ \omega \sigma \alpha$, a sea-nymph, $\alpha .71$. In compounds of $-\dot{\alpha} \lambda$ os the rule stated by Herodian is, that in epithets interaspiration occurs, as $\alpha \mu \varphi i \alpha<\lambda o s ~ \alpha \gamma \gamma-$ xí${ }^{\prime} \lambda o s$, (but this, I believe, no modern editor has adopted) in proper names, as Ev@vicios, the aspirate was lost. -
 $P$. 323 , the patronymic of a herald, to which the epithet $\dot{\eta} \pi v \dot{v} \tau \alpha$ is also applied in $H$. 384; we may comp. Shakspeare's name of "Abhorson" for a hangman, Measure for M.IV. II. -
 elsewhere on sturdy warriors (mar.), and applied, perhaps, to Euryalus, as really the best champion among the Phæacians and the victor of the $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota-$ $\mu \circ \sigma v ́ v \eta_{S} \alpha^{3} \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \iota v \tilde{\eta}_{S}$ in 126 ; lacking however the modesty which is valour's ornament, ${ }_{1} 8$ foll. - Ncußodíd $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{s}$, after this $\vartheta^{\prime}$ was read, as though $N$. were a distinct name, but it seems better to take it with La Roche (note ad loc.),

Grashof (das Schiff bei H. und Hes. p. 3, note) and Bekker as a patronymic.

120-132. The principal contests are briefly dispatched in description, and the victors mentioned by name.
 one is described as consisting of a dry tree-stump with two massive, perhaps monumental stones, one on either side. Round this the chariots had to double; whereas the footmen appear to start from the $v v^{\prime} \sigma \sigma \eta$ and to run home to where Achilles was. Near home ( $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{v}$ $\mu \alpha \tau o v$ бৎó $\mu о \nu$ ) Ajax slipped and fell. roviovesc $\pi \varepsilon \delta i o l o$, this construction with gen. occurs thrice in Il., the acc xovíovōıv $\pi \varepsilon \delta$ iov once ( $\mathrm{mar}_{\dot{z}}$ ). For the gen. see on $\gamma \cdot{ }^{251}$ "Aeysos $\eta \varepsilon \nu$, and comp. $\pi \varrho \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ ó oั̌o $\gamma .476$, and acc.
 of place in which action takes place
 $i \dot{\omega} \nu$ E. $597, ~ \grave{~ \varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \dot{v} \mu \varepsilon \nu 0 \nu X .26, \varepsilon \lambda-$
 หध́ $\mu \varepsilon v$ E. 222 ; n. b. always novio, rarely

a B． 761 ．
b e．432，v．297，o．253， $\omega .429, \gamma .129 \mathrm{mar}$ ．， in 11．fere decies．
c $\boldsymbol{y}$ ． 32. e．$^{\varepsilon} 127$ ，K． $353, N .703$.
d K $\mathbf{K}$ ．
e I．506．ゆ．604； cf．$\zeta .87, \mu .113$.
f $\Psi$
c 219.
h $\boldsymbol{H} .150,285, \boldsymbol{K}$ ． $273,300,560, \boldsymbol{N}$ ． 740， 751.
i 103.
k $221, \varphi$ ． 134.
1 cf．$\delta .626$ mar．
$\mathrm{m} \mu$ ．109，$\chi$ ．289．$\Delta$ ．
56，Z． 158, X． 40 ．
n 143.
o d． 102 mar．，$A$ ．
474，I． 186.
p $\beta .410$.
q $\gamma, 69,70$ ．
r 146.












124．v\＆FFF $\tilde{\omega}$ ．
 M．Vr．Vi．50，56．128．$\pi \varrho о \varphi \varepsilon \varrho \varepsilon ́ \sigma \tau \varepsilon \varrho о \varsigma ~ \gamma ~ V i . ~ I ~ 33 . ~ S t u . ~ F l . ~ R o . ~ e d d . ~ v e t t ., ~ \pi \varrho o-~$ $\varphi \varepsilon \varrho \varepsilon ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \tau o \varsigma$ Vi．rell．G．I．K．M．Vr．H．Eu．Wo．et recentt．；$\pi о \lambda \dot{v} \varphi \varepsilon \varepsilon_{\rho} \tau \alpha \tau \sigma$ A． 129．$\pi \varrho о \varrho \varepsilon \varrho \varepsilon ́ \sigma \tau \varepsilon \varrho о \varsigma ~ S t u . ~ V i . ~ 133 . ~ \gamma ~ F l ., ~-\tau \alpha \tau о \varsigma ~ A . ~ H . ~ V i . ~ r e l l . ~ I ., ~ \pi о \lambda \dot{v} \varphi \varepsilon ́ \rho \tau \alpha \tau о \varsigma ~$ G．H．ex em．K．ex em．man．pr．Eu．Wo．et recentt．133．om．a sed in mar．
 Eu．H．Wo．et recentt．

124．غ่vขรıळ゙ oṽ＠ov $\pi . \dot{\eta} \mu .$, taking $\varepsilon \nu \nu \varepsilon \varepsilon \tilde{\mathscr{D}}$ in its strict sense of ground unbroken before，and the mules as ploughing it，there would be some convenient length to which the furrow would be driven before the team turned． We are used to see ploughing always in fenced fields，where the fences them－ selves supply the limits：imagine the ground unfenced and some such limit becomes necessary．The limit then stands for the distance which it mea－ sures ：comp．the ź $\pi \iota \tau \alpha \varkappa \tau \grave{v} \nu \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \varrho \circ \nu$ which Iason had to plough in Pind． Pyth．IV．420．The somewhat parallel simile（mar．）turns on the space by which mules at plough would outstrip oxen． With ovoov comp．סíбuov＠$\alpha$ distance of quoit－throw，世5．523．－גaov̀g de－ pends on $\dot{v} \pi \varepsilon \kappa \pi \varrho \circ \vartheta \varepsilon$ と́ $\omega$ ．
 severity of the struggle，as described in $\Psi .710$ foll．between Odys，and Ajax Telamon．Where the heroes＇muscular backs creak beneath each other＇s grasp， the sweat pours down，and bloody weals start along their flanks and shoulders． Sir W．Scott Lady of L．V． 23 makes it equally severe：
＂For life is Hugh of Larbert lame； Scarce better John of Alloa＇s fare， Whom senseless home his comrades bear．＂ and Shaksp．，As You Like It，I．1，＂To－ morrow，Sir，I wrestle for my credit， and he that escapes me without some broken limb shall acquit him well．＂－


13I－2．Five contests alone are here included．In $\Psi$ ．we have also chariot－ racing，armed assault，and archery，the leap being omitted．The very brief， dry way in which the last four are here dispatched seems to recognize tacitly the fact that in the Il．the subject had been handled at length，and to indi－ cate a conscious link of connexion between the poems．It is observable that here no prizes are mentioned， which form so conspicuous a feature in $\Psi . \alpha \dot{\alpha} \dot{\vartheta} \lambda_{\iota \alpha}$ in 108 sup．means the ＂contests．＂Also in respect to these games the $\alpha i \sigma v \mu \nu \tilde{\eta} \tau \alpha \iota$ of 258 seem to have no function；but perhaps the meagreness of the whole description is the reason，the poet meaning that Phæacian athletics were at best poor things，not worth dwelling on．

133－15I．Laodamas，commending： the athletic form of Odys．，suggests














a d. 493, Ф. 61.
c 214.
d $\sigma$. 67-9.
Z. 117, P. 386.
g $\Sigma .415$.
${ }_{1}$ \%. 127-8, $N$
$786-7$.
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { 1 cf. } \\ \text { k o. } & 343, & \text { T. } 321 .\end{array}$
1 O. 364.
m E. 410, 645, N.
ク. 298 mar.

- $O 364$.
p $\eta$. 227 mar.; cf.
ร. 509, ๆ. 179.
r $\alpha$. 273; cf. $\xi$. 3.
s $\eta .167$.
t 130 .
, H. 384 ,
205, $\mu .184$,. 517, $\lambda .561$.
w $\eta$. 28 mar.
y 133-4.
z 179, 214.





 ov $\pi \alpha_{\varsigma}$ Eu. 142. † Aristar. Aristoph. Zenod., h., $\pi \varrho o x \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \iota H_{.} ; \mu v \vartheta \vartheta \omega$ codd. pleriq. et edd., $\mu \tilde{v} \vartheta 0 \nu$ Vi. omn. Wo. et recentt. I44. $\beta \tilde{\eta}$ G. m.; $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{v} \dot{v} \mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma \sigma \omega$ Vi. 5 .
that he be invited to take part in the games. Euryalus approves, and L. accordingly proposes it to him, at the same time bidding him cheer up, as his speedy return was now assured.

135-6. $\chi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \rho \alpha$, include the arms, as is clear from. $\Psi$. ooo, where Nestor

 it seems strange in enumerating concrete parts to end with an abstract quality inherent avowedly in them all. Probably $\sigma \boldsymbol{v}$ غ́vos meant originally the trunk or torso of a man, Curtius views it (II. 85) as akin to í' $^{\prime} \tau \eta \mu \iota$. This sense it had all but lost in Homer's time, but we seem to have here a trace of it. This is confirmed by such names as Eratosthenes, Eurysthenes etc.; cf. Pind. Nem. VII. 106 , ôs $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \varepsilon ่ \pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \varepsilon \nu \pi \alpha$ -
 rov. Ni. on the other hand suggests
a colon at $\sigma \tau \iota \beta \alpha \rho o \nu$, so as to exclude $\mu . \tau \varepsilon \sigma \mathcal{V} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}^{\boldsymbol{v}} \boldsymbol{0}$ from the enumeration. $\ddot{\eta} \beta \eta \xi_{\boldsymbol{c}}$ x. $\tau . \lambda$. , the words of Laodam. are as courteous, when speaking of Odys., as when speaking to him, and form a delicate contrast to the coarse disparagement of Euryalus.
139. Both $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \varepsilon$ and $\boldsymbol{\tau \varepsilon}$ are read after $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\delta} \varrho \boldsymbol{O}$ - both superfluous: the true reading was probably $\alpha \boldsymbol{\nu}$ éc. 142 has been pronounced spurious by the reanimous authority of Zenod., Aristoph., Aristar. - $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \varrho \alpha \delta \varepsilon \mu \tilde{v} \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{o v}$ could not, by Homeric usage, mean "utter a speech", since $\varphi \varrho \alpha$ ' $\zeta \omega$ is 'to point out", see on 67 sup., or "utter a formal proclamation" (mar.) The var. 1. $\pi \varepsilon \dot{\varphi}$. $\mu \dot{v} \vartheta \omega$ is probably a shift to escape this; but too harsh to be endured.

144-8. 'ं $\mathcal{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu} \sigma \sigma \sigma v$, i. e. the midst of the royal party. Laod, was in the
a $\sigma .255, \pi .241$.
b $\delta 588, \tau .17, Z$ ． 25.
c 181, O．364，Y 360.
d A． 302 ．
e cf． $\boldsymbol{Y} .341$ ．
f $\xi .197$.
g ef．U． 155.
Һ ૬．332，т． 289.
－Y． 87.
k 上． 430 ．
। N．638，T．210．
m $\varepsilon 223$ mar．；cf．
c． $284-5$ ．
u छ． 215.
0 cf．$\eta$ ． 160.
p A． 15.
I 140.
r K． 158.
s $\gamma, 120, O, 247$.
t A．719， $\boldsymbol{Y}$ S． 671
u 179，ঠ． 247.





 6． $1 \alpha 0 \delta \alpha ́ \mu \alpha, \tau i ́ \mu \varepsilon \quad \tau \alpha v ̃ \tau \alpha{ }^{i} \varkappa \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v ́ \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \quad x \varepsilon о \tau о \mu \varepsilon ́ о \nu \tau \varepsilon S$ ；







148．$\varepsilon f \tilde{\eta} \sigma \iota v . \quad 159 . \mathcal{F} \mathcal{F} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma u \omega$ ．



lists or arena，conceived of，probably， as spacious．－$\tilde{\eta} \sigma \iota v$ is objected to by Ni．as a form of $\varepsilon \ell \mu i$ but it occurs in T．202，although है $\eta \sigma \iota v$ is far more common：the proposal to read o＂$\varphi \rho \alpha x^{\prime}$
 fore needless．ő $\varrho \propto \alpha$ x\＆v グбเข means， ＂as long as he lives＂，ő $\rho \rho \alpha$ u\＆v else－ where（mar．）means＂while＂，but the conversion of the idea of a space or duration to signify the limit to which the space or duration extends，is not uncommon；although its converse，as in ove $\rho \alpha 124$ sup．，is perhaps more common． Lines 147 － 8 rhyme as notunfrequently；
 $\boldsymbol{\chi} \varrho \varrho \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ，this shows the simplest phy－ sical aspect of heroism，the feeling which lay at the root of Greek ath－ letics．Such were no doubt the earliest contests，mere struggles of limb wind and muscle，the discus，javelin etc． being added later：comp．Pind．Ol．XI．
 бiv $\tau \varepsilon x \alpha i{ }_{\tau} \alpha \rho \mu \alpha \tau \iota$ ，Pyth．X． 34 foll．，
 $\ddot{\eta} \pi \circ \delta \tilde{\omega} \nu \alpha \varrho \varepsilon \tau \tilde{\alpha}$ кן $\alpha \tau \eta \dot{\sigma} \alpha \iota \varsigma$ ห．$\tau . \lambda$ ．
 trace of the emotion，which had affected hım 82－92 sup．was perhaps still ap－ parent in his looks．Thus afterwards，
when roused by his own successful quoit，


151－164．Odys．，receiving Laoda－ mas＇compliment as disguised satire， pleads with regretful bitterness that his sorrows have left him no heart for such contests．Euryalus on this presumes to disparage him as no hero， but a commercial sharper，whose soul is in his freights and bales．

154－57．These are expressive lines： they seem to say，＂I have toiled enough －too much to care for such things． I have but one thing at heart－that is to get home．That is my business in the Assembly，all else is a $\pi \alpha \varrho \varepsilon \varrho \gamma o v$ to me．＂

159－64．These，too，are remarkable， showing the lower view of commerce， as tending to shiftiness and greediness； that，however，this aspect did not ne－ cessarily present itself is clear from the words of the Pseudo－Mentes to Telem．$\alpha .184$ ，where he describes him－ self as going $\varepsilon$ ，$T \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma \eta \nu \mu \varepsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \chi \alpha \lambda x o \dot{\nu}$ ， ${ }^{\prime \prime} \gamma \omega \delta \delta^{\prime} \alpha i^{\prime} \vartheta \omega \nu \alpha$ бíd$\eta \varrho o v . ~ C o m p . ~ a l s o ~$ the feigned adventures of $\xi .230$ foll．， where the expressions point to wealth and influence acquired by commerce． On the other hand as a specimen of unscrupulous craft，of which the Pho－






 ov゙т $\omega \varsigma$ ov̉ $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \iota ~ \vartheta \varepsilon о \iota^{m} ~ \chi \propto \varrho \iota \varepsilon \nu \tau \alpha ~ \delta \iota \delta o v ̃ \sigma \iota \nu$




## ع． $42 \%$ ．

b）$v .60$ ．
c $v .382$, v．32．1， 11． $88, \Theta .23!$
d e． $88, \vartheta .451, \Sigma$ $386,42 \%$.
e cf．I． 443.
f $\xi$ ． 296.
g K．38，342，X 255.
h o． 445.
i cf．$\zeta .250$, ，． 110 k $\sigma .14,337$, т．70， $\chi .34,60,320, \Delta$ ． 349 ，$=.82, B$ ． 244－5．
$1 \omega .28 \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N}, \eta .60$ ．
m cf． $\boldsymbol{N} .729-34$ ．
n $\delta .264$.
－A． 115.
p ع．217，$\sigma .130$.
q cf．2． 367337.
r ef． 175.
 170．Fغ́л
 163．ह̇лíor＠o甲os Aristoph．，e．q．（nisi mera interpretatio），Apollonii Lex．s．v．；

 K．suprascr．sic Apoll．Lex．Schol．Arist．Nub．623，$\alpha$ ．$\eta^{\prime} i \omega \nu$ ms．Barnes．，$\varepsilon \tau \alpha i \varrho \omega \nu$


 ＠$\eta \tau \eta \nu \mathrm{A}$ ．Vi． 56 ，sed $-v \nu$ sup．$-\eta \nu$ a man．rec．
nician trader was the popular type， comp．$\xi^{288, ~ \varphi o i v ı \xi}{ }^{3} \lambda \vartheta \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\eta} \varrho \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \tau \eta^{\prime}-$ $\lambda \iota \alpha$ हid由s $\tau \rho \omega \varkappa \tau \eta \varsigma, \varkappa . \tau . \lambda$. ，and Soph． Fragm． 756 Dind．，$\oplus \nu \grave{\nu} \nu$ हैध่งov rai $\pi \varrho \tilde{\alpha}-$
 גos．－$\ddot{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \boldsymbol{9} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ only here in the dis－ syll．form．－$\pi \varrho \eta x \tau \tilde{\eta} \varrho \varepsilon \varsigma$, comp．${ }^{\eta}$ $\tau \iota \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\pi} \varrho \tilde{\eta} \xi \iota \iota \nu \vec{\eta} \mu \alpha \psi \iota \delta i \omega \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \sigma \vartheta \varepsilon$ र．，72．－甲ógtov，comp．ív人 oî бìv
 has been noticed as seeming to show the absence of any written document， like a bill of lading，which led the Phœnicians，say the Scholl．，to invent letters．－ódaícv．The Scholl．explain this of provisions for the voyage，as
 $\delta^{\prime} \omega v o v{ }^{\circ} \delta \alpha i \omega v$ ，the return cargo，to be obtained by sale of the freight， seems more likely．Of $x \varepsilon \varrho \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \varrho \pi \alpha \lambda$ ． an instance occurs in Eumæus＇tale， 0.415 foll．，see especially 427 －9，where kidnapping and decoying are the means employed．

165－85．Odys．in a smart retort， while＇referring all such gifts to the
gods，exalts that of wise speech，and disparages the external one of grace－ ful form when unattended by it．He ends by asserting his own prowess， and will prove it，though shattered and reduced by toils．

166－7．ov zod $\dot{o} v$ ，＂reproachful or
 Z． 325 ，the negative implying the as－ sertion of the opposite，as in ov $\tau \iota$ $\chi$ र́g̨ıov，and the like phrases．ov́rшs ＂so，we see！＂marking the case be－ fore him as an example of the maxim laid down．
168－70．With $\alpha \gamma o \varrho \eta \tau v \nu$ comp．$\alpha^{3} \lambda \alpha \omega-$ $\tau v v, \iota .503$ ，also a word once occurring． The general statement with regard to the gifts of the gods is narrowed，to suit the particular issue，to the question of the gift of personal beauty and that of winning adress．Comp．Polydamas to Hector（mar．），where warlike prowess and sage counsel are similarly con－ trasted．Odys．here displays the $\alpha^{\alpha} \gamma^{-}$ $\varrho \eta \tau \dot{v}_{s}$ ，and by the gift of Pallas he also enjoyed the $\varepsilon i \delta o s$（ 18 foll．）：the
a K. 180.
b $\zeta .109$.
c $\eta .40,72$.
d M. 312, $\eta .71$
e $\eta .5$ mar.
f ef. ©. 348.
g. cf. 170.
$h$ ef. $\varrho .454$.
i 390,424, l. 22 , ง. 178.
k ع. 182 mar ,
1 d. 366 mar., $T$. 272 , o. 486 ; cf. A. 313.
$\mathrm{m} \xi .363, \mathcal{B} 12, \boldsymbol{B}$. 214, E. 759; cf. 9. $489,166$.
n $\boldsymbol{\text { H. }}$. 198 ; ef. 9.159.
o Q. 514.
p $\Theta .536 ;$ ef. $\Delta .354$.
q E. 299, II. 624, M. 135.
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 $\tau \alpha \iota$ Eu. Ro. St. et recentt. $\dot{\alpha} \mu \varphi \iota \pi \varepsilon \varrho \iota \sigma \tau \varrho \dot{\varphi} \varphi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ H. K. Vi. i 33 . Fl. Ald. et edd. vett.,

poet does not dwell on the fact, but leaves the superiority of Odys. and the bounty of the gods to him, as compared with the cavilling Euryalus, to be tacitly recognized.

170-2. oi $\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{t}$, the hearers are of course implied, as shown by $\alpha$ र $\wp o-$
 a fine passage in Hes. Theogon. 80 foll. describing the gifts of Calliope and the Muses, especially the conjunction of persuasiveness with justice, should be compared.
 2oıo,
 $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \dot{\omega} \omega \nu$,
 ส८v ย̇غৎб $\eta \nu$,
 oí $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} v v \lambda \alpha o i$




 $\nu \omega s$ к $\alpha \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \alpha v \sigma \varepsilon$.
 $\pi \varepsilon \iota \alpha \gamma \varrho о \mu \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\nu} \circ \iota \sigma \iota \nu$.
 $\pi 0 \iota \sigma \iota$.

In this a large portion of the language is identical with that of H. here. ai $\delta o i$ in both is "influence" exercised by the speaker, or "respect" shown to him by the hearers, which are reciprocal, and, for our present purpose, indistinguishable. - $\dot{e} \sigma \varphi \kappa \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega_{\mathrm{c}}$ in its primary sense, "without stumbling" in his speech.

176-9. عĩ 0 oc, comp. o. 308, where the disguised Odys. asks Eumæus concerning Argus the dog $\varepsilon i \dot{\delta} \dot{\eta} x \alpha i \tau \alpha-$
 "besides this goodly shape". So also Hesiod Theog., of the giants, ioxvis
 $\varepsilon i^{\prime} \delta \varepsilon \iota$. - vóov is viewed as the inward essence of which the $\dot{\alpha} \gamma o \rho \eta \tau \dot{v}$ s is the outward exponent. - ovd $\dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{x \varepsilon v}$ .. $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v} \xi \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon$, nor would a god frame a man (referring to bodily frame only) otherwise". - ov́ratcic $\%$., see above 166-7. - блоф $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota o s$ Doed. thinks akin to $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \varphi \eta$, but H. has $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \tau \eta_{i}^{\prime} \lambda \iota o s$ for this; better $\alpha \pi$-ő $\varphi \varepsilon \lambda 0$, comp. $\alpha \pi 0-$ $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \dot{v} \mu \iota o \mathrm{~s} .-v \tilde{\eta} \ell \varsigma$, i. e. $\nu \tilde{\eta} F \iota \varsigma$, contains the old English verb-stem "I wis" and the German wiss-en elsewhere found to involve $\delta$ as in $\dot{\alpha} \mathcal{F} \delta \rho \varepsilon i \eta \sigma \iota$, Foĩ $\delta \alpha$, and video.
 $2^{\text {nd }}$ perf. has a strictly present force, so has this its pluperf. one simply past.
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#### Abstract

  $\oint \dot{\alpha}$ A. I. Vi. omn.; pro $\lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon$ A. ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \varepsilon$. $187 . \pi \varepsilon \varrho$ A. G. M. Vi. ${ }_{5} 6$ Eu. Fl., $\tau \varepsilon$ H. Stu. Vr. Vi. 5, 50, 133, $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma$ I. K.


Of this we have in $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \varepsilon ่ \pi \iota \vartheta \mu \varepsilon v, B .34 \mathrm{I}$, a syncopated form retaining the augment. The repetitions of similar phrases ov


 show the vehemence of indignation
 we find $\boldsymbol{\partial} \eta \xi i v v \mu o s$ in Asch. Agam. 744 and $\delta \alpha x \varepsilon ́ v v \mu o s$ in a fragment of Simonides.

186-232. Odys. flings the quoit far beyond the rest. Athenê, seeming a man in the crowd, marks the distance and expresses approval. Odys. encouraged launches out into a challenge to all champions present except Laodamas, and declares himself an Achæan from Troy. - $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v j} \boldsymbol{\omega} \tilde{\omega}$ próge comp. Shaksp. Jul. Ceesar I. in., "Accoutred as I was, I plunged in". See mar, for similar use of $\alpha \boldsymbol{v} \tau \dot{\prime} \mathrm{s}$, also $\boldsymbol{i \prime \prime} \pi \pi 0 \iota \alpha \boldsymbol{v} \tau 0 \boldsymbol{i}$ $\sigma \iota \nu$ ő $\chi \varepsilon \sigma \varphi \iota \nu, ~ 4.699$, (comp. 世. 81) $\alpha v \tau \tilde{\eta} \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda \eta u \iota$ ко́@ $\eta$, T. 482 and the compound $\alpha v \tau 0 \chi$ ócovos, "exactly as cast" or "pig-iron" (of the lump thrown in $\Psi .826)$. The retention of the $\varphi \alpha^{\circ} \rho o s$, which was large and cumbrous, marks the masterly ease of the throw, such upper garments being cast off on such occasions; so Thoas, §. 500-1, easts off his $\chi^{\lambda \alpha} \tilde{\imath}^{2} \nu \alpha \nu$, to run to the ships. $\boldsymbol{\delta i} \sigma x o v$, apparently unlike the $\sigma$ ólos
$\alpha v$ vox. just referred to, which would rather resemble our modern athletic sport of pitching the cannon-ball, said however (Smith's Dict. Antiq. Discus) to be thrown by the aid of a strap, of which H . has no mention. - $\pi \kappa \boldsymbol{\chi} \varepsilon=$ tov, a Schol. regards this as an adj. compar. shortened from $\pi \alpha \chi v \dot{v} \varepsilon \varrho 0 \nu$; some incline [cf. the analogy of őरos óxetós (ó óvrךүós)] to regard it as a noun formed from $\pi \dot{\alpha} \chi o s$, and as still a noun, but of neut. form, in $\pi \alpha \chi \varepsilon \tau \dot{o} S$ $\delta^{\circ} \eta{ }^{\circ} v \geqslant \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \varepsilon$ xímv, $\psi$. 191. I take it as an adj., like $\pi \varepsilon \varrho \iota \mu \eta \eta^{\prime} ห \tau o s$ from $\pi \varepsilon \varrho \iota-$
 see the action of the Discobolus in the well known statue; comp. $\varepsilon^{\prime \prime \delta} \delta \iota \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon ́ \tau \varrho \omega$
 Ol. XI. 72. In later times the discus and javelin-throwing were not distinct contests but departments of the pentathlon. Then, as here, the material was stone ( $\lambda$ ívos 190), comp. oíć $\tau \varepsilon$

 $\eta_{\eta} \nu \pi \varepsilon \in \nu \tau \alpha \boldsymbol{v} \lambda o \nu$. Pind. Isthm. I. 24-6. It should be remembered that, like the javelin, the big stone is a weapon of combat in H .
190. Bórß $\boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\nu}$, verb formed from the sound; so a "bomb" from the bursting noise of the missile. - हैл $\boldsymbol{\tau} \eta_{-}$ §av, "each in fright for his own head", says Eustath.
a $369, v, 166$.
b $\eta .39,0.415, \pi$ ．
227.

с M．462，O．171，
T． 358.
d $\boldsymbol{I}^{\prime} .843, \chi$ ．280，
N． 408.
е K． $54, v .88$ ．
f $\boldsymbol{T} .333$.
g v．222，Є． 305.
X． 227.
h $\boldsymbol{\eta} .330$ mar．
i 215，ס． 277 mar．
k cf．К． 365 ． $1 \eta .329$ mar． m P．204，Ф． 96 ． n cf．८． 351.














194．Feırvĩa fétos．
192．$\dot{v} \pi \grave{o}$ H．M．；$\dot{v} \pi \alpha i$ A．I．K．et a man．pr．M．Vi．5，133， 56 Vr．；erant qui $\beta \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ pro $\sigma \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ V．，ita G．；$\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha$ H．K．Vi． 50 et a man．pr． 56. Eu．Wo．et recentt．$\pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ A．Vi． 133 et a man．pr． 56 ，I．K．M．Vr．，conf． $\omega v$
 Vi．5．197．$\tau o \nu \delta \varepsilon ́ \tau^{\prime}$ I．K．Vr．$\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime}$ Stu．198．$\tau o \delta \varepsilon \gamma^{\prime}$ Vi．56．Aristar．m．，



 $\dot{\eta} \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \iota \mu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma 0 \nu$ Vi．5．204．öv $\nu \iota \nu \alpha$ Vi．omn．H．I．K．Stu．Vr．$\alpha$, quod probat Alter，عítıvo A．

19x－2．It seems to me very likely that line 19I has been inserted：the subject to $\varepsilon ँ \pi \tau \eta \xi \alpha \nu$ may easily enough be understood from 188 sup．б $\eta \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ are the marks of the distances，$\tau \varepsilon \rho \mu \alpha \tau^{\prime}$ ， reached by the previous throwers；these words would in fact be more strictly in their places if transposed；comp． Eurip．Helen． $1472, \tau \varrho \chi \chi \tilde{\omega} \tau \varepsilon \rho \mu о \nu \alpha$ סíwov，and Sir W．Scott，Lady of L．V． 23 ，
＂When each his utmost strength had shown， The Douglas rent an earth－fast stone From its deep bed，then heaved it high， And sent the fragment through the sky，
A rood beyond the farthest mark．＂
194．$\dot{\alpha} v \delta \varrho \grave{\iota}$ ，for the disguises of Pallas see App．E． 4 （10）（2I）mid．
 yદ́œovtos $\chi$ £í＠$\alpha$ Eurip．Androm．993，


200－1．घ̇v $\boldsymbol{\eta} \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ，epith．often used of
 are the only cases that occur；comp．
 and $\varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \eta \varepsilon i \eta_{s}$＂mildness＂，ascribed to Patroclus $P$ ． 670 ．The opposite qua－ lity is expressed by $\alpha \pi \eta \nu \eta \dot{\jmath}, \tau$ ． 329 ，
 $\varepsilon i \delta \tilde{\eta}$ ，but find also $\pi \varrho o \sigma \eta \nu \eta \grave{\jmath}_{S}$ Pind．Pyth． X．99，Thuc．VI．77．Doed．illustrates the loss of the $\nu$ in $\varepsilon^{\varepsilon} \nu \eta \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\alpha} \alpha$ by $\mu \varepsilon i i^{\omega} \nu$ （ $\mu \varepsilon v i \omega v$ ）minus $\mu \iota v v ่ \vartheta \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota v$, and oĩos ＂alone＂，compared with Lat，unus，an－ ciently ænus．－zovழótegov，Bek． Hom．Blatt．p．312－3，says＂rather＂ gaily，but＂more gaily＂seems intended， see on 149 sup．

202－3． $\boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\kappa} \chi \alpha$ ，the lexicons all agree that H ．knew not of the sense＂per－ haps＂，and that here as elsewhere ＂quickly＂is the meaning．－ש゙бひE＠ov， Ni．notices that，as in E． $17{ }_{7}{ }_{0} \delta^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime} \sigma \tau \varepsilon$－
 tepov，and toббoviov adverbial，as
 ódv́९o $\mu \alpha \iota$ ．
















a $0.395,5.517,0$ $3399, \pi .81, \varphi \cdot 342$ N． 781 ．
b） 145 mar．
c 乡． $282, \pi .425$.
d 103 mar．
e $\beta .235$ mar．
f 117 ．
g．$\alpha, 176,417, Z$ ． 215.
h e． $460,515$.
i o．55， 70.
k $\boldsymbol{\Gamma} .7$ ；сf． K． 479 ．
1 T．324，є． 36.
m ४． 174.
n 146，$\varphi, 159$.
o cf．v．209，o． 167.
p 8． 241.
q $\tau .586, \varphi$ ．sapics，
义．71，N． 594.
r 196 mar．
s $\delta .246$ mar．
t 2.412 ；cf． 世＇$^{5} .695$ ．
u O．442，II． 114.
v ef．$\chi .27$.
w $\gamma .190$ mar．
x 127 ．

2II．Féo． 213 ．Fíd $\mu \varepsilon \nu$ ． 215 ．Foǐ $\delta \alpha$ ．
20\％．$\gamma^{\prime}$ om．Vi． $5,5^{6}$ ，$\tau^{\prime}$ G．M．V．209．$\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \varrho \omega ̃ \nu$ pro $\alpha \nu \eta \grave{ }$ ，Bek，annot．， var．1．«́vク＠A．a man．rec．„ $211 . \tau^{\prime}$ A．I．M．E．Fl．et edd．vett．，$\delta^{\prime}$ H．Ent．



205．$\delta \varepsilon \tilde{v} \varrho$ ’ $\boldsymbol{\kappa} \gamma \varepsilon$ ，this phrase had become so purely adverbial as to be used here with the $3^{\text {d }}$ person．

206．Ni．regards this $v$ ．as inter－ polated．I incline to agree with him．

207． $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \dot{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$＇，he only excepts the eldest son of the king；the feeling of $\xi \varepsilon v i \alpha$ gained strength by being thus limited．It is implied that there is in
 gonism，though but temporary，to em－ peril it．This tends to enhance the closeness and sacredness of the tie． It is however only $\delta \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \varepsilon^{\varepsilon} \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \delta \alpha \pi \tilde{\omega}$ ， which appears to be limited，by the feeling implied rather than stated，to the country of the $\xi \varepsilon v o s$ himself．

215．For Odysseus as an archer comp． $\alpha .261$ ，where he is said to have used poi－ soned arrows，also the bow－trial of the suitors in $\varphi$ ．commencing with an ela－ borate description of the bow as fetched from its repository．Odys，therefore had left it at home．Accordingly when he takes a bow in the Doloneia，it is the gift of Meriones for the occasion，and then
only used to whip the captured horses of Rhesus，$K$ ．260， 514 ．He never uses it in battle，as deeming it unworthy of heroic combat，save against game and in $\chi$ ．against the suitors．The in－ ferior character of those who used such weapons is alluded to by Sopho－
 ov $\sigma \mu \iota$ кò $\varphi$ ¢oveĩ ；and the same feeling is manifest in the word $\alpha \tau \rho \alpha-$ x $\quad$ os，＂spindle＂，contemptuosly used for＂arrow＂by a Spartan in Thucyd． III， 20.

219．कlגoxtทitns，mentioned in B． 718 as left behind wounded by the bite of a hydra，and in $\gamma$ ．190．as reaching Greece in safety after the war．The mention in $B$ ．as well as the present one， implies that he reached Troy sub－ sequently to the time at which the II． closes．On the means used to bring him thither Æschyl．and Sophocl． founded their dramas．In a fragment of the former（ 235, Dind．）the line oc－
 $\lambda \alpha \nu \delta \varrho v o v$.
a $\gamma .100,220, \delta .330$.
b H. 198, N. 269.
c 9.128 mar.
d E. 304, M. 383, 449, Ү. 287.
e $\zeta .153, \eta .67,307$, ग. 440, A. 88 .
f $1.89, Z .142$; ef. $\boldsymbol{N}, 322$.
gef. A. 261.
। 2 . 62.9, A. 308, I:. 636.
i E. 172, O. 320 .






220. 'AXctoì, it is remarkable that this avowal that he was an Achæan, draws no direct remark from the king.
 ทृeळ́ $\omega \nu$ x. $\tau$. $\lambda$. may seem tacitly to recognize it; nevertheless, the significant disclosure does not awaken the interest which might have been expected, and is plainly inconsistent with the enquiry with which the book closes (544-6), since it must have been obvious that he must have lost many ह́rcǐoo among the slain. I therefore suspect these lines (219-20) as an interpolation by some one who remembered the legendary fame of Philoctetes as an archer. They can well be spared. Soph. Phil. ro58-9 are in favour of their having been in the text when that play was written.
223-4. The poetical belief in the degeneracy of human powers peeps out here: note also that Herakles is not in Homer's view an immortal, but one of those mortal men ( $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \rho \propto \dot{\alpha} \sigma \iota=$ =
 tended with the Gods. He belongs to the generation immediately before the Trojan war. Thus Tlepolemus his son by Astyocheia fights there (B. $6_{5} 8$ foll.) He slew the eleven elder sons of Ne leus, brothers of Nestor ( 1.690 foll.), and Iphitus, son of Eurytus, who gave Odys. his father's famous bow ( $\varphi$. 22 foli.). This murder, mentioned with abhorrence, as a violation of the laws of hospitality, was even subsequent to that gift; i. e. Herakles was yet alive in Odysseus' boyhood ( $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \nu$ òs हُต̀ ibid.). Agreeably with this view, he sacked Troy in the time of Laomedon, father of Priam, and through the wrath of Herê was shipwrecked on his return ( $\Xi .25$ I foll). The words which deseribe

Odysseus' recognition by him, E" $^{\prime} \nu \omega \delta^{\prime \prime}$ $\alpha v \tau i x \alpha$ xeivos r. $\tau$. $\lambda$. and his addressing him by name, suit moreover the fact of his having somewhere encountered him in life (cf. 154,390 ). In N. 145 foll. an escape of his at Troy from the sea-monster, and a mound raised for his protection, supposed to be still standing at the time of the siege, are mentioned. His birth and subservience to Eurystheus, throngh the mechanism of Herê, and the labours imposed on him by Eurystheus, are noticed in $T$. 98, 氙. $324, ~ O .639, ~ \lambda .622-3 . \mathrm{He}$ is Aios jóvos, but so far from being therefore immortal that his death is distinctly recorded (E. 635-8; $\Sigma$. II7), and apparently regarded as a necessary retribution, $i$. e. by having been premature, for his presumption in assaulting the deities Herê and Aïdes with his arrows (E. 392-409). Finally in $\lambda .601$ foll. we have the unique passage, which makes him appear in the region of the dead as an $\varepsilon i^{\prime \prime} \delta \omega \lambda o v, i . e$. one of the $\psi v \chi \alpha i$ हi'd$\omega \lambda \alpha$ коцо́vт $\omega$, whilst his proper personality ( $\alpha v \boldsymbol{v} \dot{\jmath}$ ) is not there but among the immortal gods, with Hebe for his wife, who from $\Delta .2$ and E. 905 (cf. $\gamma .464$ ) according to heroic manners is proby. unmarried. This double form of existence in shadow and in substance seems a refinement inconsistent with primitive simplicity, and I am disposed to regard $\lambda .602-4$ as considerably later than the bulk of the Homeric Text. Yet there (omitting $602-4$ ) he is still armed with bow and arrows, as in E. $39^{2}$ foll., not with the club, as in Pind. Ol. IX. 45 , which Pisander of Rhodes circ. B. C. 600 is said to have first assigned to him, and which in $\lambda .575$ is given to Orion (éó-








## 230








a E． $392,395, \hat{\imath}$ 606.
b $\boldsymbol{B} .596,730$ ； rf ¢． 13 seqq．
c．ef．O． 284.
d $\varphi .32$ ．
e cf．$\lambda .195, \nu .59$.
f $\boldsymbol{\gamma} .279$ mar．
of A．389，б． 20.
h A． 496 ，E． 533 ， 611.
i $\boldsymbol{v} .291, \psi .345$.
k $\delta .244$.
$1 \mathrm{cf} . \varepsilon, 224$.
m $453, \xi .124$ ，
n $\eta 99, \zeta .86$ mar．
o $\sigma .241,237, \boldsymbol{H}$ ．
$6, N .85$.
p $\eta .154$ mar．
$q$ $\beta .84$.
r v 392.

 236．pro $\mu \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\vartheta}^{\prime}$ Apoll．Soph．$\pi \alpha \varrho^{\prime}$ ．
knew nothing of Herakles＇Apotheosis， which is found distinctly stated in Hes． Theog． 950 foll．（where also $\lambda .604$ is found）and in Pind．Nem．I end，Isth． III． 95 －Ior．This is a mark of Ho－ meric antiquity．

224．Evevit $\boldsymbol{v}, \mathrm{Ni}$ ．remarks that in $\varphi$ ． 14 foll．he is misplaced from Oecha－ liê to Messenê ；but that is not so．Odys． merely meets his son Iphitus in Mes－ senê，who had gone thither in quest of certain horses．

225－9．xe＠̀ tós $\omega \boldsymbol{v}$ ，＂in archery＂． －dovgi r．$\tau$ ．$\lambda$ ．，in the middle ages in English archery three bow－shots to a mile was reckoned good shooting． This statement is probably to be mea－ sured by a less powerful standard of archery，yet still strikes one as a huge exaggeration unsuited to Odysseus？ character，and the line is open to suspicion．

230－3．Jooiv，this involves a re－ tractation of part of the challenge in 206．－$\lambda i \eta \nu$ ，see on $\alpha$ ．46．－жо $\mu \boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\eta}$ ， not＂conveyance＂，but，as interpreted
 $\eta \varepsilon \nu$ ，＂care＂of the body and its pow－
ers，all that the Latin expressed by curare corpora，xо $i \xi \omega$ and xонє́ both mean＂care for＂，＂attend to＂；cf．$\alpha$ ．

 $\tau \varepsilon, \varphi$ ．284．This＂care＂was not ह̇ँ $\eta \varepsilon \tau \alpha \nu \dot{g}$（see on $\delta .89$ ），i．e．it was much interrupted．
${ }^{234-65}$ ．Alcinoüs soothes Odys．for the disparagement he had undergone， renounces his people＇s claim to emi－ nence in manly contests－they pre－ fer enjoyment to toil－and thus dis－ poses of the hero＇s challenge，butupholds their excellence in navigation，dancing and singing．Demodocus＇lyre is at his bidding fetched from the palace， umpires are appointed，and the ground prepared for the dancers，a company of whom perform，so deftly that Odys． marvels．
${ }^{234}$ ．$\alpha x \grave{v} v$ ，see App．A．16．The silence here is that of embarrassment， the challenge of Odys．being general， and all probably feeling compromised by the rudeness shown to him．

236－9．غ̇лモと $\boldsymbol{x}, \tau$ ．$\lambda$ ．This has no strictly corresponding apodosis，but the sentence is left suspended，and $\alpha^{\dot{\alpha}} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$
a $\boldsymbol{Y} .411, \Theta .535$.
b $\lambda .102$ ．
c є． $345, \pi .338, v$ ． 190.

1 ミ． 91.
c A． 539.
$1 \cong 92$.
g J． 289 mar．
h 101， 251.
i $\%$ ． 61 ．
k $\beta .178$ mar．
I $\mu .399,0.476$.
m ঠ．209，П． 499.
$\mathrm{n}=292$ ．
0 cf． $\boldsymbol{N} .731, \alpha .152$.














 ols


${ }^{\prime} \gamma \varepsilon$ in 241 resumes，with a new com－
 vely＂．－veizeбとv，$\dot{\omega}$ ．．．övoito $\ddot{\sigma} \sigma t \epsilon_{\text {g，＂＂has disparaged thee by such }}$ reflections as no one would venture to cast on thy merit，who etc．＂：on ővorto see note at $\varepsilon .379$ ．
 the words here distinctly contradict the previous boast of ior foll．－$\sigma 0$ ũg， the Cod．Vratisl．here has ois．Bek． Homer．Bl． 77 has omitted this from his enumeration of passages where the pron．ös suus appears for oòs tuus，he gives $\alpha, 402$（where see note）$\delta \omega^{\prime} \mu \alpha \sigma \iota$ бог̃б८ $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma o \iota \varsigma$ ，where the cod．Augsb．
 $\alpha$＇uov $\omega v$ where the reading of Apollon． Soph．14，17．was oíбıv，and $\varepsilon$ ． 168 $\sigma \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \alpha \tau \rho^{\prime} \delta \alpha \quad \gamma \alpha i \alpha \nu \nu$ i＇x $\eta \alpha \iota$ ，where one Vi．has $\ddot{\eta} \nu$ ，and $\varphi \varrho \varepsilon \sigma i$ $\sigma \tilde{\eta} \sigma \iota \nu$ in $\zeta$ ．i80， $\nu . j 62, \omega .357$ ，in all which three places one Vi，and the Augsb．have $\eta^{\nu} \sigma \iota v$. These coincidences of reading in cer－ tain mss．are too numerous and too uniform to be the result of change， and point to a traditional use of one primitive possessive for the $2^{d}$ and the $3^{\text {d }}$ person；cf．the dual forms $\sigma \varphi \tilde{\omega} \iota$ ， $\sigma \varphi \omega \varepsilon$ ，and the use of sie in German；
so in the Hebrew verb the same form expresses＂you＂and＂they＂fut．fem． 243－5．\＆$\lambda \dot{\alpha} \chi \omega$ ，the inconsistency of this assumption that Odys．has a wife at home－for so we must under－ stand it，cf．410，not that he would thereafter marry－with the proposal of $\eta .312-3$ ，is glaring．The Scholl． do not notice it，nor Ni．Some would regard it as a reason for giving book $\vartheta$ ．，or this part of it，to a later hand． But Alcin．is garrulous enough for any amount of inconsistency；see on $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ ． $310-5$ end；comp．also 240 with ror－ 3 in which he distinctly＂eats his words＂．
 ＂which from our sires Zeus perpetuates


 о̋тє $x, \tau . \lambda$ ．
 having previously， $230-3$ ，excused him－ self from competing in this exercise， the king lays a safe stress upon Phæa－ cian proficiency in it．－סגiş $\tau \varepsilon x . \tau . \lambda$ ．， comp．Priam＇s description of his worth－ less sons $\psi \varepsilon \tilde{v} \sigma \tau \alpha i \tau^{\prime}$ о̉ехךбт $\alpha i \tau \varepsilon \chi о \varrho о \iota-$ $\tau v \pi i \eta \sigma \iota \nu \propto \rho \iota \sigma \tau \iota . \Omega .26 \mathrm{I}$ ．Every reader of Horace will remember the sym－












[^7]
${ }^{251}$ 1. $\pi \alpha i \sigma \alpha \tau o \nu$ Zenod. h. q., $\pi \alpha i \xi \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ E. (cum var. 1. $\pi \alpha i^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ) et Vi, 133, Schol.

 50. 56. 256. x $\eta \varrho v \xi$ Vi. 5, 50. ${ }^{257}$. $\lambda \iota \gamma v \varrho \eta \nu \nu$ H. St. Barn. Ern. Ox., $\gamma \lambda \alpha \varphi v$ -


 v. et recentt.
pathetic relish with which he enlarges on the Phæacian ethics, as if bent, if possible, on improving upon the example which he applauds. Ni. quotes with approbation a criticism of Schütz that 249 is an interpolation by a later hand. I think it coheres very naturally, especially, remembering $\xi ; 64-5$ हैv
 $\chi \circ \rho o v{ }^{\prime \prime} \rho \chi \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$, and the whole incident of the laundry errand of Nausicaa there. It is likely that $\varepsilon \dot{v} v \alpha i$, as interpreted by $\lambda .249$, has a sensual meaning, in which it leads up to the following lay of Demodocus concerning Ares and Aphroditê.
${ }_{2}^{51-4 .} \pi \alpha i \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \varepsilon$, fr. $\pi \alpha i \xi \omega$, mar. evioxn, the simple vanity of the Phæacian king is highly characteristic; see mar. He is much delighted at the praise given to the dancing by Odys., whose discernment he at once proclaims. He had before expressed his confidence in the superiority of his ships, $\eta$. $327-8$. With similar racy simplicity he recommends Odys. to secure his chest tightly, lest
some one of the oarsmen, picked from the prime of the Phæacian youth, should rob him on his way home, inf. 443-5. - 2ígécev, see on 67 sup.
 $\mu \nu \eta \tau \tilde{\eta} \varrho \iota)$ these were not mentioned in the previous more manly contests : their reservation till now clearly indicates the superior importance of the trial of skill in dancing. The number "nine" doubtless refers to some division of the people, as in $\gamma \cdot 7 \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \nu \boldsymbol{\nu} \varepsilon \alpha \delta^{\prime}$ $\varepsilon^{\prime} \delta \rho \alpha \iota \varepsilon^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \nu$; see note there and App. G. I. The function of preparing the ground is the only one directly ascribed to the $\alpha \iota \sigma v \mu$. here; but we are probably to recognize the superiority assigned to Laodamas and Halius in $370-1$ as their award. The title is mentioned by Aristotle Pol. III, IX, as the third kind of $\mu 0 \nu \alpha \varrho \chi i \alpha$, absolute but elective. Theocr. XXV. 48 uses it of a bailiff or steward. In Eurip. Med. 19 the verb $\alpha$ Ібv $\mu \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ occurs.

258 - 60 are suspected by Ni.II. xlvir.
259-60. ォৎท்ббعбжоv, the form denotes that they held habitually this
a $264, \geq .590$ ．
b cf．$\Psi$ ． 258.
c 62 ．
d 67 mar．
e $\Psi .507$.
f $\alpha .431$ mar．
gr 159.
h $\Psi$ 世．363，v． 198.
i 260 mar．
k e．75，ท． 133.
1 a． 323.
$\mathrm{m} \alpha .155$ mar．
$\mathrm{n} \cong 295$ ．






 Apoll．Soph．79， 18 ，Hesych．II． 231 et var．1．P．261．xض́ৎveg Vi．5，50；



function；contrasted with it is that of the aor．before and after it．－ $\boldsymbol{\chi} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v} \varrho \boldsymbol{v}$－ $\boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v}$＂ै $\gamma \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{c}$＂made it wide enough for a noble trial of skill＂：बं $\gamma$ ．means
 adding thus to the notion of $\chi$ o＠ò $v$ ， which is a mere＂place of dancing＂； cf．＇$\Upsilon \pi \varepsilon \varrho \beta \circ \varrho \varepsilon ́ \omega \nu \alpha \gamma \tilde{\omega} \nu \alpha$ ，Pind．Pyth． X． 47 and Donaldson＇s note．

261－6．2igetcev，see on 67 sup．－

 ס．17．In $\Sigma .593$ foll．the Xoeos con－ sists of youths and maidens dancing in a ring which whirls like the wheel of a potter，and then advancing to－ wards each other in opposite ranks．－ $\pi \varepsilon ่ \pi \lambda \eta \gamma o \nu$ ，cf．Virg．Aen．VI．644， pedibus plaudunt choreas．－$\mu \propto \rho \alpha=$ ovyés，cf．Hy．Ap．Pyth．24－25，$\alpha^{\prime} i^{\prime} \lambda \eta$ д$\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \iota \nu(\Phi о i ̄ \beta o \nu) \dot{\alpha} \mu \varphi \iota \varphi \alpha \varepsilon i \nu \varepsilon \iota, \mu \alpha \rho \mu \alpha-$

 twinkling feet＂，and Moore，Lalla Rookh I， $66_{5}$ ，＂Dancing feet，that gleam and shoot．Rapid and white，like sea－ birds o＇er the deep＂．Athen．I， $\mathbf{1 5}_{5}$ ，D， says that we have here a vió＠x $\quad \mu \alpha$ ， or dance of a mimetic character，ac－ companying the song of Demodocus． Pausanias III．18，describes such an one as forming part of the worship of the Amyclean Apollo，and that such dances were part of the ritual of Apollo is undoubted．It is uncertain whether in $\Sigma .559$ foll．，a song is to he unders－ tood as accompanying the dance，in $\Sigma .603$ it certainly is．In a lay in ho－ nour of Hephaestus，the lame god，the
dance would have been less proper： further the introduction by the fixed phrase，$\varphi о \varrho \mu i \gamma \gamma \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \varepsilon \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \tau 0$ ，in 266 ， suggets that an ordinary lay is inten－ ded as in $\alpha .155$（see note），e． 262.

266－305．The minstrel sings how Ares loved Aphroditê，and dishonoured Hephæstus，who，informed by the Sun－ god，entrapped the unwary lovers， and exposed them in their shame to the gaze of the assembled gods．As regards the ethical tone of the lay， setting aside for the moment the col－ loquy of Hermes and Apollo，the rest of it amounts to a dissuasive from li－ centiousness；even although，like the maxims of Horace on the same subject （Sat．I．iii．134．），it only turns on the awkwardness of detection．As regards that colloquy，if it be genuine，Posei－ don＇s bearing should be set off against it．He evidently represents the better mind of Olympus，and although with some sympathy for the offender，does not propose that the offence be con－ doned．The song moreover is recited in the Assembly，where none but men were present．The poet has shielded matronly dignity and maiden purity， even when his standard is relaxed for men．The absence of the female dei－ ties from the imaginary scene only reflects that of the ladies of the palace from the circle of listeners．

266－7．$\dot{\alpha} \nu \varepsilon \beta$ ．，cf．Theocr．VI．20．－ $\dot{\alpha} \mu \varphi \dot{i}$ ，with gen．，occurs $\Pi .825, \pi i{ }^{\prime} \alpha \alpha$ коs



268．Hephrestus in $\Sigma, 382$ and Hes．




 ঠо $\mu \varepsilon v ́ \omega v$.
270. Fא́vautog Fou.


Thengon. 945 has Charis (or one of the Charites, viz. 'A $\lambda \lambda \alpha i \eta$, Hes. Theog. 945) for wife. This has been needlessly viewed by some as inconsistent with Aphroditê's conjugal relation here. But the lay here closes with a resolve of Hephrstus to return her to her father, and demand back the $\varepsilon^{\prime \prime} \varepsilon \delta \nu \alpha$, i.e. to dissolve the marriage. We have only to suppose this resolution acted upon, and room for Charis is made; nor is there any reason for thinking the supposed time of the facts of the lay later than that of $\Sigma$. in the Iliad. But the colloquy of v. 333-43 is suspicious: $1^{\text {sill }}$ because the formula of
 occurs in H . of a dialogue between distinct personages, while here it is introduced after the $\omega \delta \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \iota \varsigma \varepsilon{ }^{\prime \prime} \pi \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \nu$ ห. $\tau . \lambda . ; 2^{\text {ndly }}$ because of the feeble repetition of $\gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega s, \ldots$. $\vartheta \varepsilon \sigma \check{\sim} \sigma \iota \nu 343$, from
 $3^{\text {rdly }}$ if Apollo and Hermes hold this colloquy, whom is the $\tau \iota$ s and $\alpha^{\prime} \lambda$ los of v. 328 to represent? According to Homeric usage it shonld be some unnamed persons in attendance on the principal ones. Even assuming Zeus could fill a position so undignified, yet Zeus is obviously not present; for he is first spoken of, and does not respond when spoken to in common with the rest; the goddesses are not present, there is positively no other Olympian deity left save Apollo, Hermes, and the purely functionary Paiêon, the healer of Olympus, of whom we find a double mention with the same recurring phrases in E. 401, 899. The Sun-god indeed occurs in $\mu$., but he is not an Olympian. He appears there (376) at the court of Zeus, to urge his appeal against the sacrilegious
slaughter of his cattle; but the mention of him in v. 270 excludes the notion of his presence here. If then Apollo and Hermes are also excluded from the $\tau \iota s$, as they are, if $333-43$ be genuine, both by the fact of their being named, and by the tenor of their conversation, so wholly antipathetic to the sentiments of the $\tau \iota s$, there remains no quorum for $\tau \iota$ § to embody. Lastly, the licentious sentiment, if it be Homeric, occurs only here (the suggestion in $\Omega .130$ is animal, but not licentious); nor do Hesiod and the Hymns furnish a single parallel. Among the latter an illustration mightbe looked for in the amour of Aphroditê with Anchises (Hy. Venus). But there the description is veiled by a delicacy quite unlike the breadth of expression here; there are, moreover, none to look on in sportive banter. On these grounds I have no hesitation in rejecting 333-343. The Schol. H. has noted that in some copies they did not appear, alleging their immodest tenor. The context is complete without them; and, when they are removed, the lay, although bold and unrefined, is not vicious in tone.

269-71. $\pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \delta^{\prime} \varepsilon \neq \delta \omega x \varepsilon$, i.e. Ares to Aphroditê, as the price of her consent. A Schol. interprets it by $\mu \circ \iota \chi$ d́yeı i. e. compensation to Hephæstus for his wrong (332), but this seems out of place here. - H2cos, elsewhere in H. always 'Hélıos. The form occurs Hy. Apollo, $293, \mathrm{Hy}$. Sol, I. It is remarkable that this god, who here detects and informs, is indebted to Lampetiê, an attendant nymph, for detection and information concerning his own losses in $\mu$. 374-5.
a $\pm 4 \pi i:$ cf． 410 ．
h N．3i， 0.20 ．
e $P$ ． 434 ．
（1）242，入．439．
© 4.8 ，ל． 15 mar．
i fo．3，0． 101.
そ．U．198．
h if．$X$ ． 468.
cf．is． 11 t ．
に cf ，入．ご心。
1 т． 470, II． 259.
$\cdots \pi$ ． 35 ．
и 159 ．ع． $21 \geqslant$ ．
－九．27ৰ，A． 339 ．
1＇$\varepsilon$ ． 7 ．
। M．11\＆，$\omega .524$ ； fi．$\varepsilon$ ． 281 mar．
©．40，，9．29．4，301， A． $5!13$ ．
I． 586 ；cf．Z． 91.
K． 515, N．10， ㄹ． 135 ．
1 Z． 205.
－A． 1500 ．
11 ミ． 143,391, A． 571.
－A． 284 ，\＃． 440 ． у р． 39.1 mar．
c． 75.














$$
\text { 277. Fou. 281. Fídouto. 284. 290. Fou. 286. Fíd } \varepsilon v .
$$

274．$\delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \alpha$ Vi． 50 ；277．$\delta \varepsilon ́ \mu \nu \iota \alpha$ xєĩто $\alpha \beta$ Vi．omn．A．I．K．Eu．278．$\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \eta$ Vi．50．280．ov xย́ $\tau \iota$ Vi．omn．A．H．K．Stu．$\gamma$ Eu．28ı．$\pi \varepsilon ́ \rho \iota$ G．Vi． 56.



 ย̇óv $\alpha \propto$ Stu．$\gamma$ ．287．lév๙兀 A．Eu．

274－82．Obs．жо́лтє imperf．of a continued action，but before and after， $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\xi} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$＇and $\tau \varepsilon \tilde{v} \xi \varepsilon$ ，aorists，of completed acts．－$\delta \delta^{\lambda} \lambda \boldsymbol{v}$ of the actual mecha－ nism，as we say a＂contrivance＂for ＂thing contrived＂．－غ்ৎ $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\iota} \boldsymbol{\iota} \nu$ ，posts of the bed，connected with ${ }^{c} \rho \mu \alpha$ ，that which supports．－$\mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \boldsymbol{\vartheta}$ toils are to be conceived as drooping from the roof－beam about the posts， and as passable from without，but by some magic impassable from within． Comp．the lock on the chamber door of Herê，${ }_{\text {枩．168，which no other god }}$ could open，also the animated statuary， $\eta .88, \Sigma .417$ ，for $\mu \varepsilon ́ \lambda \alpha \mathfrak{\vartheta} \rho \nu \nu$ see App．F． 2 （15）．－$\lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau \dot{*}$, ， cf ，Theocr．XV．79， XVI．96－7．－ov゙ xદ́ тes ．．．ov่ $\delta$ غ̀．．． ovjס̀，for this abundance of the negat． it is difficult to find a parallel，ovo $\dot{\varepsilon}$ twice is not uncommon e．g．32， 176 sup．comp．Chaucer，C．of the B．Knighte， $622-3$ ，＂Whan Vulcanus fond，and
with a chaine unvisible you bond（to Venus）＂．－ $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\omega} \tilde{\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ножо́＠ $\boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ，cf．Alc－

 $\sigma \varepsilon ่ \vartheta \varepsilon v)$ probably from a choral hymn to Hephæst．，celebrating this scene．
 part of the plot，to tempt them with op－ portunity．－$\Lambda \tilde{\eta} \mu \nu o v$, Lemnos（Herod． VI， 138.140 ）was peopled by Pelasgians expelled from Attica，and Miltiades when he captured Lemnos found Pe － lasgi there．A volcano now extinct is assigned by Buttm．（ap．Ni．）as ex－ plaining the connexion with Hephrstus． When，later，Aetna and the Liparæan islands became known，the fire－god＇s abode was transferred to them．
$\delta \omega \tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ，Heph．had made a $\vartheta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \mu$ os for Zeus and Herê on Olympus and a palace for himself（E． $338-9, \sum$ ． $369-7 I$ ）near that of Zeus，this suits the nearness indicated in $289-90$ ．















a $\quad \boldsymbol{\Psi} .300$.
b) $\sigma .1!3$.

cf. N. 51.
(1) $\tau$. $54, \gamma .406, \eta$ $153, \varphi$. 139, 166, $\psi .164$.
e cf. 亿. 524.
f $\beta$. 302 mar.
g 424.
h $1 / 2.25$.
I. 441 =. 314
k v. 46 .
1283.
m cf. B. 867.
n $\eta$. 343 mar .

- 314. 

1) $\%$. 285, , य. 18.
¢ cl. ع. 270 .

- 279. 

s 327, Ф. 367.
t cl. $\chi .460$.
u ci. $\boldsymbol{\chi}^{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}$ S. 730
v $\mu$. 295 .
w 11.128.
x v. 173, 4. 529, II. $820, \Omega .283$; of. $\Omega .352$.
y $349,357, \Sigma$ quinquies.
z A. 446.
aa $\alpha$. 21 mar.
bb cf. ס. 524.
ce ع. 338 mar.

 $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ Fl. Ro. Basil. 292 . $\gamma v v \eta$ Vi. 50 , 甲íl $\eta$ Vi. 5 et var. 1. P. (mendi corr. suspicatur Buttm., ef. 424 inf .); $\gamma v{ }^{2} \boldsymbol{v} \propto \iota$ Vi. 56 , 133 et var. 1. a man. rec. A.;


 pertin. Pors. judicabat. 296. $\beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \varepsilon s, \gamma$ Vi. 133 Ro. 297. $\varepsilon \varepsilon \chi \nu i ́ \varepsilon \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \gamma$.

 3or. Añuvov M.
 $\pi \varepsilon i \circ \mu \varepsilon v$, cf. $\delta .294 \varepsilon$ lS $\varepsilon v i v \eta े \nu \tau \varrho \alpha ́ \pi \varepsilon \vartheta{ }^{3}$
 $\mu \iota o g$ "at, home": for $\delta \tilde{\eta} \mu \sigma_{s}$ of locality see on $\alpha$. ro3; cf. also mar.
294. Dívtcas, explained by Schol. on A. 594 as if from $\sigma i v o \mu \alpha L$, in ref. to their piratical habits, ef. the $\Lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\mu} \nu \iota \alpha$ ह'eyc recorded by Herod. ub. inf. The Schol. here, citing Hellanicus, says, "because they were smiths and made weapons of war". But he also cites Anacreon as associating Cimmerian with Sintians. (The passage, however, is doubtful through corruption; see Bergk, Anacr, 130.) Now Herod. (IV. 28) mentions some Sindi and a Sindicê near the

Cimmerian Bosphorus. These Sinties then may have come from this continent and brought their name, Sindi, with them, which the Greeks probably changed to Sinties, and then gave an artificial account of the term, just as they changed Bosra to Byrsa and invented in explanation the legend mentioned by Virg. Aen. I. 367 . Thus the Pelasgi, expelled from Attica, see on 283 sup., doubtless resorted thither because they found their own race already there.
 as a spy on the spot, or going to Lemnos with tidings, but the poet, feeling the ubiquitous character of the

287 mar．
1）阝．2918，ๆ．287， $\alpha .114$ mar．
c 325, App．F． 2 （5） mar．
1 A． 23, © 460 ．
е $\Theta .92 ;$ ef．$\chi \cdot 81$ ，
＠． $5 \cdot 4 \%$ ．
f $\mu .370, \Omega .703$
cf．E． 400 mar．
＠ع． 7 mar．
c． 378 mar．
т．493，E．892，
© 32.
K 玉． 397 ，99． 332 ．
I．374，E．131， $312,820$.
m $\pi .29, \chi \cdot 165$.
n $\boldsymbol{I} 505$.
o O． 104.
р 2．559，х． 155











303 om．A．H．I．K．M．Ro．Vi．56，5，133，Eu．，in mar．A．H．Vi． 133 pro spurio ascripsere，habet Vi．50［］St．Clark．Ern．Wo．et recentt．304．$\pi \rho 0$－ $\vartheta v \varrho \eta \sigma \iota, ~ V i, 5,56, \mathrm{H} . \quad 307 . \varepsilon^{\xi} \varrho \gamma \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha}$ Aristar．et Herodian．，h．，sic v．et


 $\varepsilon i^{i} \alpha$
Vr．Fl．， $\left.\begin{array}{r}\varepsilon \ell \nu \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota \\ \alpha \\ \hline\end{array}\right) \gamma i v \alpha \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota \beta$ ．$\gamma i v \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$ Stu．$\gamma$ ．
luminary through his rays，transfers this attribute to the god．－$\sigma \mu \varepsilon \rho \delta \alpha-$ $\lambda$ éov，used mostly of sounds，e．g．with uov $\alpha \beta i \zeta \varepsilon \iota v, i \alpha \chi \chi \varepsilon \iota \nu, x \tau v \pi \varepsilon i \tau$, but once of sight with $\delta \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \delta 0 \varrho x \varepsilon, X .95$ ，the shorter $\sigma \mu \varepsilon \varrho \delta v o ̀ v$ also occurs with $\beta$ о̃ $\nu 0.687$ ，
 $\gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \nu \varepsilon$, with past sense，else where pres． 306－32．Hephæstus invokes the gods to witness his revenge and threatens to dismiss his wife．The gods，but not the goddesses，assemble，and deride the captives，taunting Ares，and exclaiming that he must pay damages．

306－12．Zé ，the god invoked ap－ pears not in reply，as the third person used of him 318， 320 shows，as does also the leading part taken by Poseidon 321 foll．－$\gamma \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha}$ ，some read $\alpha \boldsymbol{\alpha} \varepsilon^{\prime}-$ $\lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha$ ，but $\gamma \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha}$ properly leads up to $\gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega \mathrm{c}$ in 326 inf．：he promises them a laugh and they get it．－غ̇лиєıxとか， ＂not to he given way to，or allowed＂； cf．impermissa gaudia，Hor．Carm．III vi． $27-8$ ；see mar．for active s．，＂not giving wai，unyielding＂：in both always in H．with．neg．ovix．The Germ．we i－ chen suggests the $\mathcal{F}$ in sixu．－di－ $\delta \eta \lambda o v$ ，＂hellish＂，a strong term of
 пюотоv $\alpha<\delta \eta \lambda o v " A \iota \delta \alpha \nu$ ．Ares ap－
plies the term to Pallas as having caused the wound of Aphroditê in E． 880，so $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \tau \eta \eta^{\circ} \rho \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} i \delta \eta \lambda o \nu$ ö $\mu<\lambda \frac{1}{}$ భ． 303 ，and $\pi \tilde{v} \rho \alpha i \delta \eta \lambda o \nu$ occurs $B$ ．
 $\pi \varepsilon \delta \circ \mathrm{s}$ ，for the $\eta$ comp．$\ddot{\eta} \pi \varepsilon ⿺ \rho o s ~ \alpha-\pi \varepsilon$－ $\varrho \alpha$ ş and for the ending ovitı $\delta-\alpha \nu o{ }^{\prime} s .-$ $\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\omega}$, in Hes．Theog．${ }^{2}{ }^{2} 7$ Herê is his sole
 313－5． $\boldsymbol{\circ} \psi \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta^{\prime}$ ，the feeling that the shame of the exposure redounded on himself does not enter the injured hus－ band＇s mind；the disgrace of the wife＇s infidelity fallsing on her own kin．He－ phaestus，is drawn in Il．（A． 57 I foll．， $\Sigma .394$ foll．）as of a sensitive disposition， keenly conscious of his infirmity，af－ fectionate to his mother，feeling hurt when she is ill－treated and cher－ ishing a grateful remembrance of early kindness；and，as if privileged at once by his infirmity and his usefulness，ex－ pressing his feelings without reticence； as here to Zeus，since Zeus is one of the $\tau 0 x \tilde{\eta} \varepsilon$（312）．In the serio－comic scene of $A .57 \mathrm{I}$ foll．the lighter element preponderates；and here his satisfac－ tion at the culprits＇detection seems to outweigh his indignation at the wrong，and his pain at having suffered it．The phrase ov ．．．हैo $0 \pi \alpha$ ，＂I rather
















[^8]g. $\boldsymbol{Y} .34-5$.
h $\alpha .68$ mar.
i cf. $\boldsymbol{Y} .72, \Omega .360$,
k O. 253.
1 A. 479, ゆ. 478. m ©. 520 ; cf. $\lambda .386$, ४. 166 .
n 304, App. P. 2 (5).

- 335, $\Omega .528$.
p $v .346$, A. 599 ; cf. A. $50, \boldsymbol{N}_{169}$ q 343 .
r E. 340 , ©. 755 mar.
s 297 mar.
B. 271, A. 81 ,
X. 372 , ס. 769 mar.


315. $\sigma \varphi \alpha_{s}$ A. Vi. 133, Eu., $\sigma \varphi \tilde{\alpha}_{s}$ Fl. Ro. St., $\sigma \varphi \varepsilon \alpha_{S}$ P. Amb. ı, 2 , et edd. recentt.,


 Vi. 56 Fl. Ro. St. et edd. rell. , 320. $\dot{\varepsilon} \chi \varepsilon ́ \mu v \vartheta 0$ Eu. (sed in interpret. latet


 $\varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\omega} \omega v$ A. Vi. 56 et ex emen. K. Vi. 50. 327. عígo@óฒvtes $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ Vi. 5. 328.
${ }^{\kappa} \lambda \lambda \omega v$ Vi. $5,50,{ }_{56}$.
do not think ...!" is a formula of banter or irony, see mar.
 says this infin. is fut. fr. ห $\varepsilon \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ fut. ind. contracted to $x \varepsilon i \omega$, thence shortened to $\chi \varepsilon ́ \omega$, so the part. is $x \varepsilon i \omega v$ and x $\varepsilon$ ć $\omega$,

 عúd., $i$. e. "they will soon long to start up and be off". - $\boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$, "Aristar. Apollon. and Herodian give $\sigma \varphi \tilde{\omega} t ~ n o m . ~$ and acc. dual of pron. of $2^{\text {nd }}$ person, and $\sigma \varphi \omega \varepsilon$ of the $3^{d}$, $\sigma \varphi \omega \iota \nu$ for gen. and dat. dual $2^{\text {nd }}$, and $\sigma \varphi \omega i v 3^{\text {d }}$, $\sigma \varphi \omega \varepsilon$ and $\sigma \varphi \omega \iota \nu$ being enclitic." La Roche. - $\dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\omega} \sigma \iota$, the best supported reading, for which $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \delta \omega^{\sigma} \sigma \iota$ has stuck in the vulg. ever since the ed. Flor.: there seems no clear case of $\varepsilon i \sigma$ óx $\varepsilon$ with fut.
 $\sigma \varepsilon \tau \propto \iota, \Gamma .409$, being possibly the subj.
shortened epice. - $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \gamma v \dot{\alpha}^{2} \_\xi \alpha$, this denotes formal giving as in legal quittance; comp. for derivation the Latin phrase in manum dare. - Vvyótท@, of Zeus and Dionê, E. 370 foll.
$321-8 . \tilde{\eta} \lambda \vartheta \varepsilon$, Virg., Bucol. X. 19 foll., has reproduced the effect of this passage by a similar repeated use of venit. - Éocov́vクs, elsewhere (mar.) Égıó$\nu \iota o g$, which in $\Omega$. takes rank as a nom. prop. of the God, as does हैvocixvov for Poseidon. It means the giver of sudden luck or unlooked for gain. For v. 324 see above on 265 foll. With Э ท $\lambda v \boldsymbol{v} \varepsilon \varrho \alpha e$ cf.as regards form $\alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ -


 the quick sympathetic laughter that seizes on an assembly and is only further provoked by efforts to suppress it.
a т． 114.
b $\zeta .240$ mar．
c 308 mar．
d $\boldsymbol{\Gamma} . \mathbf{1 7 6}, \boldsymbol{P} .404$.
T．213．
e cf． $462 ;=509$ ．
f $\eta .334$ mar．
© $\boldsymbol{Y}, 103$.
h 314, H． 23,37 ， II． $804, \boldsymbol{P} .326$.
i ef．$\xi .435$.
k $\alpha .84, \varepsilon .43$ mar．，
«． 390 ，o． 319 ．
316. 

m E． 386.
n $\mu .54,164$.
o v． 141.
p 342，อ．37，兀． 54.
I．389，T．282，
ת． 699.
${ }_{4} 1335$ mar．
r o． 536 ，e． 163 ，
т． 309 ，v．236，
A． 189.
s O． 231.
t cf．$\alpha .54$ mar．
u ©．5，20，T． 101.
v 337 mar．
w 326 ．
x e． 447 mar．
y $\eta .236$ mar．
z $้ .387, \Omega .555$.
аа Ф．223，$\Omega .669$ ．

















 หะ $\lambda \varepsilon v ் \varepsilon \iota$,
 หגvтófecyov．346．नє́ $\pi \varepsilon \alpha$ ．
$\tau 0 \tau \omega$



 Vr．Vi． 56,133 ， $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \beta$ Eu．h．q．Fl．Ro．St．Ern．Ox．G．Vi．50，Fl．，х＠vбé $\eta$



 Bek．annot．，cf． 356 inf．；i vićб $\chi о \mu \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ ．

 $\varepsilon i \lambda \varepsilon v " A \varrho \eta \alpha$ ，so Chaucer Knighte＇s＇T． ${ }^{2391}$ ，＂When Vulcanus had caught thee （Mars）in his las（i．e．lasso）．＂For to $=\delta i$＇ö see mar．and Sophoc．Philoct． 142．The repetition in effect of $\varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{v}$
 suspected．
333－43．Reasons for rejecting these lines as a latter addition have been
 Hermes is so addressed in the Hy．

Vesta 8，${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon, \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \mu \alpha x \alpha ́ \rho \omega \nu,, \chi \varrho v-$
 see App．A． 2.

344－66．Poseidon becomes bail for the repayment of the wedding－gifts to Hephrstus，on which the captives are released．

344 should be read continuously，with
 subj．of $\tau i \sigma \varepsilon \iota v$ in 348 ，refers probably to Zeus，the $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho$ of 318 ．（If $33^{2}$ be genuine，it might equally refer to Ares．）Hephæstus had threatened to

















[^9]
## 

348．post hunc v．H． 353 in mar．interseruit qui tamen suo loco non deest． 348．post h．v．G．H．Vi． 56 inser．353．，348－9，om．Vi．50，133．350．Пo－


 Eu．，$\propto \varrho \varepsilon ́ \omega s$ et $\chi \varrho \varepsilon i ́ \omega s$ h．，$\chi \varrho \varepsilon i \omega$ Vi． $5 ; \delta \alpha \sigma \mu \dot{\nu} \nu$ Aristar．，h． $354-5$ om．


50．359．$\delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu$ òv St．Ern．Bek．Fa．，$\delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu$ H．，$\delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \omega ̃ \nu$ A．I． $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ Vi．5，50， $5_{5}^{6, ~ E u . ~ F l . ~ A l d . ~ R o . ~ B a s i l . ~ L o v . ~ W o . ~ D i n d . ~ 361 . ~ \alpha \nu \alpha i ' \xi \alpha \alpha v \varepsilon \varepsilon ~ V i . ~} 50,133$ ， M．Eu．Barn．，$-\xi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon$ e rasura H．，$\dot{\alpha} v \alpha i \xi \alpha_{S}$ et sup．$\dot{\delta} \not \alpha^{\prime} \rho \eta_{S}$ Vi． $5^{6}$ ．362．xv－
 codd．，－о $\mu \varepsilon \iota \delta \dot{\eta} s$ Scholl．Ven．E．422，空． 283.
keep his captives bound until Zeus repaid him the wedding－gifts．It is to this threat that Poseidon replies．－ tevẽce，i．e．＂to let him go on your promise that Zeus shall pay＂．－$\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon-$ 2ai toi $\boldsymbol{\gamma} . \tau$ ．$\lambda$. ．＂even pledges given on behalf of the worthless are worthless to accept（to have given to one）＂； meaning，＂I hold him now in pledge； whilst I keep him so，I have surety for the repayment by Zeus；if I let him go，your promise on behalf of Zeus will be worthless，（it is implied that Zeus would only be moved to make it good by the hope of liberating him， and that the repayment（ $\chi \varrho \varepsilon \iota \circ$ ，355）
should ultimately fall on Ares himself） and I cannot bind you in his stead．＂－ $\tau \alpha \dot{\delta} \varepsilon$ ，the $z^{k} \varepsilon \delta \nu \alpha$ of 318 ．
$360-2$ ．The sudden separation and abrupt departure are probably meant to indicate confusion and guilty shame， agreeably to the higher ethical view of the lay obtained by rejecting $v$ ． 333－43．With Kvígov ．．．Máqov

 where Aphroditê is doubtless intended．

363－5．In Hy．Ven．59－62 these lines reappear with $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} v \boldsymbol{\eta} \varepsilon \iota$ changed to $\vartheta v \alpha \delta \dot{\eta} s$ ，after which is inserted the

a $454, x, 36.4,450$ ， o．88，d． 49 mar．
b E． 338 ．
ce $\varepsilon .347$ ．
। $x .322$ ．
© 13．219，K． 131.
i a． 378 mar．
i．$\delta .253$ mar．
h ธ． 306 mar．
${ }^{1}$ ce． 325 mar．
k A． 474.
1 \＆ 74 mar．
m 191 mar．
n 119.
o $\lambda .417$.
p E 172，$\delta .78, \tau$ ． $286, \psi .126$.
q 5． 100,115 ．
r A． 4.
s $\delta, 115,154$.
t $\times .243$.
u iS．827，O． 23.
v 2． 592, E． 525 ，
A． 63, M． 157 ．
w M． $205, \chi .85$ var．$\quad$ ．
x Y． 325 ．

| у $\mu$. | 432, |  | 383, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\dot{\Phi}$. | 307, | $2 \boldsymbol{S}$ | 501 | Ф．307， $\boldsymbol{T}^{\boldsymbol{S}} .501$ ． z $\chi, 467$.

aa d． 434 mar．















 Ptolem．Ascal．，Schol．Ven．A．E． 39 qui tamen，E． 683 ，＂$\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \varepsilon x \rho \alpha ์ \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau o ̀ " A \lambda \iota o s "$,



$\vartheta \eta ห \varepsilon ~ \varphi \alpha \varepsilon \iota \nu \alpha ́ g$ ．A fragm．of Sapphô， probably addressed to Aphroditê，has


 to explain it．－פvทシets，cf．O． 153
 compassed Zeus on MountIda，and ódù
 Эvoцє́vov，\＆．60，so also จvó́бxoos

 （mar．）they wove for Aphrodite her robe，$\pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \lambda^{\prime}$ os，and in 氠．267， 275 ，Herê promises $X \alpha \varrho$ í $\omega \nu \mu i \alpha \nu$ óл $\lambda о \tau \varepsilon \varrho \alpha<\omega \nu$ to Hypnus as his wife in reward of his
 Lexil． 21.
$367-86$ ．The lay having amused the guests，Alcinoüs calls on a pair of distinguished dancers：they perform with a ball，afterwards merely dancing． Odysseus expresses hearty admiration， to the great delight of Alcinoüs．
 on 258 sup．－ब甲cieqav，the ball－play
here appears to be combined with a kind of gymnastic dance，and so far differs from that of the princess and her handmaids in $\zeta$ ．ir 5 foll．；yet doubt－ less by making Laodamas，who in 130 is the pugilistic champion，here lead the dance，the poet meant to express the effeminate cast of the Phæacian character．－Пódvßos，this name is that also of the father of Eurymachus the suitor，$\alpha \cdot 399$ ，of another suitor，$\chi .243$ ， 284，of the Egyptian prince who gave hospitable presents to Menelaüs，$\delta$ ． 126 foll．，and of a Trojan prince，son of Antenor，1．59．Of this Polybus，as often of such artists，nothing else is men－ tioned，and the mention of such an one merely to add dignity to the work，is a， piece of Homeric mannerism．－vं廿ó $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\prime}$ deg 98ig，this（see mar．）seems to mean merely，＂reaching up high＂，but $\alpha \alpha^{\alpha} \pi \grave{o}$ $\chi$ Vovos added，especially with $\pi$ ќoos rooiv ov̉d．ix．following，implies＂leap－ ing＇＂from the ground．
$377-8 \mathbf{x}$ ．$\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v}$＂ $\boldsymbol{i \vartheta v} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v}$ ，＂with a deter－ mined or eager effort＂，as we say，













a $\mu .191$ in 1l. decies.
b A. $69, N .718$.
c $\overline{\boldsymbol{M}} .149,289$.
d є. 2, 2. 355, 378, v. 3 s .
e 250 .
$\mathrm{f} \Xi .53$.
g $\gamma .123$ mar.
h 199 mar.
i 2 mar.
k 96-7 mar.
$1 \gamma .328, \alpha .213$ mar
m ${ }^{1 / 5}$. 537, $\omega$. 273,
l. 267.
n v. cf. 293, T.21, IS. 50 ).
o I. 441.
p a. 394, Ђ. 54, ク. 49.




 A. $\varepsilon_{\tau}$ Vi. 5. $383-4 \cdot \eta^{3} \mu \varepsilon ̀ v \ldots \eta^{\prime} \delta^{\prime}$ A. I. Vi. 5, 50, tres Scholl. $\eta^{\eta} \mu \grave{\varepsilon} v$ Vi. 56, $\dot{\eta} \delta^{\prime} \mathrm{K} . \tau \alpha \tilde{v} \tau \alpha \delta^{\prime} \varepsilon \tau \sigma і ̈ \mu \alpha$ var. 1. Barn. 388. $\mu$ о८ $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha$ H. Ro. Basil. 389. $\xi \varepsilon \iota \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \propto \alpha$ K.

[^10]ways in the first place of a , v. wrote $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \tilde{\tau} \tau^{\prime}$ by syniz. and $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \alpha o{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ in the second - the only places in which the word occurs.

382-4. વ́x $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \iota \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \varsigma$, "boastedst", so $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \iota \lambda \alpha i, \Upsilon .83$ seems to bear a similar sense, and so $\varepsilon \pi \eta \eta \pi \varepsilon^{i} \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon \nu$ 忐. 45. -
 comp. Nestor's, words, ${ }^{\eta} \delta \dot{\eta} \tau \alpha \tilde{v} \tau \alpha{ }^{\prime} \gamma^{\text {, }}$ $\hat{\varepsilon} \tau 0 \stackrel{\iota}{\mu} \alpha \tau \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon v^{\prime} \chi \alpha \tau \alpha \iota$, mar. - $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \dot{\beta} \beta \propto \varsigma$ $\mu^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\prime} \chi \boldsymbol{\chi} \ell$, a formula of admiration, mar.

386-423. Alcinoüs directs the gifts which he deems suitable, to be made to Odys, by the nobles, and bids Euryalus apologize for his taunt. The apology is made and accompanied by the gift of a sword. The $34^{\text {th }}$ day here closes. The presents are then brought by heralds, and received at the palace by the sons of Alcinouis.
390. $\beta \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma \iota \lambda \tilde{\eta} \varepsilon \varsigma$, the term is susceptible of the subordinate or the higher sense, the latter being here reserved to Alcinoüs. Curtius (I. 329) derives it from $\beta \alpha-\lambda \alpha o s=$ herzog, "the leader of the people", closely resembling oi
a $\delta .496,629$.
， 425,441, v． 67 ， ת． 173.
c e．202，w．274，$\Sigma$ ． 507，T．247， $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$ 269 ；cf．$\Theta$ ．69， X．204，M． 433.
d $\lambda .327$, 工． 475.
c $\gamma .412$ mar．
f $\alpha$ ． 311 nar．
g．402，415，I． 112 ； ef．$\chi$ ． 55 ．
h $\eta .227 \mathrm{mar}$ ．
i $\eta .226, \delta, 673$ mar．，v．47－8．
k $\sigma$ ．291，ס．681， $\pi$ ． 325.
$1140, \eta .298$ mar． m 382 mar．
n 396 mar．
o 347 mar ．








 $\tau \dot{\nu}{ }^{1} \delta^{\prime} \alpha v \tilde{\tau} \tau^{\prime}$ Ev̉＠v́aдos $\alpha \pi \alpha \mu \varepsilon i \beta \varepsilon \tau о ~ \varphi \omega ́ v \eta \sigma \varepsilon ́ v ~ \tau \varepsilon . ~$



 $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \iota v \alpha v \tau o ̀ v$ A．I．，$\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \varepsilon ์ \alpha v \tau o ̀ v$ H．Stu．Vi． $50 \gamma$ Fl．Ro．Ald．Lod．Basil．et ס ${ }^{\wedge}$

 401．หеві́шv A．K．Vi． 5.
 Each petty district would originally have its $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \dot{v}_{S}$ ，and as their mu－ tual needs led to political or military union，the necessity of supremacy being lodged in one（ $\varepsilon \tilde{i}$ S roi＠$\alpha \nu 0 s{ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma \tau \omega, B$ ． 204），would be soon apparent．Such large movements would tend to con－ solidate what was dispersed before； and subordinate much that was inde－ pendent．Thus the imperial position of Agamemnon was probably exceptional， and arose from the emergency which united the Achæans；and the greater prominence of the subordinate $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota$－ $\lambda \tilde{\eta} \varepsilon s$ traceable in the Odys．（see on $\alpha$ ．394）is probably due to the crisis having passed away which called forth this supremacy，together with the pro－ longed absence of the superior $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \dot{v}_{S}$ at the war，and in some cases his death， which threw a long tenure of power into the hands of the inferior $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \tilde{\eta} \varepsilon \varepsilon$ ． The $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \tilde{\eta} \varepsilon \varsigma$ are also called $\beta$ ov $\lambda \eta \varphi o-$ ＠ot and үع́œovtes（ $v, 12,8$ ）．In $\eta .189$ Alcinoüs states an intention of in－

seems to mean more than those then present when Odys．entered；cf． 136
 only some of the 12 may have been present．The fact of all the 12 being now called upon to contribute shows a public character as designed to at－ tach to the gifts．Twelve，or three subdivided by four，was a common num－ ber of tribes etc．among early Greek polities．

392－3．Фथ̃＠о૬ $\boldsymbol{x}$ ．$\tau$ ．$\lambda$ ．，see on $\gamma$ ． 466－7．－vádavvov some definite weight is doubtless intended，but what is unknown，the word is always applied to express a weight of gold；but pl． $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ for scales to weigh，mar．The gifts are brought inf．417－20．The moving recital of his wanderings in－ fluences Aretê in $\lambda .339-52$ ．to pro－ pose further gifts，which in $v, 12-4$ are definitely settled by Alcin．，at a tripod and basin $\alpha^{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \alpha x \dot{\alpha}, i$ ，$e$ ．from each of the 12 princes and himself； see，however，App．G．1．Aretê then gives him a special suit from herself ข． 67 ．



















 cf. ad 464 inf. 409. $v \geqslant \not v \lambda \lambda \alpha \iota$ Schol. Apoll. I. 1334 et H. sed $\alpha \kappa \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \alpha \iota$ ex emend.
 $\varrho \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu$ Vi. 50 A. 418. $\tau \dot{\alpha} \gamma \varepsilon$ (om. $\varepsilon \varsigma)$ Vi. 5.

403-15. $\pi \alpha \gamma \chi \dot{\alpha} \lambda z \varepsilon \sigma \nu$, the $\pi \alpha \nu$ prefixed enhancing the value, as in $\pi \alpha \gamma \chi \varrho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \varepsilon \frac{1}{}$, seems to imply that it was often not wholly of metal, but perhaps pointed or otherwise strengthened with it. In $\Psi .{ }_{56 r}$ we find $\chi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \mu \varepsilon o v$ only, in describing a corslet which was overlaid with a casting ( $\chi \varepsilon \tilde{v} \mu \alpha$ ) of tin. - veox@íatov è̇éq., this also occurs as a simile (mar.). The key also with which Penelopê opens the $\boldsymbol{V} \dot{\alpha}$ -


 - áepıd乏divクtct="wrapsit about", the circular notion of $\delta \iota v \varepsilon ́ \omega$ being nearly lost in that of covering merely. So in $\tau$. 55-6 the handmaids set a nilбinv
 for Penel., where perhaps the notion
of rounded, by tool or lathe, is pre-
 comp. Apollon. Rhod. I. 1334. $\alpha^{\prime} \lambda \lambda^{3}$ $\alpha \nu \varepsilon \mu_{0} \iota \sigma \iota \nu \delta \omega_{0} \mu \varepsilon \nu \alpha \mu \pi \lambda \alpha x i \eta v$, Catull. XXX. 9-10: Tua dicla omnia factaque ventos inrita ferre et nebulas aërias sinis. and so Hor. Carm. I. 26, 1.- "̈дo-
 see App. A. 3 (3). - $\boldsymbol{\pi o v} \boldsymbol{\eta}$, since the gift was less the freewill offering of friendship than to atone for an offence.
417. ס́vervó $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ' $\tau, x, \lambda$., the $34^{\text {th }}$ day of the poem's action is continued; the time after sunset being devoted to a banquet and the subsequent narrative by Odys. - $\delta \tilde{\omega} \rho \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \varrho \bar{\eta} \varepsilon v$, see note on $\eta$. 3 r8-9; in the promise there given $\tau \tilde{\eta} \mu \operatorname{os~should~mean~"then"~viz.~}^{\text {shen }}$ on the day named, which day has now elapsed; but see below at 444.
a 118.
b $\pi .327, \sigma .303$ ．
e 4 ．
d 2 mar．
e 6 mar．
f $\% .178$.
g $\boldsymbol{\eta} .167$ mar．；cf． р． 449 mar．
h cf．$\beta$ ． 410.
i cf． $438, v .68$ ．
k $\alpha .280, \beta .494$ 1392 mar．
m cf．$\tau .469$ ．
n 437.
o a． 310 mar．
р сп．A． 124.
If cf． $\boldsymbol{y}, 120$ ．
r $\alpha, 26, y, 27$.
s A． 474.
t App．A． $8(3)$ mar．
u J．131，$\varphi .214$ ，山． 283.
反． 592, o． 54.
w B． 55 el saepiss．
$x$ J． 591 ．
y d． 472 mar．，$Z$ ． 475.
$z \pi .336, ~ \varrho .493$ ， 505.






425









426．428．430．Fol．427．Fı $\downarrow \dot{\omega} v$ ．433．$\varepsilon^{\prime \prime} f \varepsilon \iota \pi \varepsilon v$ ．

 ঠ̀ $\eta$ a man． $2^{\text {da }}$ ；甲ó＠os A．K．Stu．Vi．50，133．426．l $\eta \dot{\eta} \nu \tau \varepsilon$ Vi． 133 ，ex corr．

 $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \iota \sigma i \quad \tau \varepsilon$ A．a man．pr．K．Vr．Vi． $56 . \quad 433 . \delta \mu \omega \tilde{\eta} \sigma \iota \nu$ Aristar．et Herodian．

424－68．Alcinoüs orders a chest to store the presents，and after directing a bath for his guest＇s refreshment，adds to them a golden chalice as a keep－ sake from himself．His directions are executed．Odys．then secures the pre－ sents，enjoys the bath，and attracts the renewed admiration of Nausicaa，who claims the merit of having rescued him，which he gratefully acknowledges．

422．Эৎóvolбıv，for the distinctive character of the $\vartheta$＠óvos see on $\alpha$ ． 131 －2．

425－30．$\alpha$ v́v̀̀，so Bek．Hom．Blatt． p．273：this marks a contrast with the gifts of the nobles；cf． 44 i inf．，whereas $\alpha v \tau \tilde{\eta}$ ，as meaning the chest，is need－ less，cf． $436 \mathrm{inf}$. ．，where $\varepsilon \in v$ and $\dot{v} \pi \grave{o}$ both appear without any such pronoun． Indeed the prep．and the adverb are not，Bek．remarks，sharply distinguished in H．，any more than pron．and article． $-\vartheta \dot{\varepsilon}$ ，sing．as addressed to the queen， who herself sees to this：invare plur．，
implying the assistance of the atten－
 these seem to have been for immediate wear；see 455 inf ．Another similar change of garments is bestowed upon him at parting v．67．－v́uvov，the strain；Curtius I． 261 connects it with $\dot{v} \propto \dot{\alpha} \omega$ ，as if＂texture＂or＂fabric＂were the primary meaning．He cites this passage．－थ̈ $\lambda \varepsilon \iota \sigma o \nu$ ，see App．A． 8 （3）． 433－35．It is a curious question whether the bath was prepared and taken in the $\mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma \alpha \rho o \nu$ ，the chief and （in a sense）the public apartment of the palace．On comparing r．348－65 and $\tau$ ．386－91，but especially $476-8 \mathrm{I}$ ， there seems no doubt that it was．The only presumption at first sight to the contrary arises from $\varepsilon i \sigma \alpha y \alpha \gamma o \tilde{v} \sigma \alpha$ in x．466，but there seems no doubt that x． $466-7$ are there wrongly repeated from $314-5$ ．The repugnance of this view to our habits，and indeed to those of historical Greece，is of very little weight．See further the remarks in
















## a 2． 344.

b $\Sigma .346-8$ ．
c $v .297$.
d $\ell .328, \Theta .217,235$
X． $374,512,0$ 744.
e 426.
f 424 mar．
g．E．664， 669.
h ס．130，o． 206 ； cf． $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$ ． 420 mar．
i \＆． 38 mar．，$\psi$ ． 341，cf．v．368－9
k 392 mar．
1 B． 42 ；cf． $\boldsymbol{\tau} .242$.
m 346 mar．
n II．221，A． 116.
－447，O． 19.
p $\chi .368$.
q cf． $\boldsymbol{x}$ ． 548 ．
r $\eta .1$ mar．，tricics quinq．in Odys．
s cf． $\boldsymbol{\gamma}, 152$
t 443 mar．
u cf．$\zeta .233, v .72$. v ユ． $394,549, \mu$ ． 302.

440．Foı．442．甲மvウ́ $\sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha$ Fध́ $\pi \overline{\varepsilon \alpha}$ ．
 om．Vi． 56 ，sed in mar．inser．$\varepsilon^{\prime \prime} \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu$ I．Vi．5．Eu．Basil．Ald．I．Ern．，$\varepsilon^{〔} \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu$ Ro．St．Barn．，$\ell^{\prime \prime} \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu$ Vi．ı33，H．ex emend．man．pr．Fl．Ald．2，3，Lov．et var．1．St．，$\sigma \tau \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \varrho i \pi \sigma \delta \alpha$ Vi．50．436．$\alpha i \delta^{\circ}$ H．ex emend．Vi．56，ย̇v $\delta^{\prime}$

Vi．5，133；$\varepsilon^{\prime \prime} \chi \varepsilon v \alpha \nu$ K．M．S．Vr．Vi．50，56，$\varepsilon^{\prime \prime} \chi \varepsilon \alpha \nu$ V Vi．${ }_{\wedge} 33$ ，$\varepsilon^{\prime \prime} \chi \varepsilon \alpha \nu$ H．$\alpha$
 56，5；$\pi \varepsilon \varrho \iota ห \alpha \lambda \lambda \varepsilon ́ \alpha ~ \delta \omega ̃ \varrho \alpha ~ o m i s s o ~ 439 . ~ V i . ~ 56, ~ s e d ~ V i . ~ 50, ~ 133 ~ u t ~ n o s t r ., ~, ~$



K．Vi． $56 ; \quad i \eta \lambda \varepsilon$ Vi． 5.
$\alpha v \operatorname{\tau òs}$ G．M．

444．$\mu \varepsilon \vartheta^{\prime} \alpha, \mu \varepsilon \vartheta^{\prime}$ I．M．Vr．$\beta$ ，$\kappa \alpha \vartheta^{\prime}$ var．1．M．；
448．$\chi \varepsilon \varrho \sigma i \propto$ supraser．a man．alt．

App．F． 2 （12）．On ध่v and $\dot{\boldsymbol{v} \pi} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ see on 425 sup．－x $\lambda \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ ，кп $\lambda \varepsilon i \omega$ also oc－ curs w．$\pi v \varrho \iota$, mar．－ $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \sigma \tau \varrho \eta \nu . .$. çiлodog，the tripod in $\Sigma$ ．375－8 has $o v ゙ \alpha \tau \alpha=$ handles，cf．A．633，and is on wheels．It is here spoken of as the actual receptacle of the water，which is sometimes a distinct vessel，the $\lambda$ é． $\beta \eta$ ．Perhaps the two were sometimes fashioned in one．Such a threefooted kettle is spoken of Esch．，Fragm．I．

 $\pi v \varrho \circ \varsigma ~ \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$.

435－45．The $\lambda \varepsilon \beta \eta \xi^{\prime}$ with its bubbl－ ing water and blazing fire is twice the subject of a simile；$\mu, 237$ foll．，$\Phi$ ．

362 foll．－थ́ $\mu \varphi \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon$ ，the expression corresponds to $\alpha \mu \varphi i \pi v \varrho \ell 426$ sup．－ тópée，＂the while＂，so 453 inf ．－ ＂́d $\varepsilon$ ，＂look to＂，$i$ ．e．with a view to security．－$\pi \tilde{\omega} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$ ，elsewhere lid of a quiver，$\pi \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha \quad \varphi \alpha \rho \varepsilon ́ \tau \rho \eta s$, mar．－ $\boldsymbol{\eta} \lambda \dot{\operatorname{jog}} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{c} \ell$ ，on the character of this caution see on $251-4$ sup．It may possibly also be a reflex of real life among Phœnicians；see App．G．r； Ni．remarks that the queen seems to expect that Odys．will depart that same night．It may suffice to answer that the supper，the song and its conse－ quences are not foreseen；but the growth of the circumstances which lead on to the narrative of Odys，is highly natu－
a $\zeta .216$ mar．
b $\gamma .468, \quad x .361$ ， ठ．128；cf． 9 ． 456.
c $\delta .523$ mar．，$\psi .233$.
d 249 mar．
e 161 mar．
f $\delta .13, \varphi, 25, \omega$ ． 482, X $379,25.2$.
g．$\mu$ ． 389 ．
h 232.
i cf． $\boldsymbol{N} .141$, X． 192.
$k \delta .49$ mar．
$1 \delta 48$ ；cf． 364 mar．
m $x .365,542, \xi .132$ ， $480,0.368, \pi .79$ ， B． 262.
n 450 mar．，$\gamma .468$ mar．
0 rf．v． 262.
p ૬． 18 mar．；cf． Z． 156.
If App．F． 2 （16） mar．
r．cf．క． 237.
s $\boldsymbol{\Gamma} .306, \boldsymbol{Y} .344$, A． 587, 上． 135 ， J． 226 mar．；cf． \％． 385.
$13 \pm 6$ mar．
11 ef． 413.
v $\omega$ ．265，$T .244$ ；
cf． 1.188.













 Vi． $5 \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ Eu．et edd．$\quad 450$ ．$\varepsilon i^{\prime \prime}$＇$\varrho^{\prime}$ M．Fl．et $\alpha$ sed $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ in mar．है＇s $e^{\prime}$ ． 453.

 ${ }_{456} \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ A．I．K．Vi． $50,133, \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ Vi．56． 457 ．$\dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{o}$ Vi．omn．459．ह̇л $\pi i$ $i \partial \delta \varepsilon \nu \dot{\circ} \varphi \vartheta \nsim \lambda \mu \circ \check{\sigma} \sigma \nu v$ var．1．p．
ral．There is a general expectation of his departure as soon as may be，but under the lax law of hospitality no one is tied to a day；

449－54．acúvódıov，çonnected with ódos as $\alpha v \tau \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha \rho$ with $\eta^{\top} \mu \alpha \rho$ ，Faesi．－ $\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\nu} \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \varepsilon$, La Roche，after collecting and discussing at length the forms of ${ }^{\alpha} \nu \omega \gamma \alpha \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \omega \dot{\beta} \omega$ ，concludes that it is a justifiable（nicht ungerechtfertigt）as－ sumption，that the Alexandrines，and among them Aristar．，wrote $\alpha^{\alpha} \nu \omega y \varepsilon \nu$ where the sense requires a present meaning，$\dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \dot{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varepsilon$ as a pluperf．without augment，where a preterite meaning， and that $\boldsymbol{\eta} v \boldsymbol{c}^{\prime} y \varepsilon$ is also pluperf．He no－ tes as exceptional passages $\varepsilon$ ． 276 and o． 97 ，where ${ }^{\alpha} \nu \omega \omega \overline{\text { s }}$ stands with preter． force，like үє́ $\gamma \omega \nu \varepsilon 3 \circ 5$ sup．－roнє－ ૬ou．$\dot{\mathcal{V} \alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mu i \zeta \varepsilon v$ ，＂was he in the habit
of being tended；see on 232 sup．；comp． his statement $\xi .220, \boldsymbol{\eta}^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho \delta \tilde{\eta} \varrho 0 \nu \alpha \pi \grave{o}$
 arsis；for other similar examples see mar．here，and cf．Z $\bar{\varepsilon} \varphi v \varrho i \eta$ in $\eta$ ．119； Spitzner de vers．Her．cap．II．§ 2 notes that they are found only in the first syll．of a line，but compares ai้o ${ }^{2}$ ơ $\varphi \iota v, M .208$ at the end．－ $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \varepsilon \tilde{0}$, since she had offered him immortality；see on $\varepsilon$ ．136．－2o $\tilde{v} \sigma \alpha \nu$ ，see on $\gamma .464$. 457－65．Neveraco，this is the last glimpse which we have of her． Her few simple and suitable words， claiming そco $\gamma \rho \iota \alpha$ ，have the playful archness which forms from the first （ $5.57-65$ ）an element of her character． － $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \varrho \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{\mu} \dot{\boldsymbol{o}} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ и． $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ．$\lambda_{\text {．}}$ ，see App． F． 2 （16）（32）．－Vथv́ucigとv，of，her expression of this feeling $\xi$ ． 243 foll．















a 2．71，ๆ． 431
b $\Sigma .407$ ；cl．K．
$378,9.332$ mar．
c $\Sigma .407$ ；cf． $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$ ． 332 ．
d $\zeta .17$ mar．
e cf．H． 411.
l $0.112,180, \boldsymbol{K}$ ． 329, II． 88.
c $\gamma .233$.
h $\alpha .9$ mar．
i o．181，X．394， Э． 453 ；cf． 7.71 mar．
k 431 mar．，e． 210 ， t． 123.
1 ©． 429.
m ع．195，ת． 553.
n $\eta .141$ mar．
o o． $140, \gamma, 40, \tau$ ． $423, v .260,280$.
p $\gamma .332$, v． 253.
q 62 mar．
г． $28, \mathcal{Y} .426, \Omega$ ． 533.
s 66 mar．
t $\delta .65$ mar．
u $\xi$ ． $423,438, K$ 467， $\boldsymbol{\Psi} .32$.
v $v .410$ ． 466．Foíx $\alpha \delta \varepsilon$ 470．Foîvov．471．हُ＠íF ŋŋov．




 Ox．，x人i x\＆ivi Eu．Wo．recentt．468．ह́ßıóббко H．v．Apoll．Soph．，$\beta \iota \ldots ́ \sigma \alpha o$ Vi． o～ 5 tres Eu．Ro．Bas．，$\beta \iota о ́ \sigma \sigma \alpha o ~ A p o l l . ~ S o p h . ~ H e s y c h ., ~ \beta \iota o ́ \sigma \alpha o ~ \alpha, ~ \varepsilon ́ \beta i \omega \sigma \alpha s ~ \gamma, ~ \beta \iota \omega ́ \sigma \omega ~$ var．1．H．，ह́ $\beta \iota \omega \dot{\sigma}$ о T．Fl．St．et recentt．469．ís A．K．Vi．5，133，Aristar．，
 472．$\lambda \alpha 0 \check{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{s} \tau \varepsilon \tau \iota \eta \mu \varepsilon ́ v o \nu \beta$ Vi． $5, \lambda \alpha 0 \check{\sigma} \sigma \iota \varepsilon \tau \iota \eta \mu . \mathrm{H} . \alpha . \quad 475 . \alpha v \tau 0 \tilde{v}$ Vi． 50 var．1．A．
－Ђんव́y＠九с，＂salvage＂，so（mar．）He－ phrestus for his concealment and pro－ tection after his fall（ $\tau \tilde{\eta} \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma o ́ v \tau \alpha$ ）
 $\lambda \iota \pi \lambda о х \alpha \mu \omega \quad \xi \omega \alpha \dot{\gamma} \rho \iota \alpha$ тiveıv，cf．Не－ rod．III． $36, \delta \omega \varrho \alpha$ そ $\omega \alpha \gamma \varrho i \alpha$ ．－غ́¢í－ дঠоvлos，Pindar has also $\beta \alpha \varrho v$ vঠov－ $\pi \cos ^{\mu \varepsilon \lambda i \gamma \delta o v \pi o s . ~ N o ~ d o u b t ~} \gamma \delta o v \pi-$ ， probably $\rightleftharpoons \tau \tau \pi-$ ，is the root；similarly a guttural is lost in $\chi \lambda \iota \alpha \rho o s$ xvย์ $\varphi \alpha_{\varsigma}$ vย́pos：so the forms $x \varepsilon \lambda \alpha i v \eta$ and $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha i \nu \eta$ suggest $x \mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \iota \nu-$ as the older form．

469－98．The bard，introduced，re－ ceives the compliments of Odys．in heroic form，who also，when the ban－ quet is over，calls upon him for a firtlier selection from the Tale of Troy HOM．OD．IK．
－its crowning episode，the Wooden Horse．
 ranged round the hall．－xiovce，the pillars were towards the centre，see App．F． 2 （20）．He would thus be best heard by all．－$\mu \boldsymbol{\alpha} o \dot{o} v$, obs．xíw is also fem．，cf．ríovos ．．．$\mu \alpha$ щ $\alpha_{s}$ ， $\alpha .53-4$ ；so $\lambda i v o s$ is mas．and fem．－
 $\mu \omega \dot{\nu}(\alpha \pi \dot{o} \pi \varrho \dot{o}$ Schol．II．669），there is no mention anywhere of knives at table in H．although the detail of de－ scription isvery full．Each guest proby brought a dagg＇er，（ $\mu \alpha ́ \chi \varepsilon \iota \varrho \alpha$ ）and used it as required．In eating they $\chi \varepsilon i \varrho \alpha s$ i＂$\alpha \lambda \lambda o v, 484$ ，＂plunged their hands＂into
 Bóx $\chi$ ，l＇ovגos wonl；but see on $\imath$ ． 106.
a ะ． 346 mar．
b $\mu .302$ ．
c $\pi .443$ ．
d $\gamma .22$ mar．
e J． $549,553, x$ ． 174,570, B． 270 ， O． 651 ．
f $\alpha .167$ mar．；cf． ク．307，$\pi .440$.
s．cf．ঠ． 335.
h $9.488,63,73$ ， X． 347 ．
i $74, x$ ． 347 ．
k $\eta .206, \xi .68, \approx$ 361，O． 54.
1 0． 130 ，A．446，世．65，624， 797.
m $\alpha .148$ mar．
n o． $70-1$ ，E．61， \＃． 257 ．
0 N． 374 ．
p 63－4．
q 179 mar．
r $\alpha .350$ mar．
s e． 223 mar．
t MI． 29.
＂$\beta .314, \gamma .94, \delta$ ． 384.




















 $\pi \varepsilon \varrho \notin \emptyset \nu$ a man．pr．K．Stu．$\gamma$ Fl．Ald．var．1．St．
$47,7-8 \mathrm{r} . \tau \check{\eta}$ ，see on $\varepsilon \cdot 346 .-\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma}-$ $\pi \tau \boldsymbol{v} \xi o \mu c t$ ，a word of varied significa－ tion；see on $\boldsymbol{\beta} .77$ and cf．our use of＂ap－ ply to＂（applico）a person．Here it seems to mean＂I will pay my compliments to＂．－$\dot{\alpha} \chi \nu \dot{v} \mu \varepsilon v o s ~ \pi \varepsilon \varrho, ~ O d y s . ~ a t ~ t h e ~$ festive board，still keeps up his cha－ racter as the man of suffering．－ oüرçg，see on 73 sup．That Odys．，a guest and passing stranger，should thus patronize the bard who is a retainer of the court，is a noteworthy specimen of heroic manners．It is his way of showing that $\tau \iota \mu \dot{\eta}$ and $\alpha i \delta \omega \dot{\omega}$ ，which all men，he says，owe to that gifted class；cf．in Schiller＇s Jungfrau v． 0. ii．2，the speech of Karl，ending，

Drum foll ber ©ảnger mit bem ßőnig gehen，
Sie beipe mobnen auf Der Menfobeit Sobhen．
Obs．that the business of the banquet is kept distinct；being dispatched in the two fixed lines $484-5$ ，the second of which marks its conclusion，before other matter is entered upon．The
message and the speech of Odys．to the bard come before and after it，but do not interfere with it．－ $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{O} \tilde{\boldsymbol{v}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ， see on $\alpha$ ．$x_{\text {；}}$
 regard to manual skill of $\nu$＂H甲oьббоs
 for $\sigma \varepsilon \gamma^{\prime}$ Soph．Oed．Tyr． 1 IOI，$\tau i$ ís，$\sigma \varepsilon$ ，
 $\tau \varepsilon \iota \varrho \alpha ́$ тıs，Herod．VII．Io $\eta_{\eta}$ xov ह́v $\gamma \tilde{\eta} \tau \tilde{\eta}{ }^{\prime} A \vartheta \eta v \alpha i \prime \omega v \eta^{21} \sigma \varepsilon \gamma^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\prime} v \tau \tilde{\eta} \Lambda \alpha x \varepsilon-$
 oĩcov，the word is remarkable，＂the woe＂：not merely in reference to the special topic of the previous song－ the quarrel of the chiefs－（v． 74 foll．）， but dwelling on the whole war（v．490） as a national calamity，＂the woe of the Achæans＂，rather than their triumph or their glory．There is a sober chastened view of the prime objects of human ambition suggested by this
 of the deities named in $v$ ． 488 ，but some human eye－witness．－ $\boldsymbol{\mu \varepsilon \tau} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \dot{\alpha}-$









a 8. 272 mar.

1) 512 : cf. 507.

с $\lambda$. 523, $\boldsymbol{\Psi} .665$, 694, $83 \%$

1) cf. O. 412.
e Z. 85, 297, 317,
H. 345, X. 383.
f $9.276,282$.
g. cl. $\sigma .45$.
h $\gamma .85$, A. $33, E$. 642.
i $\gamma .331$ mar.
k cf. $\Omega .202, \varrho .418$
l v. 359, ©. 175
m $\alpha$. 328 mar.
n $\boldsymbol{\chi}, 347$.
o $\omega .117$, H. 419.
495. Fiגıov.
 $\varepsilon \pi \pi 0 i \not \eta \sigma \varepsilon$ H. I. K. Vr. Vi, tres. Eu, 494. סólю (cf. Hy. Ceres 8) Aristar. Aristoph. h. סólov Vi. 133. סólov xiv mss. Eu. Fl. 495. oì $\hat{\varrho}^{\omega}$, xii mss. ${ }_{0}$ ’ om. Eu. et edd. pler. 497. $\alpha v \tau i x \alpha \kappa \alpha \iota$ A. I. K. M. Vr. $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ Eu. var. l. h.; $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \ell$ A. $\chi \varepsilon \tau 0$
K. V. Vi. ${ }_{5} 6 \mathrm{Eu}$. var. 1. h.

$\beta \eta 9 \iota$, "change the theme"; this word leads Ni. to suspect as an interpolation, all that has occurred since the first song (v. 73), since "the expression suggests that in the original arrangement the request for a further song immediately followed that first". But this is of less weight when we consider, that Odys. was prevented by his own emotions, and by the diversion effected by Alcin.(v. 94 foll.), from making any remark on the first song, and that the present is the first opportunity which offered for such a request; see App. G. 2. He uses therefore naturally the very word which he would have used at the moment. Thus $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \eta \vartheta \iota$, "change to another part of that same subject", seems ,perfectly consistent.
 mulaic in the Hy. Hom, for concluding a strain, see Hy. Ven., Нy. IX, Hy; XVIII, end; cf. also $\mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \varepsilon \iota \mu \iota \delta^{\prime} \varepsilon \varphi \varphi^{\prime}$
 Bergk. - xóбuov, the "setting up the parts in order", hence building. Sov@atéov, latinized by Lucret. I. 476, duraleus . .equos. -' $\boldsymbol{E \pi \varepsilon} \boldsymbol{1} \boldsymbol{O}$ g, ipse doli fabricator Epeüs, Virg. Aen. II. 264 , the winner of the boxing match in $\Psi .66_{5}$ foll., but ofno account in the field, as he himself there, admits;


бv̀v 'A $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{\eta} v \eta$, equum divina Palladis arte aedificant, Virg. Aen. II. 15.
494-98. おó̀ov ทैभ. ס. O., as a preparation for this, in Proclus' epitomê of the $x \lambda \varepsilon \iota \nu \dot{\eta}{ }^{" I} I \iota \alpha{ }_{s}$ by Lesches, the expedition of Odys. in disguise to Troy, mentioned in $\delta .243$ foll., seems to have taken place; see notes there, also App. E. I (2) end. - $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tilde{v} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$, it is implied that the subject proposed would be a severer test of the poet's powers a more striking drama with a weightier
 we have, however, $\pi \dot{o} \lambda \varepsilon \iota \dot{\alpha} x \rho \tilde{\eta}$, $\pi \dot{\partial} \lambda \iota \nu$ $\alpha x \rho \dot{\alpha} \nu$ mar. - $\pi \varrho o ́ \varphi \rho \omega \nu$, "to some purpose '".

499-520. An epitomê of the song (with which may be compared that of the tale of his wanderings given by Odys. to Penel. $\psi$. 3 ro foll.) While Odys. and the forlorn hope of the Greeks were in the Horse, the Greeks sailed away, while the Trojans received the Horse into their citadel; and, after divers plans had been debated, left it there; on which those within it issued forth and sacked the city, the last. struggle taking place at Deiphobus' palace.
499-505. $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$ عov goes with $\dot{\varrho} \varrho \mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{v} \varepsilon i_{S}$ not (cf. Theocr, . itx $\Delta i o s \alpha \rho$ $\chi \omega \dot{\mu} \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \alpha$ ) with $\eta ้ \varrho \xi \propto \tau 0 .-\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \vartheta \varepsilon \nu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega \nu$, "selecting (i, e. out of the whole tale)
a cf．$\delta .521$.
b 512.
c $I$ ．412，$\Omega$ ． 91
cf．$\tau$ ．atio．
d ₹．150，ご．510， ‥ 33 ．
e cf． $\boldsymbol{H}, 389$ ．
f $515, \delta, 277$.
g．$\delta .743 \mathrm{mar}$ ．
h $\alpha$ ． 337.
${ }^{i}$ cf．$\Theta .415$, I． 310.
k cf．$\beta$ ．171，$\ell .511$ ， N． 100 ．
1 i．52，ع．113，288， ת． 224.
m $\delta .618$ ，o． 118.
n 493.
o 503.
p f．272－3 mar．
q H．32，\％．130，
o． 384, A． 367.
r $\boldsymbol{\text { D．}} 300$.
s 507．mar．
$t$ ef．$\beta .400$.
u cf．$\varepsilon .71$, B． 75.
v $\Pi .830, \Omega .245$ ．
w $\delta .276$.
$\beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \iota \circ \nu, \pi \tilde{v} \varrho$ ह̇v $x \lambda \iota \sigma i ́ \eta \sigma \iota \beta \alpha \lambda o ́ v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ，
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 506．$\alpha \mu \varphi^{\prime}$ кv̇ $\tau \dot{\nu} \nu$ A．I．K．M．Stu．Vr．$\gamma$ var．1．p，，sic Eu．et edd．，Vi． $50, \alpha \gamma \chi$
 $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \mu \hat{\eta} \xi \alpha \iota$ XVI mss．（ $\alpha \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ）sicPhotius Ms．Suidas（Porson）edd．pler．$\delta \iota \alpha \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \xi \alpha \iota$,





from that point＂of etc．－ $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \times \varrho \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ ， ＂up to the edge or extreme point＂； a precipitous rock seems implied．－ cioogn，this could hardly have been in the acropolis，we must therefore understand the personal＂Assembly＂ not，as in $\eta$ ．44．－$\ddot{o} \mu \dot{\boldsymbol{c}} \boldsymbol{v}$ and $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\partial} \boldsymbol{v}$ mean the Horse，not Odys．－$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \iota$, Didymus，cited by Schol．$\Delta$ ． 289 ，states that this was Aristarchus＇form，not $\varepsilon i \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\gamma \varepsilon \iota}$ ，so also in compounds，as ふ’甲 less＂，purposeless words unguided by wisdom．－ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{i} \chi \alpha \ldots \ddot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ not that all the three were accepted finally，but （imperf．）＂were finding favour＂．The debate is placed by Virgil with more judgement before they received the Horse within the walls，Aen．II． 31 foll．

509－12．Эeגxtýgıov，so Hor．Od IV．iv．13－4．Equo Minervae sacra men－ tilae，and Virg．Aen．II．17．Votum pro reditu simulant．Hershrine is consistently placed by H，in the Trojan acropolis
 were 100 in number＂，Stesich．Fragm． 24 （Athen．xiii． 6 ro C）ap．Bergk，Poet． Mel．p． 980 ．for an episode relating to the conduct of Helen in this crisis see ס． 274 foll．and App．E． 9 （9），also for her connexion with Deïphobus after Paris＇death．

517－20．Дทı甲óßoıo，the Schol．T． has preserved a tradition that he was in this last period of the war com－ mander in chief（ $\sigma \tau \varrho \alpha \tau \eta \gamma \dot{\varsigma}$ ）of the Trojan forces．His house would on this view be the natural rallying point． Virgil，however，who omits Deỉphobus













a $\omega .116$.
b $\delta .441$.
c 83 mar.
d cf. $\delta .223, \pi .191$, $\Omega .794$.
e o. 490.
f $\boldsymbol{\alpha} .363$ ef octies in Od.
g II. 265.
h. $.17 ; P .615,511$, A. 588 . i $\mu .254$, M. 203.
k X. 407.
1 ठ. 259, T. 284.
m B. 265 ; cf. $\boldsymbol{\Pi}$. 791.
n N. 2, Е. 480 O. 365 .

0 cf. $\pi .145$.

[^11]





save in the episode in Aen. VI., has made this ground his own, and his wonderful picture of the catastrophe of Troy holds possession of all readers' minds. $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$, , this points to personal achievements or exposure to perils on the part of Odys., which were no doubt largely dilated on in the actual song, thus abridged. The only effect on Odys., the hero and hearer of the tale, is to draw his tears in torrents; pity for lost comrades, not for the vanquished, overpowering all sense of egotistic triumph. This is a picture of selfforgetfulness which probably has no parallel in ancient or modern poetry.

521-47. The tears of Odys. are renewed - as a widow's at her husband's death with slavery impending. Alcin. as before, alone notices them; but now calls attention to them and checks the bard with words full of kindly sympathy, and which form a text of hospitable feeling.

522-5. тทีxto, ef. with this simile the fact of Andromachê's sudden bereavement, described $X, 466$ foll; for
similar descriptions of the shock of utter ruin felt in a captured city, see I. 592-4, Aeschyl. Sept. c. Theb. 295 foll., Eurip. Hec. 9ı I foll. - zえ火ínбє, for subjunct, here see App. A. 9 (14).
 each its context, suggest their properly distinct meaninge; $\pi$ ó $\lambda \iota o s ~ \lambda \alpha \tilde{\omega} \nu$ $\tau \varepsilon$, since the $\pi \sigma^{\prime} \not \iota \iota$ is only the collective term for the $\pi 0 \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon s=\pi o \lambda \lambda o l$;
 akin to Fegrio $\mathcal{F i \sigma \tau i \eta , ~ V e s t a , ~ t h e ~}$ "hearth", and appears in Sanskrit as vâstu a "dwelling". (Curtius s.v.)

527-30. ö̈ $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$, i.e. the victors, now her lords; see on $\eta$. 319 , oi $\delta^{\prime}$ हो $\lambda$ ówo $\gamma \alpha \lambda \eta \dot{\nu} \eta v .-\varepsilon i \varrho \varepsilon \varrho \circ v$, from the prolific root ser- $\sigma \varepsilon \varrho_{-}$, whence we have Lat. sero (-ui) ser-mo ser-a ser-ies ser-vus, and
 probably meant "bind", hence tie or string together; thus ser-vus $=$ nexus, and is not, as some have thought, quasi servatus (Curtius I. 320.) - ¢Vevé$\boldsymbol{\vartheta o v \sigma \ell}$, this prolongs the picture into her actual captivity, and gives a remarkable duration to the simile.
a d． 153 mar．
b 93－7 mar．， $\boldsymbol{\Psi} .10$ ．
c 542.
त 67 mar．
e d． 17 mar．
f ef． $\boldsymbol{\tau}, 213, \omega, 322$.
ge．171，©． 124.
h Z． 355 ．
i $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ． 369 。
k 9．210，$\sigma .64, \Gamma$ ． 354.
$1 \gamma .358$ mar．
m 九． 271.
n $\boldsymbol{v}$ ． 41 ．
0 ef．$\alpha .313$, 九． 229.
p ef． 585.
q 2． 270, т．134， ef．$\pi .422$.
r cf．$\eta .92$, A．608，之． 380 ．
s A． $363, \boldsymbol{\Pi} .19$.
t $\zeta .148$, K． 44.













 $\pi о \mu \pi \eta^{n}$ каi 甲íג $\delta \omega \tilde{\varrho \alpha, \tau \alpha ́ ~ o i ́ ~ \delta i ́ \delta o \mu \varepsilon \nu}{ }^{\circ} \varphi \iota \lambda \varepsilon ́ o \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$.




531．$\delta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho v \alpha$ Vi．56．534．$\alpha ้ \sim o v \varepsilon v ~ I . ~ 535 . ~ \pi \varrho о \sigma \eta v ́ \delta \alpha ~ S t u . ~ 537 . ~ \lambda i ́ y \varepsilon \iota \alpha v v . ~$ sup．ad 67．538．$\pi \omega$ xiii mss．（ $\gamma$ ）Eu．Fl．$\pi \omega$ I．Wo．539．dоৎ $\pi \varepsilon \tilde{v} \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ Vi．
 S．Wo．et rec．dĩos Vi． 56 Fl．St．Barn．Ern．Ox．540．nóvoıo M． 54 I ． $\mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma \alpha$
$\mu \alpha \lambda \alpha$ H．，$\mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma \alpha$ var．1．Vi．${ }^{133}$ ．542．$\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \varepsilon \xi_{S}$ Vi．5．543．ov́ $\tau \omega$ Eu．，ov̋ $\tau \omega$
 in text．et mar．，$-\varepsilon \iota$ Vi．iii I．K．M．h．Eu．edd．vett．$-\eta$ Vi． 56 T．Wo．recentt． $-\sigma \eta$ Apoll．Lex．$\quad 548 . \tau \omega$ A．I．K．Vi．iii，$\tau \tilde{\omega}$ M．$\tau \tilde{\nu} \nu$ Vi． $56 ; \mu \eta \dot{\eta} x \alpha i \sigma \dot{v} \gamma$.

531－45．＇O $\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}$ g，，，see App．G． 2.
 are viewed as one act，though the supper was in fact over before the song began，see 485－6 sup．－ло $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\pi}$ ，this evidently includes the entertainment given before starting，i．e．as intended， the present one；see on $\eta \cdot 318-9$ ．Thus his $\pi о \mu \pi \dot{\eta}$ began and was designed， probably even up to this moment of speaking，to be completed on this $34^{\text {th }}$ day；but was protracted by unforeseen occurrences，the tale of Odys．arising out of the song of Demod．by means of the questions of Alcin．See App．G． 2.
 W．Scott，Lady of L．，IV．31，＂Stranger is a holy name＂，and Eschyl．Choeph．



548－71．Alcin．enquires the name and country of Odys．that he may know whither to send him．He ascribes mar－ vellous instincts to the Phæacian ships， and recites a boding prophecy（prob－ ably interpolated here from $v$ ．）about the wrath of Poseidon for their sending strangers home．This part of the poem is called by Aristot．de poes，the $\alpha \nu \alpha$－
















a e．583－4．
b $\gamma .69$ ．
c 七． $355, \Gamma$ ． 235.
d J．224，T．291，
ת． 466 ．
e $\beta .66$ ．
f cf．$\alpha, 222$ ．
g Z． 489.
h $\zeta .3$ mar．
i e． $442, O$ ． 46 ．
k $\boldsymbol{N} .558$ ；cf． $\boldsymbol{N}$ 159.

1 ع． 255 mar．
m $\sigma .215$.
n ס．757，यै． 832. －App．B．（3）mar． p $\eta .35$ mar．
q 2．15，11．790， ゆ． 549 ．
r $\xi .255$ ，
s A． 515 ；cf．$\beta .58$ ， $\mu .209$.
t $\delta .94$.

549．$\varphi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \vartheta{ }^{2} \alpha \iota$ H．I．K．M．Vi．56， 33 Fl．Barn．，$\varphi<\alpha \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$ Eu．Ro．edd．rell．；
 रov $\begin{gathered}\varepsilon s\end{gathered}$

 Vi． 56 a man．pr．，$\varepsilon \pi i$ Vi． 5 ． $564-7 \mathrm{I}$ ．† M． $\boldsymbol{a}$［］Bek．suspectos h．l．vers．


$\gamma \nu \omega \rho \iota \sigma t s$, and is placed by him as part of the＇Aдuıvóov «ंжóloyoı，which name belongs to the next book，as distinguish－ ed by the Alexandrines．It may be inferred that the section preceding their book $\iota$ ．was shorter before their arran－ gement，ending perhaps at 468 or 520 ．

549．There was a grammatical tra－ dition in favour of reading $\varphi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \hat{v} \alpha \iota$ ， perhaps guided by the view which also read $\varphi \tilde{\eta}_{S}$ or $\varphi \tilde{\eta}_{S}$（see on $\eta$ ．239．）in $2^{\text {d }}$ sing．pres．；but the best early author－ ities prefer фо́бvoц．－övo $\mu$＇，и．$\tau . \lambda .$, comp．T．403－7，where Autolycus names Odys．，though his daughter＇s son， then newly born．
$550-60$ ．2eĩ $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$ ，＂yonder＂whereas no place has yet been mentioned to which it can refer．－ひ̈бvv，see on 525 sup．－2夫zòs and $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \boldsymbol{V} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \dot{\mathbf{o}}$ ¢ probably refer to the estate or condition of the child born，as we say，＂gentle and
simple＂，but conversely．－ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\pi} \varrho$. уร่ขทธč，＂he is first born＂．－тi－ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\sigma} x \boldsymbol{o}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\sim} \boldsymbol{\prime}$ ，finding their way＂，li－ terally，as explained by the Scholl．， hitting their mark．－＇̈́ceaı voñucese $\varkappa . \tau$ ．$\lambda$ ．，if this line be genuine，the meaning probably is that the vessel can dispense with the steerer because it has wits of its own，and，knowing whither the crew wish to proceed，needs not his governing vo ${ }^{\text {s．}}$ ．But it may be a touch of exaggeration later added； and the first text have been ${ }_{3} \alpha \lambda \lambda$ ；
 561－2．גаĩt $\mu \boldsymbol{1}$ ，see App．B．（3）．－ ท̇é $x, \tau, \lambda$ ．，this is a mark of super－ natural power which is elsewhere li－ mited to the gods and to those on whom they bestow it，as Odys．in $\eta .140$.

564－71 hang very heavily here， whereas in $\nu$ ．they enliven the narra－ tive．The Scholl，also notice that it
a $\zeta \overline{7}, \eta \overline{5} 6,62-3$ ．
b 3 ，173－8．
c ef． $32-3,5.290$ ， v．71， 151.
（1）ס．519，ع．40，r． $138,0.436$.
e $3.149-152$ ．
f i．279，入．71，106，
$159, \mu .305,0.33$.
g．$\gamma .294$ mar．， ह．
$281, \mu .285$.
h $\boldsymbol{E}, 506-7, \Theta$ ．
$331, v .158$.
$\{$ cf．$\xi .183-4$ ．
$k$ e． 399 ，v 344，
1 б．344，ß． 273.
m H．31，K．531， A． $520, \cong 337$ ．
ท $\alpha .169,206,224$ et saepiss．in Od．
○ o．381，$\mu .285$ ，e． 259.
p ef． $\boldsymbol{\alpha} .3$ mar．
（q）B．466，Г． 301
r $\boldsymbol{Z} .404$, B．648， Z． 415, E． 489 ．











565．๙́ $\gamma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$ V．（quod＂$\mu \varepsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \iota "$ in b prodit）Vi． $56 \alpha$ supra $\varepsilon$ scr．a man．rec． $\stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \pi \eta{ }^{2} \mu$ ．


 $\nu \alpha \iota$ H．Vi． 50,133 var．1．A．et p．Eu．edd．；${\underset{\eta}{r}}_{\mu} \mu \check{\nu}$ A．I．K．Vi．iii．Eu．Fl．， $\dot{\eta} \mu i \nu \mathrm{H}$ ．ex em．Schol．ad v． 117 var．1．A．，$\eta_{\eta}^{\prime \prime} \mu \nu \nu$ Vi．5，$\eta^{\eta} \mu \iota \nu$ edd．，$\delta \dot{\eta} \mu \iota \nu$
 $\alpha \iota$
ex em．，－v $\psi \varepsilon \iota v$ Vi．56，－ $\boldsymbol{v} \psi \varepsilon \iota$ Vi．5．，$\dot{v} \psi \varepsilon \iota v$ \＆$\gamma$ H．a man．pr．（sed in v． 177 ，$\varepsilon \iota v$




seems inconsistent that Odys．，after hearing the statement，should without reserve avow himself as the direct ob－ ject of Poseidon＇s wrath；and，although they attempt to extenuate the force of this，yet the presumption substantially remains．

566－71．ло $\pi \pi о$ ，also $\pi о \mu \pi \tilde{\eta} \varepsilon \varsigma \quad \gamma$. 325 ，so the winds are called ov＠oı $\nu \eta \tilde{\omega} \nu$ $\pi о \mu \pi \tilde{\eta} \varepsilon \varsigma \delta .362$. － $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \pi \dot{\eta} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\nu} \varepsilon \varsigma_{\varsigma}$ has both an act．and a pass．sense；the latter
 the use of 仓кco亢خŋ for a＂hammer＂， $\Sigma .477$ ，shows the sense of the verb； so perhaps here，the notion of driving it like a nail and leaving it fast，is


of the actual occurrence．－óoos the mountain into which the ship was trans－ formed．．The legend may have arisen from some submarine volcano throwing up an island and destroying a ship．－ ع̈лдモテo loses the notion of past time in such phrases：this probably happens through the approval having taken place by a mental process prior to the act．

572－86．Alcin．pursues the enquiry concerning the wanderings of Odys．and his special interest in the＂woe of Troy＂．

573－6．夭́лєл $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \chi \boldsymbol{\eta} \varsigma$ ，cf．Virg． Aen．I． $5^{11}-2$ ，Quos aequore turbo dis－ pulerat penitusque alias avexerat oras．











a $\zeta .120-1$ mar．；v 201.
b $8: 3-95$ ， $521-31$ ．
c P．315，て．377，
X． $35 \%$ ．
if $\alpha$ ． 350 mar．
e $a, 244$ ．
f $v .196, \alpha .17$ mar．
¢ $\gamma, 204$ mar．
h \％．441，，ข．120，
I． 163 ．
©．$\overline{6} 61, \boldsymbol{K} .12$,
N． 349 ；с1．．ع． 469 mar．
k Z． 170 ．
1 x． 225, I． 642 cf．T．29．4．
m Z．211，T．105，
$111, Y .241$.



 $\omega \lambda \varepsilon \tau 0$
 var．1．St．Wo．recentt．，$\alpha \pi \sigma^{\prime} \lambda \varepsilon \tau 0$（glossa inter lin．H．）$\gamma$ Stu．edd．vett． 583. $\alpha i ̂ \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \propto \beta \quad \gamma$ Stu．；$\alpha v \tau \omega \nu \nu$ h．var．1．H．，$\alpha v \delta \rho \tilde{\nu} \nu$ A．G．H．M．Stu．Vr．$\gamma$ Ro． var．l．St．${ }^{584 .} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} v \tau \iota s$ G．I．K．M．Vr．Vi． 5 ．Ro．Bas．var．1．St．，$\tau i s ~ \pi o v ~ H . ~$ Vi．iii．Eu，St．；$\pi \varepsilon \pi \nu v \mu \varepsilon ́ v \alpha$ cum var．1．$\kappa \varepsilon \chi \propto \rho \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon v^{\prime} \alpha$ H．
who inhabit，e．g．＠． $5^{23}$, หю $\eta \tau \eta \nu \alpha \iota \varepsilon-$ $\tau \alpha \boldsymbol{\alpha} \omega$ ，and of the city etc．which they
 $\sigma \eta s$ mar．，＂while Ithaca is still in－ habited＂，also passing into the more general sense of＂lie＂，the town being viewed perhaps as＂dwelling＂in the region， $4.44-5$ ．For $575-6$ see on §．120－I．In Schol．Z． 415 we read that Aristar．there read voletó $\omega \sigma \alpha$ ；probably in deference to the＂analogy＂which governs such forms elsewhere in H．：see Pref．Pt．II（3）§ Lv．

577－84．The string of queries，espe－ cially the last，is inconsistent with Odys． statement 220 sup．，but see note there． －＇Aeyeíov $\Delta \alpha \boldsymbol{v} \alpha \omega \nu$ ，an unusual col－ location：＇$A$ ．is probably an epith．and $\Delta \alpha \nu$ ．has a reminiscence of its early sense＂warriors，conquerors＂，perhaps connected with $\delta \alpha \mu-\alpha \omega$ ；so in $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\boldsymbol{*}}$ píloь，
 －íver \％． $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ．ג．，so Theocr．XII．11，
 $\dot{\alpha} \circ \circ \delta \boldsymbol{\eta}$ ；cf．Theogn．251，and Shaksp．， All＇s Well ofc．I．I，＂Traduced by odious ballads＂；also with $\alpha o t \delta \dot{\eta}$ the use of fabula in Latin，Fabula quanta fui，Hor．

Epod．XI．8，Fabula fias，Hor．Ep．1．13．9． －グ $\sigma \iota$ see on 47 sup．－ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \varsigma$ ，any one connected by marriage，Lat．affinis．－
 this with $\boldsymbol{\pi \varepsilon v \vartheta \varepsilon \varrho o ̀ g ~ ( = ~ غ ́ x v \varrho o ́ s ) , ~ \varepsilon ́ x v \varrho \dot { \alpha } , ~}$ $\delta \alpha \dot{\eta} \rho, \gamma \alpha \lambda \omega$（Lat．glos）and the plur． عivó $\tau \varepsilon \varrho \varepsilon s$ are the grades of affinity included under $\pi \eta{ }_{\mathrm{S}}$ in H ．；see $\Gamma_{\text {．172 }}$ ， X．451，Z．344，378．－2ex $\alpha \varrho$. عid $\omega$ s； cf．$\varepsilon \mu \tilde{\omega} x \varepsilon \chi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon \vartheta v \mu \omega$ ，used to a comrade，$\delta .71$ and mar．－غ่лєі̀．．． $\chi \varepsilon \varrho \varepsilon i \omega v$ и．$\tau$ ．$\lambda_{0}$ ，these closing lines express the strong genius for friend－ ship which animates Alcin．No example of such an unselfish disposition is ma－ nifested by any whom Odys．had en－ countered；the friendship of all others who befriend him is somehow tarnished． Circê is cruel，Calypsô selfish，Aeolus is impatient and testy．Alcin．alone is the very jewel of friendship，and he occurs just at the right time．The poet no doubt had in view the rarity of disinteredness when he thus drew the characters which illustrate it．－oü $\tau \ell \boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{\tau} . \lambda$. ，the sentiment here，which gives an enhanced estimate of com－ radeship，suited to the íriendly animus


586. Fєı $\delta \tilde{\eta}$.
585. $\mu$ ย่ขข๐ะ K. M. $\gamma$ Vi. 56, 133.
586. $\gamma i v \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ mss. xiii ( $\gamma$ ) et Eu.
of the speaker, should be compared by way of contrast with a maxim in Hes.

 preferred $\chi \varepsilon \varrho \varepsilon i \omega$ ब $\mu \varepsilon i \nu \omega$ in the nom. sing. of such compar. adj. He probably followed a phonetic principle in this, the final $v$ being in pronunciation so weak as to die out, so
in Lat. stemsl in -on, as latro(n). عidñ, so Aristar.; but Tyrannio ع'ठ $\delta \eta$, Herodian. So Aristoph. Eidj̃s in $\eta$. 317 according to the Schol. there, which La Roche allows as probable, but thinks that the name should be Aristar.; as Herodian, on whom the schol. is founded, seldom names Aristoph.
$0 \Delta \Upsilon \Sigma \Sigma E I A \Sigma I$.

## SUMMARY OF BOOK IX.

The $34^{\text {th }}$ day is continued into night by the answer of Odyss. to the king's demand with which book VIII closes. After a brief prelude of compliment on the hospitable delights around him, he declares his name and country, and settles down to his tale, which, with a few interposed remarks in book XI, lasts to the end of book XII ( $1-28$ ).
"Calypso and Circê lured me, but in vain; my heart is set on home. I took "my chance of a raid on the Cicones, after quitting Troy: fortune, at first "favourable, went at last against us. We were beaten from the shore with loss "(29-61). We bewailed our dead and took our course. Foul weather tore our "sails and we ran for shore. After three days we again put forth; but in "rounding Malea, wind and current drove us out to sea (62-81). After nine "days of baffling winds we came to the Lotophagi. Those who ate of their "fruit straightway loathed their return, but I forced them on board and we "rowed away ( $82-103$ ).
"We next made the Cyclopes' island in the night, - savage monsters who "have no human habits; their lovely region is all wild. We hunted with suc"cess and feasted one day. The next, I took my own ship to explore the main"land, found a cavern and a monster Cyclops asleep there (104-192).
"I picked twelve of my crew, took a skin of wine, and visited the cave. "The Cyclops was abroad. My comrades urged flight, but I would not. We "lit a fire. He returned, milked his herds, discerned, and spoke to us. Fear"stricken, we told our tale and besought hospitality (193-271).
"He asked about our ship; I answered guilefully. He seized and ate two of "my comrades, then slept. I durst not slay him; for the huge stone with "which he had stopped the entry would have kept us prisoners. He re"peated the savage meal next day twice, morning and evening, while I planned "revenge. I gave him wine, he drank to excess and again slept, but first pro"mised in recompense to eat me last. I put out his one eye with a fiery stake "while he slept. He roared and woke, rousing the neighbouring Cyclopes, but "got small solace from them (272-412).
"By tying my comrades each under the midmost of three sheep, and twisting "myself under the biggest ram, we escaped, he having removed the stone "from the door. He spoke dolefully to the ram, but it could tell no tales. We "got on board, sheep and all (413-472). I taunted him from the ship: he "hurled a massive crag and nearly foundered us. I told him my real name: "he recognized it and cursed me in Poseidon's name (473-535), who heard "his prayer. Again narrowly escaping a crag he hurled, we got back to the "island, and sacrificed, vainly alas! and feasted all that day: the next we "sailed away ( $536-566$ )."

## 'Aдxivov $\alpha \pi$ ó $\lambda о \gamma о \iota . ~ K v x \lambda ю \pi \varepsilon i \alpha . ~$









a 9.382 mar.
b c. 370-1 mar.
c 9. 139.
d ef. v. 392.
e \%. $465, v .8$.
f $\delta .621$ mar.
g 233.
h $\alpha .145, \gamma .38^{\prime}, \varphi$. 141.
i $\lambda .419$; cf. $\varepsilon .93,0$. 333-4.








1-38. The night of the XXXIV ${ }^{\text {th }}$ day is continued. Odyss., addressing Alcin., in answer to his request $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$. 550 foll., states his name and country together with his subject or neighbouring islands, dwelling on the characteristics of Ithaca, and adds that Calypsô and Circê had vainly endeavoured to win his heart from his attachment to it.

3-4. See on tóбos, $\alpha .207$ and, as regards the character of the $\alpha 0$ odos, $\boldsymbol{\gamma} .268$ foll.; also, for the charm exercised by song, Eumæus' simile in e. 518

 $\delta \alpha \omega s$ к, $\tau$. $\lambda$.
5. "In my opinion no object ( $\tau \varepsilon ́ \lambda o s)$ is more delightful". In this brief prologue, dwelling on present festivity,
an apt foil to the following narrative of toil and suffering is found.

6-8. סั̈uov "̈́лavta, either "place" or "people" here would suit. The habitual temper and pursuits of the Phæacian people, as described Э. 246-9, were in harmony with the occasion, and a sympathy with the festivities within the palace might therefore be assumed to exist without. - éscinc, the word denotes that they were ranged, as in $\alpha$. 145, in succession next ( $\varepsilon \chi \boldsymbol{\chi}^{\prime}-$ $\mu \varepsilon \nu o l$ ) one to the other, each with his table before him ( $\pi \alpha^{\prime} \rho \alpha$ ), probably in a crescent line, with the king near its apex and the guest at his side;
 141-2 Antinoüs bids the suitors, 0 อ-



3 $\varrho, 412, \chi .21$.
b A．598，I．295， K． 579.
е $\sigma .346,418, \varphi$ ． 263, B． 128.
it $\gamma .40, v . \because 60$ ．
e $\Omega .197$.
f $\lambda .376, \xi .185$.
$\stackrel{\text { cf．A．}}{ } 445$.
h 2． 214.
i $\alpha .243, \pi .145$.
k ct．B．2®ı1．
1 Є． 353, O． 634.
m $\eta$ ． 242 mar．
n A． 570, P． 195.
－I． 235 ．
1）ゆ． 57.
Э． 525 mar．
A． 387.
J． 811 mar．
$505,531, \mu .378$ ， ＠． 152 ，т．262， 336.
$u$ ef．$\gamma, 122, \ell .422$ ， r． $292-3, \boldsymbol{\Psi} .709$ ．












10．Foıvoxóos．11．Feídet

9．$\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ A．M．Vr．Maximus Tyr．Diss．37．10．$\pi \alpha \varrho \varepsilon ́ \chi \eta \sigma \iota$ Athen．，$\pi \varrho \circ \chi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \eta \sigma \iota$ Maxim．Tyr．ub．sup．11．$\tau 0 \tilde{v} \tau 0 \tau i \mu \mu \iota$ Vi．iii $\gamma$ A．I，K．13．$\sigma \tau \varepsilon \nu \alpha \chi i ́ \xi \omega$ $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ A．G．I．M．Vr．Eu．Vi．133，Ro．Bas．$\sigma \tau 0 \nu \alpha \chi i \xi \omega$ A．suprascr．K．Vi．5， 50，56．h in mar．Fl．St．Ba．Er．Ox．，$\sigma \tau \varepsilon \nu \alpha \chi$ ．H．14．$\tau \boldsymbol{\iota}$ हैл $\pi \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha \gamma$ T．A． a man．1．I．M．Eu．Fl．Ro．Wo．et recentt．，$\tau i^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\prime \prime} \pi$ ．A．ex corr．G．Vr．，$\tau i \delta^{\prime} \varepsilon_{\varepsilon \prime \prime} \pi$ ． $\tau i$
K．Vi． $5_{6}$ ，et a man．re． 133 Ald．Lov．Ba．Ox．，$\tau \circ \iota \not \approx \xi$ H．I5．$\mu \alpha{ }_{c} \lambda \alpha$ Vi． 56
 $\varepsilon i \not \mu$ t Wo．et recentt．
svist，and accordingly Leiodes，who sat closest to the mixing－bowl（ $x \rho \eta$－ $\tau \grave{\varrho}$ ），first rose．Others who sat in succession next，following the order in which the wine was served（ ${ }^{2} \pi \iota$－ $\delta \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon}(\alpha)$ ，would rise after him．The $x \varrho \eta$－ $\tau \grave{\varrho}$ ，or a principal x＠ŋचウ̀，therefore was placed near one extremity，the left，of the crescent line，and thence the olvoxóos proceeded in order（ $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \varepsilon i \eta$ ） towards the right．We read of re $\eta$－ $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varrho \alpha$ s，plur．，as mixed ready for use； but probably one only was used at once，and each in turn；so here éx иœクгท̃＠os ．．．oivoxóos（both sing．）．

12－13．The Virgilian lines，Sed si tantus amor casus cognoscere nostros and Infandum regina jubes renovare dolorem， Aen．II． 10 and 3，are plainly modelled from these，as of course is the whole arrangement by which the Aeneid em－ bodies the narrative of the sack of Troy etc．in Aen．II．and III．－$\sigma \boldsymbol{\tau} \varepsilon=$
$\boldsymbol{v a x i} \omega$ ，the forms are sometimes as if from $\sigma \tau o \nu \alpha \chi \varepsilon ́ \omega$ or $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \nu \alpha \chi \varepsilon ́ \omega$ ，but more commonly as here．

14．The reading $\tau i \delta^{\prime} \quad \xi \pi \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha$ ；as breaking the line into three distinct but connected questions，is worth notice；but the text has preponderance of authority；see the mid．mar．：ef． Theocr．XVII．1r，$\tau i \quad \pi \varrho \tilde{\tau} \tau \boldsymbol{\nu} x \alpha \tau \alpha-$ $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \xi \omega$ ；

16－21．övouc，since Alcin．ๆ． $55^{\circ}$ had enquired it；for the rules of heroic hospitality in this respect，see on $\alpha$ ．
 examples of tmesis are not rare，e．$g$ ． П． 805 ไv่งยv $\delta^{\prime}$ vini $\gamma v i \alpha, ~ O ., 700$
 л＠ove $\delta$ ．$\nu .$, of the words of Dio－ medes to Glaucus，Z．${ }^{224-5} \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \tilde{v} v$


 words of Pallas to him in $\nu, 296-9$ ．









b ©．192，B． 153 ©．509，T．362． c $\beta^{\circ}$ ． 16 it mar．
d B． $13: 2, v .351$ cf． 0.207.
е $9.176,390,421$. f \％．196，$\% .194, \mu$ $101, N .683$.
${ }^{\circ} \eta .244$.
h $\mu .81, v .241, M$ 240.
v． 210 ，M． 239 cf．\％． 190.
k $\% .417$ ；ef．$\delta .1305$ $-8$.
1 к．59，ク． 196.
m 34．

28． $\mathcal{F} \tilde{\eta}_{S} \mathcal{F} \iota \delta \varepsilon ́ \sigma \vartheta \sigma \iota$ ．



 Ro．28．$\gamma \lambda v x \dot{v} \tau \varepsilon \varrho 0 \nu \mathrm{Vi}$ ． $50,5 \mathrm{~F}, 56 \mathrm{I}$ ．et v．1．A．
－$\mu \dot{̇} \lambda \omega$ ж．$\tau . \lambda .$, ＂am esteemed by all men＂．This verb in Hom．occurs elsewhere in $3^{\text {d }}$ pers．only，sing．or plur．，of all tenses，as $\mu \tilde{v} \vartheta$ os $\delta^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha} \nu \nu$－


 have an active use of the part．perf．
 differing，however，from this which is pass．The only similar passage to the present is $\mu$ ．7०＇$A \varrho \gamma \omega \bar{\alpha} \pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \mu \varepsilon \lambda 0 \tilde{v} \sigma \alpha$ ． His toils and wanderings had attracted the attention of all，even like the voy－ age of the Argô；cf．also（Ni．）Theogn．



 ovouvòv ï．，La Roche Textkr．p． 289 thinks this has sense of $\eta^{\prime \prime}$ иш，＂is come＂， but＂reaches＂would suffice．inn is far more frequent than $\eta_{\eta}^{\prime \prime} x \omega$ in Hom． and is no doubt the older．Eust．says $\eta_{n} x \omega$ is found where a pathetic force is conveyed．Pindar has i＇x always． Cf．for the meaning Virg．Aen．II．382， Sum pius Aeneas fama super aethera no－
 zvv $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \eta \chi$ vovi．He had already，while unknown，been the chief subject of two lays，Э．75， 502 foll．，to which the present company had listened． They were thus prepared to be in－ terested in his fuller narrative；and those same lays had proved the sta－
tement here，$x \lambda \varepsilon$ ह́os $x . \tau . \lambda$ ．，to be no empty boast．－ $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon v} \delta \varepsilon i \varepsilon \lambda o v$ ，see App． A． 17 （3）．－Nn̄㔾ıтov，Crates read N $\quad$＇ov for this；cf．$\alpha$ ．186，$\gamma .81$ ．So Eustath．here says，$\delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{o} N \eta$ そ́ৎ८тov
 it an island，Neritus ardua saxis，Aen． II．27I．On this and other points of Ithacan topography see App．G．3，the name occurs in the Catalogue（mar．）it appears in Hes．Opp． 511 as epith．of v゙え $\eta$ ，with sense of $\nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \varrho \vartheta \vartheta \mu \mathrm{o}$ ，countless；
 is epith．of wind in Simon．ap．Bergk． ris3．－$\Delta$ oviixiov．In B． 625 foll． this island with the Echinace，in later Greek Echinades，are made a separate armament under the command of Meges son of Phyleus，who $\Delta$ ov $\lambda i x$ ıov $\delta^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha}{ }^{3} \pi$－ $\varepsilon \nu \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha \tau 0, \pi \alpha \tau \rho i \quad \chi 0 \lambda \omega \vartheta \varepsilon i \underline{s}$ ．See on this point App．D．7，near end．

24．$\Sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta$ ，see on $\alpha, 246$ and App． G．3．－$\dot{v} \lambda \dot{\eta} \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \boldsymbol{Z} \boldsymbol{Z} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v \nu V o s}$ ，the nemorosa Zacynthus of Virg．Aen．III． 270．For the gender of the adjective see App．A： 12.
25－6． $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ z． $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ．2．，see App．G． 3 for the interpretation of these lines．

 Theocr．XVIII． $50-1, \varepsilon$ 敃 $\nu \eta \delta^{3} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha}$
 Kৎovidそs xov＠ot．，Theogon．450，also
 and oix $о \varphi \varepsilon \lambda i \eta \nu \eta \eta \tau \tau \varrho \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \iota \alpha \gamma \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ $\tau \varepsilon ่ x \nu \alpha$, §． 223 ．－ท่s，＂one＇s own＂，
a $\alpha, 14-5$ mar．
b $x .308$ seqq．
© I． 465, ， .545.
d $\mu$ ． 268,273 ；cf． ж． 135.
e $\alpha .15$ mar．
f $\eta .258$ mar．
g a． 170, 九． $36, T$ ． $140, \Omega .211$.
he． 80 ，ס． 811 mar．
i cf．$\zeta .218$ mar．
k i9．211，T．324．
1 X．50s．
m к．271，廿．3．）
п 1.839 ；ci．$\beta .137$.
0 E．4 4.4.
p $\delta .488$.
4 cf． $300, \varepsilon .111$ mar．，
\％． 277.
r B． 8.16, P． 73.












34．Fท̃s．35．Foĩxov．39．Fitıóv่ยv．
30．om． $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ Vi．5，50， 56 A．K．M．St．Vr． $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ Eu．Fl．，habent $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ Vi． 133 I．H．in


 36．vaín Eu．Vi．50．37．हृvíw v A．Vr．38．Tৎoiŋ甲iv Tzet．
and thus not necessarily possessive of the $1^{\text {st }}$ pers．；see on $\alpha .402$ ；so below 34．There are，however，more examples than are there noticed of the apparent use of ös for possess．of $2^{\text {nd }}$ pers．Thus Apollon．Soph．gives oícul for $\sigma o i \sigma \iota \nu$ in $\delta .597$ ，and the Vi． ${ }_{56} \boldsymbol{\eta} v$ for $\sigma \dot{\eta} v$ in $\varepsilon$ ． 168 ，also in $\zeta$ ． 180 （Aug．），vi 362 （Vi．133），๓． 357 （Vi．5）， $\varphi \varrho \varepsilon \sigma i \nu \quad \eta \quad \sigma \iota \nu$ is read for $\varphi \varrho \varepsilon \sigma \iota \sigma \tilde{\eta} \sigma \iota \nu$ （Bekk．Hom．Bl．p．77），oîs for $\sigma 0$ ĨS $^{\prime}$ by Vr．in গ．242，and in $\alpha .402$ oliouv for $\sigma 0$ öбıv by nine mss．and Eustath．（La Roche ad loc．）．Yet these examples are all，save one，those of a single case，the dat．，which perhaps is due to some ca－ price of idiom，of which now no account can be given．But again，it is possible that the $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ pers．may have had once a common possess．form，as they retained common forms in some dual verb parts，and in the dual of the pronouns，$o v{ }^{\boldsymbol{v}}$ and $\sigma \dot{v}$ ．

31－2．$\alpha \dot{v} \boldsymbol{\tau} \omega$ ，not，as in $\delta .665$, （where see note）＂merely＂but reinforc－ ing ${ }^{\circ}$ ．Cramer thinks it ought to be $\alpha \hat{v} \tau \omega \mathrm{~s}$ in this sense and $\alpha \hat{v} \tau \omega_{s}$ when $=$ $\mu \alpha ́ \tau \eta v$, Epim．5．11，and so the Etym． Gud．94．47．（La Roche Textk．p． 210－1．）－Aicin，here adject．，but the prop．name of the island of Circe is of the same form；cf．mar．

34－6．These verses，or rather 33－5 by mistake for them，are marked as suspicious in Ambr．2；35－6 seem to deserve the obelus but 34 may be al－ lowed，as merely coming back to the key－note of 28 ．For this mention of ＂parents＂，omitting wife，see App．E． I（9），－vaicı implies settlement or fixed habitation，such as Menelaüs proposes in $x \alpha i$＇$x \varepsilon$ of＂$A \varrho \gamma \varepsilon i$ v $\nu \dot{\sigma} \sigma \alpha$ тólıv $x . \tau . \lambda ., \delta .174-5$ ，not such temporary entertainment as Odys．was now receiving；cf．also the offer of Alcin．in $\eta 314-5$ ．

37．$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\delta}^{\prime} \not \mathscr{\omega} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \varepsilon$ ，Ni．has a note here rejecting the ancient account of this expression by ellipsis，as if $\varepsilon i$ ßov́ $\lambda \varepsilon \iota$ ． But I． 46 हí $\delta \varepsilon$ रे xai $\alpha v \tau o i$ ，and 1． 262. to both which he refers，rather con－
 clearly to be required in the former，and及ovidzı $\alpha$ кovév in the latter passage．

39－60．The foray on the Ciconians is narrated with its first success and disastrous result．

39．Kızóveбби．These are reckoned in the Catalogue（mar．）as allies of the Trojans；they are thus hostile to Odys． In P． 73 their leader is named Mentes； but in B． 846 Euphemus．Their territory lay in Thrace on the banks of the Hebrus and near Thasos．Maronia，a
 tov́s．









 $\eta^{3} \lambda \vartheta 0 \nu$ ह̈л

a 198.
b $\sum .454$, M． 15.
e $\boldsymbol{N}, 626, \xi, 245$ $264, \gamma, 154$ ．
d 549.
e A． 705
f M． $423, v .282$.
g．छ．259，＠． 428.
h ऽ． 201.
i $\% .263, \varrho .55$.
k I． 469 ；cf．$\psi .305$
m I． $466-7, \alpha .92$ mar．
n $\psi .310, \boldsymbol{B} .846$ ， P． 73.
о $\mu .370$ ；cf．$\varepsilon .400$ mar．
p ס．16，є． 489.
4 cf．ऽ．182，兀． 184.
§． 97,100 ．
S N．223，O．282， II． 243 ，
t 2． 58,159, ．．231， A． 721 ．
и B． 468.
v A．497， $\boldsymbol{\Gamma} .7$ ．
w I．608，P． 321 ； ef．$\lambda .61$.

$$
\text { 42. } \ddot{\omega}_{S} \text { ov̋ } \tau \iota \varsigma ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ x i \varepsilon ~ F i ́ \sigma \eta s . ~ 46 . ~ \mathcal{~} \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \iota x \alpha s .
$$






city of the historical period，marks its site．The Ismarus of 40,198 is in－ tended for its capital city，where Odys．spared the family of Maron its king，whose name Maronia embodies． Herodotus speaks of a sea called ＂Iб $\mu \alpha \rho \iota s$（VII．59，108－10）．It appears that（ $\gamma$ ．159）the Greek forces，united as far as Tenedos only，were thence－ forward dispersed．But Tenedos is so close to Troy that＇İcóधعv may stand for the point of departure，as in a wide sense including it．The＂wind＂ would be fr．a little S．of E．
$40-3$ ．Such an expedition is de－ scribed by the disguised Odys．to Eu－ mæus，§． 262 foll．，where the imag－ inary incidents are similar to these here．So Nestor speaks of the Greeks during the war as $\pi \lambda \alpha \zeta \dot{\jmath} \mu \varepsilon v o \iota ~ x \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$
 But that Odys．should seek one at once on his way after the ten years＇ siege shows the adventurous character of his mind；see App．E． 1 （8）．Line 42 should probably be $\omega_{s}$ ov $\tau \iota$ s $\mu \circ \iota$


[^12]mean＂so that＂．－ $\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\operatorname { c o g }} \tilde{0}$ ，see on $\xi$ ． 201．Lehrs de St．Arist．§ 57 foll．， adopts the latter meaning given there， that of Voss，taking the word from díw， $\delta i \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$ ，to fly or chase；so here，＂with fugitive speed＂，in $\xi$ ．zoI $\delta \iota \varepsilon \rho o ̀ s$ ßootog＂a man to be fled from＂，with a colon following，would be the sense． －$\dot{\eta} \nu \omega \boldsymbol{\partial} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ，see on $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} .449$.
45－50．The sustained imperf．，as of an action passing in prolonged re－ view，is noticeable here．－sidiлo－ dag，see on Э．60．－oixómevot， ＂who were gone＂，i．e．＂fled＂．－$\gamma \varepsilon$ ， $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v}$ ，an imperf．as if fr．a se－ condary verb $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \omega \nu \varepsilon ́ \omega$ from $\gamma \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega v \propto$ ； ย̇ขรүต́vยı，$\varphi .368$ ，might be plup．with simply past force fr．$\gamma \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega v \alpha$ ，or，as here，imperfect．$\gamma \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu \alpha$ itself has past meaning in ๆ． 305 ；see on $\varepsilon$ ．400．－ $\boldsymbol{\pi \varepsilon \zeta \grave { o } \nu}$ ச́Óvta，taken distributively， of any among the whole number，and therefore in effect equal to plur．The constrn．should have been $\pi \varepsilon \xi$ os $\varepsilon \omega v$ ， to suit $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu$ ．，but is intercepted by $\chi \varrho \eta$ ．
 6
a $\omega .169$, X． 481.
b ${ }^{2} .343$ mar．
c $2.533-4$ ．
（1）M． 175.
e I．（3：32，A． 111.
f $\eta$ ． 31 mar．
※2．10，Y． 25.
П $\Theta$ ．（66，1． 81 ．
i $1.34 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~K}, 231$.
к $N$ ． 734 ．
1 II．779－80．
m cf． Is．$^{s} .76$.
п К． 210.
－ア． 402 mar．
$\mathrm{p} 2.409, \pi .421$ ， v． 241.
q $105,565-6, ~ \varkappa$ ． 77，133－4．
－$x .313, v .286,0$ ． 481.











60．$\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon$ f $\mathcal{\varepsilon \alpha \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma . ~}$
53．$\pi \dot{\alpha} \vartheta \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu \beta \gamma$ I．K．Stu．Vi． $50,56,133$ Eu．Fl．Ro．edd．vett．，$\pi \dot{\alpha} \vartheta \neq \not \mu \varepsilon v$


 （qui diphthong．auctor．vett．defendit），$-v i \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \tau o$ Vi． $50,-v_{i \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \tau o}^{\varepsilon l}$ Vi．133，$-v i$－
 $\nu \alpha \nu$ Vi．omn．A．I．K．G．$\gamma$, vid．La Roche Textkr．p． $316-7$ ；$\delta \alpha \mu \alpha \sigma o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ Ald．．（2）（3），－$\sigma \alpha v \tau \varepsilon$ ，K．Eu．et cum var．1．ódécovves Vi．133；cf． 63 inf． 60.


as 56 shows，the battle lasted from morning till evening．－$\beta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \boldsymbol{o v}$ in－ cludes necessarily both parties．－ $\beta o v \lambda v \tau o ́ v \delta \varepsilon$ ，Ni．cites Aratus Diosem．

 over，whence Hor．Odes III．vii．4i－4， Sol ubi ．．．juga demeret bobus fatigatis， amicum tempus agens abeunte curru．Ni． cites Cie．ad Attic．XV． $27, \beta$ ov $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ v́－ $\sigma \varepsilon \iota$ nobis coenantibus，and Ạristoph．Av．
 Hes．Opp． $5^{80-1}$ ，of $\eta^{\circ} \omega_{5}$ ，лоддої $\sigma$
 sibly the sun＇s own chariot and team， and their unyoking in the West，may be intended（Ni．）．－$\check{c} \xi$, ，a spec－ imen of Homer＇s love of symmetry appears in the equal loss assigned to each ship．Ni．thinks it the result of a re－division of the total of the crews， thus adjusting the losses of each． This may be so，but is quite unneces－ sary．It may be added that the Cy － clops devours six in all，and so Scylla．

62－8r．On board we paid the last honours to our lost comrades，when a storm coming on from the North compelled us to land and wait two days and nights；then on the third day the wind and current drove us out of our course in rounding Malea．

62－3． $\boldsymbol{\pi} \lambda$ ćousv is imperf．，＂we were taking our course＂．－éxexйルとvot，
 $\alpha^{3} \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu v o s$, this perf．pass．part．is proparox．，as if a pres．，so $\varepsilon \lambda \lambda \eta \alpha^{\prime} \mu \varepsilon v o s$, though Herodian（Etym．Mag．46，4）took it for an actual pres．fr．$\varepsilon \lambda \eta \eta \eta \mu \mu \iota$ ，but wrongly：so the infin．ब́ $\alpha \chi \eta \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota \propto x \eta^{-}$ $\chi \varepsilon \mu \alpha \iota \alpha x \eta \chi \varepsilon \dot{\mu} \varepsilon \nu \frac{s}{}$ are also variations on this perf．form，to them belongs

 $\dot{\alpha} \chi \varepsilon v \omega$ are related forms of pres．，of which a part．$\alpha \chi \varepsilon ́ \omega v$ ，as if fr．« $\chi \varepsilon ́ \omega$ ， also accurs．The contrast between this feeling and that of थ゙б $\mu \varepsilon v o \iota$ हैx $\vartheta \alpha \nu \alpha-$ zoוo，well illustrates the struggle of











63．$\delta \alpha \mu \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ K．et cum var．1．óléб．Vi． 133.
 иќбьк $\gamma$ ．

64．vées ท̉iov Vi．50．66．ช่ ชo－ 68．ทยбл\＆бし G．
sympathies caused by a narrow escape； and is the more forcible through the simple apposition of the words with－ out any particle to connect them．See App．E． 1 （12）．

64－6．ov́ $\boldsymbol{\prime}^{\prime}$ ö＠ce x．$\tau$ ．ג．，it seems clear that the shore was on the point of being quitted and the start made， before the ceremony of 65 was per－ formed．It looks like an afterthought， thus to invoke the dead．The Greeks had been beaten from the shore，and the embarcation had been too hurried for them to take thought for any thing but personal safety．As they are quitting it the melancholy sense of bereavement seizes them and they per－ form on the sea what should probably have been performed at the tomb． This seems more natural than Eusta－ thius＇account of the matter，ó גózos $\pi \rho \omega v v \sigma \tau \varepsilon ́ \rho \omega \varrho$ иєĩ $\alpha \iota \pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \tilde{\omega} \pi 0 \iota \eta \tau \tilde{\eta}$ ． The ov $\delta^{\prime}$＂$\propto \alpha$ implies that they halted in the moment of starting：－＂onward we were sailing ．．．．but yet the ships did not further proceed before，etc．＂
 ship for rolling either way，owing to her quasi－circular transverse section， is probably meant by this epith．， found only once（ $\mu .368$ ）in sing．，and always fem．as epith．of ships．$\alpha \mu$－ p८غ́ג८६ may be conceived as the masc．



and Virg．Aen．VI．506，et magna manes ter voce vocavi．Some such custom also， as shown in the phrase conclamare mor－ tuos，descended to the Romans from their common stock with the Greeks．The Schol．Ambros．and Eustath．take it as though the cry was merely to give any stragglers who might survive，a chance of hearing and escaping；but the epith．$\delta \varepsilon \iota \lambda \dot{\omega} \nu$ ，and the definite statements of 61 and 63 sufficiently refute this，though Ni．quotes it with approval；comp．Achilles，$\psi v \chi \dot{\eta} \nu$ иו－
 Ni．remarks，correctly that $\tau \iota v \alpha$ is subject of $\alpha^{\dot{v}} \tilde{\sigma} \alpha \iota$ ，not object with

 We may illustrate $\tau$ ¢is from our op－ posite national custom of three cheers for joy，victory，etc．
 set in from the sky＂，a metaphorical expression for the darkness of the storm－cloud，actual night setting in rather from the horizon．－غंлькé口－ бiche，＂head－foremost＂（ $\varepsilon \pi \lambda l-x \propto ́ \rho$ II． 392）．Herod．VII．36，$\tau 0 \tilde{v}$ Mèv Móv－
 иo兀ć＠óov，where＂oblique＂or＂awry＂ seems the sense intended；so है $\gamma x$ व́ $\rho-$ 6וov reixos in Thucyd．VI．99．－ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \iota \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varkappa} . \boldsymbol{\tau}$ ．ג．，used also of Mene－ laus＇sword broken on Paris＇helmet （mar．）；the cracking and rending of the material is meant to be expressed by the sound of the words．
a ef．$\mu .171$ ．
b ૬． $347, \boldsymbol{I} .85$ ．
c 3.279.
d $x .403,423$.
e $\varepsilon .388$ mar．
1 M． 25.
\＆\％$x .379$ ；cf．$\Omega .129$ ， Z． 202.
h ع． 390.
i $\mu .402,409, \lambda$ ． 480.
k ס． 783.
1 र． $10, \mu .152,5.256$ ．
$\mathrm{m} \mathrm{ct} . \varepsilon .16{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$ ．
n cl．$\lambda .639, \mu .1$.
o $\gamma .287, \delta .514, \tau$ ． 187.
p $\quad$ ， 276.
q O．432， 438.
г ท． 253 mar．
s $\mu .425, \xi, 313$.
t e． 420.
ч ท． 196.












 $\mu \varepsilon \nu$ Aristar．，m．et Eu．，－$£ \sigma \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu$ Vi．133，－$勹 \dot{\sigma} \alpha \mu \mu \nu \nu \gamma$ Vi．5．Stu．Eu．， $-\varrho v ́ \sigma \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ A．H．I．K．M．Vr．Vi． 56 Fl．Ro．74．$\tau$＇om． $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ，$\delta$＇Vi．${ }^{56}$ ， 133 et a man．1．Vi．5；$\sigma v \nu \nu \varepsilon \chi$ と̀s nonnulli，Eu．，sic G；$\alpha$ ľ̀ेv Vi．133．77．iбт $\alpha$－ $\mu \varepsilon \nu 0 \iota$ I．78．$\tau \varepsilon$ om．H．80．$\pi \varepsilon \varrho \iota \gamma \nu \alpha \pi \tau 0 \nu \tau \alpha \gamma$ I．Stu．Vi．50，56， 33 Ro．，$\pi \varepsilon \varrho i \quad \gamma \nu \alpha \pi$ ．Eu．；mox $\pi \alpha \varrho \varepsilon ́ \pi \lambda \alpha \xi \varepsilon v \propto \beta \gamma$ K．M．，－$\pi \lambda \alpha \xi \varepsilon$ A．Vi．（a man．І）
 $-\varepsilon v \tau \alpha \alpha v \tau \propto \varrho \gamma,-\varepsilon v \tau^{\prime} \alpha v \tau \alpha \varrho \mathrm{mss}$ ．rell．et edd．

ク3－81．モ̇ббv $\mu \dot{v} \boldsymbol{v} \omega$ g，adv．formed from the perf．p．हैं $\sigma \sigma v \mu \alpha \iota$ ，like हैं．
 $\sigma v \mu \alpha \iota$ had lost its past sense，as this adverb，formed fr ．it，and the accent

 comes from the same perf．，$\omega .465$ ． Eschyl．Eumen． 1007 ，924，has also $\sigma \dot{v}$－ $\mu \varepsilon \nu o s$ ह̇лíббvtos．$\sigma \varepsilon \dot{v} \omega$ resembles $\chi \varepsilon ́ \omega$ （ $\chi \dot{\varepsilon}, f \omega$ ）in many of its forms．－$\pi \varrho o-$ $\varepsilon \varrho \varepsilon ́ \sigma \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu$ ，so Aristar．for $\pi \varrho о \varepsilon \varrho v \dot{\sigma} \sigma \alpha-$ $\mu \varepsilon v$ ，so $\nu .279,0.497, A .435$ ．The ship being at a distance from land was propelled to it by oars；${ }^{\prime \prime} \rho v \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu$ is used when，having touched land，she is drawn up on the shore，or again， launched from the shore seawards．La Roche Textk．p．347．－ $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \bar{v} \boldsymbol{v} \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon ̀$ è，on this lengthening by arsis see Spitzner de vers．her．，pp．74， 77.

76－8ı．iovov̀s ．$_{0}$ ，t．ג．，see App．
 refers this to бxá\}由 "to limp", бx $\alpha-$ $\xi \omega v$ ėx $\pi о \lambda \varepsilon ́ \mu o v, ~ \Lambda . ~ 8 I I, ~ b u t ~ i t ~ s e e m s ~$ more likely to belong to some root not otherwise represented in Greek，but in Engl．by scathe $=$ hurt．- Mćá $2 \varepsilon \iota \alpha \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ，in $\gamma \cdot 287$ ，where see note，the
plur．form is found．Ni．cites Strabo VIII．218，ov่x $\varepsilon \ddot{v} \pi \lambda o v s-x \alpha i \mu \alpha \alpha_{-}$ $\lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \dot{o}$ vлè＠$\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu, M \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\omega} \nu \delta \iota \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} S$

 $\tau \tilde{\nu} \nu$ oíx $\alpha \delta \varepsilon "$ ．－£óos probably a ＂current＂from the W．－$\pi \alpha \varrho \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \lambda$. ＂drove us past＂，and therefore from Cythera，hence the gen．Their object probably was to pass between Cythera and Malea．Herod．IV．179，speaks of the Argonauts as similarly baffled by a N．wind round Malea，x $\alpha i \quad \mu \iota \nu$

 $\pi \varrho \dot{\varrho} \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \Lambda \iota \bar{\nu} \eta \nu$ ．

82－102．The visit to the Lotophagi， to whom I was driven by stress of weather．The two men whom I sent with a herald to explore the country， partook of the Lotus and at once lost their wish to return home．I hurried them on board by main force and left the country．

82．દ̇vvทันce＠，see on $\eta$ ．253－8．－
 not，probably，in one continuous di－ rection．－xóvtov，see App．B．（4）．










a ४. 311.
b 2. 123, $\psi .270$.
c $\boldsymbol{x} .56$.
d e 399
e ס. 359.
f e. 176, B. 399, ©. 53.
gr $\eta .34$ mar.
h $\quad$. $58,384, T$. 160 ; cf. ס. 788.
i $\ell .100-2, \mu .9$ k $\quad$. 152.
$1 \gamma .70, \delta .138$, e. 174, $\pi$. 236.

## m 9. 222 mar.

n cf. こ. 117.

- 96. 

p $\gamma$. 194, 249, x. 115, $\xi .300, \omega .96$.
q 5.311.
r 78 mar.; cf. $\boldsymbol{A}$. 464.
88. દ́ $\gamma \dot{\omega}$ Vi. 50; $\pi \varrho 0$ ïnv $\gamma$ Vi. 56 I. K. Stu. H. corr. sic Eu. et edd. vett., $\pi \varrho o / ̈ \varepsilon \iota \nu$ V. H. a man. 1. sic Wo. et recentt.; $\pi \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \propto \iota$ I. M. Vi. 5, 56. $89-90$ transp. H. $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ Eu. A. I. K. M. Stu. Vr. Vi. 5 edd. præt. Bek. Dind.


84. Аштофศу $\omega \boldsymbol{v}$. The denomination of a people otherwise unknown from some striking fact of their diet or habits is common ; so we have in Herod. III. 19, Ichthyophagi, IV. 106, Androphagi; see Strabo XVII. 390-4 where Rhizophagi, Creophagi, Elephantophagi, Struthophagi, Chelonophagi occur (Ni.). So Shakespeare's "Anthropophagi". Herod. IV. 177 - 8 has a mention of two Libyan people who more or less used the Lotus-tree for food and wine. Cf. also Strabo XVII. p. 1191, Athen. XIV. 18, Scylax p. 113 , Polyb. Fragm. 4 lib. XII. These authorities, so far as they are distinct, tend to confirm the view that the African coast near the Syrtis, or some island near it (Mĩ $\nu \succ \mathcal{\xi}$, Eustath., Strabo, Steph. Byz.), was in the poet's mind. Eustath. says the ancient commentators call it the fruit of a tree like a medlar $(? \mu \varepsilon \sigma \pi i \lambda \eta)$. The Schol. Q. says that the Egyptians still dry a plant ( $\beta$ otóv $\eta \nu$ ), grind, and cook it for food. This latter is the well-known lotus or lily of the Nile, Herod. II. 92, the pith of which was so prepared. The poet had probably some knowledge of Egyptian customs and especially of this flower, so commonly figured on the monuments as to be almost emblematic of Egypt, and had this in his mind in the phrase
«้ข ひ ८vov عĩ $\delta \alpha$. On the other hand the phrase $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \iota \eta \delta \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \alpha \quad x \propto \pi \dot{o} \nu \quad$ (94) ( $\uparrow \ldots \tau o \tilde{v} \boldsymbol{\varkappa} \propto \rho \pi \dot{\partial} \nu$ Herod.) rather suits the tree of the Libyan people, which is said by Herod. to be like the fruit of the $\sigma$ xivos, "mastick-tree", in size, and like the date of the palm in flavour. It is very probable that the poet may have confounded the two. The $\lambda \omega \tau \boldsymbol{s}$ of $\delta .603$, where see note, is distinct from both.
 $\alpha \varphi v \sigma \sigma \omega$, fut. - $\xi \omega, A$. 171 , but aor. always with $\sigma$ or $\sigma \sigma$, is perhaps a modified form of $\lambda \alpha \varphi v{ }^{\prime} \sigma \omega$, so $\lambda \varepsilon i \beta \omega$ $\varepsilon i^{i} \beta \omega$. - $\mathbf{v} \delta \omega \varrho$, probably to replenish the stock on board; ef. ع. 266 , ס. 359 .

89-90 these lines are transposed by some; see mid. marg. The uncertainty may perhaps be accounted for by $9 \circ$ having been inserted later. - غ่лì...

 Z. ${ }_{142}, N .322 .-\tau$ Qícet,ov, cf. $\delta \varepsilon v$ $\tau \alpha \tau o g, \alpha$ 286. - xń@v $\chi$, see on $\alpha$. 109. If the verse be spurious here, it may still be genuine in $x, 102$, where the narrative sustains the number three, x. in6-7. The sanctity of the office of the $x \tilde{\eta} \varrho v \xi$ in Greek eyes is probably implied.
a 5.568.
b 91 .
c B. 776 ; cf. 7.553 ,
E. 196.
(i) 102 .
c I. $429, \sigma .76$; cl.乃. 110.
f $\gamma, 287, \delta, 513$.

- App.F.1(17) ман.
h 193.
i In Oclyss. sexdecies $\boldsymbol{\Gamma} .378, *$. 332.
k v. $115,0.60$.
1 §. 708, Э. 501.
m ©. 197.
1 97.
- $179,471,563, \lambda$. 638, щ. 146, $о$.
5.49.

1) $\delta .579-80$ mar. q 62 mar.
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 $\mu \varepsilon \tau$,


 $\gamma$ et s sup. H. et mss. ix Eu. Ro. Bas. Ox., $\pi \omega$ vulg. et edd. ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{103}$. ${ }_{\xi}^{\prime \prime} \mu$ -
 $\pi \varrho о \tau \varepsilon \varrho \varrho$ А.

94-9. ö́stıc ... póyo九, for this use of the optat. mood with imperf. in princ. clause see App. A. 9 (20). ra@ $\boldsymbol{\partial} \boldsymbol{y} v$, see above on 84. For the consequences of thus eating, ef. that ascribed to the $\nu \eta \pi \varepsilon v \vartheta \varepsilon \varepsilon_{s}$ drug, $\delta .222$

 $\delta \alpha x \rho v \pi \alpha \varrho \varepsilon \iota \omega \nu \quad$. $\tau$. $\lambda$., where the idea is that of a similar restraint put upon natural affections. Among the Hindoo Fairy Legends by Miss Frere, is one of the Nautch people, or conjurers, who use a certain powder. A certain rajah on whom it is thrown "forgot about his wife and little child, his journey, and all that had ever happened to him in his life before". So Virgil, Aen. VI. 715 , of the river Lethê, Securas latices et longa oblivia potant. Mr. Tennyson's "Lotus-eaters" will suggest itself without being quoted
 Eustath. erroneously to है $\rho \alpha$ "the earth", as if "browsing", properly of cattle. The word is indeed ordinarily used of
animals, and perhaps here suggests the loss of the nobler human faculties under the intoxication of the lotus. $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega} \nu$, the var. l. $\alpha^{\prime \prime} \gamma \omega \nu$ is worth notice.
 as also for rinior mox inf.

102-15. Having hurried on board my recusant comrades, we resumed our voyage and reached the land of the Cyclopes, whose half-human condition is described.
 well in order smite The sounding farrows", Mr. Tennyson's "Ulysses". $\pi \varrho о \tau \varepsilon \varrho \omega$, of the direction nothing is stated. It may be presumed that a westward and northward course lay in the poet's mind, since in $x .25-9$ Eolus dispatches Odys. homewards with a $\check{\varepsilon} \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi v \rho o s$, which is always somewhere between W. and N., and in ten days he sights Ithaca. Thus the Cyclopes' island and mainland may be anywhere about Iapygia or Sicily. -
 sup.






111. Foĩvov.
 $\chi \varepsilon \varrho \sigma i \quad$. Vi. 50 K. M. Eu. по. $\pi v \varrho \varrho о \iota$ G. Vi. 5 H. (ৎ sup. add.); $x \propto i \nless \mu$ $\pi \varepsilon \lambda \circ \iota \mathrm{I}$.
106. Kvждш́x $\omega v$, see on $\xi .4-5$. Aristarchus' view was that the Cycl. were $\delta i x \alpha \iota o \iota$, only Polyphemus $\alpha \vartheta \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \iota-$ oros, but this certainly does not accord with the picture given of them, nor the broad generality of the epithets here. The words 114 , $\boldsymbol{v} \varepsilon \mu \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \varepsilon \iota ~ \delta \grave{\varepsilon}$ हैx $\kappa \sigma \tau 0 \varsigma \pi \alpha \iota \delta \tilde{\omega} \nu x . \tau . \lambda .$, merely mean, "lays down the law" with arbitrary self-will. The absence of any community among them and of any agriculture, the $\varepsilon^{\prime \prime} e \gamma \alpha$ of man, marks their type as only semi-human. They abuse the bounties of the "golden age" (Virg. Georg. I. 127-8). They rely, but not consciously (cf. 275, 411 inf.), only with a blind animal instinct on Divine Providence, since $\Delta i o s$ oै $\mu \beta \rho_{0} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \dot{\xi} \xi \varepsilon$, inf. 358. To complete the picture they do not hunt, are Troglodytes, and have no ships. With their low moral and intellectual state, their huge physical size and the wonderful exuberance of nature around them, which they know not how to improve, is contrasted. - viseopuciz $\omega \boldsymbol{v}, \mathrm{Mr} . \mathrm{Pa}-$ ley on $\Gamma$. ro6, would derive this from $i \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ (supposed $\varphi$ for $\mathcal{F}$ lost, Fı́́ $\lambda \lambda \omega)$. I hardly think there is sufficient ground for imputing a $\mathcal{F}$ to $i \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$, see on $\vartheta .473$ -5. Curtius, II. 128, regards $l$ - as a mere reduplication syllable. He connects it with a Sansk. verb meaning "lift, move", and quotes $\dot{\alpha} \pi-\alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota$ s $=$ $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \pi \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$, Bekk. Anecd. p. 414. He also (II. 29I) confirms the view of Buttm. Lexil. 102, who derives $\boldsymbol{v} \pi \varepsilon \rho-$ pioגos fr. $v \pi \varepsilon \varrho \varrho v{ }^{\prime} s$, comparing $\sigma$ $\alpha$ dos, a quasi-deminutive, he says, of
 оцо $\tilde{v}$.
 cf. Eschyl. Prom. V. 708, גvク@ótovs रv́os. Ni. cites Herod. IV. 19, No-

 Aschyl. Fragm. 184, Dind. couples the righteous and hospitable character with the absence of all agriculture in the Gabii, ${ }^{\prime \prime} \pi \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha d^{\prime} \eta_{j}^{\prime \prime} \xi \varepsilon \iota S$




 péovece, with this verb pl. with neuter subj. ef. $\pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \alpha \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota \check{\tau} \alpha \iota \beta$. 176 and note on $\gamma$. 298; comp., for the facts, Eurip.
 this need not be a poetic embellishment; for although now there is no wild wheat or barley known which can be regarded as the original type of our familiar crops, there may have been in Homer's time. England, however, produces the avena fatua from which culture has experimentally educed a form very like the agricultural oat. Ten distinct cereals, (five wheats and two barleys among them) were cultivated in the "Stone period" by the inmates of the pile-houses in the Swiss lakes. Oats are said to have come under culture later, in the "Bronze period" (Darwin on Variation, of $A n=$
 this phrase, with dios divine, and


а ท. 44.
b 192, x. 104, $M$. 282.
e $\alpha .15$.
d 2. 569 .
e $\times .509$.






## 114. $\mathcal{F} \dot{\varepsilon} \times \alpha \sigma \tau 0$.

 114. $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \iota$ A. Vi. 50 . 115 . $\alpha \lambda$ óxov Arist. Eth. X. 10 sed - $\omega v$ Pol. I. 1.
 $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha x \lambda \iota \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta$ Vi. 5.
the primary elemental view which in Zeus impersonated the sky.
 Herod. of his Scythian Androphagi IV. 1о6, $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \varrho \iota \omega \dot{\omega} \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \vartheta \varrho \omega \dot{-}$



 ห. 兀. ג. Ну. Ap. Pyth. 100-1. By $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \dot{-}$ $\mu \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ the actual decisions of judges, pro re nata, are meant, for their connexion with $\alpha^{\alpha} y o \rho \alpha i$ cf. $\beta .68$ and note, these latter characterize human society in its Greek conception. Thus the Læstrygones, although cannibals and giants, yet have what the Cyclopes
 that societas and communitas or conjunctio vitae, on which Cicero so strongly insists, de Offic. III. 6, 12, and are there by widely removed from these latter; who know isolated family life and nothing beyond it. Comp. the absence of sympathy with Polyphemus' sufferings shown by the Cyclopes 400-13 inf., Eurip. Cycl. 120, Noućd $\varepsilon \varepsilon^{\circ} \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ иоvє
 see App.D. 12 for cave-dwellers, cf. also inf. 400. Ease in surmounting vast heights is tacitly implied - a token of their super-human size.- $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau} \varepsilon v ์ \varepsilon \iota$, see on 105 sup., Aristotle Eth. Nicom. X. 9 . ${ }_{13}$, Polit. I. I regards this simply domestic state as the elementary stage of human society. But Polyphemus has no family or domestication, save with his goats and sheep. His only glimpse of affection (Homer would not draw even him without it) is for his ram, 447 foll. He seems the extreme case of the half-human type. - ci $\lambda \dot{o} \chi \omega \nu$,
$\alpha$ גóxov was perhaps the original reading (see mid. mar.) altered to avoid hiatus.

116-41. The island off the shore of the Cyclopes is described, - haunted by the chamois which there are none to hunt, having a splendid soil untouched by cultivation, and a beautiful harbour, landlocked and safe, but no ships to float there. Its fountain and fringe of poplar wood complete the picture.
 - "the next thing to tell you"; see on $\alpha$. 106. - $\lambda \alpha \chi \bar{\chi} \alpha$, see the mid. mar. for readings here. The Scholl. and Eustath. lead us to infer that $\lambda \alpha$ $\chi \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ was read by Aristar. and explain it by "rich in soil, easily dug". $\pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}$ $\tau \grave{~ \delta} \dot{v} \nu \alpha \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota \alpha v \tau \eta \eta_{\nu} \lambda \alpha \chi \alpha i v \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$
 it a proper name of the isle. Ni, refers it to $\lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \chi \nu \eta \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha \nu 0 v$, "overgrown with brush". I regard it as, if genuine, merely another form of $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \chi \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ (like
 हैve@ $\vartheta \varepsilon v)$ meaning "narrow", as compared with its length; cf. (mar.) $\alpha^{\alpha} x \tau \eta$ $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \varepsilon \iota \alpha$, and Hy. Ap. Pyth. 19 ov $\tau^{3}$ हो $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \chi \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ "nor slim" (of Artemis). $\pi \boldsymbol{\circ} \varrho \grave{z} x$, fixed position "beside" "but "outside" the harbour; our prep. "off" most nearly expresses it; comp. $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \xi$ $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \nu \tilde{\eta} \sigma 0 \nu \varepsilon \in \lambda \alpha v \nu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \nu \tilde{\eta} \alpha, \mu .276$, where motion prevails, "past the isle so as
 I. 7 , "at the sea-side but clear of it". Once it is = clam, $\delta \tilde{\omega} \varrho \alpha \pi \alpha \varrho \varepsilon \xi^{\prime} A \chi \iota \lambda \tilde{\eta} \alpha$
 pears separably, $\pi \lambda \varepsilon v \varrho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha}$ o $\hat{i}$ रं $\psi \alpha \nu \tau \iota$












a x． 308.
b $\tau .174$ ；cf．A．13．
c Z． 202.
d I．384，ک． 157.
e $\gamma .220$.
f cf．$\mu$ ． 330 ．
क 109.
h 9． 468 ．
$244,3.11$, A．383， ${ }^{\Psi} .31$ ．
k e． 141 mar．
B． 637 ．
m N．390，O．411， II． 483.
n A．187，E． 338.
－ふ．390，兀．148， 544.

127．$\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau o$ sive $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ́ \sigma \alpha \iota \nu \tau o ~ Ғ \varepsilon ́ ห \alpha \sigma \sigma \tau \alpha$.


 Ald．（2）（3）Lov．
ing as here．In $\varepsilon .439$ ，$\nu \tilde{\eta} \chi \varepsilon \pi \alpha \varrho \varepsilon$ 多， 2． 488 ，$\omega \sigma \alpha \pi$ ．，＂off＂satisfies the sense．

 ov้ $\tau$＇$̇$＇भरv่s．
 curs not elsewhere in $H$ ．，but we have

 $\tau \varepsilon \varrho \alpha s x, \tau . \lambda ., \varrho .294-5$ ，and in the hunting scene，described $\tau$ ． 435 foll．，
 $\chi$ v́ves $\eta^{\prime \prime} \iota \alpha \nu$ ．In Count de Lamberg＇s Collection of Vases（Laborde）vol．II． xviii is a hunting group，perhaps illus－ trating that scene，the younger of two male figures holds a hound in a leash and collar．－wo＠vpies，because there covert for game would be found when it had been cleared from the lowlands．The number of words not found elsewhere in H．，contained in 120－4，throws some degree of suspicion on the passage．I am inclined at any rate to reject 122 －4，as they only repeat of the soil what was said of the people 108－9 sup．－ォоí $\boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ，else－ where $\pi \tilde{\omega} v, \pi \omega \varepsilon \alpha$ ，is the Homeric form． －と́＠ózoıбє，again，ought to mean ＂arable＂，plur．ef．ávŋ́œotos mox inf．， but this yields no suitable sense．An

 but it is difficult to account for the corruption．

123－4． $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\prime \prime} \gamma^{\prime}$ ，the $\gamma \varepsilon$ sharpens the de scriptive contrast between what it is and what it is not．－xך＠عver，cf． Eurip．Cycl．439－40，tòv बípcova tòv甲ílov хクৎモviouعv．

125－30．The connection implied in ov yoo is，＂the island lies wild，with－ out even huntsmen to rouse the cham－ ois，since they have no ships etc．＂－ jé口 $\alpha$ ，the accent，as on éve，shows

 124，$\psi$ ．27 1 ，and Herod．III． 58 vó $\delta \grave{\varepsilon}$
 $\tau \eta \lambda \iota \varphi \varepsilon \varepsilon \varsigma$ ．－жо́ноєєv，optat．， ＂who might had there been any，have fashioned＂，comp．the pres．optat．$\tau \varepsilon-$ גغ́olsv and the aor．indic．Ex＜́uovio below not differing in force，save that a continuance of the effect up to the present moment would be shown by $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\circ} \varepsilon \varepsilon v$ ，if genuine，but the original text was probably $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau o ~ F \varepsilon ́ x \propto \sigma \tau \alpha$ unless，as is still more probable， 126 -7 are a late insertion，by some one who thought it necessary to account for the Cyclopes＇lacking ships！Keep－ ing them，however，and reading $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon$－ oosy the sense is＂would dispatch （not have dispatched）all errands＂，
a $\alpha, 3$ mar．
b $\omega$ ． 334 ．
e．モ．122，2． 536 ．
1）ら． 272.
c（1）．226，Y． 496 （b） 77.
f c． $3!12$ mar．
※゙ $\varepsilon$ 。 72 ．
h e． 410 mar．
i तf．I． 580 ．
k B．147，A． 560 ．
1 ミ． $551, \Omega .451$ ； cf．८． 247.
m．cf．A．550，$\beta .328$ ， т． 173.









甲દ́geє Vi．50． 132 om．Vi．5．133．$\mu \alpha \lambda \alpha$ ноí $\tau \varepsilon$ каi $\alpha \varphi \vartheta \iota \iota \tau о \iota ~ V i . ~ 5, ~ \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha ~$


 H．cum var．1．$\dot{v} \pi$＇ov ${ }^{\delta} \delta \alpha_{s}$ ．
continued in $\pi \varepsilon \rho o ́ \omega \sigma \iota, 129$ ，＂as（we see）men do in fact traverse the sea＂， and so in the pres．opt．甲éoot in 131， ＂would be now producing＂．As regards the relation of the past，or narrative tense to the optat．，it seems founded on the mental fact，that a thing which is put as a mere conception must have been already conceived，and therefore appear to the conceiving mind as past subjectively or in relation to it．So our English Idiom uses the pluperf． ＂had wrought the island＂．Thus grammarians treat the optat．as a de－ velopment from the aor．For oíc $\boldsymbol{\tau \varepsilon}$
 $\mu \dot{v} \nu \eta v$ ，a further pred．with ह́xó $\mu$ ．， ＂would have wrought to high culture＂ （mar．）．

130．The connexion of this with the preceding verse is，＂who（ $\alpha \nu \delta \rho \varepsilon \varsigma$ ） might（if any had come，have wrought etc．＂，see previous note．

131．xasy $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{2}$ ，the elastic play of clause upon clause which the artic－ ulation of particles，especially $\gamma \varepsilon$ ，gives the Greek，can only be expressed by a periphrasis，which overloads the sense，in English．The $\gamma \varepsilon$ here seems to relate the ov yóe $\tau \iota$ иаж
 －＂it is not bad（as it would be，if they could not have so wrought it）＂：

 cf．Hes． $0 p p$ ． $392, \varepsilon i^{\prime} \chi^{\prime} \tilde{\omega}^{\prime \prime} \rho \iota \alpha \pi \alpha^{\prime} v \tau^{3}$


132－5．$\lambda \varepsilon \iota \mu \omega ̃ \nu \varepsilon s$ ．$\lambda \varepsilon \iota \mu \omega \dot{\nu}$ is doubt－ less akin to $\lambda i \mu \nu \eta$ and limus，the－$\omega \nu$ being collective，as in $\delta \varepsilon v \delta \rho \omega \nu$ ；hence ＂alluvial meadows＂；which suits the situation at the water＇s edge and the epithets $\dot{v} \delta \varrho \eta \lambda o i \quad \mu \alpha \lambda \alpha \times o i ́ ; ~ e f . ~ ह ै v \mu \alpha-$ $\lambda \alpha x \omega \tilde{\omega} \lambda \varepsilon \iota \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu$, Hes．Theog．279，то－

 never perish from drought．－«̈＠o－ $\sigma \iota g$ גєín，perhaps $\lambda \varepsilon i \eta \pi \varepsilon \tau \rho \propto \dot{\omega}$ （ $\varepsilon .443$ ）is meant，＂with no rock to impede the plough＂．－2ncov，this word belongs to the family（ $\lambda \varepsilon i^{\prime} \alpha$ ） $\lambda \eta \iota \alpha_{s} \lambda \eta i \xi_{0} \mu \alpha \iota$ גךis $\lambda \eta i \tau \iota s$ ，all on the stem of $\lambda \alpha^{\prime}-\omega(\lambda \alpha \mathcal{F})$ old verb to ＂take＂，and means，the part of the growth＂taken＂by man，corresponding thus to our＂crop＂．By $\beta \alpha \boldsymbol{v} \dot{v}$ depth of stalk appears meant，as in the simile
 $-\underset{\alpha}{2} \mu \tilde{\omega} \varepsilon v$ the quantity of $\alpha$ is variable， being short in aor，as seen in $\alpha \mu \eta$－
 H．253，so Theocr．has，X．50，«̌xع－ б $\vartheta \alpha \iota \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\alpha} \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha$ ，but XI． 73 ，$\vartheta \alpha \lambda \lambda \dot{\omega} \nu$

 fat，o－pimus and perhaps $\pi i-v \omega$ ，$ย \pi \tau-o v$ ，

 тוóv $\alpha \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ g also occur in H ．The use of
 for a noun，＂the prime or fat of the herd＂，is remarkable．－ $\boldsymbol{\forall} \boldsymbol{\pi}$＇here is for $v \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau$, ，$i$ ．e．＂is under＂the crop； see on $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha 125$ sup．－ov́das，（con－
















a $\delta .358$.
b cf. $\varkappa .96,127, \nu$. 77, $x .465$.
e A. 436, o. 498.
d cf. 178.
e $148, v .114$.
f $\zeta .295$.
ต 9. 45, O. 43.
h $\gamma .429$ mar.
i e. 70, $\eta .129$.
k $\eta$. 106, $x .510$, @. 208.
1 x. 141.
m K. 84, 276, 386.
n $145, \boldsymbol{v}, 169$.
o E. 864.
p 122.
q x. 197, $\tau .476$, ת. 223.
r ع. 109 mar.
s A. 307.
l 127 mar.
u 138 mar.
v cf. 0.496.
w $547, \mu$. 6 .
x d. 430 mar.
у $\mu$. 7.
z cf. 4.223.
aa $306,436, \lambda .375$,
$\pi .368, \tau .50,342$.

148. हैб Fí $\delta \circ \mu \varepsilon v$.
150. Fe ŋ $\gamma \mu i ̌ v \iota$.



 142. $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \alpha \pi \lambda \varepsilon ́ \sigma \mu \varepsilon \nu$ Vi. 133. 144. $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha$ H. h A. Vi. 5,56 Fl. Stu. Schol. Ven. B. E. 770 A. P. 644 , T. 87 Bar. En. Ox. Wo. Ding., $\pi \varepsilon \varrho i$ Vi. 50 var. 1. A. Eu. Fl. Ro. Bass. Bet. 145. ova@ $\alpha \nu$ óvョ in mar. H. h Wo. et recentt., $-\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \varepsilon v$ $\delta \varepsilon$
lib. plea. Eu. Fl. ed. vent.; $\delta^{\prime} \not ̨ v v$ Vi, 133 K., $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho$ M. Vi. 5, $\gamma \propto \varrho$ H. 146.


 A. I.; $\eta^{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \mathrm{A}$ A. Vi. ${ }_{5} 6$.
netted with $\varepsilon$ er- $\alpha$ р os ovidos (threshold) and ódos (way), the "bottom". "A depth of soil not easily exhausted" seems the meaning of the whole expression.

136-8. عv́ooros, for this and other naval expressions here see App. F. I (10) (11). The $\pi \varrho v \mu \nu \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$ correspond with the ropes called, from attaching to the shore, orae in sailors' Latin, Livy XXII. 19. - х¢ع see on $\alpha$. 225 .
$142-5 \mathbf{1}$. We reached the island in the dark, and, beaching our gal-
leys without seeing shore or surf, struck sails, disembarked, and went to sleep.

144-51. $\sigma \varepsilon \lambda \eta \dot{v} \eta$, sometimes $\mu \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \eta$, the one name from her light ( $\sigma \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \alpha \alpha_{s}$ ) the other from her measuring (wensara) time by her course, the primitive
 so in My. Apoll. Path. $261 \eta \eta^{\prime} \delta^{\prime} \mu \alpha^{\prime}-$

 always denotes heaviness, so "heavy to sleep" is the primary notion, "having given way to drowsiness", the mean-
a $\beta .1$ mar.
bef. $\Sigma .494, \boldsymbol{P} .680$.

- $\zeta 105$ mar.

11 A. 268.
e $\varphi .359,362, \quad \Gamma$. 17.
if. 626, e. 168,
II. 589.
c. $B .655$.
h 5.233.
i 550 .
k A. 601.
$1556, \frac{\pi .}{5} 366$, A. $592, \pm 210, T$. $162, \Omega .713$.
m 557, 久. 184, 468, 4i7, $\mu .30$.
n $\delta .746, \eta .265$, @. 533.
o cf. $\mu$. 320 .
pe. 165 mar.
(1) $\tau .443$.
r $\beta .290,379$, , .204.
s $\alpha$. 2 .














 163. Foìvos. 164. F\&́z





 "Aү $\alpha \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \nu 0 \nu \alpha$ (mar.) i. e. "lazy".
152-71. We spent the fore part $^{2}$ of the day in hunting the chamois (for the nymphs blest us with good sport), and the close of it in feasting on the game.
${ }^{152-8}$. viñov . . . avivìv, for this collocation of noun and pron. cf. $t \dot{\eta} v$






 may be compared with noit $\eta$, ког $\mu \dot{\alpha} \omega$, founded on $x \varepsilon i \mu \mu \iota$, really $x \varepsilon \mathcal{F}-$, cf. Lat. cub-o and civ-is. - ciyovéces, not from $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \hat{\xi}$ "goat", though in that connexion here, but $\dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega$, as flung with impetus. - $\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\alpha}$, in tmesis with

159-60. §vف́d\&za, so B. 637. גáy $\alpha<v o v$, intrans. here, a rare usage,
cf. Eurip. Helen. 213, $\alpha i \dot{\omega} \nu \mathrm{~g} v \sigma \alpha i \omega \nu$ है $\lambda \alpha \chi \varepsilon$, and Plato Legg. 745 E , $\boldsymbol{\text { o }}$ $\lambda \alpha \chi$ ov $\mu \varepsilon ́ \varrho o s ;$ for usual constructions cf. $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon i^{\prime} \mu \varepsilon \pi v \varrho o ̀ s ~ \lambda \varepsilon \lambda \alpha ́ \chi \eta \tau \varepsilon \Psi .76$,
 also $\lambda \alpha \chi \varepsilon i v \nu \lambda \tilde{\eta} \varrho \circ \nu$ and $x \lambda \eta$ ŋ́@. With the whole scene of feasting, and sev-eral similar ones in the course of the voyage, we may comp. the descriptive words, Hy. Apoll. Pyth. 280 -3, remembering that they had enjoyed no repose since their start made after their defeat by the Cicones,
$\alpha v ̈ \tau \eta \mu^{\prime \prime} \nu \quad \gamma \varepsilon$ ठíx $\pi \varepsilon ่ \lambda \varepsilon \iota ~ \alpha ं \nu \delta \rho \tilde{\nu} \nu$ $\alpha^{\alpha} \lambda \varphi \eta \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega \nu$,
 $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha i v \eta$
 $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \varphi \varepsilon \alpha \varsigma$
 $\alpha i \varrho \varepsilon i$.
163-5. oĩvos, wine $\varepsilon v \nu \alpha \mu \varphi \iota \varphi \rho \varrho \varepsilon v ั-$ $\sigma \iota \nu$ is part of the provisions of Telem. for his voyage, and so Calypsô provides Odys.; cf. \&. 265 and mar. here. - ทُ $\varphi$ v́$\sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu$, see on $85^{.}-$Kızóv $\omega \nu$, see












a $181, \% .30, \beta .165$ ，
b $\alpha .58$ mar：
c $184,5.519, ~ \varrho .180$ ．
d $\gamma .329$ mar．
e $\delta .430$ mar．
f $\beta .1$ mar．
§r $2.114,1 ヵ ૪, \mu$ ． 319.
h c． 100 mar．
i $\gamma .323, \lambda .161, A$ ． 183.
$\mathrm{k} \zeta .126, \nu .336, \tau$ ． 215.
$1 \zeta .120-1$ mar．，$v$ ． 201.
m $\beta .416$, ，2．4－5； ef．$\gamma .492$.
n 561, к． 128.

167．ỏfímv．169．Føך
 K．M．Vi．omn．e．Eu．Fl．Ro．Bas．Ba．Ern．，óitøv Eu．Ox．Wo．recentt．；$\alpha$ v́－ $\tilde{\omega}$

 A．a man． 1 ．
on 39．The wine，it seems，was se－ cured，but the captives and most of the spoil（4I）must be understood as rescued by the ultimately victorious Cicones， 59.
166．$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \dot{v} \sigma \sigma o \mu \varepsilon v$ ，this applies un－ equally to the last object $\varphi \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \gamma \gamma \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$ ，by an easy zeugma，we may comp．the
 Th．104，and for the zeugma o．374，
 also Pind．Pyth．IV．185－6，ov゙тย हैं $\rho$ ，－
 $\boldsymbol{\tau} \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ for men，in contrast with their cattle，is Homeric；cf．ove $\tilde{\eta} \alpha_{S} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v$


 as there noticed，is always followed in H．by $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ ；in Hesiod Opp． 486 we have it，however，without，ทो $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ os xóx－
 க́o＠ivy，see on $x .188$ and App． A． 4 ．

172－92．I propose with my own ship＇s company to visit and explore the adjacent coast and its natives． We proceeded and found a sea－side cave at the furthest point of the coast，
well－wooded，with sheep and goats sleeping about it．A monster－shepherd， in size more like a mountain－peak than a man，solitary and lawless， lay aIso sleeping at the front of the cave．
 guished by $\alpha \lambda \lambda o \iota$ from the more select

 we have a construction somewhat similar，but accus．instead of gen．，$\omega$ s о̋тє ．．．$\tau \varrho о ́ \chi о \nu$ ．．．xє＠$\alpha \mu \varepsilon \dot{v} \varsigma ~ \pi \varepsilon \iota-$ $\varrho \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota, \varepsilon i^{\prime \prime} \psi \varepsilon \vartheta \varepsilon \dot{\xi} \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ ，the last clause， $\varepsilon \ell^{\prime \prime} x \varepsilon \vartheta$ ．，corresponding with oí $\tau \iota \nu \varepsilon s$ eiolv here．With that accus，we may
 $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \tilde{\alpha} \tau 0$ ．The gen．however of the thing essayed is far more common both in H ．and in later writers．In そु． 126 we have $\pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \eta \eta^{\sigma} о \mu \alpha \iota \eta \eta^{\prime} \delta \dot{\varepsilon} i^{\prime} \delta \omega \mu \alpha \iota$ ，which suggests that $\dot{\eta}^{\prime} \delta \dot{\varepsilon} i^{\prime} \dot{\partial} \omega \mu \alpha \iota$ is virtually implied before oí $\tau$ ．$\varepsilon$ 位iv here．For the thought cf．Theogn．8i4，（Bergk．
 vóov．－$\dot{\eta}$ ழ＇oí $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{x}$ ．r．ג．，see on §．119－21．

a $103-4 \mathrm{mar}$ ．
b $\beta .418$ mar．
c 166 mar．
। 280, e． 238, ૬． 104.
e cf．c． 400.
f O． 362.
g．є． 367 mar．
Һ К．485－6．
i e． $154, \xi .16$.
k $\alpha$ ． $4 \geq 6$ mar．
1 ち． 267.
$\mathrm{m} \cong 398, \mu .357$ ， ૬．328，т．297，世． 118.
n o． 557.
o $\boldsymbol{\text { I．}} 229$, H． 208.
р 丂．218，ю． 408.
q A． 35.
r $428, v .287$.
s $\Sigma .549$.
t e． 212 mar．， 9 ． 159.
u $\gamma .295$ ；сf．$x .113$.












 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \varrho i ́ \gamma \varepsilon \sigma \iota \tau о \wp \alpha ́ \gamma \omega, \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \varrho i ́ \omega^{u}$ vi $\lambda \eta \dot{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \nu \tau \iota$




 ${ }^{133}$ ，sic Aristar．，h．，$\delta^{\varepsilon} \dot{\mu} \nu \eta \tau o$ Vi．5， $5^{6}$ ，$\beta \dot{\varepsilon} \beta \lambda \eta \tau o$ Aristoph．，h．，${ }^{\varepsilon} \beta \dot{\varepsilon} \beta \lambda \eta \tau o$ var． 1 ． Vi．133．186．$\mu \alpha x \varrho \tilde{\eta} \sigma \iota \quad$ K．M．Vi．${ }_{33}$ Eu．Ro．187．$\tau \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu \tilde{\lambda} \lambda \alpha$ mss．x．Eu．；
 190．ov̉ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho$ Apollon．Lex．
－лৎvนขท́бıк，see on $137 .-\sigma \pi$－

 here ，be read in synizesis，as in $\mu \varepsilon$－ $\lambda \iota \eta \delta \overline{\varepsilon 0}$ s oívov，to accomodate the $\mathcal{F}$ ． I am inclined，however to think that s final，as in old Latin Hexameters （see Ennius fragm．，Lucretius and Ci－ cero＇s translations from Aratus etc．） might be unpronounced at the discretion of the reciter．Thus we have $\alpha \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sigma \varphi \alpha-$

 $\mathcal{F} \varepsilon \varrho \varrho 勹 \sigma \sigma \alpha \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota, A .216$ ，and $\nu \tilde{\eta} \alpha \varsigma$ Fé $v-$ $\sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota, \xi .260 .-\mu \tilde{\eta} \lambda .$, this generic term therefore includes both sheep and goats． － $\boldsymbol{v} \dot{\lambda} \dot{\eta}$ for this and the details of the structure in $185-6$ see App．F． 2 （2）（6）．

187－92．غंvicue，i．e．in the $\alpha v \lambda \eta$ before the cave．－ $\boldsymbol{\pi \varepsilon \lambda \omega ́ \varrho \iota o g ~ u s e d ~}$ （mar．）of excessive stature and bulk within human limits；so＇$A \chi \iota \lambda \tilde{\eta} \alpha \pi \varepsilon \lambda \omega^{\prime}-$ ＠ıov，$X .92$ ，so Pindar，Ol．VII．28， calls the pugilistic victor Diagoras
 this use of the imperf．in－$x$ xov to denote what he habitually did，as con－ trasted with the usual imperf．éviouve， denoting what he was then doing at the moment，is a refinement worth noticing．－ふ่ $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mu$ ． $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\prime} \delta \eta$ ，cf．$\vartheta \tilde{\eta} \rho \alpha_{S}$
 ing that consciousness of right was distinctive of man，ef．$\alpha \boldsymbol{\nu} \vartheta \vartheta \varrho \omega ́ \pi$ о८б
 Hes．Opp． 279 ；although metaphorically we have＂justice＂also ascribed to brutes by Archil．Fragm． 88 （Bergk．708），бoì
 $\mu \varepsilon ่ \lambda \varepsilon \iota . ~-~ \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \varrho i \quad \gamma \varepsilon$ ，the $\gamma \varepsilon$ seems cor－ rective of the former statement，$\alpha \nu \dot{\eta} \varrho$ $\pi \varepsilon \lambda \omega \varrho \iota \varrho$ ，for which $\boldsymbol{v} \alpha \tilde{v} \mu \alpha \pi \varepsilon \lambda \omega \varrho \iota o v$ has been substituted，as if－＂man did I say？＂were intended．－бוтофо́y\％，
 the similar phrases cited in note there． －£í $\mathbf{\omega}$ ，cf．Pind．Isthm．VI． $47-8$ ，vò $\nu$
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 50,56 Eu．Fl．St．Ba．Ern．Ox．et suprasc．A．，$\sigma v \mu \pi \alpha \iota \sigma i$ Vi．5，$\pi \alpha \iota \delta i$ Aristar． Aristoph．，h．（\％．，Hesych．Ro．Bas．Wo．et recentt．var．1．St． 200. $\delta \check{\varepsilon}$ हैv A ．
the shaggy，uncouth aspect of the monster is perhaps depicted by this epith．－oíov $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ ．ひ̈．，not only the full length of the peak，when seen apart，is suggested by this addition to the simile，but the solitary character of the Cyclops（ $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\omega} \nu)$ is，as it were，reflected in it．His having but one eye is nowhere expressly men－ tioned；see on 389 inf ．

193－215．I took twelve picked men from my crew，provisions，and a skin of choice wine，a present from Maron priest of Apollo in return for my protection of his wife and himself． Its very existence was a household secret with him，its strength extra－ ordinary．With this I went to meet the Cyclops．

193－291．Móg $\omega \boldsymbol{v}$ ，see on 40．－ Eúáv9とog，the name seems moulded from the adj．$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{v} \alpha v \boldsymbol{v} \dot{\eta}_{5}$ ，expressing the flower－clad appearance of the early

 blossom－clad form＂，Sophoc．Fragm．

239，Dind．Eustath adds that Euanthes was son of Enopion，the Schol．H． that－Enopion was son of Dionysus， both referring to Hesiod as their authority．No such passage occurs in the works now extant under his name． In the Catal．of Early Greek Vases in the Brit．Mus．（ $185_{51}$ ）is one，No． 554，representing Dionysus teaching CEnopion the use of wine．The story appears to be a late figment，merely to connect the family of Maron with the Dionysus of a later mythological development．So Eurip．Cyclops 141， makes M．the son of Dionysus，the Schol．Apollon．III． 997 makes Euanthes the same．The wine of Ismarus had historical celebrity，Athen．I． $30, \mathrm{~B}$ ； ef．also juvat Ismara Baccho conserere， Virg．Georg．II．37－8，－лeœtбхó－ $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{q}^{\prime}$ ，this was in the at first success－ ful attack．An interval would elapse while the Ciconian rescue was being summoned，during which the presents
 $\sigma \varepsilon \ddot{i} \boldsymbol{\delta}_{0}$, this phrase occurs often in the Hy．Apoll．，e．g．76，143，235，384．
a $\omega .275$.
b）ァ．290 mar：，340， 350 。
c $\boldsymbol{\tau} .574,578, \mathbf{\Sigma}$ ． 373.
d ef．O．498，Л． 303. e B． 341 ．
f $\chi .324, \Omega .710$ ． g $\psi .227$.
h cf．5． 90 ．
i 163, e． 165 mar．
k I． 224,
1 阝． 355, H． 471.
m ع． 60 ．









210


$\omega v$

 Vi． 50 ；$\delta \mu \omega \tilde{v} v$ Vi．5．207．$\alpha v$ vós $\tau^{\prime}$ ex em．H．I．St．，$\tau$＇om．Eu．et codd．rell． et edd．210．$\dot{\eta} \delta \varepsilon i^{\circ} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{o} \gamma ;$ ó $\delta \dot{\omega} \delta \eta \mathrm{A}$ ．

203－8．2＠ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \eta ̃ \varrho \alpha$, see App．A． 8 （1）．
oivov év cup．，see on 163－5．－
 here only in H．，but Hy．Merc． $7^{2}$ has
 hurt by scythe＂；here＂pure＂，i．e． from inferior admixture，but not，like

 see App．A． 7 （1）（4）．－ $\boldsymbol{\mu i}$ oìn，such a family secret，similarly guarded，was the structure of Odysseus＇bedstead， $\psi$ ；226－7，of which Penelopê ，says，

 $\alpha \mu \varphi i \pi o \lambda o s \mu i \alpha \mu o v i v \eta$ ．The phrase $\gamma v \nu \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha \mu i \alpha$ occurs Alcman，Fragm． 31 （Bergk，844）．A similar post was that of Eurykleia in $\beta$ ． 345 ．

209．áv义 $\dot{\alpha}$ denotes，as our Engl．＂to＂， not mere affusion，but proportion，＂he would fill and pour one cup of wine （i．e．would pour one cup full）to twenty measures of water＂；so $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \tau \varepsilon \pi \alpha \varrho \alpha-$ $\sigma \alpha \prime \gamma \gamma \alpha_{s} \tau \tilde{\eta} s \eta_{\mu} \varepsilon \rho^{\circ} \alpha_{s}$ ，Xen．Anab．IV．
 i．e．$\alpha^{\prime} \nu$ ’ ${ }^{2} \nu \delta \rho \alpha$, St．Matt．XX．16．The allowance of water seems enormous， even if we suppose the $\delta \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \alpha_{S}$ to con－ tain a $\mu \varepsilon ́ \tau \rho o \nu$ of wine，and must be viewed as a huge exaggeration，in－ dicating，however，the wine＇s strength with a view to its subsequent effect （ 362 inf ．）on the Cyclops．Voss，cited by Ni．，quotes Hippocrates $\pi \varepsilon \rho i ̀ v o v$－ $\sigma \omega v$ 3．that old Thasian wine，diluted
with 25 times of water，was a cooling draught for the sick；but this is so exceptional as to prove the general practice different．Pliny XIV． 6 says a consular man testified to Maronian， i．e．Ismarian，wine having been mixed in his presence with 8 of water，for drinking．Various proportions of wine to water occur．Hesiod Opp． 596 gives one to three，$\tau \rho i \varsigma{ }^{\prime \prime} \delta \alpha \tau o s \pi \varrho о \chi \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota \nu$
 Equit 1187 two to three，$\varepsilon^{\prime \prime} \chi \varepsilon$ x $\alpha i \frac{\pi \varepsilon \varepsilon i \nu}{}$
 Fragm． 41 （Bergk，945），once to two
 Fragm． 64 （Bergk， 1026 －7）the same proportion，${ }^{\circ \prime} \pi \omega \varsigma \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \mu v \sigma \tau \iota \nu \pi \varrho о \pi i \omega, \tau \dot{\alpha}$
 $\delta^{\prime}$ oi＇vov xvovovs；half and half，x $\boldsymbol{v}^{-}$ lexos，l＇боv l＇бю xєx＠વцє́vクs，is also mentioned by Aristoph．，Plut．ir 32．In mixing，Xenophanes 4 （Bergk，479）， bids pour in not the wine first，but the

 $x \propto i \quad x \propto \vartheta \dot{v} \pi \varepsilon \rho \vartheta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \dot{v} v$ ．Athenæus， XI． 782 ，has several other citations to the same effect，

210－15．$\chi \varepsilon \tilde{v}$＇，aor．for imperf．（not used in act．of this vb．by H．），follows optat．to express indefinite frequency； see App．A． 9 （20）．A pause after the first half－foot is rare，but $\gamma .361,366,367$ ， are instances．－ód $\boldsymbol{\mu} \dot{\eta}$ ，comp．Alcman Fragm． 117 （Bergk，864），oĩvov．．．．．














|  | $215 . \mathcal{F e t \delta o ́ t \alpha}$ | 218．Fغ́n $\alpha \sigma \tau \alpha$ ． |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 22I．FÉ＠$\chi$ | 222．$\nu \tilde{\alpha}$ fov． |  |

21 I ．ov̉ $\tau \iota \beta$ I．Vi． 50 et var．1．A．，ov̉ of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ K．$\eta^{\eta} i \alpha$ mss．xii（ $\gamma$ ）Eu．Fl．Ro．



 $\sigma \tau \alpha \iota$ Fl．221．$\mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha \iota$ Vi．5．H．et sup．$\mu \varepsilon \sigma \eta \eta_{\imath} \iota \xi$（mera glossa）．222．vaiov $\boldsymbol{\gamma} H$ ．（ex vãov emendatum vol．Porson．sed erravit）var．1．Vi． 133 et M．，ita Aristar．，h．Apoll．Lex．Hesych．Wo．et recentt．，vãov h． $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ Vi．5， 133 H． I．K．，vóov M．Vi． 50 A．var．1．；＂̋९еø̆ I．A．var． 1.






 this is said with a touch of quaint irony， and ${ }_{\text {s }}$ so 230 inf．；cf．$\alpha, 173$ and note． －ぞध，see on $\varepsilon .266$ ．－үथ̀ explains that the wine was chosen as of a strength to match the giant＇s own．－ $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\delta} \varrho^{\prime}$＇is object，not subj．of $\varepsilon$＇$\pi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}^{\prime}-$ $\sigma \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$ ：but the line is probably a later addition：as the Cyclops＇strength（ $\alpha^{\prime} \lambda$－ $x \dot{\eta} v)$ might be inferred from his size， but his character could not，and could not have formed part of the expectation of Odys．－dixvs $\varepsilon \tilde{v}$ عi．，see on 189. 216－30．We reached his cavern－ a vast dairy．He was out herding his cattle，save the kids and lambs，folded there．My comrades urged the op－ portunity of plunder and retreat；but I was bent on seeing him and on the chance of a gift．
 urely survey，as in $\varepsilon .75, \eta$ ．133．－ ном．ор．ні．
tacoooi，reed or rush mats（ $\sigma$ रoiviva $\tau \varepsilon v \chi \eta$ ，Eurip．Cycl．208）are still com－ mon for cream－cheeses．＂Dry＂，akin to $\tau \varepsilon \dot{\rho \sigma о и \alpha \iota, ~ t o r r e o, ~ s e e m s ~ t h e ~ r o o t ~ o f ~}$ the meaning：Curtius I．19r，who cites Pollux，VII，144，$\tau \varrho \alpha \sigma \iota \alpha$ ，＂$\tau \dot{o}$＇$\varepsilon x x \alpha \lambda \alpha$＇－ $\mu \circ v \pi \lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \mu \alpha$, है甲 ${ }^{\prime}$ o $\dot{v} \psi \dot{v} \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tilde{v} \mu " ;$ see also Liddell and S．s．v．$\tau \alpha \rho \sigma$ ós．－ $\boldsymbol{\eta} \eta$－ roi，the Schol．on Theocr．I． 9 explains that $\sigma \eta x i \tau \alpha \iota$ «้ $\rho v \varepsilon s$ were those shut up to be weaned（Ni．）．－Éoxato，（Buttm． II．126）Fee $\gamma$－，as in＂bul－wark＂；but the $\mathcal{F}$ as in the latin arceo arca seems to have been lost very early in some familiar forms．So also in Fépxos，as
 If the perf．pass．at first was $\mathcal{f} \dot{\varepsilon} \mathcal{F} \varepsilon \rho$－ $\gamma \mu \alpha \iota$ ，this would collapse into $\varepsilon \tilde{i} \rho \gamma \mu \alpha \iota$ ， with pluperf． $3^{\text {rd }}$ sing．$\varepsilon \hat{i} \rho u \tau o$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$
 $\mu \varepsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ is radically a form of $\mu_{\varepsilon ́ \sigma o s, ~ i t ~}^{\prime}$ matters little whether we take this from $\mu \varepsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ ，as $\pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \sigma \sigma o s$ fr．$\pi \varepsilon \varrho i$ ，or regard it as another form－perhaps a herdsman＇s corruption－of $\mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma \alpha-$
 Theog．998，$\mu \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \tau \circ \nu \eta^{\prime} \mu \alpha \rho$ ह̀ $\chi \omega \nu$,

a $\delta .615$.
b 232 ．
c 220.
d $312, \varkappa .83,390$.
e 470 ；cf．$\varepsilon .284$.
f $\delta .511$ mar．
g． $\boldsymbol{X} .103, \beta .74$ mar．
h $\gamma .490, \xi .404, \Sigma$ 387.
i e． $475, x .26, A$ ． 330.
k 466 ．
$1 \varphi .176, \pi .2$.
m 乌． 446.
n 225.
o ع． 365 mar．
p cf．E． 790.
${ }_{1}$ ）$\gamma .312$.











224．F＇̇̇


 H．（sed $\iota$ post．add．）M．，$x \varepsilon i \alpha v \tau \varepsilon s$ mss．xiii（ $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ）＂ita meliores＂Eu．Fl．Wo．
 $\mu \varepsilon \nu \mathrm{H} . \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ h．t．Eu．Ro．Bas．St．Ox．Wo．et recentt．233．عícos Fl．，${ }^{\prime} \omega \mathrm{c}$ ，

 a Vi．iii．Stu．

גєóv $\tau \omega v$ ，Eschyl．Agam．141．－y $\boldsymbol{v}$－ 2oi，distinguish $\gamma \alpha v \lambda o s$, as meaning dairy－pan，from $\gamma \alpha \tilde{v} \lambda o s$ a barge，（our Engl．＂yawl＂）Eust．Scholl．－ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \varepsilon$－

 previous line is included in the plural subject；so $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \xi \underline{\xi} \alpha \lambda_{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \mathrm{g}$ mox inf．－
 convey suppressed bitterness of regret for comrades lost through his rash venturesomeness．－ov́d＇．．．ẹ̀ $\alpha-$ retvòs，see on 211 and on $\eta$ ． 18.

231－43．We partook of his cheeses； soon he returned laden with wood and driving his flocks：having housed them in the cave he blocked the entry by a massive crag．

231．reiovieg，this verb seems to have had originally the stem forms $x \varepsilon \mathcal{F}-x \alpha \mathcal{F}$－．The $\mathcal{F}$ in conjunction with $\varepsilon$ or $\alpha$ may appear 1．as $\iota$, e．g．$x \lambda \varepsilon i-\omega$ $x \lambda \alpha i-\omega$ roi－$\omega$（whence the Attic $\boldsymbol{x} \bar{\omega} \omega$ imperf．${ }^{\prime \prime} x \bar{\alpha} \bar{o} v$ and similarly $\left.x \lambda \bar{\alpha} \omega\right)$ ， 2．as $v, \chi \lambda \alpha v ́ \sigma о \mu \alpha \iota, \chi \alpha v ́ \sigma \omega, \chi \varepsilon \omega \chi \varepsilon v ́ \sigma \omega$ ； 3．may cause $\varepsilon$ or $\alpha$ simply to be long， when the former would be sounded as $\eta$ ；thus we have $x \lambda \eta-i_{S}=x \lambda \varepsilon \mathcal{F}$ s．In
the earliest written Greek $\bar{\varepsilon}$ would appear as $\varepsilon \varepsilon$（see many inscriptions） which in later writing might go，as in contracted verbs，into $\varepsilon \iota$ ，or might take its natural equivalent $\eta$ ．Thus we have $\beta \varepsilon i \omega \quad \sigma \tau \varepsilon i \omega$ as well as $\beta \eta{ }^{\prime} \omega$ $\sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \omega$ ：and similarly we have numerous forms from xoíw which fluctuate be－ tween $\eta$ and $\varepsilon \iota$, e．g．$x \varepsilon \check{\iota} \nu$ and $x \tilde{\eta} \circ \nu$ $\varphi .176, ห \varepsilon i \alpha \nu \tau 0$ and $x \eta \boldsymbol{\gamma} \nu \tau 0$ I． 88 ，and the forms here xєíवvtes x ${ }^{\prime} \alpha v \tau \varepsilon$ ．In a later stage the $\mathcal{F}$ as it were evaporated， leaving no perceptible compensation， in such forms as $\varepsilon x \alpha \dot{\eta} \eta v$ ，हैx $\lambda \alpha \varepsilon$ ，Theocr．
 $i$ ．e．by casting morsels into the fire before eating，these are called $\alpha^{\prime} \rho \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$
 word）in the rustic ritual of Eumæus the swineherd（mar．）；see farther on ${ }_{2} 75$ inf．－$\tau v \varrho \tilde{\omega} v$, gen．partitive．

232－5． $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\nu}$, ，the monster whom we had seen asleep．－vé $\mu \omega \nu$ ，equiva－ lent to＂with his flocks＂；cf． $336 \mu \hat{\eta} \lambda \alpha$ ．
 imen of the way in which the Cyclops， apart from human aid，supplies him－ self with a prime necessary of life，









a H. 239, A. 494.
b 249 ; cf. $\delta .194$.
c К. 185, ゆ. 313.
d 396.
е $\boldsymbol{\nu} .363, \omega .6, \varepsilon$. 226.
f 337, \&. 77, N. 32.
o A. 279, 217 mar.
h $338, \pi .286$.
i E. 142.
k $\delta .678, \eta, 112$.
1340.
m v. 370 ; cf. $\psi .194$.
n 313, 340 .
o K. $\mathbf{4 6 5}, 505, \boldsymbol{Y}$. 325.

р $x .103, \Omega .266$.
q $\Omega .324 ;$ cf. $\delta .131$.
r M. 448, $\psi .188$,』. 567.

234. Foı. 239. Fo@veıov́g. 241. Feíиó'.

234. รi้ท A. 235. ย้ข


 ${ }_{o}^{\circ} \mu \beta \varrho \iota \mu \nu \nu$ Vi. $5,5^{6}$, ő $\beta \varrho$. mss. xv ( $\alpha \beta$ ) ; $\alpha^{\prime \prime} \mu \alpha \xi \alpha \iota$ H. $\alpha$ A. Vi. 50 , ${ }_{13} 3$ Eu. Fl. Ro. Bas. St. Ba., $\alpha \mu \alpha \xi \alpha \iota \beta$ Ern. Wo. Ox. et rec. 242. $\tau \varepsilon$ ante $\alpha \pi^{\prime} . ; \tau \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \alpha$ -
 Stu. Eu.
fuel; cf. Eurip. Cycl. 383-4, xo@uоvs

 dógлıov, only found here and mar. - a similar passage. We have, however, (mar.) $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \delta$ ó $\boldsymbol{\pi} \iota \circ$ s; and Ni. cites among many other similar Homeric compounds; $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \delta \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu \operatorname{Los}$ ป. 293,
 $\delta$ ó $\varrho \pi \iota \circ \nu$ and $\bar{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \delta$ ó $\varrho \pi \iota \circ \nu$ from Apoll. Rhod. I. 1208, Theocr. XIII. 36. óovuaydòv expresses the noise made ly the wood flung down: Simonid. Fragm. Bergk, p. 1137 , uses it the roar of the sea.
 next day many of the male, cattle were inside, $338,4^{25}$ foll. - $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v} \lambda \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$, seems here to mean the actual cavern: $\beta \alpha$ $\vartheta \varepsilon i \eta_{s}$ हैx $\boldsymbol{x}$. $\alpha v \lambda$. explains the previous
 in later Greek meant a shield, tò $\nu$ $\vartheta v \varrho \varepsilon o ̀ v \tau \eta \tilde{\eta}_{S} \pi i \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega_{g}$ Ephes. VI. 16. - ̈̈นçécu, Hes. Opp. 692-3 speaks of overloading a waggon (vлéoßıov $\alpha^{\prime \prime} \chi$ Эos $\alpha \varepsilon(\rho \alpha s)$ till the axle breaks, evidently implying their use in transporting heavy weights. The $\tilde{\alpha} \mu \alpha \xi \alpha$ was probably a low slab of boards with four small wheels under it. Such might be actually used in conveying mega-
lithic blocks, and in $M .448$ the ${ }^{\prime \prime} \mu \alpha \xi \alpha$ so appears. Similar contrivances (chiefly, however, rollers), are represented in the transport of the huge statues of Egyptian and Assyrian art, on the monuments themselves. - ó $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{i} \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \iota \iota v$, the mss. here and mar. vary between $\dot{o} \chi \lambda i \sigma \varepsilon \iota \alpha \nu$ (or $\sigma \sigma$ ) and $\dot{o} \chi \lambda \eta \dot{\sigma} \varepsilon \iota \alpha \nu$, with a preponderance in favour of the former. We have, however, Ф. 26 ,
 $\psi \eta \varphi i \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \quad \alpha \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \iota$ ő $\chi \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{v} \nu \tau \alpha \ell$, which favours a verb ó $\chi \lambda$ ह́c distinct fr.
 икจí\}ю. The verb $\mu \varepsilon \tau о и \lambda \alpha \xi \omega$ in $N$. 281 has no connexion with this. o $\boldsymbol{x} \lambda i{ }^{\prime} \xi \omega$ seems to mean "to set in motion", and is by, Curtius I. 169 connected with ö̌os ó ócouct, the Latin. veho, and German wagen, our "waggon"; in which case it must at one time have had the $\mathcal{F}$, which is shown by the compound $\mu \varepsilon \tau о \chi \lambda i \xi \omega$ (mar.) to be lost in Homer's use. Mr. Paley on M. 448 says, reading ó $\chi \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \iota \alpha$, "could move with levers": so Liddell and S., connecting it with нóx дos: also the Schol. Ambr. here ex-
 be = őरios, it is another example of the class of words given Buttm. Lexil

а $x .88,3.196,0$. 273,619 , II. $^{2} 35$.
b 240 mar.
c 341-2.
d 124 mar.
e $\delta .783 \mathrm{mar}$.
f $\boldsymbol{\eta} .227$ mar.
g 309, 342.
h E. $903, \psi .237$,
$\xi .477$.
i A. 434, E. 902.
k $\Sigma .568$.
l 135 mar., e. 482.
m 234.
n 310, 343, I. 348.
o $\eta .13$ mar.
${ }^{\prime} \gamma \cdot 71-4$ mar.










$\eta ้ \tau \iota x \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \pi \varrho \eta \tilde{\xi} \iota \nu, \eta \eta \mu \alpha \psi \iota \delta i \omega \omega \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \eta \eta \sigma \vartheta \varepsilon$, ої́ $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \eta \iota \sigma \tau \eta ̃ \varrho \varepsilon \varsigma$, $\dot{v} \pi \varepsilon i \varrho ~ « ̈ \lambda \alpha$, тоí $\tau^{\prime} \alpha^{\alpha} \lambda o ́ \omega v \tau \alpha \iota$


 घ́ $\mu \beta \rho v o v$ K. M. Vi. omn., viлź $\mu \beta \varrho \iota v$ H. sic 309 , 342 inf.; $\eta \gamma \varepsilon v$ Vi. 5. 246. $\delta \dot{\eta}$
 Stu. Amb. 3 et var. 1. $\alpha$. 249. $\delta \alpha \iota \nu v \mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega \gamma$ Stu. Fl. Ro., $\delta \alpha \iota \nu v \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega$ K.; $\pi о \tau \grave{\imath}$
 $\dagger^{*}$ Aristoph. sed ad $\gamma .72-4$ recepit, ubi in nostr. ed. ante "improbabat" exciderat "non", Aristar. * (tanquam hic magis proprios), h. m. q. r. Eu. [] Bek.
 vóฒv $\alpha<\iota$ var. 1. h. p.
 $\mu \propto \sigma \chi \alpha \lambda \eta$, ala axilla, etc.
243. ${ }^{2}$ גiß $\boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\tau} 0 v$, always (save in a doubtful passage of Hy. Ven. 258) an epith. of $\pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \varrho \eta$, which is properly, as Buttm. Lexil. 61, p. 332, remarks, a "fixed rock", i. e. earth-fast. Such, we may suppose this to have been, till broken off or torn up by the Cyclops, even as the rock which he afterwards flings 486 is such a $\pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \varrho \eta$. Buttm. prefers to regard it as an abridgment of $\dot{\eta}^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime}$ tó $\beta \alpha \tau 0$, as given by the Schol. Ambr.

 "expressing the facility of making a false step", and thus in effect $={ }_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime} \beta \alpha$ $\tau 0 g$ or $\delta \dot{v} \sigma \beta \alpha \tau 0 s$. Doederl. 2452, cites fr. Hesych. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \psi=\pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \varrho \alpha$, to which $\lambda \varepsilon$ '$\pi \alpha_{\varsigma}$ lapis is probably near akin. This origin yields a sense "craggy", which seems to suit the pres. passage better.

244-57. The Cyclops proceeded to milk his cattle, and make his cheese. He then lit a fire and saw us. He demanded, who we were and whence, while our hearts quailed at his voice and form.

245-51. है $\boldsymbol{\mu} \beta$ @vov, properly the fetus before birth, and so used in later Greek, for which H. has $\beta$ @éqos, $\Psi .266$. For $\dot{\boldsymbol{v} \varphi} \varphi^{\prime} . . . \hat{\eta} x \varepsilon v$ of. Theocr. IX. 3, $\mu$ о́б $\chi \omega s \beta \omega \sigma i v$ v̀ $\varphi$ év $\tau 0 \varsigma$, and XXV. 104,
 $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \varrho \dot{\varepsilon} \psi \alpha \varsigma, \mathrm{cf}$. Theocr. XXV. 106, $\tau \varrho \varepsilon$ غ́ $\varphi \varepsilon$ $\pi i o v \alpha \tau v \varrho o ́ v .-\tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \varrho o \iota \sigma \iota \nu, \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \dot{\omega}$ to "bear, support weight"; used (mar.) for the baskets of the grape-gatherers; cf. $\tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \alpha_{\rho} \omega s, \tau \varepsilon \pi \lambda \varepsilon ́ x o \iota s$, and $\tau \grave{o} \delta^{\prime}$ és $\tau \alpha-$ $\lambda \alpha \rho^{\prime} \omega s$ 人ं $\pi$ óvю $\omega \alpha \iota$, Theocr. XI. 73, VIII. 70. - лотt反., see on 234. - $\boldsymbol{\pi} \varepsilon \tilde{v} \sigma \varepsilon$ $\pi$., the phrase means "had dispatched", the eager haste wh. gets through work is expressed by $\sigma \pi$.; so often in Hes. Opp. - عi̋uid\&v, $i$. e. into the $\mu v \chi o ̀ s$ into which the men had retreated, 236.
${ }_{251} \mathbf{1}$. $\boldsymbol{\pi} \tilde{v} \varrho$. It seems the fire previously kindled had gone out. How then, if the entry was blocked, could he see to do his dairy work before lighting the fire? Perhaps the cavern may have had rifts, or have been partially open above, admitting some daylight. Thus they seem to know when it is dawn, 307 inf . 252-8. See the notes on $\gamma \cdot 7 \mathrm{r}-4$.
$255 \psi v \chi \propto \dot{\varsigma} \pi \alpha \varrho \vartheta \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \iota,{ }^{a}$ кахòv $\alpha \lambda \lambda о \delta \alpha \pi о \tau \sigma \iota ~ \varphi \varepsilon ́ \varrho о \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ; "$

















а 今 237.
h ס． 481 mar．
c cl．$\zeta .155-7$ ，e 458－9．
d 190 mar．
e J．484，Э． 184 mar．
f $\delta, 706, \varepsilon, 96$.
g $\mu$ ． 285.
h e． 293 mar．
i 8． 174 mar．
j $\gamma .160 \mathrm{mar}$ ．
k $\gamma$ ．316，0． 13 ．
1 cf．$\alpha .234$.
m Г． 186.
n $\boldsymbol{\alpha} .187$ mar．
o K 212 ；cf．८． 20 ， Э． 74.
p $\boldsymbol{\gamma} .130$ mar．
q $\eta .60 \mathrm{mar}$ ．
r $\gamma .92$ mar．
s $\delta .600, \beta .74$ mar．
（ $\omega .286$, A． 779.
u $\gamma .45$ mar．；cf．$\xi$ ． 56.
v $\Omega 503$ ．
w $\Omega .503, \boldsymbol{I} .508$.
x e． $450, \pi, 67$ ；cf． Э． 544, I． 640 ．
y $\tau .134$.
z $\xi$ ． $284,389$.
aa $\xi$ ． 57 ．
bb $\eta .181$.





 （per elis．hyperm．）K．et ex em．H．Ald．1．267．ixoje $\vartheta \boldsymbol{v} \alpha$ A．a man． 1. 268．$\delta \omega ́ \eta$ s $\propto \beta \gamma$ A．I．M．Vi．5， 133 Vr．Stu．Fl．Bas．，dю́クs H．K．Vi． 56 Eu． Ro．St．Ba．Ern．Ox．，doíns Wo．et rec．；$\eta_{\eta}^{\tau} \tau \varepsilon$ Schol．O． $3^{6} 5$ var．1．St．，$\eta_{\eta}^{\prime \prime} \tau \varepsilon$


 H．，$̇ \sigma \mu \varepsilon ้ \nu V i .56$
 et rec．，$\alpha v \tilde{\tau} \tau \iota \mathfrak{\eta} \alpha \dot{v} \tau i x$ M．

For $\pi \varrho \tilde{\eta} \mathrm{s} \ell \nu$ ，cf．Hy．Apoll，Py．219，
 $\varepsilon ้ \pi \lambda \varepsilon 0 v$ ；for $\psi v \chi \dot{\varepsilon} \varsigma \pi \alpha \_$Э．，cf．Tyrt． XII． 18 （Bergk，p．402），$\psi v \chi \dot{\alpha} v$ roi
 $\sigma \dot{\omega} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ，see note on $\zeta^{2} 1_{55-7} \sigma \varphi \iota \sigma \iota$ －$\lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \sigma o ́ v \tau \omega v$ a similar anacoluth．to this．－$\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega \varrho o v$ ，see on 187 sup．

259－71．We told our tale as Achæans of Agamemnon＇s host，who，returning from Troy，had lost our course by stress of weather．We implored the favour due to the stranger and the suppliant in the name of Zeus their avenger．

266－71．yoũva，two mss．read here yovivai by hypermetral elision．－ §ยıvグっov．．．$\delta \omega \tau i v \eta \nu$ ，the distinction between these appears，to be a supply of present wants（ $\xi \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu$. ），cf．$\delta .33 \boldsymbol{\xi} \varepsilon \varepsilon-$
 carry away（ $\delta \omega \tau$ ．）perhaps in the form of a $\kappa \varepsilon \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \frac{}{}, \delta .600$ ．Still，in $\vartheta .389$, $\xi \varepsilon \iota v$ ．is used of such a present．－ aidoĩo，the balance of mss．favours
 on $\delta$ ．33－4（end），豸．207－8，ง．207， and cf．Eschyl．Prom．V． 77 ，ws ov－

a 287,368 .
b $368, \boldsymbol{T} .229$.
c d. 371, v. 237.
(l e. 171 mar.
e ら. 324 mar.
f. 9.281 mar.
¢ e $170, \chi .289$; cf.
Y. 135.
h E. 444.
i $\boldsymbol{Y} .464$.
k 9.204 mar.


 ov̉ үळ̀@ Кv́x $\lambda \omega \pi \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \Delta i o ̀ s{ }^{e} \alpha i \gamma \iota o ́ \chi o v ~ \dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma о v \sigma \iota \nu$,



 274. $\delta \varepsilon \iota \delta i \mu \varepsilon v$ H. Fl. St. et edd. post. Ba., $\delta \varepsilon \delta \delta \varepsilon ́ \mu \varepsilon v$ Ald. Ro. Bas. et var. 1.



 Eu. edd. rell.

272-86. The Cyclops, deriding the gods and defying their wrath, tries to draw from me the whereabouts of my ship. I answer him with ready guile, that it had been wrecked on the coast, and that we alone survived.
 V.s.v. кגico note § says, "some verbs form their aor. I in $\alpha$ instead of $\sigma \alpha$. In the common language there are only three $\varepsilon^{\prime \prime} \chi \varepsilon \alpha\left(\mathrm{Ep} . \varepsilon^{\prime} \chi \varepsilon v \alpha\right) \varepsilon \tilde{i} \pi \alpha{ }_{\eta}{ }^{\prime} \nu \varepsilon \gamma x \alpha$. The poets have also $\varepsilon^{\prime \prime} x \eta \alpha$ and $\varepsilon \in \sigma \sigma v \alpha$. As these aorists go over into the middle voice also ( $\varepsilon$ र $\chi \varepsilon \dot{\alpha} \mu, \eta \nu, \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v^{\prime} \alpha \tau o$, etc.), the Epic forms $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon \alpha \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}-$ $\alpha \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota, \delta \alpha \tau \varepsilon \in \alpha \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$, may be considered as belonging to the same". - ov $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$, the sentiment of Polyphemus is that of bold and brutal defiance, such as would shock the poet's hearers and outrage pious men in every age. It is specially forcible when considered as narrated at Alcinoüs' table, where the narrator was the honoured guest of the hour with every considerate privilege which could be bestowed on his situation. It contrasts, moreover, especially with the pious act of 231, performed under circumstances which might have led some to forget the gods. Odys. and his crew practise religious duties, the suitors ( $\gamma .340$ note) omit them, the Cyclops defies Zeus in express words. He acknowledges Poseidon's power indeed, 520 inf., but rather as his own father than as a deity. The other Cyclopes acknowledge

Zeus as able at any rate to plague them; in this respect therefore Polyphemus appears to "out-herod" them all. Similarly in Eurip. Cycl. (which should be compared with this book throughout) we read, $320-1$,
 छ̇と́v


But there the allusions soon after, 345 -6 , to the Greek sanctity of the household fire are unnatural in the Cyclops' mouth, and show the rhetorical conception of a later age,
 งยต.
 Another fiction which should be compared with the present book is that of Sinbad the Sailor in the Arabian Nights. His encounter with the black cannibal giant, and his mode of dealing with him, reflect the Odyssean adventure very closely, and show a point of contract between very different schools of myth.

277-86. đ́ $\lambda \varepsilon v \propto \dot{c} \mu \varepsilon v o s$, see on 274 -80. - re $\lambda \varepsilon$ v́o , the balance of mss.
 also read here (see mid. mar.), and which might stand (see App. A. 9 (3)), as meaning to imply the fact that "my mind does prompt me:" which would then be a crafty insinuation of the Cyclops, to induce Odys. to answer his next question, as if by way of












a $\pi .131$.
b $\gamma .182$ mar．，$\gamma .91$ ．
c 9.567 mar．
d 182 mar ．
е K．425，П．423，
あ 61.
f $\pi .319$ ．
o $\beta$ ． 16 mar．
h 501, ж． $558, \Delta$ ． 152.
i т． $539, ~ N . ~ 257$.
$k \quad \alpha .74$ mar．
1 ク．279，u． 71
$\mathrm{m} \mathrm{\delta} 563,. \approx 200$ ， 301.
n $\mu$ ． 11.
○ 486.
Р $\mu .446,216, Z$ ． 57.
$q 272$ mar．
r v．197，甲． 119.
s $\%$ ． $376, \alpha$ ． 149 mar．
$\mathrm{t} 311,344, x .116$.
u $\mu .86, v, 14$ ．
v $458, \boldsymbol{v} .395, \boldsymbol{I}$ ． 300.
w P． $438, \boldsymbol{\Psi}$ ． 220.
x $\boldsymbol{P} .361$ ．


 283．vย́ $\alpha$ Eu．ita Arist．，h．，$\nu \varepsilon(\alpha) \beta, \nu \varepsilon \alpha \alpha, \nu \tilde{\eta} \alpha \quad \gamma$ H．K．M．Vi．omn．A．ex em．


 289．om．K．．
securing his mercy；and so the Scholl． take it：so also Werner，de condit． enun．ap．Hoin．formis，I．p．24，class－ ing it with $\tau .346-7, \varepsilon l, \mu \eta \tau \iota \varsigma \quad \gamma \varrho \eta \tilde{v} s$
 vย́оьน८ $ห . \tau . \lambda .-\varepsilon ้ \sigma \chi \varepsilon g$ ，for this with $\nu \tilde{\eta} \alpha$ see on $\gamma, 182$ ，From this special sense the name＇Exévクos，$\eta$ ．155，is probably derived．－ $\boldsymbol{\pi \varepsilon \iota}$ व́G $\omega \nu$ ，his object of course being，to get more of the crew into his power．The ready artifice which baffles the enemy is characteristic of Odys．As the Cyclops was asleep when they first came within sight，187，and as the ship was no doubt secreted from view before he woke，there was nothing suspicious in the reply．Virg．Aen．III．616－81 should be read in connexion with the present passage．

287－306．The Cyclops dashed out the brains of two and devoured them， entrails，bones and all．After a draught
of milk he lay down to sleep．I thought to slay him，but held my hand，for we could not have removed the stone from the cave＇s mouth．

288－95．éváig ${ }^{2} \alpha$ ，the resupinus of Virg．Aen．III． 624 seems intended to express greater ease and so imply greater strength．That poet enhances the cannibal horrors which follow，by making the limbs drip blood and quiver beneath the teeth of the Cyclops－a specimen of the coarseness of Roman taste．The less polished state of the Homeric age is some extemuation of the more revolting features of the Ho－ meric picture．In Eurip．the refinement of later Greek taste is shown by mak－ ing the Cyclops caok his victims（243 $-7,302,343-4$ ）：here and in Virg． he eats them raw．－غ่ $\boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{\imath} \boldsymbol{\chi}$ ． $\boldsymbol{i} \propto \lambda \lambda \varepsilon v$ ， the common phrase for men at dinner etc．，গֶ． 484 ，but used also of manual violence generally，Hy．Bacch．23，$\mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$

з $\sigma .338, \Omega .409$.
h ß． 20 mar．
c 5． 130 mar．
d 388, M． 169.
e $\mu: 363, \pm .583$.
f ci．$\beta$ ． 290.
s I．318，H．177， 412.
h Z．257，ת． 301 ．乡． $83, \chi .413$.
k $347,3 \mathrm{4} 4$, A． 338.
1 乃． $305, ~ \eta .99$.
m ж．341，w． 73.
ก $I I .485$.
（ I． 468.
р $\mu .58$ ．
\＆e． 298 mar．
т．392，X．92，世． 8.
s $x .126,294, \lambda .48$ ， A． 190 ，Ф 173 ． ס． 661 mar．
า $\chi .83$, A． 578 ．












## 295．Fée $\gamma^{\prime}$ ．

291．$\delta \iota \propto \mu \mu \varepsilon \ell \varepsilon і ̈ \sigma \tau i \gamma$ Stu．，$\delta \iota \propto \mu \varepsilon \lambda$ ．mss．xii（ $\beta$ ）Eu．Fl．Ro．Tzetz．，$\delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \lambda . \alpha$ ； ${ }_{i}^{\circ}$

 $\lambda \eta \gamma \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ sed $-\lambda \varepsilon \iota \pi \varepsilon$ in mar．，$\alpha \pi \varepsilon ́ \lambda \iota \pi \varepsilon v \beta$ Eu．$\quad 295 . \boldsymbol{\vartheta} v \mu \tilde{\omega} \gamma, \boldsymbol{\vartheta} v \mu \tilde{\omega}$ H．a man． 1.

 var．1．G．
 the point of comparison appears de－ fined by the sequel－the victims were completely devoured，and that raw．－ óvéx $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ ，the Schol．Ven．A．on $\Omega .793$ prefers this accentuation to ő $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \alpha$ ．－ Aic，as the avenger of outrages on hospitality $270-1$ ．They hold up their hands in silence，as too deeply horror－ stricken for speech．

296－7．$\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda \eta \nu \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \mu \pi$ ．vท．，the expression is nowhere else used of eating，and distinguishes the monster－ glutton．So Milton uses＂crop－full＂ of his drudging goblin referred to in the next note；cf．also Virg．Geor．III． 430 － $\mathbf{1}$ ，atram Improbus ingluviem ．．． explet．－ $\boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\eta} \delta \dot{v} \boldsymbol{v}$ ，also used of the maternal womb，$\Omega .496$ ，and of all the body below the waist，as op－ posed to $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \varrho \nu \alpha N .290$ ；the entrails are perhaps intended by v $\eta^{\prime} \delta v \iota \alpha, P$ ． 524．－$\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \delta \varrho \dot{\sigma} \mu \varepsilon \alpha$ ，used（mar．）of a crowd of men，$\alpha v \delta \varrho o ́ \mu \varepsilon о \nu$ ó $\mu \iota \lambda \frac{1}{}$ ， and having a more decidedly physical
 $\pi i v \omega \nu$ ，in tmesis，＂drinking after＂， or，as we say，＂washing it down with＂；
 $\pi i v \varepsilon \iota v$ ；Arist．Eth．Nicom．VII．2， 4.
 «้ $\kappa \rho \alpha \tau 0 v$ ，Aristoph．Eq． 354.

298－306．xeĩ＇$x . \boldsymbol{\tau}$ ．ג．，the word expresses the involuntary dropping off of one overcome by gluttony，not，like גéx $\delta \mathrm{\delta} .453$ ，voluntary recumbence： $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma} . \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\iota} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\mu}$ ．assists the notion of his vast size；－＂he lay stretching （not among but）right through＂the congregated flock．comp．Milton，$L^{\prime} A l$－ legro，ito foll．

Then lies him down the lubbar fiend； And，stretch＇d out all the chimney＇s length， Basks at the fire his hairy strength． And crop－full etc．
－ゆ＠モ́ves，here in the primitive phys－ ical sense，the parts which shelter or contain the liver，（as in $\Pi .48 \mathrm{I}$ the heart）the inner walls of the thorax． D．G．Wolf de reb．ex Hom，med．p． 25 says，citing Eustath．on 1． 478 ，the









 $\pi \nu 0 \nu$.



a т. 480, 446, 441.
b $\tau .468$.
c ef. $\gamma, 87$.
d $\alpha, 11$ mar.
e $\gamma .407$ mar.
f $\Omega .446$.
g 240-1.
h 151-2 mar., $\beta$
1 mar.
$\eta .13 \mathrm{mar}$.
k 238.
1245 mar.
m 250 mar.
n 289 mar.
o $\beta$. 20 mar.
p 227.
q 217 mar.
r 240,340 .
s A. 116, i9. 443
mar.
309. Ferर́́бтท̣. 31о. Fà fépya.
303. ү๙@ หк兀. Vi. 5 Stu. 304. $\gamma \alpha ́ \rho ~ \pi \omega$ G. Vi. 5 ; $\delta v \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \vartheta \vartheta \alpha$ Vi. 5 I. Eu.

 $\dot{v} \pi \varepsilon ́ \mu \beta \varrho v o v$ a $\beta$ A. Vi. iii, $\dot{v} \pi^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\prime} \mu \beta \varrho v o v \gamma$. 3II. $\delta^{\prime} \alpha v \tau \varepsilon$ A. K. Stu. Vr. Vi. iii;


 a man. pr.
 pending the liver, are intended, which
 "feeling for it", (so below mar.) xeiৎ; is dat. of instrum. The vast bulk of the giant is implied, in which the weapon might easily miss the vital part, unless carefully directed to it. évegog... Vvuós, "second thoughts". In Eurip. Cycl. the situation, here so graphically given is spoilt. There is no mighty stone, and Odys. there is not shut in. He comes to and fro in free converse with the Chorus; and there is no reason why he should not stab the Cyclops. Nevertheless tradition is observed and the eye burnt out in due form. In Eurip. moreover the picturesque form of the @ó $\pi \alpha \lambda 0 \nu$ is missed. It is a chance-found top-shoot ( $\alpha x \rho \varepsilon ́ \mu \omega \nu$ 454) of olive, which is there used. - $\boldsymbol{\eta} \hat{\omega}$, see on 25 i.

307-35. At dawn he rekindled his
fire, and breakfasted as he had supped, drove out his cattle, and replaced the stone. Left to my musings, I noticed his staff, mast-tall and massive. A fathom's length was soon cut off, smoothed, sharpened, baked in the fire, and hidden away. We cast lots who should wield it to burn out his eye. Chance selected the very four I should have chosen.

308-15. xגvtà perhaps refers to their noise, as bleating to be milked; so
 see on 245 . $-\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\pi} \varepsilon \tilde{v} \sigma \varepsilon$ тov., see on $250 .-\delta \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \varepsilon$, most editions have $\delta^{3} \alpha v^{\star} \tau \varepsilon$, but $\delta \bar{\varepsilon}$ is unsuitable here, as in $\varepsilon \eta^{\prime} \pi \sigma \tau \varepsilon \delta \dot{\eta} \alpha v^{3} \tau \varepsilon\left(\delta^{\prime} \alpha v^{\prime} \tau \varepsilon\right), \chi \varrho \varepsilon \iota \omega^{\prime} \xi \mu \varepsilon i o$
 is used $\beta$. for that which plugs or stops a wine-jar: quivers were doubtless so stopped, viz. by a disc or plate fitting in, rather than by a lid, as we should
a II. 361.
b 217 mar.
c $\delta .676 \mathrm{mar}$.
(1 X. 20, \%. 31.
ce $9.338, x_{i} 7, H$. 81, 154, П1. 725.
1 $424,2.230$, B. 5 ,
ミ. 161, $\omega .52$,
I. 94.
g. $\alpha .337$, 9. 159 ,

$$
x .174 .
$$

h $\lambda .575, \varrho .195,236$.
i 219 mar.
k $\pi$. 47 .
1 ع. $236, N_{.} 612$.
m A. 486, N. 391.
n $\lambda .363, \zeta .152$.
o 325, x. 113, 167, 2. $25, v, 114$.
pef. $\xi .311$.









${ }^{315 .} \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \tilde{\eta}$ y A. K. Vi. 5 Eu. Ro. Schol. K 502 Photii Lex. h a man. 1., $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \tilde{\eta}$
 §оí̧ G. ${ }^{317}$. $\delta \dot{\prime} \eta$ A. H. ex em., doín Eu. Fl. var. 1. St. Ox. Wo. et rec.,


understand it. - $\varrho \boldsymbol{o} \boldsymbol{\zeta} \varphi$, , is the herdsman's whistle, analogous to the $\sigma i \tau \tau \alpha$ of Theocritus; also (mar.) the whistle of arrows.
317 ' $\boldsymbol{A} \vartheta \eta$ ǹ $\eta$, by secret inspiration, as the goddess of good counsel, seems meant. This is the only mention of her in the books from IX to XII inclusively, except $\lambda .547,626$, which are both retrospective of earlier facts. She is kept off the scene, and the hero is left to battle with fate alone. This is remarkable here, as he has not yet incurred the wrath of Poseidon through the Cyclops' curse, 528 foll.
319-20. $\gamma \dot{\kappa} \underset{\varrho}{e}$, this introduces some fact as a ground of the action before the action itself, so mar. - غ̇deciveov, the var. $1 . \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \lambda \alpha \tau i v \varepsilon o v$, or rather $\varepsilon$ है $\lambda \alpha \tau i v \alpha \iota o v$, by corruption, is noteworthy: $\varepsilon i l / \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \nu 0 \nu$ is the common Hom. adject. fr. $\varepsilon$ ह $\lambda \dot{\circ} \tau \tau \eta$, "the fir"; but the flexibility of epic language would easily admit such a form as $\bar{\varepsilon} \lambda \bar{\alpha} \tau i v \varepsilon o v$. In favour of it is the fact that the olive, a low growing tortuous tree, is unfit for such a length as might be compared to a galley's mast, which last is regularly of fir. From this Milton has borrowed his famous passage: -

His spear, to equal which the tallest pine,
Hewn on Norwegian hills to be the mast
Of some great ammiral, were but a wand.
Par. Lost 292-4.
It is probable, however, that human clubs in the poet's own day were
commonly of olive, as in $N .612$ is the handle of a battle-axe; and that without more thought he transferred the material to his giant. - $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{o} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v}$, obs. $\mu \grave{\varepsilon} \nu$ thrice commencing successive clauses with the pron. $\tau \dot{\delta}$ or $\tau \boldsymbol{v} \tilde{v}$, here and 321, and 325. It should be taken as demonstrative - "it indeed". -
 cut and put away to dry, not dry yet, as the word $\chi$ høoò $\nu$ expresses. The reading of the more correct copies,
 noting brute force and absence of tools, is worth notice; but is probably some scholar's afterthought. The Cyclops, brute as he is, is the son of a powerful god, and may have had tool or weapon, though none is mentioned. So he has $\gamma \alpha v \lambda o i$ and $\sigma x \alpha \varphi i \delta \varepsilon s, i$. e. the utensils of a Greek dairy, spoken of expressly as $\tau \varepsilon \tau v \gamma \mu \varepsilon \dot{v} \alpha$, which should mean fashioned by art, 223.
 the rel. clause is attracted to be the
 being dropped. Similar examples of con-




 (mar.). For the probable size of the













 $v \tau$
 xii $\gamma$ t Eu. Fl. Ro., $\pi \varepsilon \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\sigma} \downarrow \iota$ Aristar., h. m. Eu.; ${ }_{\alpha} \nu \omega \gamma \alpha$ Vr. Vi. 50. 332. $\sigma \grave{v} v \frac{\varepsilon}{\mu} \mu \dot{o}$ бv $\mu \mu \circ \chi \lambda \grave{v} \gamma \gamma, \sigma v \mu \mu$. Stu.
tidoc, see App. F. 1 (6) (16) (2). -
 "hewed off", we are not told what instrument was used; see on $\varepsilon$ ย̇ห $\tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$, 320 sup. - ๙́rogevvose, this might be done without much trouble by help of the knife, which each man bore, see on $\vartheta .475$. Buttmann would read $\propto \boldsymbol{\alpha} \pi 0^{-}$ $\dot{\xi} \tilde{v} \sigma \iota \iota$ Lexil. 26. - $\dot{\boldsymbol{j}} \mu \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \dot{o} v$, "smooth", the object being apparently to make it trundle easily, (see 384-6) like
 634 takes this as formed from $\pi v \rho \alpha-$ x ós verbal of $\pi v \varrho \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega:$ but these nowhere occur. I think, -cex $\tau$ - has the same element as in ${ }_{\alpha}{ }^{\alpha} x-\mu \omega \nu$ "anvil", and that this is probably $\alpha x$ "point"; see on $\pi v \varrho \iota \eta$ ия ${ }^{2} 387$ inf. Beating to a point for tools or weapons was probably an early use of the anvil. So Tubal-cain in Gen. IV. 22 is a
 (not as in our A. V.); cf. Virg. Aen. VIII. 425, nudus membra Pyr-acmon. -
 $\dot{\alpha} v \dot{\alpha}$ et $x \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$ has collected, among others, the following examples of $\pi \alpha \tau \alpha$ local with gen., $\Gamma$. ${ }^{217} \boldsymbol{\chi} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \dot{\alpha} \chi \chi \mathfrak{\vartheta} 0$ -
 $\varkappa \rho \alpha \delta \alpha \iota \nu \circ \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta \quad x \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \gamma \propto i \eta, \mathscr{\omega}^{\nu} \chi \varepsilon \tau, \Phi$.
 Hesiod Theng. 498 тov $\mu \grave{v} \nu$ Z $\varepsilon \dot{v}$ s $\sigma \tau \eta \eta_{-}$
 its use with words of motion oi"x $\varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$,

סvíıv x. $\tau$. $\lambda$. , as in $\mu$. 93, where of Scylla it is said, $\mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma \sigma \eta ~ \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \varepsilon x \propto \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ $\sigma \pi \varepsilon i o v s$ иoíhoıo $\delta \varepsilon \dot{\delta} \delta v x \varepsilon \nu$, where $x \alpha \tau \alpha$ might be "down". In all the previous instances supernal contact is meant; so here, "along or over the cave". $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda$ ' relates to the surface covered, $\eta^{\prime} \lambda \iota \vartheta \alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \eta$ to the quantity of the róroos. The unremoved litter is a touch of brutality in contrast with human usages. Thus the domestics used to remove it periodically from the palace-door of Odys. (mar.).

33x-5. Tov̀c «̈ג ©ovg, not in contrast with those of 326 ( $\varepsilon \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \circ \sigma \iota \nu$ ) but meaning all except myself. - $\boldsymbol{\lambda \lambda \dot { \eta } \varrho \omega , ~}$ the method may probably have been that of $H .1_{7}$ I foll., where pellets are marked and shaken up in a helmet, when the first, (here the first four) which escapes is deemed chosen; the same is alluded to in Soph. Aj. 1285 $-7 .-\pi \varepsilon \pi \propto \lambda \alpha \chi 9 \boldsymbol{\sigma} \ell$, Aristarch and Herodian read $\pi \varepsilon \pi \alpha \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \vartheta \rho \iota$. This seems to have been done to distinguish the sense of "casting lots" from that of "being bespattered", $\pi \varepsilon \pi \alpha \prime \lambda \alpha \kappa \tau о$ ло́$\delta \alpha_{\varsigma}$ xol $\chi \varepsilon i \varrho \alpha \varsigma, \chi$. 406; but the distinction is unreal; the same verb $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma o-$ $\mu \alpha \iota$ stands for both; as does the primary verb $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda_{\omega}$ for "casting lots", and for "shaking", a lance etc. - " $\boldsymbol{\omega} \nu \omega$ زov, see on $\alpha \nu \delta \varrho \rho^{\prime} \mu \varepsilon \alpha, ~ 297 .-0 ̈ \varsigma ~ \tau ו \varsigma ~$
a A． 610 ．
b $\eta .289$ mar．
c є． 361 mar．
（1）$\omega .279$, H． 182
e d． 453 mar．；cf．
ס． $451, ~ I .188$.
ת． 635.
个 ß． 357 ，૬．344， o． $505, \pi .452$ ．
s． 169.
h $x, 85$, e． 217.
i 237－8 mar．
k 292.
1 cf． $238-9, E$ ． 112.
m x．232，258， 0 ． $443, \xi .298, \tau$ ． 390.
n cf．$\gamma, 27, \eta, 263$.
－ 240 mar．
ץ 244－5 mar．













 St．in mar．，$-\gamma \mu \eta \nu$ St．$\alpha$ in mar．Fl．et edd． 336 ．$\eta^{5} \lambda \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \varepsilon$ K．M．Vr．Vi．${ }^{133}$.

$\lambda_{\varepsilon v \varepsilon v} \gamma$ A．G．K．Stu．Vr．Ro．Bas．St．Ern．，$-\varepsilon v \varepsilon \nu$ H．，－$\varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon \nu$ var．1．A．b Eu．



тo $\lambda \mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu$ ．．．ixcivo ，this whole clause depends on $\pi \varepsilon \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \chi \vartheta \alpha \iota$ ，in－
 $\dot{\sigma} \varphi \vartheta \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mu \bar{\omega}$ ，the poet throughout rather assumes than states that the Cyclops had one eye only．The express state－ ment belongs to later poets e．$g$ ．Hes．


 This suggests that the myth of the one－eyed giant was already current among the poet＇s hearers，so that he might assume their knowledge of it， This seems better than Eustathius＇ notion，that he had lost one eye by an accident，grounded on $\beta \lambda \varepsilon ́ \varphi \alpha \rho \alpha$ and ő甲 $\varphi v \varepsilon$（ plur．）being ascribed to him， 389 inf．，where see note．－öv $x \varepsilon$ ， for the collocation of these particles in one sent．see examples in mar．I believe，however，that the line has lost a word and that $\alpha \nu \nu \varepsilon x \alpha i$ is a mere botch of the critics．Removing the stop at $i x \dot{\alpha} v o l$ ，we might read ${ }^{\prime \prime} \pi \pi \tau \iota v$ ．
 $x, \tau . \lambda$ ．，which then falls in with the
form of the phrase elsewhere（mar．）．－


336－59．He returned at evening and drove all his cattle within the cave， did his dairy work and repeated his horrid meal．I offered him wine；re－ proachfully hinting what good things he missed by thus scaring strangers from his shore．He drank and asked for more，demanding my name and signifying high approval．
 contrast with his practice on the previous evening（mar．）．－$\tau \iota$ óَ̈̈ $\sigma \dot{\alpha}-$

 $\tilde{o}^{0} \hat{0} \mu \alpha \iota$ is thus often used of suspect－ ing harm，etc．We have once $\mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha}$ $\vartheta v \mu \dot{\nu} v$ ót $\varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ used impersonally＂me－ thinks＂，$\tau$ ．312．The double $\sigma \sigma$ is found in 8 mss ．here．What harm the Cy － clops could expect is not suggested． The driving in the males leads up to the contrivance of Odys．to escape after－ wards， $425-35$－－ $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ xcie，this alternative has been suggested twice before（mar．）．
$340-2$ see the notes on 240,245 sup．




 хœ่́＇．


## 

343 om．Vi． 50.



 －ๆย $\gamma$ ．
 405 ，Odysseus waits upon the Cyclops

 27－31 plainly understood this as a cup having ivy carved upon it，$\tau \tilde{\omega}$
 $x . \tau$ ．$\lambda$ ．This is not to be thought of in an utensil of the Cyclops or of the swineherd Eumæus（mar．）．Eustath． and Apollon．Lex． 400 give the meaning （the former guardedly，－$\varphi \alpha \sigma i$ ）as a cup made of ivy wood，and so Athen． XI．53．The бxv́qos xıббо, 3 cubits wide and 4 deep，used by the Cyclops in Eurip．Cycl．389－90 follows this same notion；as does the $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \alpha{ }_{c}$ иíб－ Givov of Timotheus，Fragm．Bergk， p．1269．The Scholl．here give both views．The later connexion of ivy with Dionysus gave currency to both； but this is post－Homeric．In Hes． Scut． 224 the word rípıos occurs，（un－ derstood as a pouch or wallet，borne by Perseus，and found as his symbol on vases）akin to $\boldsymbol{\kappa} \beta \omega \tau \dot{o}_{S}$－the radical sense probably being that of a re－ ceptacle merely．From this by metath．
 ably comes，in sense of a rustic cup． Thus also Suidas gives $x / \sigma \sigma \iota \beta \ell g$ ．Cato de R．R．cited by Goëttl．Hes，ub．sup．， says that an ivy－wood vessel would not contain wine．This seems to show that vessels were made of ivy－wood in Cato＇s time in Italy，but proves nothing about the origin of the word；save that it is against the name uıoбvipıov，
as given to a cup used for wine，being so connected with xuббos．
347．$\tau \tilde{\eta}$ ，see on £． 346 ，－áv $\boldsymbol{v}$ ¢ó－ $\mu \varepsilon \alpha$ ，see on 297．－火ó＇，this is，I believe，one of the few traces extant of hypermetral elision．The copies all have $x \varrho \dot{\varepsilon} \alpha \quad$（read in synizesis）here but in $\iota .266$ at end 2 mss ．have $\gamma 0 v^{-}$ $\nu \alpha \vartheta^{\prime}$ ，see readings there．Also in $I$ ． 104 we have vońose at end，which Heyne thought should be voń⿱㇒冋⿱㇒日：$\varepsilon \iota^{\prime}$ ，optat．， elided before oîov of next line；in 331 sup．$\alpha v \omega^{\prime} \gamma \varepsilon^{\prime}$ is the probable reading for $\alpha \nu \omega^{\circ} \gamma \varepsilon \alpha$ similarly elided；see La Roche H．Textk．p．197，bottom；but as ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu \omega \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v}$ occurs in $\gamma .35, \xi_{.471}$, E． 805 ， I have not altered it．
 $\varepsilon i \delta \tilde{\eta}$ mid． $2^{\text {d }}$ sing．and ő $\varphi \varrho^{\prime} i^{\prime} \delta \eta \sigma \vartheta$ ， $2^{d}$ pl．mar．；La Roche $H$ ．Textk．p． 286. －oióv ct，the $\tau \iota$ added gives in－ definitiness，the opposite of $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ ，which gives preciseness，to ofog．This in－ definite suggests the maximum point of quality of $\pi o$ órov，and is thus very expressive here：so we have öббos $\tau \boldsymbol{\text { s }}$ oiov $\mu \varepsilon ́ v \tau \iota v \alpha$（mar．）．With these words ef．Eurip．Cycl．4I3－4 биє́чає то́d’


 perf．has sense of＂contain＂，as in
 $\chi \varepsilon v \dot{v} \vartheta \omega$ is probably akin to $x \varepsilon \check{\mu \alpha} \alpha$ ，and in Soph．EEd．R． $967-8$ ，o $\delta \varepsilon$ $\boldsymbol{v} \alpha \nu \dot{\omega} v$ $x \varepsilon v \dot{\vartheta} \vartheta \iota$ ко́兀ш $\delta \dot{\eta} \gamma \dot{\eta} s$ ，appears synon． with it．If $x \varepsilon ่ x \varepsilon v \vartheta \mathcal{\vartheta} \alpha$ meant originally ＂I heve made to lie＂，we see at once

१ A． $49, \Omega, 70$ ．
म（D．74，$\Omega .301$ ， 357.
－©． 355.
d $\lambda .474, \varphi .28, \Pi I$ ． 203.

ง 9．202．
i $\lambda .416$ ．
～$\beta$ ． 251 mar．
h O． $88, \boldsymbol{B} .420$ ； cf．T． 10 ．
361, x． 237.
k 379, a．208，264， ©． 397.
1 A． 513.
m §． 230 ，ع．160， ข． 359 ．
n ๆ．550， $\boldsymbol{\Gamma} .235$.
o $v .296$, l． 229 mar．
р сf． $9.394-5$.
if ס． 229 mar．
r 111 mar．
s d． 445 mar．
t）$x, 514, \boldsymbol{B}, 755$ ； cf． $\boldsymbol{v} .98$.












350．Foix $\alpha \delta \varepsilon$ ．
353．F＇゙ $\sigma \alpha$ то．
354．F $\eta \delta v$ v́．
355．Féル $\varepsilon$ ．
358．Foĩvov．






how easily the notion＂I keep，store up，contain＇＂，grew upon it．－גoィßウ̀v， a＂libation＂for you to pour on my departure；so $\pi \varepsilon ́ \mu \pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \sigma \pi \varepsilon i \sigma \alpha \nu$－ $\tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ，and $o ้ \varphi \rho \alpha \lambda \varepsilon i \psi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon$ кьoí $\eta \nu, \nu$ ． 39，o．149．$\lambda o \iota \beta \eta$ is associated with $x \nu i \sigma \eta$ as an element of worship（mar．）． Eustath．has the singular notion，that the words express adulation on Odys－ séus＇part，as if he meant to pretend that it was to the Cyclops he would pour a libation，if only sent safe away． －Jivs z． $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ．$\lambda_{.}$，the words imply that if he so treated his visitors，he could not expect any more to come，nor therefore any more such wine；cf． Eschyl．Prom．V． 7 16，$\alpha \nu \eta \dot{\mu} \mu \varrho \circ \iota \gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho$
 $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega \nu=\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu$.

355－9．$\pi \varrho \dot{O} \varphi \varrho \omega \nu$ ，＂in earnest＂ （mar．），i．c．without stint．－ov́vorec， the sudden interest which the wine causes the Cyclops to take in Odys．is remarkable．On heroic courtesy in this respect see on $\gamma$ ．68－9，cf．Pind．Pyth．IV． 226－37．The Cyclops，instead of first
supplying the guest＇s wants，is only aroused to curiosity by being supplied himself．－av̇ixá $\boldsymbol{\nu} \tilde{v} \boldsymbol{v}$ is blunt and
 following the promise of a guest－gift， seems to mean that he would give him some wine to take away，and so to imply a promise to send him home．－oîvov $\boldsymbol{x}_{.} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ ．$\lambda_{\text {．，see }}$ ， 111 sup．－$\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\beta} \rho o-$ бing x． $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ；ג，．，cf．Hes．Theog；640， $\nu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} x \alpha \varrho \tau \quad \alpha \mu \beta \varrho о \sigma$ í $\eta \nu \tau \varepsilon, \tau \alpha \quad \pi \varepsilon \varrho$

 $\nu \varepsilon ์ x \tau \alpha \rho o s . ~ E u s t h a t h . ~ h e r e ~ c i t e s ~ S a p p h o ̂, ~$ Anaxandrides，and Alcman，to show that nectar was viewed as solid，and ambrosia as liquid；cf．тò véx $\alpha \propto \varrho$ हैं $\delta$－ $\mu \varepsilon v \propto \iota$, Alcman，Fragm．Bergk，p． 861 ，
 Ven．on B． 755 says that Aristarchus gave this oxyton．Another view given by the same is，that it is oxyton in the sense of an＂off－stream＂（ $\alpha^{\prime} \pi \rho^{\circ}$－ ＠o $\eta$ ，as in B．755），but parox．（ $\beta \alpha$－ Qvzoves）in that of a＂fragment＂ （ $\alpha \pi \dot{\prime} \dot{\rho} \varrho(\rho \emptyset \gamma \mu \alpha)$ or＂sample＂，as here．


















## a $\beta .57$.

1） 353 mar
c $\varkappa .27$.
（1 К．139，А．89，$\sigma$ ． 331,391 ；cf．$\gamma$ ． 139.
e $\zeta .143$ mar．
f $\tau .183$.
g．$\beta .187$ mar．
h 356 mar．
i． .483 ．
k cf． 5.269, x． 322.
1 §． 355.
m 9． 550 mar．
n 272 mar．
o 阝．20，反． 685.
1）$\lambda .78$.
ч $\chi .290$.
r $\delta .794$.
$\therefore$ $\sigma .398$, O． 647.
ת． $4-5$ ．
u t． 480 ．




 $\mu^{\prime} \alpha v^{\xi} \tau \iota s \gamma \not \subset$ ex em．I．Stu．Vi． 50 Fl．St．Bar．Ern．Ox．，$\alpha v i \tau i x{ }^{\prime}$ H，Ro．Bas．
 I．Vi． 50,56 A．a man．1． 373 ．$\varphi \alpha \alpha^{\rho} v \gamma \gamma o s$ H．（ $\left.\varphi \alpha ́ \rho v \gamma o s ~ h . ~ l e m.\right) ~ I . ~ K . ~ M . ~$ Vi．iii．A．a man．2．（ $\propto<\varrho v \gamma o s$ Herod．et＂accuratiores＂）Eu．

360－94．I poured the draught thrice， the wine began to work．I told him my name was Outis．He promised he would eat me last．He fell over sleepy－drunk，and revolting tokens of his gluttony followed．The stake was soon in the fire and red－hot．With hearts of cheer through help divine we thrust it into his eye and twirled it round like a naval wimble，till it hissed like a forge－iron plunged in water．

366．Ovंचt¢，the accent，changed from ov $\tau \iota s$ ，should be noticed．Odys． throughout this perilous，though gro－ tesque，scene is the life of the whole plot，and the salvation of his com－ rades．He is ever ready；whereas they all seem without resource through panic． He shares their feeling of horror（ $\mathbf{2}_{5} 6$ $-7,294$ ）but alone is not unmanned by it；cf．Virg．ub．sup．Haud impune quidem：nec talia passus Ulixes，Oblitusve sui est Ithacus discrimine tanto．－оронй，
a remarkable instance（mar．）of length by arsis combined with hiatus，see on そ． 269 ．

369－74．Ov́rev，in Aristoph．Vesp． 180 foll．，an amusing burlesque scene is founded on this．Old Philocleon，kept a prisoner by his son，tries to escape by hanging on（after the fashion of Odys． $43 \mathrm{I}-5$ inf．）to the belly of the ass， which is going to be sold，and，detected， gives his name as Outis．The resem－ blance of the name to Odysseus should be noticed．－ォv́ractov，the wine was probably all drunk up，or the Cy－ clops too far overcome to suppress his purpose further．The really＂last eaten＂ was Antiphus（ $\beta$ ．19－20）．－ćver－ $\chi \lambda \iota \nu \vartheta \varepsilon i \varsigma$ ，of one reclining for ordinary repose（ $\delta .794$ ）；in attempting this he fell over flat．－xEĩ＇，see on 298
 Virg．Aen．III． 631 ，cervicem inflexam posuit．The rest of the description here is closely followed．－péperos，see
a 297 mar.
b) cf. ع. 403 mar., Y. 404.

с $x .555, \varphi, 304$.
d ef. 319, ย. 261.
p cf. e. 488.
f $\boldsymbol{v} .323, \boldsymbol{N} .767$,
$P \cdot 117$.
or $\Sigma .199$.
if $\sigma .348, v .286 ; c f$. II. 99.
i 320 mar.
k cf. 386 .
1353 mar.
m v. 368.
i) $\xi .216, E .1-2$.

- т. 138, w. 520,
$\boldsymbol{P} .456,570$.

1) 320 mar.

4 9.375 mar.
r. $\sum .494$; ef. ८. 153.
s O. 410, P. 744 ; cf. 七. 498.











 378. हैג $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu 0$ g $\gamma$ et sic 382, cf. ad 320. 379. $\alpha^{\prime \prime} \psi \alpha \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota \gamma$ A. Stu. Vr. Vi. 50,133 M.


 H. et $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$. $384 . \delta^{\prime} \mathrm{om} . \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{h}$ Eu. Fl. var. l. St. Ox. Wo. recentt., $\mathrm{\omega}^{\prime} \delta^{\prime}$ edd. vett. pler.
on $\sigma \varphi \propto \rho \alpha \gamma \varepsilon v \tilde{v} \tau 0390 \mathrm{inf}$., and cf. Eurip.

 abatur, for various shades in the meaning of this word see mar.

376-9. عíws 9\&@uaivoıto, the optat. shows the notion in his mind al the time of the act: comp. with this

 which limited the act is expressed. $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \delta \dot{v} \eta$, this also is optat. and appears in one ms. as $\alpha \boldsymbol{v} \alpha \delta v i \eta$. Similar forms
 for $\delta v i \eta$ (mar.). The meaning is "slink off". - "$\psi \varepsilon \sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$, to "catch", as we say of fuel; cf. $\alpha \psi \alpha ́ \mu \varepsilon \nu 0 \iota, ~ 386$. -


$380-4$. The action, as regards the parts taken in it, is obscure, and the simile explains it per obscurius; see the next note. Odys. brought the stake from the fire, the others took and drove it into the eye. He, raised on a higher level, kept on twirling it. On what he was raised does not appear. Standing on tiptoe merely, would not suit the purpose, since a surer footing
than would thus be gained seems necessary. Nor, would "reaching up" fitly render $\varepsilon^{\prime} \varphi \dot{\sim} \pi$. $\alpha \varepsilon \varrho$., or suit the action; since more command over the weapon than could be gained by so reaching is also requisite. It should be noticed, however, that Aristar. read

 372 , would not be quite vertical; and a slight elevation would thus suffice. The others continue holding it during the action diveov, and perhaps assist the revolutions ( $\delta \iota \nu \varepsilon \varepsilon_{0} \mu \varepsilon \nu$ ), while they guide the point. The whole action would be necessarily very much shorter than the description, even without the

 simile, as often in $H_{\text {, }}$, is inexact. In the wimble, the turning power seems administered by the strap from below ( $\dot{v} \pi \sigma \sigma \sigma \varepsilon i ́ o v \sigma \iota \nu$ ), conceived as wrapping flat round the barrel of the tool, and the guidance supplied from above. In the action illustrated it is vice versa. - ceviఱ, all the grammarians take this as optat., which is in direct violation of Homer's usage, who uses the optat. to introduce a simile only with





 $\omega_{S} \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} \tau^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} \nu \eta \varrho^{i} \chi \alpha \lambda x \varepsilon \dot{v} S \pi \varepsilon ́ \lambda \varepsilon x v \nu^{\mathrm{k}} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \alpha \nu \nu \eta^{\dagger} \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma x \varepsilon ́ \pi \alpha \varrho \nu 0 \nu^{1}$
$a \cong 285$
b $\varphi$ ． 69 ．
c 384 mar．
d $\Sigma$ ．402－3．
e $\xi$ ． 75 ．
f $\pi .290$ ．
g ニ．494；cf．$\Theta$ 164.
h 440 ．
A．187，216；cf．
Э． 584.
k e． 234 mar．
le． 237.


 pro intern．vault Di．，élóvtes Aristar．，h． $388 \mathrm{om} . \alpha$ sod in mar．add． 390．$\pi \varepsilon \varrho i$ Eu．in ed．Bes．，$\pi v \varrho i$ in ed．Ro．；＠í̧aı $\gamma . \quad$ 391．xé $\pi \alpha \varrho v o v$ Vi． 50.
$\omega_{s} \varepsilon i$ preceding，and not always then （e．g．N．492）．I take it as $3^{\text {rd }}$ sing． subjunct．from a verb $\tau \varrho \dot{\jmath} \pi \omega_{\mu} \mu$（being found only here in H．，there is no proof that its pres．indic．is $\left.\tau \varrho v \pi \alpha^{\prime} \omega\right)$ of the form $\gamma \nu \tilde{\omega} \delta \iota \delta \tilde{\omega}$ ，which are really contracted forms from－ $\boldsymbol{\eta} \eta$ ；see Ahrens， Gr．Form．§ 56，6．§ 75．§ 76．For the subjunct．of simile see App．A． 9 （14）．It is often followed up by the indic．as here．－ípávtィ，so in 15 passages，only 5 have $\left\{\mu \alpha{ }_{c}:\right.$ Bekk． How．Bl．p．279．－夭ं $\psi \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon v o \iota, ~ " c a t c h-~$ ing hold＇， ，cf．on $379, \alpha \neq \dot{\alpha} \psi \sigma \boldsymbol{v} \alpha \iota$ ．－
 fastness＂．
 to write $\pi v \varrho \iota \eta=\alpha \alpha \alpha \nu v \eta^{\prime} x \varepsilon \alpha$ ，the Scholl． H．Q．as here：in $\alpha^{\prime} \mu \varphi \eta^{\prime} x \eta$ S K．${ }_{256}$ ， $\pi \varrho о \eta \eta_{s} \mu .205$ ，the parox．is goner－ ally accepted．All involve the root $\alpha x$－ ＂point＂；see on ह́лvৎф́x
 have read lóvz $\alpha$ here，referring $\vartheta \varepsilon \varrho-$ $\mu \dot{o} \nu$ to $\alpha \tilde{l} \mu \alpha$ ，but needlessly．Ni，cites Ov．Met．XII．${ }^{27} 5$, Et vulnere sanguis inustus Terribilem stridore sonum dedit， as tending to show by sanguis inustus that Ovid read the same．But éóvé with an adj．preceding is so common a close of a Homeric line that prob－ ability is in favour of it here．And the force of it is，＂hot though it（the stake）was，the blood flowed round it＂－enhancing the description of the feat，which caused a wound，pierce－ ing while it burned．
389；The plur．forms here， $\boldsymbol{\beta} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon}$－ po＠＇óp＠v́ag，give occasion to the Schol．M．as to Eustath．（v．sup，at 333）
to remark that the Cyclops was one－ eyed，not by nature but by an accident． This，besides its natural unlikelihood， seems inconsistent with his suggested prayer to Poseidon to heal his lost eye，inf．520， $525 .-\beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \alpha \rho o v$ is probably，if we comp．$\beta \lambda \varepsilon ́ \varphi \propto \rho \iota$（Mri－ stoph．Eq．373），the lower as well as the upper ridge on which the eye－ lashes grow，and thus one eye must have two．As regards óq＠ìs，if we take the Hesiodic description，Theog．
 иєьто $\mu \varepsilon \tau \omega \dot{\pi} \omega$ ，there might still be two hairy．brows below it - of course a monstrous and unnatural arrangement， but poetically conceivable．The greater probability，however，is that the poet did not trouble himself about such mi－ nutiæ．For belief in a one－eyed race
 27 and the derivation given there．
 ling＂；this word seems originally to have expressed sound，and thence，like our＂crack＂and the Gr．ह＂＇$\alpha$ quo ，to have come to mean＂break＂or＂burst＂． Thus $\beta \alpha \rho v \sigma \varphi \propto \rho \alpha \gamma \varphi \pi \alpha \tau \rho$（ $\Delta i i$ ）Find． Isth．VIII． 47 ．Thus in 440 inf．it means ＂were on the point of bursting＂．The word $\varphi \alpha \alpha^{\rho} ల \gamma \xi$ ，or $\varphi \alpha{ }^{\prime} \rho v \xi$ ， 373 sup．，was probably $\sigma \varphi \propto \varrho v \xi \xi$ ，so called from the voice it gave out，though used（Scholl．） for the whole throat，including both gullet and larynx．－ai cot，cf．汤．493，


391－3． $\boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{g} \boldsymbol{\delta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{o} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ ，the point of the simile is the fizzing noise which the eye gave out．－$\sigma x \varepsilon ́ \pi \alpha \rho \nu o v$, see on
a Ф． 10 ．
be． 29 ．
c 320 mar．
d $\Sigma .35, \chi .81$.
e 236.
f $\sigma .21$ ；cf．＠．103，
т． 596.
g．E． 352 ；cf．$\sigma .333$ ， 393.
h \％．83，＠． 271.
i $\pi .232$ ．
$k \pi .365, \xi .2$.
1 x．118，छ．266，
II． 508
m w． 414.
n 493，x．119，442，
2． $42, \mu .392$.
० $\psi .9, \boldsymbol{P} .550, \boldsymbol{I}$ ．
615.
p 5.2 mar．
q リ． 283.
r $x .84, \tau .591$.
















392．$\beta \alpha \dot{\pi} \pi \tau \eta$ H．Wo．recentt．var．l．St．，－$\varepsilon \iota$ K．Vi．56． 133 A．ex em．St．Ba． £＠i
 ol
 56．398．＂ג’ivíшv veterum nonn．＂Eu．400．ต้หоvv K．；блєiєбб८ K．Vi． 5.
 404．ỏ $\varrho \varphi v \alpha i \eta v$
 G．I．Hesych．， $\mathscr{\alpha}^{\mu} \mu \mu \varepsilon \tau i \vartheta \eta \sigma \vartheta \alpha$ var．1．$\alpha .405$ om．I；$\varepsilon \ell \mu \dot{\eta}$ Apollon．de Synt．；
 Vi．5；post hunc v．Ba．，ex Diogenis Laër．Zenone＂suo loco restituit＂
 respuit．

ع．237，$\alpha .246 .-\varphi \alpha \varrho \mu \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ ，the metaphor is from the effect of drugs （tonics for instance，$\varphi \alpha \alpha_{\rho} \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha$ है $\sigma \vartheta \backslash \dot{\alpha}$ ס．230）on the bodily frame of man； दُv $\delta v \nu \alpha \mu \tilde{\omega} v$ Schol．；＂bracing，temper－ ing＂；cf．Soph．$A j .651, \beta \alpha \varphi \tilde{\eta}$ б ${ }^{\prime} \delta \eta$－
 452，contrast：from being hot and yield－ ing it becomes cold and hard．－$\gamma \varepsilon$ ＂in particular＂，other metals not being so treated．

395－402．He roared，we fled，he called to the Cyclopes in their mountain caverns．They heard and came，asking what ailed him？
398－402．$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \hat{v} \omega \nu$ ，but in $\sigma .333 ~ \eta ̆$ $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{v} \varepsilon \iota \varsigma, a$ word expressing mental
aberration，whether through joy，pain， or grief：perhaps connected with Lat． hallu－cinor，－$\chi \varepsilon \varrho \sigma i v$ belongs to éœ＠и－ $\psi \varepsilon v$. －$\ddot{\eta} \pi v \varepsilon \nu$ ，the loudness of the cry is implied by the remoteness ascribed to the dwellings of the Cy－ clopes．－x $\boldsymbol{\eta} \delta \boldsymbol{\delta}$ ，optat．proper to ob－ lique interrogation in past tense．
403－12．They enquired why he thus broke their rest－was it robbery， murder，or what？He told them it was Outis－they rejoined suitably，bidd－ ing him pray to Poseidon his sire．
403－12．，d́＠$\eta \mu$ と́vog，see on そ．2．－ $\nu \dot{v} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{i}^{\prime} \dot{\dot{\alpha} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}}$ ．，these words occur in Alcman Fragm．（Bergk p．836）．－ $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau e g$ ，for this form of question see




 $\nu 0$ ṽбóve $\gamma^{\prime}$ ov̉ $\pi \omega s$ ह̈бть $\Delta \iota o ̀ s ~ \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda o v ~ \alpha ं \lambda \varepsilon ́ \alpha \sigma v \alpha \iota . ~$








a 408, H． 142.
b 406 mar．
c $\eta .236$ mar．
d $\mu$ ．297，X． 229
e $\boldsymbol{N} .670$ ．
f $\delta .752$.
g $526, \gamma .54$.
h ゆ． 389 ；cf $v .13$.
i cf． $\boldsymbol{\beta} .279$.
k A． 269.
1 Э． 196.
m cf．240－2．
n Ф． 115.
o 444.
p 461，o． 451.
q $\varphi \cdot 157$.

406 om．$\gamma$ Stu．；$\varepsilon i \mu \grave{\eta}$ Apollon．de Pronom．；$\sigma \alpha v \grave{v}$ ov K．Vi． $5 ; \chi \tau \varepsilon i v \varepsilon \iota$ H．ex em．






 $\pi \alpha ́ \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon \nu$ Vi． 56 ；$\alpha \mu \varepsilon i v \omega \nu ~ V i . ~ 50 ~ A . ~ v a r . ~ 1 . ~ .4 i 6 . ~ \psi \eta \lambda \alpha \varphi \alpha ́ \omega \nu ~ G . ~ 417 . ~ \alpha v ̉ \tau o v ̃ ~$
 $\sigma \tau i \chi \circ \nu \tau \alpha$ Vi．5， 56.

419．$\gamma \alpha ́ \rho$ лov $\mu$ I．Vi．iii．
 be read with an eye to the fact that ovirıs is conveyed underneath it，giv－ ing，in conjunction with ovo $\dot{\varepsilon} \beta i \eta \varphi \iota \nu$ ， the force of a total negation to the whole line as understood by the Cy － clopes，and leading up to their rejoin－ der，＂well！if there is no one hurting you，etc．＂What he meant was to assert that Outis was killing him not by force but by stratagem，cf．Eurip．



$O v x$ «้อ’ $\varepsilon \bar{i}$ vv甲クós．
－夭่ 2 écolvat，see on 274．They acknowledge Zeus in a qualified way see on 275 ．

413－36．While I was amused at the success of my pseudonym，he groaning felt about removed the stone and sat in the entry with his hands out to catch us－as if we had been such fools！At my wit＇s end for my life I tied sheep by threes together and a man under each midmost sheep．Then twisting myself under belly of the biggest ram，I waited for the dawn．

413－6．xท̃＠，secret and suppressed feeling seems intended－＂I chuckled to think＂；cf．mar．－$\mu \tilde{\eta} \tau \ell$ ，a further play on the name，ef．the $\mu \eta^{\prime} \tau \iota s$ of 405－6．－ч $\boldsymbol{\eta} \lambda \boldsymbol{\omega} \varphi \boldsymbol{\sigma} \omega v$ only here；cf． $\alpha \mu \varphi \alpha \varphi{ }^{\alpha} \omega \nu$（mar．）．The first element in the word is probably the same as in $\psi \iota \lambda$－òs，＂having the surface exposed＂． Hence＂to touch the surface lightly＂ is the meaning．
a $\gamma .129$ mar.
b cf. $\Omega .655$.
c e. 356 mar.
d d. 678 mar.
e X. 161.
f 318 mar.
g $\psi .377, \xi .16, \Theta 7$.
h $\xi .305$.
i $0.418, \boldsymbol{\sigma} .218$.
k $\delta .135$.
$1 \mu .424, \xi .72$.
m A. 105, x. 166.
n $\mu .87, \sum .410$; cf. $\not .219$, ८. 257 , 187.

- 189 mar.
p cf. $\xi .98$.
q cf. ع. 490.
r ן. $365, \boldsymbol{\beta} .384$.
s cf. $319, \boldsymbol{\alpha} 337$.
t M. 344.
















 425. \%izs A. G. H. I.

 $\lambda i \gamma o \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ Vi. 50, גiүoıбє A. var. 1.428 om. Vi. 56 . $\tau 0$ ís $\beta$ H. I. K. Stu. Vi. 5.




421-3. These lines illustrate $\alpha$. 5,
 غ́ $\tau<\varrho \varrho \omega \nu$.
425. $\delta \alpha \sigma v \mu \alpha \lambda 20 t$, the epith. leads up to the contrivance which is soon after described; - the thicker the wool the more it aided the stratagem. - rodoi, the size of the sheep may be poetically supposed larger than ordinary, to bear some proportion to their master. - iodveqغ̀s, see on $\delta$. 134. The remarks on Homeric colour Gladst. III. iv. p. 457 foll. ( $\left\langle 0 \delta v \varepsilon \varphi{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right.$ 471 ) are well worthy of attention. The "Maeonian woman" of $\Delta$. 141, there referred to, may have been the poet's country-woman, and as such would not be "foreign". But even if the art were so, Helen dwells amidst the rarities of foreign parts, and the presence of dyed wool in her basket would be no wonder. The hue was most likely some shade of blue (Fiov, "violet"). Here probably something unusual is similarly intended, viz. that the sheep had naturally the co-
lour, whatever it was, most esteemed as a rare dye for wool: cf. Virg. Bucol. IV. 45, Sponte sua sandyx pascentes vestiet
 2v́roıбıv, "willow-twigs" used also (mar.) to bind a prisoner with the remarkable epithet $\mu$ о́б $\chi о \iota \sigma \iota$; the Lat. ligo and luctor ( $\lambda v \gamma i \xi \omega$ ) are probably connected with it. With the same Odys. makes an extempore rope to bind the big deer (mar.). - л $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega \varrho$ к. $\tau . \lambda .$, see on 187 and 190 sup.

430-2. б由ovteg, "covering", so (mar.) $\sigma \pi \varepsilon ́ \rho \mu \alpha ~ \pi v \varrho o ̀ s ~ \sigma \omega ' \xi \omega \nu$, where the notion of covering up as a means of safety is shown. - ètcioovg, here the middle sheep "their fellows", not " $m y$ comrades". As a means of concealment this was required for the present, in case the Cyclops should feel about for them; also as a means of escape when daylight came. In this condition they are supposed to spend part of the night. - $\gamma \dot{\infty} \varrho$, see on 319 sup.















a $\Omega .510$ ．
b N．599， 716.
с $\mu .437$ ．
d $\delta .459$ mar．，$\mu$ ． 433.
e 306－7 mar．
f 217 mar．
g 238.
h K． 362 ， 4.435.
i 219 mar．
k cf．I．141， 283.
1390.
m E． 766.
ก O．60－1．
o $446, \lambda .531$ ；ef．
M．220，x． 302.
p $\Sigma$ ． 246.
${ }_{q} \boldsymbol{\chi} .32, \boldsymbol{Y} .264, \boldsymbol{X}$ ． 445.
r E． 137.
s 447－8．
t 418 mar．
u D． 220 ．
v 302 mar．
w 461.
x ข． $154, \chi .233$ ， Z． 55.
y $\tau .312$ ．
z 458.
аа $\Pi$ ． 585.
bb 444.
440．Fর́v $\alpha \xi$ ．441．ỏfícv．442．ỏfícv．443．Foı ófí $\omega v$ ．



 441．ól $\omega \nu$ om． $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ．445．$\lambda \alpha \chi \mu \tilde{\omega}$ mss．xiii（ $\boldsymbol{\gamma})$ Eu．Fl．Ro．，$\lambda \alpha \chi \mu \tilde{\omega}$ H． $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ ，edd． $\mu \stackrel{\omega}{\omega}$
ante Wo．Apoll．Lex．Hesych．，$\lambda \alpha \chi \nu \omega \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ，$\lambda \alpha \dot{\chi} \nu \varphi$ G．var．1．Herodian，＂melius＂ Eu．m．Seleucus ad Etym．Mag．558，24．var．1．h schol．Heidel．m．v．，ita Wo．et recentt．446．غ̇лı $\mu \alpha \iota o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o s$ et $-\mu \alpha \sigma \sigma o ́ \mu \varepsilon \nu o s ~ E u . ~$

## 433－5．$x \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \ldots \lambda \alpha \beta \dot{\omega} \nu$ ，in tmesis．

 －vø゙tc，the reason of this action is probably that the animal was recumbent till thus aroused．－$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \boldsymbol{v \sigma \vartheta \varepsilon i}$ ，（the var．l．here should be noticed，）ह̇̇v́v siluc，the latter rather stronger in meaning，＂wrap＂，or＂cover＂；ह̇̉vic
 र $\alpha i \alpha v$ हैं $\lambda \dot{v} \sigma \vartheta \eta \Psi .393$ ；＂twisting my－
 this as properly the old word for floc－ cus，with no relation to the＂flower＂， real or metaphorical，of anything； Lexil．33．－v $\omega \lambda \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{c}}$（see App．
 váovers，＂with many a sigh＂of ill suppressed anxiety．

437－45．Morning came．The cattle went forth，the females unmilked．He felt the sheep＇s backs：so they escaped －I holding on to the ram during a pause of anxiety．

439－45．غ́нє́цचxov，the doubled
syllable conveys the image of their oft repeated note，and suggests the onomatopoietic origin of the word．The fact would serve to distract the Cy－ clops＇attention from the rams．－$\sigma, \varphi \alpha-$ ＠ayદขัขто，see on 390 sup．－غ่лє－
 as recumbent before；see on vãtc， 433 sup．－ס́́devto，the thick wool （ 425 sup．）would hide the ropes．－ $\boldsymbol{\pi v x} \boldsymbol{\nu} \dot{\alpha}$ ழ＠ov．－i．e．to be prepared against any miscarriage．The Scholl． notice the $\varphi \iota \lambda \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota \varrho i \alpha$ of Odys．in thus taking the last chance of escape and not being，like the rest，tied under three．

447 －60．He stopped the ram，felt it， and spoke to it，wondering why it came last，and adding－if it could only speak and tell him where I was，his revenge would be sweet！

447－9．み＠t亡，cf．Cicero Tusc．Quaest． V． 39 ＂Polyphemum Homerus cum im－ manem ferumque finxisset，cum ariete
a d． 810 ．
b ef． $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$ ． 523 ．
c c． $113, \boldsymbol{H} .162$.
d ef．．1． 357.
e O．307，2． 539
f $\zeta .216$ mar．
g o．308，2． 223.
h s． 357.
i $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$ ． $532,547$.
k $\alpha$ ． 343 ．
I $504, \lambda .103, v .343$ ；
cf．$\alpha$ ． 69 ．
m 516.
n 366， 369.
o Z． 488.
p X．219；cf．$\alpha$ ． 18.
q ૬． 183 ．
r 之．281；cf．＠．581， $\gamma 297$.
s 290 mar．
1447.
u \＆ 71 mar












454．Foiv．457．Feı 458．Fo九．

45I．$\lambda \iota \lambda \alpha i \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \iota ~ V i . ~ 50 ; ~ 人 ̇ \pi 0-~$ $\nu \alpha i \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$ et $-\nu \dot{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$ Eu．，452．グ I．K．Vi．5，56．453．$\pi \varepsilon^{\nu} \vartheta \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota$ ．H．cum



 $\pi o \tau i \sigma \pi \varepsilon ́ o s$ Eu．
etiam colloquentem facit，ejusque laudare fortunas，quod qua vellet ingredi posset et quae vellet attingere．This shows that Cicero completely missed，or perhaps mis－remembered，the spirit of the pas－ sage．He wholly skips the emphatic thrice－repeated $\pi \varrho \tilde{\omega} \tau o s$ and seems in laudare fortunas and qua vellet ingredi to contrast the ram＇s fortunes with his own，instead of the ram＇s slinking out last with his usual forwardness．But the sympathy claimed for himself in 452－3 is the crowning point of the whole to which all the rest leads up， and this too Cicero slurs over．The Scholl．comp．Hector to his horses，

 $\pi \varepsilon ́ \pi o \nu$ generally forms an exclamation by itself；cf．$\omega^{\tau} \pi \varepsilon ่ \pi o \nu, \omega^{\Xi} M \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon ่ \lambda \alpha \varepsilon, Z$. 55 The word seems derived from the notion of ripe fruit，soft，mellow，and sweet；matura et cocta Cic．Cato，XIX． 7 I ． In Latin $\pi \varepsilon \pi$－becomes coq－or cic－（ci－ cur）；but is retained in pop－ina $=c o-$ quina：we have＂bake＂and＂cook＂side by side；and so the German．－E̋oxéc ．．．vépecィ，for the pres．see on $\delta .81 \mathrm{I}$ ．

450－4．$\beta \iota \beta \dot{\alpha} \varsigma$ ，this form with $\beta \iota-$
$\beta \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \beta \iota \beta \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ ，and $\beta \iota \beta \tilde{\omega} \nu$ with $\beta \iota \beta \tilde{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha$ are alike supported on good author－ ity；e．g．the Schol．Ven．A．and Eustath． both have $\beta \iota \beta \dot{\alpha} s$ in $H_{.}{ }_{21} 3$ and $O .686$ ， in $\Gamma .22$ both have $\beta \iota \beta \tilde{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha$ ，in $\lambda .539$ all mss．have $\beta \iota \beta \omega \tilde{\sigma} \alpha$ ；see La Roche，$H$ ． T＇extk．p．216，from whose remarks it seems that $\beta \ell \beta \alpha_{s}-\tilde{\alpha} \sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{x _ { \dot { \prime } }} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ ．$\lambda_{0}$ is ra－ ther more frequent．－ $\boldsymbol{\sim} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\tau} \varepsilon$ ，see on 393 sup．－¢＠モ́vん૬，might be $\varphi \varrho \varepsilon ์ v \alpha$ Foivต，but need not；see on 182 sup．

455．Ni．thinks that this line inter－ rupts the current of feeling which otherwise runs on uninterrupted from the sympathetic question， $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathcal{T}} \sigma \dot{v} \gamma^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha}^{\mu} \nu \alpha-$ $\boldsymbol{x} \cos x_{0} \tau . \lambda .$, to the sympathetic wish $\varepsilon i \delta \grave{\eta} \delta \mu о \varphi \varrho$ ．But he does not say why it should not be interrupted，for in－ stance by a sudden thought of him who had wrought the mischief from which the speaker was smarting．He propo－ ses to drop the line out．Its meaning is threatful，＂I can tell him he is not yet out harm＇s way＂．

456－60．عi $\delta \dot{\eta}$ и．$\tau . \lambda .$, ＂if only you could sympathize！＂－ทं $\lambda \alpha \sigma r a ́ \zeta \varepsilon \iota$, for the various forms，$\dot{\alpha} \lambda v \sigma \kappa \alpha \dot{\sigma} \omega$ etc．，see mar．－oí．．．タยєvoú̇vov，see on そ． ${ }^{1} 57-9$, ห． $483-5 .-\gamma \varepsilon$ adds a scornful















a Z．135；cf． 289 $-90, \zeta .157$ mar．
b E． 734.
c．Ф． 292.
d 9.209, ८． 515 ．
e 447.
f $\boldsymbol{E} .694, \boldsymbol{\text { © }} .237$
g cf．$\sigma .95-6, \omega$ ． 483－5．
h $\gamma, 14$ mar．
i $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$ ． 750 ．
kB． 295.
1 K．35，あ． 607
m 230.
n 61 mar．
o $\mathbf{\Sigma}, 355$ ．
р $\eta .40-1, E .802$.
q．©．129，II． 250 ，
X． 205 ；cf．$\ell .490$.
r $\pi .164, \varphi .431$.
s 336 ．
t cf．$\mu .415, \xi .305$.
u 227 mar．
v d． 511 mar．
w 103－4 mar．
x ع． 400 mar．
y v．177，A． 539 ， w． 240 ．




mar．H．var．1．Roo．St．；$\pi i ́ o \nu \iota$ G．I．Yr．Vi．5， 56 ，$\pi \iota \nu \nu \iota$ \＆H． $465 . \pi \varepsilon \varrho \iota-$
 474．$\mu \varepsilon \iota \lambda \iota \chi i ́ \iota \sigma \iota \&$ sed in mar．correx．
emphasis，＂that brain of his！＂or，as C．F．Nägelsbach，de part．$\gamma \varepsilon$ ，p． 18 ， ＂his very brain＂．－overıסथvos，＂that good－for－nothing！＂

461－79．Quit at last，I got down and released the rest．We drove off his flocks and rejoined our comrades at the ship．I checked lamentations， silence being our only safety．We put off．But while we were yet within reach of voice，I turned and taunted him with the vengeance which had overtaken him．
461－70．ヲひ́＠á̧e merely means ＂forth＂without special reference to
 subject is distributively taken in $\varepsilon \quad \gamma \dot{\omega}$ and $\varepsilon$ éciœoo（as it should have regularly been）in 463 ，but then the second mem－ ber of the distribution changes the subj． to obj．－$\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha{ }^{\prime} \varrho o v s ;$ see mar．，and the remarks on $\varepsilon .477$ ，where anacol．in
an appositional sentence occurs in both the distributed members．－ $\boldsymbol{\eta} \beta \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\iota} \boldsymbol{o} \nu$ ， see on $\gamma .{ }^{14}$ ．In Hes．Opp．418，we have $\beta \alpha \iota o ̀ v$ vi se $x \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \eta \eta_{s}$ ，and the Schol．Ven．at B． 380 allows $\beta \alpha \iota \nu$ as a reading but supports $\eta^{\prime} \beta \alpha i o ̀ v$ as more Homeric．－$\pi o \lambda \lambda \dot{\varepsilon}, ~ a d v$ ．with $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota-$ $\tau \varrho о \pi . ;$ meaning＂dodging and heading them this way and that＇，as no shouts or whistling（ $3^{1} 5$ sup．）could safely be used．In 470 inf．$\pi \dot{\sigma} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ agrees with $\mu \tilde{\eta} \lambda \alpha$ ．－oil，these were only six，beside himself．Twelve were taken， 195 sup；， six eaten by the Cyclops．－ $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v a}$－ xovio，＂were beginning to lament＂． － $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v} \dot{\alpha}$ ，has neg．force here（mar．），in tmesis with veṽov；cf．Virg．Aden．III． 667 ，tacitique incidere funem．－$\beta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\boldsymbol{o}} \boldsymbol{\nu}$－
 would have sufficed．

471－9．ж $2 \eta \tilde{\imath} \sigma \iota$ ，see App．F．I（17）． －xatigov，see on গ．6．－tóáov
a 2．553，v． 293.
b A． 390 ，N． 777.
c $\beta$ ． 20 ．
d $\mu .210$, Ф． 501.
e a． 46 ．
f Z． 341.
\％．阝．67，9．329．
h 494，$\mu .116,21$ ，
II． 203.
i e．401，E． 830 ．
k $\delta .4$.
1 э． 306 mar．，$\nu$ 213.
$\mathrm{m} \mu .377$.
n ع． 284 mar．
o $\beta .147, \chi .113, \boldsymbol{B}$ ． 456.
p $\boldsymbol{x} .172,8.344$ mar．
q $\mu .354,0.693$.
r 540－2．
s $\mu .218$
t 气． 392.
и ع． 430 ．
v $\lambda .639$ ．



475












477．Fég $\frac{1}{2}$ 47．Foinc．

 Vi．iii．Schol．$\Sigma .3$ H．a man．alt．véos $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{H}$ ．a man．${\underset{v}{1}}^{\text {et }}$ ad $x .127$.

 Eu．；$\pi \alpha \lambda \iota \rho$ óviov I．．．．486．$\pi \lambda \eta \mu v e i s($（et $\pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \mu v e \iota s)$ Eu．Ro．Bas．Bek．Di．，－$\mu \mu-$
 q．suspicor $\vartheta \vartheta \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \omega \sigma \alpha \nu$（a 542 translato schol，ibi ad $x \tilde{v} \mu \alpha$ pertinens）．
 civá $\lambda r i \delta o s$ ，＂not one who could not revenge himself＂．－${ }^{\prime \prime} \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \varsigma$ ，so often （mar．）a fact or result achieved is put as it were back to the point of time at which it was prospective；so ${ }^{\prime \prime} \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon$ 477．We may illustrate this by the contrast of the Lat．epistolary idiom， dabam etc．literas，which puts a present fact forward to the point at which it
 $\varphi \iota v$ ，＂by high－handed violence＂．－ zaì $\lambda i ̉ \eta \nu$ ，see on $\alpha$ ．46．－$\sigma \chi$ モ́t $\lambda e^{\prime}$ ， ＂atrocious＂；sometimes，according to context，＂presumptuous＂，or plur． ，，dare－devils＂（mar．）．－ov̉z ひ̈́geo ，＂shrankest not＂．－Zev̀s，whom he had defied sup．275－8．

480－505．He answered by flinging a fragment of rock，which overshot the mark，but raised a swell which washed our ship back towards the shore． I shoved her off and nodded to my comrades to pull lustily．When we had doubled our previous distance，I
shouted again，in spite of my com－ rades＇remonstrances，who feared my voice would guide his next shot to crush them．But I was staunch and spoke my mind indignantly，telling him my real name and country．
481－2．So the Læstrygones，giant cannibals，in the next book，pelt the
 $\mu \alpha \delta i o \iota \sigma \iota v$, x． 12 I ；cf．Ov．Met．XIV． 181－2，Vidi cum monte revulso Imma－ nem scopulum medias permisit in undas．

483 is rejected as anticipating its right place 54 I inf．The oiviov is the steering paddle（mar．App．F．I（i4））． A rock falling aft，as there it does， might well just fall short of the pad－ dle＇s end ；not so one which past over the ship and fell ahead．Some of the early commentators thought that Odys． had turned the ship round，to speak to the Cyclops－a supposition，for which there is no more ground here than in 542 ．

485－6．$\pi \lambda$ nurueis，Apollon．Rhod．















a O． 229.
b $x .293, \mu .443$.
c $\varepsilon .439$ ．
d $\beta .422, \xi, 79$, e． 561，x． 531.
e cf．$\mu$ ．171－2．
f $\gamma .175$ mar．
g o．463－4，$\omega .335$ ； cf．.$\frac{468 \text { mar．}}{}$
h $\mu .194$.
i \＆． 400 mar ．
k o．47， 219.
1 544，К．151， 0.9.
m $\zeta .143$ mar．
n B． 75.
o 401 mar．
p 478 mar．
${ }_{q}$ 215，Є．96，Ф． 314.
r 485.
s $\delta .664$.
t o．327，F．428，
\％． 132.
u $\mu .412$, M．384， 4． 673 ．
v 384 mar．：cf． $\boldsymbol{B}$ ． 135.
w M．380，II． 735. x cf． 9.203. y $\boldsymbol{I} .386,587, \boldsymbol{X} .78$. z \＆ 298 mar ．
aa 282 mar．
bb $\boldsymbol{\tau} .71, \chi .477$ ，
Ф． 456.

## 493．Ғeォ $\varepsilon$ と́ $\sigma \sigma \iota \nu$.

 St．edd．rell． 489 om．K．Stu．Vi． $50 ; \dot{v} \pi^{\prime}$ én M．Vi．iii；$\varphi v v^{\prime} \omega \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$ Vi． 133


 $\tau \varepsilon v$ Wo．et recentt．498．бv́yx\＆v I．Apoll．Lex．499．óx＠vósveı Vı．5， 50，Fl．et edd．ante Wo．，óx९ı́яviィ H．quod Hesych．confirmat．

IV． 1269 ，hàs imitated this，$\alpha^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \mu \iota v$

 from this that $\varphi \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \varepsilon v \quad \alpha v \tau \eta$ was read by him here，and that there was an－ other reading фع́＠$x \tilde{v} \mu \alpha$ ，omitting this 486 ；since $\pi \lambda \eta \mu \mu$ ．being fem． does not well match with $x \tilde{v} \mu \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ neut． I think that 486 is probably a later line．$\pi \lambda \eta \mu \mu$ ．is the proper word for ＂tide＂，which is scarcely observable in the Mediterranean，and seems to denote a more advanced stage of nav－ igation than the Homeric．The verse has another strange word too，$\vartheta \varepsilon$－ $\mu \omega \sigma \varepsilon$ ，probably a seaman＇s phrase， and nowhere else found in Greek，＝ हैं $\eta$ ，＂set＂，or else $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu \alpha \gamma \gamma \alpha \sigma \varepsilon$＂drove＂ （Scholl．）．

487－90．rovtòv，＂ship＇s pole＂， perhaps the same as $\xi v \sigma \tau o v$ App．F． 1 （15）．The derivation is probably $x \varepsilon v$－$\varepsilon^{\prime \prime}$ ，
of＂slew＂，but first meaning probably ＂cut＂，containing the root of canif， $k n$－ife．Thus a felled tree，or lopped bough，is the original sense of rov－ tos，really a verbal adj．－É $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{c} \boldsymbol{c}-$ $\lambda$ żetv $x$. ．，cf．Pind．Pyth．IV．，356－7，
 лœолєбо́v七єя，cf．Virg．Aen．V．189， insurgite remis，and 197－8，olli certa－ mine summo procumbunt．Ni．cites Xen． Oeconom．VIII． 8 of rowers，$\varepsilon^{\prime} \nu \tau \alpha \xi^{\prime} \xi \varepsilon$


 from shore as before；but the Cyclops， we may suppose，had now come down to the water＇s edge，or was wading after them：cf．Virg．Aen．III．671， Nec potis Ionios fluctus cequare sequendo． They might thus be still within the
 －$\varepsilon i \boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\varepsilon}$ ，they mean＂if，when we were drifting to the shore the Cyclops
a $\gamma, 114$ mar．
b $\delta .244, \xi .32, \varrho$. 357.
e ef．ข． 100.
d 9.3 mar．
e 453 mar．
f $\delta$ ． 5550 mar．
or \％．71，2．59， 0 485.
h $\delta .169$ mar．
i $v .172$ ；cf．$\tau 163$.
$k$ cf． 191 mar．，$\gamma$ ． 267 mar．
1 I．167，226， $\boldsymbol{~} / 5$ ． 66.4.
m is． 289.
${ }^{11} \beta .158$ mar．
o $\tau, 360$.
р 阝．171， 306.
＇1 A．343，X． 488.
r $\varphi .99$.
s ef．$\gamma .97$.
i $\gamma .199$ mar．
u $\mu .230, v .385$.
v．O．180，८． 214 mar．
w 460 mar．
x $\varphi .131$ ；cf．2． 393.
y $\alpha .69$ mar．
z 454.
















 I．Vi．omn．，$ห \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \vartheta \nu \eta \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$ A．I．K．M．Vi．omn．，$ห \alpha \tau \alpha \vartheta \nu$. Ba．Wo．et recentt． 504．$\varphi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$ I．K．M．Vi．56， 133 Fl．Ro．Bas．quod redarg．Eu．，$\varphi \alpha ́ \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$ edd．


 cf．Eu．ad $53 \circ$ ，$\alpha \varepsilon \iota x \eta_{S}$ var．1．Eu．m．St．$\quad 5_{16 . ~}^{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \omega \sigma \alpha_{S} \propto \gamma$ A．K．Stu．Vi．iii．
m．et h．var．1．Fl．Ro．Bas．Ox．St．var．1．，$-\sigma \varepsilon \nu \nu^{\alpha} H_{.,} \mu^{\prime} \varepsilon ́ \delta \alpha \mu \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \alpha o$ A．K．Vi． 5
 $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ Stu．，$\alpha \lambda \alpha \omega \sigma \varepsilon v . . . \varepsilon \dot{\delta} \delta \mu \mu \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha \tau o$ Eu．Ven．St．Ba．Ern．Wo．et recentt．
had then heard any one＇s voice etc．＂， $i$ ．$e$ ．as they had held their breath then，so he should now．－甲óvヲ $\alpha$, Bacon says，Essay IV，＂On Revenge＂， ＂Some when they take revenge are desirous the party should know whence it cometh：this is the more generous． For the delight seemeth to be not so much in doing the hurt as in mak－ ing the party repent：but base and crafty cowards are like the arrow that flieth in the dark．＂So Arist．







506－25．The Cyclops recognized the ancient oracle of a seer，who had fore－
told his suffering and its author，but he had expected a very different sort of person．He gave me an ironical invitation to return－he would bespeak me Poseidon＇s aid，who alone could repair his lost sight．I replied，＂I wished I could as surely strike him dead，as Poseidon would be unable to heal him＂．

507－16．$\mu \varepsilon$ ixávé，＂have come home to me＂．－Tï $\lambda \varepsilon \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$ ，so Theocr．

 ＂feeble creature＂，xixuvs is found， meaning＂strength＂，cf．mar．；so Eschyl．Fragm．216，Dind．，бol̀ $\delta$＇ov̉n
 －The readings $\alpha \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \omega \alpha_{S}$ and $\varepsilon \delta \alpha-$ $\mu \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \alpha 0$（Harl．）should be noticed in 516.

















a Э. 145 mar.
b e. 91 mar .
c 9.30 mar
de. 423 mar.
e 529.
f $\alpha .406$ mar.
© 525.
h $\Omega .220,768,774$.
A. 339.
k 9. 281 ma
$\Xi 199$.
$m$ cf. © $251-3, \Theta$
538-41, $\boldsymbol{N} .825-૪$
n II. 453.
o X. 44.
p I. 322, Z. 284,
$\Omega .246 ; \mathrm{cl} . \Sigma .60$.
q $5 \geq 0$.
r 412 mar.
s O. 371.
t 0.366.
u $\mu .380, v .113$.
v $\gamma .55$.
w $\gamma .122$ mar.; cf. $\boldsymbol{\pi} .300,320$.
x 519 .
y $\chi .321, \alpha .460$ har.
z 9. 3 mar.
aa d. 520, A. 19, ת. 287.
bb $\delta .555$ mar.
cc e. 41-2 mar.

| 522. $\pi \varrho \circ \sigma \varepsilon ́ \mathcal{F} \varepsilon \pi \sigma \nu$. | 523. $\alpha i \mathcal{F} \tilde{\omega} v o s$, | 524. "AFFı | 530. $\pi$ \% $0-$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 入ıлó@খ์ข Foíx $\alpha \delta$. | 531. Foıxi'. |  | 533. Foixov |

$5^{17} \cdot \pi \alpha \varrho \xi \varepsilon i v \iota \alpha$ A. K. Vi. $56, \pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \xi$ Vi. 5. $\quad$ 519. $\pi \alpha$ ïs A. I. M. Vi. iii. $\quad 520$.


 A. H. I. K. M. Stu. Vr. Vi. omn. Eu. sed $\alpha$ in mar. add. [] Wo. Bek. Di. 532.



524-5. $\varepsilon \tilde{v} v i v$, according to Eustath. derived fr. $\varepsilon i$, as a if "alone, solitary";
 and Fschyl. Persae 288-9, ro $\lambda \lambda \lambda_{\alpha}$
 $\delta \varrho o v s$. - $\omega \varsigma$, "as surely as"; for similar imprecations etc. of the form $\alpha \ddot{\imath} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho, \ldots \dot{\omega}$ s see mar. - $\dot{\boldsymbol{o}} \varphi \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{O} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$, this is explained by C. F. Nägelsbach p. 5 as expressing, "not even Poseidon will heal thine eye, even though he might all other wounds", perhaps more simply, as above at 458 , "will heal that eye of yours".
$5^{26-42}$. He prayed to Poseidon to stop my return; or if that were fated, to make it solitary and joyless, with after troubles in my home. His prayer was heard. He hurled a mightier stone.

It fell just short, and its surge washed us onwards.

529-33. غí étєóv $\boldsymbol{\gamma \varepsilon}$ ห. т. ג., "if it be true (as it is) that I am your son", as calling upon the god to make it good: ef. Theocr. XXII. 133, őv
 หєидท́бишv; Virg. Georg. IV. 323, Si modo, quem perhibes, pater est T'hymbraeus Apollo. - $\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\boldsymbol{o}} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\eta}$, on this prayer granted nearly the whole of the poem turns. The domestic disorder to which we are introduced in the earlier books, and the successive losses of eleven ships with their crews at Læstrygoniê, and of his own ship and crew after leaving Thrinakiê, in books X and XII, arise directly from the Cyclops' curse heard by Poseidon, and

ล 2． $114, \mu .111$ ；
（f．य） 7.
h $\beta .174$ mas．
c $\lambda .115, \omega .301$ ．
1 $\gamma .38$.
e H．2l6－9．
1 $11.383, \cong .411$ ．
¢ Г． 378 ；сf．v．218．
ค A． 235 ．
i E． 245.
$k$ 182－5 mar．
1 \％． 299.








 Ro．Bas．Wo．et recentt．，ve由̀s A．Vi． 50 H．a man．alt．St．Ba．Ern．Ox．， $\nu \varepsilon \omega ̄ s$ I．，vid．ad 482 ． 540．हैঠยv́クбє K．M．Vi． 133.
further ratified by Zeus，as it should seem from 553－5 inf．

534．óvé raség，＂too late for joy＂．There had been a promise given by Zeus $\boldsymbol{v}$ ． 133 that Odys．should re－ turn to his home；either previous to the commencement of the facts of the Odyssey，＇，as seems probable from the word $\lambda \alpha \vartheta \circ i \mu \eta v, \alpha .65$ ，and $̇ \pi \varepsilon \varepsilon \varkappa \lambda \omega$－
 or the express promise extorted，$\alpha$ ． $76-7$ ，by the remonstrances of Pallas in $\alpha$ ．59－62．It was in Poseidon＇s power to postpone and to embitter it as far as possible．We have in this curse the poetic machinery ex－ hibited by which this was brought about．Now，the forgiveness of in－ juries is no doctrine of ancient Greek ethics；and Odys．by blinding the Cy－ clops，as by butchering the suitors， rather raised than lowered himself in the Homeric idea．Therefore，as in the cases of the Cyclops and of the suitors the poet＇s moral sense recog－ nized righteous retribution；so in the case of Odys．and Penel．it recognized the law that the righteous also suffer； and seems to imply，by their examples rather than by express moralizing，that without such suffering heroic virtue would be less exalted and heroic hap－ piness less complete．See App．E． 2
（7）．Cf．with this imprecation that of Dido against Eneas，Virg．Aen．IV． 612 foll．，Si tangere portus Infandum caput ac terris adnare necesse est，Et sic fata Jovis poscunt，hic terminus hee－ ret，etc．

538．غ่ $\pi \iota \delta \iota \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \propto \varsigma$ ，so $\pi \varepsilon \varrho \iota \sigma \tau \varrho \varepsilon ์ \psi \alpha \varsigma$ ๆ．189，T．131，of the action of the arm in throwing，of which the sling is only an extension，by which missiles acquire centrifugal as well as pro－ pulsive force．Similarly we have the phrases $\varkappa \alpha \tau \omega \mu \alpha \delta \dot{\circ} \nu \geqslant{ }^{\eta} \lambda \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \nu$ ，of the whip applied to the horses，$O .352$ ，and $x \alpha$－ $\tau \omega \mu \alpha \delta^{\prime} \iota o s$ of the quoit，${ }^{\Psi} .431$（Ni．）． －ìv＇，＂sinew＂，its dimin．iviov，is the
 $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \varrho \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ，＂immense＂；the $\pi \varepsilon$＇$\lambda \varepsilon \vartheta \rho \circ \nu$ ap－ pears as a measure of land（in later Gr．$\pi \lambda$ ह́v $\varrho 0 \nu$ ）Ф．407，ג． 577 ．The Schol．Ven．at $\Phi .407$ gives it as $=$ 100 feet；cf．Ovid．Met．XIV． 183 foll．， Vidi iterum veluti tormenti viribus acta $V$ asta giganteo jaculantem saxa lacerto．
540－2． $\boldsymbol{\tau v \tau \boldsymbol { T }} \boldsymbol{\partial} \boldsymbol{v}$ ，this goes with $\mu \varepsilon$－ тó $\pi$ ．of 539 ，＂a little behind＂：to join it
 the particle $\delta \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}$ ，which is later than se－ cond in the Homeric sentence，only， when a prep．and case，as हैs $\delta i ́ \varphi \varrho o \nu \delta$ ， ஷ́vó＠ovбと，P． 130 ，or an art．and noun， as $\tau \underset{\omega}{\delta} \delta x \propto \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \delta \varepsilon, B .329$ ，cf．$A$ ． 54 ，pre－ cedes．－oínıov，see on 483 sup．－

















## a 486.

b $x .1,135, \mu .127$ ， 261－2．
c $\beta .390$ ，ס．409， с． $127,148$.
d O．656－7．
e 492 mar．
［ O． 10.
g $\pi$ ．145，ж． 486.
h $\chi$ ．380，w．396，
ア． 403 mar．
2．20，ц．5，є． 138 mar．
k 150 mar．
$1 \mu .83,218,310$ ， 406.
m 42 mar．
n 160 ．
－阝． 402.
p $\lambda_{.} 432,0.70,71$ ，
E． 61.
y $v .25$.
r $\boldsymbol{x} .523,2.31$.
s $\gamma 9$ mar．，$\Omega .34$.
$\mathrm{t} \alpha, 271, \tau, 134$ ； cf．$\pi .422$ ．
u ع． 354 mar．，＠． 235. v cf． 534 mar．
w 544 mar．
x 172， 100 mar．
y 161－2 mar．


 $\mu \circ \iota$ A．I．K．M．N．Vi． 50 ， 33 Fl．Ro． $545 \cdot \varepsilon i^{\prime} \alpha \tau^{\prime}$ I． $547 \mathrm{om} . \gamma$ ．Stu．；$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{v} \nu$
 leius．$\quad 550 . \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \circ \iota$ A．H．I．K．M．N．Vi． 133 Ro．，$\delta \varepsilon \mu \circ \iota \alpha, \delta^{\prime} \varepsilon ́ \mu o i ̀$ Ambr．

 ớ $^{\prime \prime} \gamma \varepsilon$ A．G．I．K．M．N．Vi．omn．Eu．Ro．Bas．St．var．1． 554 ．$\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \propto ้ \alpha$ h． var．1． $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ St．Ba．Ern．Ox．Wo．et recentt．；$\mu \varepsilon \varrho \mu \eta_{\rho} \iota \xi \varepsilon \nu$ A．G．H．ex em．Eu．，
 ad 544．556．ห $\alpha \tau \alpha \delta \tilde{v} \nu \tau \alpha$ A．Vi． $5^{6}$ ．557．ห＠$\varepsilon \alpha \tau^{\prime}$ A．I．K．M．N．Vi．omn．
$\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \mu \omega \sigma \varepsilon$ ，see on 48,5 sup．；if the verse he retained here，$\chi$ غ́œбov íx．must mean ＂to reach the further shore＂，i．e．the ＂island＂of 543 ．

543．We reached the other vessels sorrowfully awaiting us at the island， beached our galley，disembarked with the sheep，and feasted；my special share being the ram，which I sacrificed to Zeus，but with vain vows．Then we feasted，and slept on the shore．Next morning we put off to sea with troubled hearts．

546－51．غُ $\chi \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ，ef．Hy．Apoll．

 see on 42 sup．Ni．notices an anecdote fr．Plutarch，that Agathocles the Si－
cilian told some Ithacans by way of jest，from whom some of his sailors had taken some sheep，that it was in retaliation of this spoil taken by Odys． －＂́go $\alpha$ ，this might be in acknow－ ledgement of his services in effecting their rescue；but we have had already previously a similar distinction made 160 sup．

552－66．unpia，ef．on $\gamma .456$ ．－ ov่ં $\dot{\text { ć } \mu \pi \dot{\alpha} \zeta \varepsilon \tau o, ~ c f . ~ B . ~ 419-20 ~ w h e r e, ~}$ after Agamemnon had just sacrificed and prayed that he might that day capture，Troy and kill Hector，o v $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{\prime}$

 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda i \alpha \sigma \tau о \nu$ ô้ $\varphi \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon$－$\mu \varepsilon \varrho \mu \eta \varrho \iota \zeta \varepsilon \nu$ ，the destruction，here represented as already
a $\gamma .329$ mar.
b J. 430 mar .
e $\beta .1$ mar.
d e. 171.
e 488 mar.
f 178-80 mar.
g 103 mar.
h ס. 579-80 mar.
i 62-3 mar.










 ปิ૬๐ข A. I. K. M. N. Vi. omn.
resolved on by Zeus, is brought about in $\mu$. $377-419$ by the supplication of the Sun-god to him, after the sacrilegious slaughter of his flocks by the comrades of Odys. So $\alpha .7-8, \sigma \varphi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon}-$
 $x . \tau$. $\lambda$. Thus we have an immediate (the Sun-god) as well as a more remote cause (Poseidon), both concurring to effect the end here put as resolved on
by Zeus. For 556 - 66 the annotations on the previous passages, referrred to (mar.), which here recur, may be consulted. In connexion with the latter part of this book Lucian's $2^{\text {nd }}$ Dial. Marin. may be read. It is between Polyphemus and Poseidon, and ends with the latter's significantly remarking that Odys. is still at sea ( $\pi \lambda \varepsilon i \quad \delta \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}$ ย̇ะ८)。

## O $\Delta \Upsilon \Sigma \Sigma E I A \Sigma K$.

## SUMMARY OF BOOK X.

"We reached Eoliê. There Eolus helped me with a wind, but, when through "my comrades' rashness we miscarried, he would not renew his aid $(1-76)$. "Wearily we rowed seven days to Læstrygoniê. I sent forth a herald attended, "who met a maiden, who pointed out the palace (80-113). The Læstrygonian "king, a giant cannibal, seized and ate one of the company: his people crushed "our ships with missile crags, save mine, left cautiously outside the harbour "(114-132). We came to Ææa, Circê's isle (133-143). I went to reconnoitre, "and, returning, killed a monstrous deer. We feasted on him (144-186). After"wards we cast lots between me and Eurylochus, which should stay with half "the crew, which go with the other half to explore (187-209). They went, "we staid. They reached Circê's magic palace, and were transformed to swine, "save Eurylochus (210-260).
"I, resisting entreaties, went to know their fate. The god Hermes met and "fortified me with "moly" against Circê's charms, and further, with directions "(261-306). I overcame the sorceress and obtained my comrades' restoration " $(307-399)$; then went to fetch the rest ( $400-427$ ). Eurylochus there showed "a mutinous spirit, which the rest did not share (428-465). We spent a year "in the hospitable refreshment of Circê's isle (466-474). When reminded of "our return, she bade us prepare for another voyage - to consult Teiresias in "the abode of the dead, and gave me instructions in the ritual needed (475"540). When departing, I lost one of my comrades, Elpenor, through falling "from the roof of Circê's palace ( $54 \mathrm{I}-568$ ). She supplied the victims, and we "sailed away (569-574.)."

## Plan to illustrate note on $\mu$. 127 .



For Aides as mear the Sumerise, and ont the eastem siale of the morld. romph Ral-
 to the old Stanomiants under three matmes, Fail, L'avor and Pelile

According to
 the Ocean, or in an island sumounderl by the sea!'

## 



a $\ell .216$.
b $36,60, \psi, 314$; cf. $\lambda .237, \boldsymbol{Z}, 154$.
с $\boldsymbol{Y} .347, \omega .92, \boldsymbol{X}$. 41, Л. 61, 749; cf. $\omega .92$, A. 74, B. 628, Z. 318 , $\Theta .493$, I. 168, $\mathcal{A}$. $419, \omega .25, \mu .72$. d ع. 447 mar .
2. Aiólos A. K. N. Vi. 56, 133. Fl. Apoll. Lex.

1-27. We reached the isle Жoliê, where dwells Æolus, who manages the winds, with six wedded pairs of sons and daughters. A month he entertained us and then sped us on our way with a fair west wind, giving us the rest fast bound in a sack of bull's hide; but through rashness we miscarried.
r. The direction of the course by which Æoliê was reached is not stated: our only indication is the subsequent one in v. $28-9$, that in 9 days and nights with a westerly or northwesterly wind ( $\xi \varepsilon \dot{q} \varphi \rho_{0}{ }^{\circ}$ ) they thence reached within sight of Ithaca. But if $\pi \lambda \omega \tau \dot{\eta}$ mean "floating", see below on 3, there is no fixed position to be assigned. And in the manifest absence of any definite conceptions of Mediterranean geography which the poet shows, all that can be said is that the point where Odys. met the floating isle. dots the vast vacancy somewhere westward or north-westward. The attempts to turn the poet's vague hints into definite conceptions and identify his localities with known sites, as Eoliê with Stromboli, are mere "expositary figments" (Gladst. III. p. 262) arising from "the pardonable ambition of after-
times". The scantiness of the poet's knowledge, contrasted with our large enlightenment and accurate maps, imparts even a deeper air of mystery to his view than it had before, when, for all that his hearers knew, there might be realities to correspond: $\varepsilon_{S} \dot{\alpha} \varphi \alpha \nu \varepsilon{ }^{\prime} s$ $\tau \grave{v} \mu \tilde{v} \vartheta \frac{}{}$ Herod. II. ${ }^{23}$.
2. Aíodos, certainly not a god, perhaps not even immortal (so Nägelsbach, II. 12 p. 93, "nicht unsterblichen"):
 plios, is used commonly of favoured heroes (mar.) as Eneas, Peleus, Achilles, Hector, Agamemnon, and Phœenix. He is nowhere else heard of. Mr. Gladstone's argument in favour of making him the reputed ancestor of the Æolidæ, Juv. Mun., p. 135 foll., is worthy of attention. The name is probably made from the adj. $\alpha$ lóóos, (with which $\propto ้ \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \alpha$ $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ are presumably cognate,) applied to rapid or irregular movement; cf. $\pi \boldsymbol{o}^{\prime}$ -
 o $\lambda \frac{1}{\pi} \pi \omega \lambda o s, \Gamma .185$; and in conjunction with the patronymic 'İлotód $\eta$ s expresses wind-swiftness here. So the $\dot{\propto} \rho v i \alpha$ Пo $\dot{\alpha} \varrho \gamma \eta$ bare to the wind Zephyrus the two horses of Achilles
a cf. O. 20.
be. 412 mar .
с $\zeta .62$.




[^13]П. ${ }_{150}$, T. 400; cf. T. 223 . In the II. the winds appear themselves to be elemental deities, propitiated within the limits of their function with prayers and offerings, $\Psi .194-5,209$, and holding there (200) a revel in the house of Zephyrus, whose frequent mention, many epithets, and general force, seem to point him out as their chief. Thus Æolus' island is here found in the region of Zephyrus, relatively to Greece, see the last note. As compared with this deification of the elements proper, Wolus is probably the Greek embodiment of some legend derived from Phoenician voyagers, and his relation seems to be only to the winds as they affect the explorers of remote seas. He is elevated into a deity by later, especially Roman, poets; cf. Ov. Met. XI. 748 , XIV. 223 ; Virg. AEn. I. ${ }_{51}$ foll. There is no Wolus in Hes, but the winds appear among his divine personages, Theog. 379-80.
3. $\pi \lambda \omega \tau \tilde{\eta}_{\text {. }}$. The interpretation of Crates for this was $\pi \lambda \varepsilon o \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta$, "accessible to navigators", that of Aristarchus, "floating". But the description given in 3-4, the $\tau \varepsilon i x{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$ and $\lambda i \sigma \sigma \eta \pi \varepsilon ́ \tau \rho \eta$ seem to mark it as less accessible than most islands. To say that ships could sail up to it, or even close to it, is hardly distinctive enough to be given as the one distinguishing feature. The notion of "floating" best suits the fact that Odys., blown about by all the winds when loose, again encounters it; as otherwise Eurus, or some wind the direct opposite of Zephyrus, or a resultant of all equivalent to this, would seem necessary. In such a voyage of marvels this difficulty is not great, but to suppose the island moveable wholly removes it. The balance of usage in post-Homeric Greek is also in favour of "floating"; cf. $\langle\chi \vartheta v \dot{v} \omega \nu \pi \lambda \omega \tau \tilde{\sim} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \varepsilon \dot{v} \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota$ Sophoc. Fragm.
 жйィдю Arion Fragm., Bergk, p. 872, $\pi \lambda \omega \tau \dot{\alpha}$ (sc. $\zeta \dot{\omega} \alpha$ ) appears also to be
the term in natural history for aquatic creatures, Lidd. and S. s. v. The only variation from this is that it is used passively of the sea, "navigable". A similar fable was current concerning Delos, of which Pindar says, Prosod. Fragm. Bergk, p. 309, $\eta \nu \gamma \propto \varrho$ тол $\alpha-$
 $\tau^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} \nu \varepsilon ́ \mu \omega \nu$ @ $\iota \pi \alpha \check{\iota} \sigma \iota \nu$; ef. also Lucian, Dial. Marin. IV.
4. àved́ $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varrho} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$, "ran up", i. e. out of the water: a sheer precipice seems meant. "Æolia is evidently placed in complete isolation, figured by the sheer and steep rock of the coast, and by the metal wall which runs round it." (Such a hummock is that known as the "Steep Home" in our Bristol Channel.) "It may have a partial prototype in Stromboli misplaced, the appearance of which from a distance entirely accords with this particular of inaccessibility". Gladst. II. p. 323. - Stromboli is the ancient бr@oy vui $\eta$, "round", a name given to one of the Liparean islands from its form. Of another of them, Hiera, Strabo, VI. ii. 229, says that before a south wind it was always covered with a thick mist, whereas before a north wind bright flames would rise from the crater with loud rumblings etc., so that the people there could tell

 still claimed by the modern inhabitants. One of the easiest conversions would of course be that from foretelling the winds to governing them.
5. $\delta \omega \dot{\sigma} \varepsilon \alpha \alpha$, a Schol. suggests that the months of the year are symbolically meant; cf. the riddle of Cleobulus, ap. Bergk. p. 971 ; $\varepsilon$ I's $_{s}$ o $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \varrho$,
 have been the meaning of the myth, supposing it to have been from a Phœenician source, without the poet's so understanding it. The only period of months apparently equal to a year in H .
 But this does not assist us to the artificial













14. Féx $\alpha \sigma \tau \alpha$. 15. Fíhıov.

## غ́\&



FI. Wo. et recentt, $-\tau \alpha_{S}^{l}$ M., $-\tau \alpha_{S}$ I. Stu. Vr. Vi. 50 H. a man. 2. Ro. Bas.

 $\chi^{i} \xi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ Vi. $56, \mathrm{I}_{33}$ edd. omn.; $\alpha \dot{u} \lambda \eta$ (i. e. $\alpha \dot{v} \lambda \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \varepsilon \iota$ ) Bek., $\alpha \dot{v} \lambda \grave{\eta}$ A. K. Stu. Vr. Vi. 50 , $\alpha \dot{v} \delta \dot{\eta}$ var. 1. Vi. 133. II. $\alpha i \delta o i o c s ~ a ~ F l . ~ A l d . ~ S t . ~ E r n ., ~-~ n s ~ E u . ~ W o . ~$
 H. I. K. N. Stu. Vi. 50,133 Eu. h St. var. 1.'; $-\lambda \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma \iota$ c $\gamma$ A. K. Stu. Eu. M. a man. 2 Fl. Ald. Ro., $\lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \chi \varepsilon \sigma \iota$ Vi. 133 H. I. M. a man. I. St. var. 1. ${ }^{13 .}$, xol
 $\nu \varepsilon \propto \varsigma$
 in mar. Fl. St. Ba. Ern. Ox. Bek. 17. $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \nu$ mss. xiii. Ald. Ro. Bas. St. Ba.



calendar months of which 12 nearly complete the earth's period in her orbit.
7. cizoitcs, a rare form of accus. plur.; the var. 1. ${ }^{2}$ noitcos should be noticed: with both ef. $\pi 0$ óloxs, , , 560, which makes it likely that $\alpha_{\text {noíco }}$ was once read here. The dat. xóv̄ for кóvu or róvé, $\lambda$. 191, may also be compared. Such connubial unions were against Greek customs. H. has no other example save that of Zeus and Herê, to be accounted for, as in Patriarchal families (Gen. XX, 12) by the absence of any wider field for alliances.

10-18. xviginev, from the steam of banquets, the nidor culince of Juv. Sat. V.162.- лeৎtotevaxibetce, of the
noise of revels here; but also (mar.) of lamentations. - $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \dot{v} \lambda \tilde{\eta}$, Bek, reads $\alpha$ vै $\lambda \eta$ taken for $\alpha \dot{v} \lambda \eta \dot{\sigma} \varepsilon \iota$, but $\alpha$ vै $\lambda \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma$ nowhere occurs in H., nor is aviźc, from which it would be formed, found before Herod. I. 141. I take $\alpha \dot{v} \lambda \tilde{\eta}$ as the place of dancing, in fact $=\chi \circ \varrho \omega$, and thus an instrumental dative. -
 see on $\boldsymbol{\eta}$. $345 \cdot-\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v}$ seems to imply that the island was peopled beyond the mere family of Eolus; cf. Cic. de Off. II. 4, 15 , Urbes vero sine hominum cæotu non potuissent nec adificari nec frequentari. - xévtce zatì $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{.}$, "every particular". - ло $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\nu}$, any mode of despatch applied to further
a ef. $\Delta .105$.
b $390,2.311, \tau .179$,之. 351 .
c ع. 383 mar., $\boldsymbol{\eta} .272$.
d A. 8.4, T. 224, 44 .
e cf. $\boldsymbol{\Psi} .854,866$.
f e. $\mathbf{1 0 2}, 377$.
g. 9.547.
h e. 230 mar.
i cf. $\alpha, 7$.








19. $\delta \omega \tilde{\kappa \varepsilon} \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \mu \circ \iota$ xiii mss. ( $\alpha \boldsymbol{\gamma} \beta$ Vi. omn.) b. Fl. Ro. Ald. Bas. St. Ox., $\delta \tilde{\omega} \nless \varepsilon ́$
 हैx
Ro. Bas. Wo. et recentt., $\delta \varepsilon i \varrho \alpha_{s}$ M., $\delta \varepsilon i \varrho \alpha_{s}$ St. Ba. Ern. Ox.; $\varepsilon$ हैvvó@oıo Vi. 5.



 Ro. Bas.
the wanderer on his way is so called. Thus Calypsô in $\varepsilon .192$ foll. gives Odys. the materials for a raft and provisions for his voyage, the Phæacians in $\boldsymbol{\nu} .19$ furnish a vessel ready equipped: in those cases he is alone. Here he has a fleet and comrades, so Æolus gives him a wind etc.


 tical character attaching to the number nine is manifest from several passages in Hes. Theog., as $7 \mathbf{2 2 - 5}, 804$. Thus the distance of earth below heaven and above Tartarus is measured by
 $\alpha^{\alpha} x \mu \omega \nu$; the god who broke his oath by Styx was banished nine years from the divine assembly. It is also the number of the Muses, $56-60$. Through this sacredness, as with the number seven in Hebrew, a character of completeness and perfection attached to it, and this secondary meaning predominates in H.; cf. $\lambda .57 \%$. Thus of animals, here and $39^{\circ}$, "prime" or "mature" would suit; of oil $\Sigma$. $35^{1}$, "seasoned"; of Minos, too, $\tau$. 179 , ย̇vข. $\beta \propto \sigma$ ' $\lambda \varepsilon v \varepsilon$ probably means "reigned his full time", possibly, however, literally, "was nine years king"; or, as

Müller, Dor. III. 6. § 6, "reigned for periods of nine years". In the passage 2. 311 foll. (where see note) the literal meaning is required, not only by the parallel words, $\varepsilon \nu \nu \varepsilon \alpha-$ $\pi \eta \chi \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon \varsigma$, हैvveo@yviou, but by the fact that the giants (Otus and Ephialtes) there spoken of were slain before they had come to maturity, or even adult
 which seems to have escaped Col. Mure, who, deriving the word fr. ${ }_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime} \nu$ vos or $\varepsilon^{\prime \prime} v o s$ (annus) and $\omega \rho \alpha$, assumes maturity of age as the meaning there also.
 that the silver cord conduced to the comrades' suspicion in 43 foll. of treasure concealed, also that xatć $\delta \eta \sigma \varepsilon$ denotes the fastening the sack so securely down that its lightness could not be detected, and the suspicion thus at once dispelled. That Odys. kept secret the real contents, is an obvious economy of the poet to bring about the result. There seems no reason in the facts for his silence. This probably is his share in the $\alpha \varphi \varrho \alpha-$ dincuv spoken of as shared by all. -
Geqúgov, for the bearing of this on the direction, of their course, see on 3 . - हैّ $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon v$, see on $\iota \cdot 475^{-7}$.









a $\eta .253$ mar．
b）p． 345 mar．
c a．407，v． 193.
d cf．T． $375-8$.
e $v .282$.
f cl．§． 793 mar．， e． 472 mar ．
g．ع． 270 mar．；cf． $\mu .218$.
h $\zeta .68,192, \xi .510$ ， T． 262.
i $\gamma .117, \delta .545, \zeta$ ． 314－5．
k $\delta .620$, E． 431.
I K． 438.
m Г．72， 404.
n 2；cf． 60 ．

> 34. Fexśย
 Eu．Ro．Bas．St．，$-\tau \varepsilon$ A．D．$\alpha$ Fl．Ald．K．Vr．Vi．5， 50 h．Bek．，$-\tau \alpha_{\varsigma} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{S}}$ ．，
 K．Heidelb．var．1．Stu．Ro．Fl．et edd．， $\begin{gathered}\pi \\ \varepsilon \\ \lambda \\ \lambda \\ \beta \\ \varepsilon\end{gathered} \boldsymbol{\propto}$ Heidelb．H．G．Vi．5，56， غ่ $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{Vr}$ ．33．v $\tilde{\alpha} \sigma \sigma 0 \nu \mathrm{~A}$ ．

28－55．After a run of nine days and nights，during which I kept the helm for greater surety，we sighted Ithaca， and saw fires rising．Wearied out，I fell asleep．My comrades eyed the bag，suspected treasure，envied my fortune，and resolved to see the con－ tents．The winds burst forth and blew us out to sea．I almost had flung myself overboard，but yet endured． We were borne again to Æoliê amidst the lamentations of the crew．

28－33．$\dot{\varepsilon} v \nu \tilde{\eta} \mu \propto \varrho$ ，see on 19 for the force of $\varepsilon v \nu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \alpha$ as a number．－$\tau \tilde{\eta}$ סєxérn，i．e．ทŋuéo $\eta$ ，which only is found in $H$ ．at $\Theta .541$ and its parallel pass．N． 828 （Ni．）；this adject．，how－ ever，often in similar phrases，as $A$ ． 54．－rai $\delta \dot{\eta}$ marks the nearness to which they had reached．The fires were probably those of shepherds etc． in the open fields．So Tozer，High－ lands of T＇．II．208，＂In a valley at our feet（near Suli）could be distinguished ．．．．here and there the bright blaze of a shepherd＇s fire＂．With $\pi v \varrho \pi \sigma \lambda$.

 should be noticed．－лóda，see App． F．I（14），and（9）for another sense of $\pi o ́ d \varepsilon \varsigma$ in a ship．Ni．denies any save the latter sense here．But surely the helm is the most important office，with which that of managing the sheets
（foot－ropes）of the sail bears no com－ parison；and the obvious motive，to lose no point of the course，would re－ quire the most important here：ef．
 $\delta \alpha \lambda i \omega \sigma \tau \varrho \alpha \tau o ́ v$ ．－ov̉ $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \ldots \delta \tilde{\omega} \chi$ ， for this prolonged stretch of wake－ fulness comp．\＆．270－1，where it is even protracted，as it should seem，to 20 days in all；cf．$\zeta$ ． 170 ．This heroic endurance，like the lifting weights etc．， is part of that exaggeration which the poet＇s art includes．Possibly，again， Odys．means to include himself as a party to the $\alpha \varphi \varrho \alpha \delta i \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ for resigning the helm even now and giving way to sleep．In $\mu .338-9$ ，where there is a similar opportunity given to the prevalence of $x \alpha r \dot{\eta}$ ßoviウ（46），the gods interpose and shed slumber upon the hero，as if in answer to his prayer．

35－7．久＠vбóv $\boldsymbol{\tau \varepsilon}$ и．$\tau$ ．$\lambda$ ．，see on ๙œyv＠źn．－Aió $\lambda \boldsymbol{o v}$ ，the liquid letters and $\sigma$ so easily double themselves to the ear，that a slight stress of the voice in recitation would produce the effect；cf．in Eschyl．Sept．c．Th． 483 ，

 $\nu \omega \nu$ ह̇入れ८ $\tau \dot{\alpha} \nu \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha$ ，and such words as ধのvбб夭́ $\mu \varepsilon v o s$, in which the mss．con－ tinually vary，some doubling the letter， others not．Payne Knight thought Aló－ dofo was the original form here．－
a 9.328 mar.
b $\boldsymbol{v}$. 302 .
e cf. $\boldsymbol{v}, 129$.
d $\zeta .195$ mar.
e ef. $\varepsilon .39$ mar.
f $\mathbf{\Sigma} .290$.
g cf. O. $211, \Omega .57$.
h ס. $701-2$ mar., e. 19 .
i 2. 359 ; cf. o. 214, A. 181.
k ef. $\xi$. 505.
l 228, 268.
m cf. ८. 348 mar.
n $\xi .337, \mu .339$.

- $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$. 325 .
p d. 515 mar.
q N. 696, O. 335; cf. $\alpha, 203$ mar.
r $\pi .237$, II. 119.
s $\xi .31,3$.
t cf. $\lambda .330$; cf. $\mu$. 350.
















 $\gamma \alpha i \alpha \nu$



 A. H. I. N. sic Aristar., h., Wo. et recentt., $\tau \alpha^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime} z^{\prime \prime} \delta \omega x \varepsilon \gamma$ G. K. M. Vr. Fl. Ro. Ald. h var. l. Ern. St. Ox., $\tau \dot{\alpha} \gamma \varepsilon \delta \dot{\sigma} x \varepsilon$ Vi. omn. Eu. Herodian., h., $\tau \alpha ̀$

 48. $\dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \dot{\alpha} \dot{\xi} \alpha \sigma \alpha \alpha,-{ }_{-\sigma}^{\xi}-$ N., $-\sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha$ K K. Stu. Fl. Ald.
 formula at $\mathfrak{\vartheta} .268$.

41-5. גnídog, so Aristar. accentuated. Menecrates $\lambda \dot{\eta} \iota \delta o s$ (Herodian. $a p$. La R. H. Textk. p. 306). On the etymological affinity of the word see on
 coming'; the fut. is víбouc८ in $\Psi$. 76 . The final $\alpha$ long by ictus should be noticed here, as in 116, ${ }_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} v \alpha \mu \alpha^{\prime} \rho \psi \alpha s$, though there before a liquid. - $\boldsymbol{x}$ c@iGórevos, the notion of freely or largely giving is proper here; cf. its use of the $\tau \alpha \mu i \eta$ supplying the guests, $\chi \propto \rho \iota \xi \circ \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \eta \pi \alpha \varrho \varepsilon о \dot{v} \tau \omega \nu, \alpha$. 140 , and $\tau \tilde{\nu} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \vartheta \omega \nu \nu \chi \propto \varrho \iota$, ;,Simon. ap. Bergk, p. 1147: render $\tau \alpha \delta^{\prime} \delta$ है $\delta \omega n \varepsilon \chi \alpha \rho$. "has lavished these gifts". - ӧббоя $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\prime}$,
the $\tau \iota s$ added marks the enquiry as regarding a quantity indefinite at present, but definite in the result expected.
46-55. vixทбev, cf. $\tau \dot{\alpha} \chi \varepsilon \varrho \varepsilon i o v \alpha$ $\nu \iota x \tilde{\alpha}, \sigma .404$, so here vix ${ }^{2} \sigma \varepsilon \nu$ is best taken absolutely; Ni. takes it as governing $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \alpha \dot{\rho} \rho \nu .-\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \dot{\omega} \nu \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{x}$, means "by flinging myself out of". - ब̇лo$\varphi \boldsymbol{\vartheta} i \mu \eta \boldsymbol{v}$, optat., $\varphi \boldsymbol{\vartheta} i \boldsymbol{\nu} \omega$ (or $\varphi \boldsymbol{\vartheta} i \omega, \beta$. 368) has the optat. of the form $\varphi \boldsymbol{\vartheta} i-$ $\mu \eta v, \varphi \jmath i o, \varphi$ vív. His feelings probably arose from self-reproach at abandoning the charge of the helm; cf. Soph. Fragm. 321 Dind,
 $\sigma \vartheta ้ \iota \mu \propto \lambda \tilde{\omega}$,
甲е́ $\rho \omega \nu$.













a $\boldsymbol{\gamma} .209$.
b $\Sigma .91, \Psi$ Y． $47, \chi$ ．
179.
c A．317，＠． 238.
d cf．9． 84 mar．
e Z． $\boldsymbol{Z}$ ．${ }^{\text {cf．}} \boldsymbol{\delta} .515$ ．
f $\imath .467$ mar．
g．$\iota .85-8$ mar．
h t． 90 mar．
i K． 238.
k 112，$\omega .304, N$ ． 21，ת． 719.
1 K． 150.
m Э． 243 mar．；cf． x． 9 ．
n cf．$\varrho .339, \boldsymbol{\eta} .153$.
o d． 638 mar．
p 109.
q $\Omega .519$.
r ع． 396 mar．







－$\tau \lambda \operatorname{ain} \boldsymbol{v}$ ，ef．the expression $\tau \lambda \dot{\eta}^{-}$ $\mu o \nu \alpha \vartheta v \mu \dot{\partial} \nu \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime \prime} \chi \omega v, E .670$ ，and $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \eta \sigma^{\circ} \tau \iota$ $\vartheta v \mu \tilde{\omega}, \delta, 447,459$ ；so $\tau \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime} о \nu \iota \psi v \chi \tilde{\alpha}$ $\pi \alpha \varrho \varepsilon ์ \mu \varepsilon v$ ，Pind．Pyth．I．93．－radv－廿́cuevos，so，in profound sorrow at a tale of Troy，Odys．$x \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda v \psi \varepsilon \delta \dot{\varepsilon} x \alpha \lambda \dot{\alpha}$

 Liv．IV．12，spe amissa ．．．capitibus obvolutis se in Tiberim pracipitaverunt；

 on $\pi \lambda \omega \tau \tilde{\eta}$ v． 3 ．

56－79．We landed，watered the fleet， and supped．I went then with two others to bespeak the compassion of Eolus．We found him feasting，and took the posture of suppliants．The company marvelled at our reappear－ ance，and asked the reason．I told them of my unluckly slumber and my comrades＇imprudence．Aolus dismissed me with revilings；－whom the gods hated he would not help．We took our course with heavy hearts，to weary at the oar．

56－9，see on $\boldsymbol{\text { c．}} 85-90$ ．For 59，see on 九．90．－zท́＠uza，this formality， towards one who had entertained him hospitably before，perhaps denotes uneasiness as to his reception．

60－3．x $\boldsymbol{v v \tau} \dot{\boldsymbol{c}}$ ，＂echoing＂with the noise of revel；cf．$x \lambda v \tau \propto \mu \tilde{\eta} \lambda \alpha$ ו．308，
 if not god or immortal，see on 1 ，is so far like the gods，that banqueting seems his chief occupation．－ $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\vartheta}$－ $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\imath} \sigma \iota \nu$ غ่x＇ov́dov，for these see App． F． 2 （16）（23）．The posture adopted is that of a suppliant and stranger， not at all building upon previous in－ timacy．In the Phæacian palace he
 $\sigma \iota \nu$ ，first clasping the knees of the queen，but there the friendly inter－ vention of Pallas，who conceals him by a mist，enables him to penetrate so far within．The total absence of the protectress deity in the present crisis is remarkable，but accounted for by \}. $329-31$ ．

64－9．Ë $\chi \varrho \alpha \varepsilon$ ，see on $\beta \cdot 50-1$ ．－
a $\eta .256$ mar．
b $\eta .319, \% .420$ ．
c $\eta .320$ mar．
d i．500，ц． 192.
e $\boldsymbol{H} .428,431, \boldsymbol{I}_{\boldsymbol{F}}$ ． 165.
f 2．61，$\varphi .296$.
$\varrho^{\circ} \mathrm{cl} . \xi .383, N .115$.
h $\beta$ ．62，$\psi .12 \mathrm{~s}$. i x．422，Z． 337.
k A． 582.
1 B． 240 mar．，$B$ ． 322.
m 2． 506.
n ©．164，X．498，
ת． 239 ；cf．e． 139.
－A．171，P． 26.
p o． 229.
q $\xi .56, \boldsymbol{\mu} .44$.
r Э． $451-3, \xi .316$ ， ๒． 284.
s Z．140， 200
t $\Psi .440$ ；cf．$x .72$.
u $\alpha .409$ mar．，$\cong$ ． 298.
v $\boldsymbol{K} .72$.
w ef．$\psi .23$.
x ع． 420 ．
y ८． 62 mar．














 man．1．Ro．Bas．，$\propto \propto i x \eta \alpha \iota ~ K ., ~ \alpha ́ \propto i x o \iota o ~ I . ~ V i . ~ 50, ~ 133 ~ H . ~ e x ~ e m . ~ A . ~ v a r . ~ 1 . ~ M . ~ n . ~ F l . ~$ Ald．edd．rell． $66 . \varepsilon i^{i} \pi 0 v \tau \iota$ Vi． 50,133 Fl．Ro．Ald．；$\varphi^{\prime} i^{\prime} 0 v \varepsilon i^{\prime \prime} \eta$ A．G．M．N． 67．$\varepsilon^{\prime} \varphi \alpha \nu \quad \gamma$ A．Stu．Vr．Vi．， 50 Fl．，$\varphi \alpha{ }^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \nu \beta$ Ro．Ald，et edd．，$\varphi \alpha \sigma \alpha v \alpha ; \alpha \chi \nu v i-$




 Heidelb．Eu．
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mu \pi \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{v}$ ，the imperf．is used here as a token of impatience，as speaking of a thing which ought now to be accomplished，but is not．－ $\boldsymbol{\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \varphi \omega ́ v \varepsilon о \nu , ~ t h i s , ~ l i k e ~} \mu \varepsilon \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \delta \alpha$ ，has the sense generally of＂spoke among＂， not＂after＂，so here，although＂after＂ would suit the sense；cf． $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$ ．201，$\pi$ ． $354, I .52, \Sigma .323$ ，and for $\mu \varepsilon \tau \eta v \delta \alpha$ $\alpha$ ． $3 \mathrm{I}, \delta$ ．$\eta_{2}^{21}$ ．

68－9．$\neq \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu$ ，from the $\alpha v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha \nu$ of Pind．Pyth．II．5I，III．43，it is prob－ able that $\dot{\alpha} \mathcal{F} \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \dot{\alpha} F^{\prime} \dot{\omega} \omega$ was the original form of the noun and verb．But this had died out of the Homeric text by the time of the first Alexandrine re－ censions．It might，however，in many places he retained，as in I． $504-5$ ，


 $\grave{\eta} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma \dot{\alpha} F \tilde{\alpha} \tau \alpha \iota$ ．－$\sigma \chi \varepsilon ́ \tau \lambda \iota o g$ ，＂un－
controllable＂or＂irrepressible＂，as if of an external agent：the action of ＂rovos，personified as a deity，in 氙． 286 foll．，，，pon Zeus，may be compared．
 cus，Bergk，p． 1003 ，$\delta \alpha \varrho o \nu \nu \delta^{\prime} \alpha \nu \varepsilon \omega$
 said with the air of a threat or ex－ ecration－＂go to perdition！＂or，＂out and avaunt，thou worst of souls alive！＂ Worsley．Ni．compares Theogn．GoI，
 бıv «́ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon$. －tód＇ixcíveıs，see on人．409．Nolus speaks as if he knew the purpose of the immortals．But it is probably only an inference，such as any man might have drawn，to which he gives utterance；cf．$\alpha \sim v \vartheta \omega \pi o s$ ov̌ós
 We need not ascribe to him any special knowledge of Poseidon＇s wrath and Zeus＇ consent to allow that wrath its course．







a X． 242.
b $\lambda .640, \mu .225$.
c cf． $\boldsymbol{E} .233$.
d d． $12,361,519$ ；
cf．B． 122.
е $\mu .397, \xi .249$ ，о． 476.
f 28 mar ．
g $\boldsymbol{\gamma} .485$ mar．
h $\psi .318$.
i E． 137.
k 九．399，＠． 271.
1 §． 283.




76－9．عi＠zбins，because，the winds being now foul，they were compelled to use the oar；cf．Soph．$A j .249$ ，
 ＂vain quest＂of our homeward way $\left(\pi o \mu \pi \eta^{\prime}\right)$ ．The word，here only in H．， is akin to $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$＂to seek＂；cf．$\mu \dot{\eta}$ $\mu \kappa \tau \tilde{\alpha} \nu$ ód $\tilde{\omega}$ ，Æschyl．Sept．c．Th．37．
$80-110$ ．On the seventh day we reached Læstrygoniê where the courses of night and day well nigh touch．The entrance to the harbour is narrowed by opposite bluffs，and the water all calm within．Upon reconnoitring from a headland，I send a herald with two attendants．They met a maiden going to the fountain and enquired the king＇s name．

81－3．М\＆́uov，the difficulty as to the names in this sentence is not easily removed．I incline to take $\Lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \sigma$ as gen．of $1 \dot{\alpha} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}, \dot{\delta}$ ，the name of the supposed founder（as Pylos is called

 of the city，（although really，a local epithet derived from $\Lambda \alpha \iota \sigma \tau \varrho v \gamma o ́ v \varepsilon s$, and merely doing duty as a noun，）also $\tau \eta$－ $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \pi v \lambda o \nu$ as an epith．In $\psi .318$ we have $\tau \eta \lambda$ द́лviov $\Lambda \alpha \iota \sigma \tau \varrho v \gamma 0 v i \eta \nu$ with－ out the previous phrase $\Lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu o v \dot{\alpha} . \pi \tau o \lambda .$, and there either $\tau \eta \lambda \varepsilon ́ \pi v \lambda{ }_{c}$ must be a prop．name，or $\Lambda \alpha \iota \sigma \tau$ ．the name of the city and $\tau \eta \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\pi}$ ．its epith．And even although this summary of the wander－ ings in $\psi$ ．may perhaps have been made up by a later hand，yet it was doubt－ less composed at a time when there was still a living perception of the Homeric meaning．The balance of authorities for and against $\boldsymbol{\Lambda} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \boldsymbol{v} v$ being the name of a person in Eustath．and the Scholl．is about equal．；as regards $\tau \eta \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \pi$ ．it rather inclines against this
being a prop．name．The question
 whom we are told nothing here or elsewhere？Eustath．calls him a son of Poseidon（cf．the Cyclops）and king of the locality，meaning a former king， as Antiphates（ 106 inf．）is king now． This sounds like an invention of the grammarians．I believe $\Lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime} \mu \mathbf{u} v$ is merely a made－up name to suit the cannibal character of the people，and being akin to $\lambda \alpha i \tau \mu \alpha$ and $\lambda \alpha \mu \mu \dot{s}$ means＂throat＂ or＂gorge＂，as if he had said＂the city of Swallow＂，i．e．where men are swallowed．It is in fact a touch of the grotesque，blending，as we see in the narrative of the Cyclops in $\boldsymbol{\iota}$ ．，with the tragic horrors of the adventure． So Lamia is the name for an ogress in Hor．ad Pis． $34^{\circ}$ ，Neu pransae La－ mie．vivum puerum extrahat alvo．So Tertullian speaks of Lamiae turres as among popular superstitions．The ode III．xvii，beginning，Ati vetusto nobilis ab Lamo，seems to embody the notion of Lamus as mythical founder of the gens Alia，locally identified with For－ mia，see Cic．ad Attic．II．13，$\tau \eta \lambda \varepsilon ́ \pi$ ． ＾оцб⿱宀，，Formias dico．Pliny，however，III． 8，and the Schol．ad Lycoph．Cassand．， 956 （Löwe），following a probably older tradition，place Læstrygoniê at Leon－ tini in Sicily；but all such identifica－
 ＂having wide gates，or big gates＂， Eu．；＂wide from gate to gate＂，Ni．， who adds the notion of being long and narrow；but this seems needless．The word only occurring in this connexion， its sense cannot be precisely deter－
 ＂one herdsman，as he drives（his cattle） in，hails another，who answers driving out＇’．For $\dot{v} \pi c x o v e \varepsilon \varepsilon ~ i n ~ t h i s ~ s e n s e ~$
a ८． $404, \tau, 591$ ．
b $\boldsymbol{v} .137$.
c E． 313, Ф． 448 ；
cl．Y． 221.
d $\Omega .621$ ．
e e． 336.
f o． 472.
g．O．618， .243 mar．
h ef． $\boldsymbol{P} .748$.
i ع． 405 ；cf．$v .98$.
k A． 67.







 Vi．56，－خo८ $\sigma \iota \nu \beta$ I．
see mar．and cf．Theocr．XI， 78 ，xt－
 $\dot{v} \pi \alpha \varkappa о \boldsymbol{v} \sigma \omega$ ．лоוนท̀v in later Greek is limited to mean a＂shepherd＂only． The Læstrygonians were pastoral，not agricultural（ 98 inf ．）．

84－6．＂There（accordingly）a man who could keep awake might earn double wages＇＂－this alludes to the $\forall$ ท̀s or hireling，see App．A． 7 （3）， employed with herds and flocks－＂the one in herding oxen the other in tend－ ing sheep；for the goings－forth of night and day are close（to one another）＂． This last expression is to be inter－ preted by help of the simple natural view，that night＂goes forth＂at or after sunset，day at or after sun－rise． If then the sun rose，say，one hour
 हैy $\gamma \dot{v} \mathrm{~s}$ i．e．in time．A highly poetical passage in Hes．Theog． 748 foll．may illustrate this，
 ioṽ $\alpha \iota$
 $\gamma \alpha \nu$ ov́ $\dot{\partial} \dot{\partial} \nu$
 ภغ $\boldsymbol{\imath} v \varrho \propto \zeta \varepsilon$


 ย̇o $v=\alpha$



which lines might well seem founded on the present passage．In Hes．，how－ ever，it is the going out of one which coincides with the coming in of the
other，here their two goings out are in such close succession as almost to coincide．Ni．shows well from the
 passages，that not the mere road（ $\dot{\delta} \delta \dot{\delta} \dot{s}$ ）， but the taking it，is the proper sense of xé่ $\varepsilon v \vartheta \vartheta \frac{s}{}$ ．Compare Psalm．LXV． 9 ， ＂Thou shalt gladden the goings－forth
 passage from Milton，cited at $\varepsilon$ ． ． That H．had some notion of the curtail－ ment of the summer night in the higher latitudes is probable from this passage．But the form of diurnal arrangements which he supposed to result from this was an ordinary length of day，then sunset and the briefest glimpse of night，then sun－ rise and day again．Crates accord－ ing to Eustath．and the Scholl．took this view，adding that Læstrygoniê lay astronomically nearly under（ $\pi \varepsilon \rho i$ ） the head of the Serpent，and citing Aratus，Phenom．6i，x\＆iv $\pi$ тov x $\varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \eta_{\eta}$ $\tau \tilde{\eta} \nu \varepsilon i \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota, ~ \grave{\eta} \chi i \quad \pi \varepsilon \varrho \alpha ้ \alpha \varrho \alpha \iota \mu i \sigma \gamma \quad \nu$ ：
 $\lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu$.

87－92．火גvtòv，perhaps＂loud＂as in 60 ，see note there；the enclosure of high cliffs described would confine
 is best taken as in tmesis with $\tau \varepsilon$－ тvix $\eta x \varepsilon$ ，equivalent to $\pi \varepsilon$ eíx $\varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha \iota$ ，so

 （mar．）．－$\dot{\eta} \lambda i \beta \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\tau} \sigma$ ，see on $\iota .243$－－ $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\mu} \varphi \boldsymbol{\circ} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varrho \omega \Im \varepsilon v$ ，the point of view is apparently the entrance，between the
 ع． 405 ；cf．Sophoc．Philoct． 936 ，${ }^{\tilde{\omega}} \lambda_{l}$ $\mu \varepsilon ́ v \varepsilon \varsigma$, ， $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$＠о $\beta \lambda \tilde{\eta} \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ，and Virg．Fin． III．699，projectaque saxa Pachyni．－











a．$\omega .82$ ；cf．$\zeta .138$ $\mu .11$.
b E． 425, IT．161， इ． 411 ；cf． 5.264.
c e． 279 ．
d $\ell .64$ mar．
e $\nu .100$ ．
f $\varepsilon .391$ ．
g 9.51 et scepiss．
h $\iota .280, \beta .391$ mar．
i 92，七． 136 mar．
k 148，194，ס． 524 ， E． 771.
IN．17，ঠ．671，$\lambda$. 480.
m ک． 259 mar．，$\pi$ ． $314, x .147$.
n $\alpha .58$ mar．
o $\sum .212$.
p $\iota .88-90$ mar．

90．F $\alpha$＠$\alpha \iota \eta$ ．98．Féer $\alpha$ ．
 $\pi \varrho o v ́ x o v \sigma \alpha \iota$ var．1．St ；$\dot{\alpha} \rho \alpha \grave{\eta}$ H．h．Eu．Ro．Bas．，sic Aristar．，Scholl．ad E．

 Vi．I33，$\beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ Vi． 50 A．Ro．Bas．93．$\dot{\alpha} \dot{\xi} \xi \varepsilon \tau 0 ~ \alpha ; \chi \dot{v} \mu \alpha \tau$＇，G．H．ex emend． sic Apoll．Lex．，$x \tilde{v} \mu \alpha$（ $\gamma^{\prime}$ om．）Vi．5，133．94．$\lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \eta_{\mathrm{K}}$ K．$\alpha^{\prime} \mu \varphi \iota \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta{ }^{2} \nu \eta$ Vi．iii K．En．96．$\pi \iota \sigma \chi \alpha \tau \iota \eta \tilde{\eta} \beta$ ，${ }^{\mathcal{E} \sigma} \sigma \chi \alpha \tau i \eta \eta$ mss．præter A．M．，sic $\gamma$ Fl．Ro．Ald．，－$\tau i \alpha \alpha$
 100．$\pi \varrho 0 i \neq \nu \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ V H．Fl．Ro．Bas．St．Wo．et recentt．，－i゙ $\nu \boldsymbol{\nu}$ G．K．M．Stu．N．ex ras．Ba．Ern．Ox．

夭œat̀，for the applications of this epith．in H．，which are rather curious， see mar．In Hes．Opp． 809 it is ap－ plied，to ships，$\nu \tilde{\eta} \alpha_{s} \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \gamma \nu v \sigma \vartheta \nsim \iota \dot{\alpha} \rho \alpha \iota \alpha{ }^{\prime} s$. －عíoodog，i．e．of the haven；the $\lambda_{\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta} \varepsilon i \sigma i \sigma \mu \eta$ of $\xi .264$ is that of the town，narrowed by the haven on either side and the row of ships lining the way．－É $\chi$ ov，see on $\gamma, 182$ ：they were probably tempted by the secure harbourage，and followed each other
 ו．64．－ס́́devto，see App．F．I（ii）． 93－4．$\pi \lambda n$ б́cue，＂close together＂， a position safe only owing to the ab－ sence of rough water，there being thus no risk of their running foul．It is implied that the harbour was deep （ $\alpha \gamma \gamma \iota \beta \alpha \vartheta \dot{\eta}_{\boldsymbol{s}}$ ）and the shore steeply－ shelving，not where，as on the Cyclops＇ land，the galleys could be beached and left；cf．८．136－9．This closeness ac－ counts for the easy havoc made of them in 121 foll．－ásegevo rũuc，the natural breakwater was complete．－ $\mu \varepsilon ́ y ' ~ o u ̈ t ' ~ o ́ \lambda i \gamma o v, ~ s o ~ o ́ \lambda i \gamma o \nu ~ f o r ~ \mu l-~$ x oov in Herod．IV．52，noz $\alpha \mu$ os हैv

$\lambda \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{v} \eta$ ，ef．Simon．Fragm．23，Bergk．



95－9；$\sigma \chi \varepsilon \dot{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ov，similar formations are $\alpha \mu v \nu \alpha \vartheta \vartheta v, \delta \iota \omega x \alpha ́ \vartheta \vartheta \varepsilon \iota \nu, ~ \varepsilon i \varrho \gamma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \vartheta \varepsilon \iota \nu$. Greater prudence on the part of Odys． is implied．But the captain of each vessel seems to have had a discretion in judging for himself where to lie． There is no suggestion that they diso－ beyed orders．－av́voṽ，local adv． ＂there＂，where I was，$i . e$ ．at the point of view implied above，see on 88 ；not the gen of $\alpha v \tau o s$ as meaning $\lambda \iota \mu \eta \dot{v} v$ ．
 どбтทv x．$\tau$ ．$\lambda$ ．，Ni．regards this v ．as
 see on $8 \mathrm{I}-3$（end）；cf．Virg．Georg．I． 325，hominumque boumque labores．－xoc－
 öœ $\omega \mu \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}$ ，imperf．always without augm．
 H．uses both the open and contracted form in several parts of this verb，
 $\dot{\dot{\rho}} \dot{\omega} \sigma \alpha$ ．
$100-102$ ，see on t． $89-90 .-$
a 2． 134.
b t． 113 mar ．
c $\xi .105, \varrho .294, \Sigma$ ． 493，S． 784 ；cf． if．120－2．
d ミ．27，ఢ． 54 mar．
e $\eta .131$ mar．
f $\delta .797,0.364$.
g． 199.
h ef． 159.
i $\beta .384$.
k 63 ．
1 ค．234，そ．62；cf． －． 510 ．
m o． 424.
ก $\eta .29$.
－छ． 3.
ј o． 432.
4 $\Omega .719$.
r 60 mar．
s $\delta .120$, e． 366.













$101-2$ horum ordin．mutav．M．1or．हiбiv Vi．iii；ह̇лi $\chi \vartheta \vartheta 0 \nu i \gamma$ ．103．グv $\pi \varepsilon \varrho$ A．，$\tilde{\eta}^{2} x \varepsilon \nu$ var．1．h．；$\tilde{\alpha}^{\prime} \mu \alpha \xi \alpha \iota$ H．$\propto$ Vi．omn．A．I．K．H．N．h．Eu．Ro．Bas．St．，

 Stu．Vr．K．Eu．Fl．Ro．Ald．， $\boldsymbol{v} v \alpha \alpha \tau \varrho i \quad i \varphi \vartheta i ́ \mu \eta$ b et edd．ante Wo．qui ex H．
 107．$\varkappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \beta \eta_{\sigma} \alpha$ o et $\varepsilon$ sup．$\alpha,-\sigma \alpha \tau 0 \quad \beta \quad$ H．I．M．N．Vi． 50 Eu．Ro．Ald．et var．1．St．，－$\varepsilon \varepsilon \tau 0$ Fl．Wo．et edd．1o9．$\pi \varepsilon \varrho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \mu \varepsilon \nu o \iota$ et sup．$\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha, \pi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau$ ．
 Wo．et recentt．；$\eta^{\prime} \delta^{\prime}$ A．Vi．iii Vr．Ro．Bas．，uкi $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ H．I．K．M．Stu．Eu．Fl． Ald．Bek．Di．Wo．，sic Arist．，h；oíøıv $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ H．A．Vi．iii Vr．I．K．M．Stu．Fl． Ald．Ro．St．Ern．Ox．，$\tau 0 i ̃ \sigma \iota \nu$ Eu．sic Aristar．，h，Wo．Bek．Di．；$\alpha \nu \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \iota ~ a c$ G． K．M．N．Vi． 5,50 Stu．Vr．A．ex em．Eu．，$\alpha v \alpha \sigma \sigma o \iota \gamma$ H．I．A．a man．I．et

 ん． 286.
104－8．xatayivzov，we have $\alpha \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\prime}$－ $\nu \varepsilon ́ \mu \varepsilon v \alpha \iota$（mar．）which suggests $\alpha \gamma i \nu \omega$ ， cf．óoív．The purpose here mentioned would be one of the earliest for which carriage－roads were felt necessary． Other things might be brought ódovs $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \iota \pi \alpha \lambda o \varepsilon ́ \sigma \sigma \alpha$, ，on mules or the like；but felled trunks hardly so．In the preparations for Patroclus＇pyre （mar．）they are tugged down by mules； but that was a rare emergency，this a constant business．－vंd＠evovín ，so x $\dot{\lambda} \lambda \pi \iota v$ é $\chi \circ$ v́ $\sigma, \eta .2 \circ$（of the disguised Pallas），as if for a like purpose，and in Hy．Cer．106－7，where Demeter meets the daughters of Celeus，$\dot{\text { exo }}$

 $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \rho o{ }^{\prime}$ ．Some of the earlier vase－ paintings represent maidens thus at the spring．The water brought into
the actual precinct of the Phæacian palace seems to mark a higher ad－ vance in the arts of life than here， see $\eta$ ．1зо－1．－9vycuŕe＇，see the readings here．－Avtuć义́coo，$\varphi \alpha \tau$－ is probably the stem of $\pi \dot{\varepsilon}-\varphi \alpha \tau-\alpha \iota$ akin to 甲évo and ¢óvos．－＇Aptaxiŋv，an actual fountain of this name is spoken of near Cyzicum（by the Schol．on Apollon． Rhod．I．957，who names Alcæus as hav－ ing mentioned it．The Asiatic Artaciê was a colony from Miletus，Herod．IV． 14. 112－32．She showed them the palace； they entered，and saw a woman of monster bulk，who called the king，her lord．He seized one of my comrades to sup withal，and shouted to his people －a race of giants－who crushed the ships with rocks，and speared the men in the water，like fish，for food．My ship was moored beyond their reach． I cut her cable and we rowed for life． 112． $\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\varepsilon}$ ，apodotic here；so mar．












a c． 322 mar ．
b $\boldsymbol{P} .694$.
c $\gamma .194 \mathrm{mar}$ ．
d $\delta .292$ mar．
e c． 289 mar．
f $\beta .20$ mar．
g cf．८． 399.
$h$ 九． 401 mar．
i $\vartheta .110$ mar．
k $\quad$ ． $190-1$ ．
1 ク．59， 206.
m E． 303 ，A．265， 541 ；cf．८． 499.
n $\varphi .371$.
○ cf．B．334， 466.
p A．451，Є． 65.
q cf．B． $426, \mu .254$.
ref．$\Psi .48$.



 $\delta \varepsilon$
$-\sigma \alpha \tau 0 \alpha,-\sigma \alpha \tau 0 \beta$ ． 1 16．$\dot{\pi} \lambda i \sigma \alpha \tau о \beta$ Fl．Ald．，$\delta \pi \lambda i \sigma \sigma \alpha \tau o$ A．a man．1．，$-i \sigma \alpha \tau o$
 var．1．Wo．et recentt．，dó $\pi_{0} v$ Vi． 133 Eu．Fl．Ro．St．Ern．Ba．Ox． 117 ．גí－


 A．K．M．Stu．edd．ante Wo．，habent $\boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ H．et rell．124．rai $\sigma \pi \alpha i \varrho o v \tau \varepsilon s$ var．1．v．et m．，ex Eu．$\alpha \sigma \pi \alpha \varrho^{\prime} \varrho \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ductum，$\pi \varepsilon i \varrho o \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \quad \gamma \quad \mathbf{r}$ et edd．，$\varepsilon i ้ \varrho o \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$
 $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \alpha i \varrho o v \tau \alpha_{s}$ Hesych．Apoll．Lex．；$\alpha \tau \alpha \rho \pi \varepsilon ́ \alpha$ Apoll．Lex．；$\pi \varepsilon \dot{\nu} о \nu \tau o$ xi mss．（Vi．
 m．var．1．Fl．St．edd．rell．，$\tau i \vartheta \varepsilon \nu \tau \circ \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ．

113－7．ӧбnv $\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\prime}$ ，so Polyphemus is compared $\oint i \omega$ vinそ́ $\varepsilon v \tau \iota$ vi $\varphi \eta \lambda \tilde{o} \nu$ ó $\varrho \varepsilon$ ع́ $\omega$ ．It is remarkable that nothing is said of the size of the daughter， which should hardly have been less，if， as probable from her occupation，she was full grown．－xatć $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{\prime}$ हैбтvyov ＂were shocked at＂，so（mar．）Antilochus at the news of Patroclus＇death $x \propto \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon-$
 see on $\iota$ ．112－5 and inf．188．－عैvé， see on $\nu \iota \sigma \sigma o ́ \mu \varepsilon \vartheta \bar{\alpha}, 42$ ．－ixє́ $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \eta \nu$ ， we may infer from the narrative of 103 －20，（presumably furnished by them） that these two ultimately escaped．

121．$\dot{\sigma} v \boldsymbol{v} \oint \propto \chi \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sigma \iota$ ，the etymol．is obvious，$\alpha v \eta \varrho \alpha \chi \vartheta$ ソos，and explains the sense＂a load for a man＂，such as a man could lift and carry，as used by these monsters to pelt with．－$\chi \varepsilon \varrho \mu \boldsymbol{\sim} \boldsymbol{\delta}$ íot－ $\sigma \iota v$ ，such are mentioned several times
（mar．）as weapons of heroic combat， in which the wielders are represented as being of extraordinary prowess； such was that thrown by Diomedes，
 ßюотоí сíб८；cf．Esch．Sept．c．Th．300， $\chi \varepsilon \varrho \mu \alpha \delta^{\prime}$ óx＠เо́єббкข．$\chi \varepsilon \varrho \mu$ ．is still in modern Greek the word for＂stones used for throwing＇，Tozer，II．p．ior． － $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{o} \boldsymbol{\pi \varepsilon \tau} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ ，the cliffs are to be conceived as impending vertically （ $\varepsilon \pi \eta \varrho \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon^{\prime} \alpha$, у 3 I inf．）over the water＇s edge where the ships lay；see on 93 $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i \alpha \iota$ ．－xóvaßog，a word of sound， not elsewhere in $H$ ．，probably con－ nected etymologically with $x \alpha \nu \alpha \chi \dot{\eta}$ and Lat．can－o；for иov $\beta$ i $\zeta \omega$ see mar．

124．$\pi$ eigovteg，the var．I．$\sigma \pi \alpha i$－ ＠ov $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \alpha_{\varsigma}$ should be noticed，founded per－ haps on a simile in $\chi \cdot 3^{84}$ foll．，where
a A． $150,326$.
b $\pi .324,352, A$ ． 432.
c $\ell .300 \mathrm{mar}$ ．
d $\ell .136$ mar．
e $\boldsymbol{\gamma} .299, \iota .539$.
f $\ell$ ．488－9 mar．
g． $\boldsymbol{\eta} .328, v .78$.
h $\mu .59$, M．$^{5} 54$.
i cf．$\mu .260$.
k $\gamma .165$ mar．
$1 \boldsymbol{I} .428 .2$
m 九．62－3 mar．










 Vi． 50 Fl．Ro．Ald．St．Ox．，veòs，Wo．et recentt．cf．$\iota .482,539$ ．128．om．
 et recentt．；$\dot{v} \pi^{9}$ ह́x mss．viii，$\dot{v} \pi \varepsilon x$ N．，víì $\gamma$ Stu．；甲v́zocuev \＆$\beta \gamma$ K．M． Stu．Vr．Vi．Vi． 50 et A．sup．Fl．Ald．，$\varphi$ v́ $\gamma \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ A．H．I．N．Vi．iii Eu．Ro． 130．${ }_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime} \mu \alpha$ mss．xiii $(\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\beta} \gamma)$ Apoll．Lex．Eu．Suid．Fl．Ro．Ald．St．Ox．，${ }^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ Rhian．et Callistr．，h．，sic edd．post Wo．，${ }_{\alpha} \rho \alpha$ Vi． $5,56$.

132．$v \alpha \tilde{v}_{S} \mathrm{~N}$ ．

the pile of corpses of the suitors are compared to fish spread on the shore， whose palpitations might be expressed by the word $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \alpha i \rho o \nu \tau \varepsilon \S$, common in that sense in H．（M．203，N． $571, \mu$ ． 254）although not occurring in $\chi \cdot 384$ foll．：for $\sigma \pi \alpha i \rho \omega$ $\alpha \sigma \pi \alpha i \rho \omega$ ef．$\sigma \tau \alpha \chi v s$
 $\mu \alpha \iota \dot{\alpha} u \varepsilon i \rho o \mu \alpha \iota$ ．Another var．$l$ ．is $\varepsilon i{ }^{i}$－
 so as to make bundles（ $\mathfrak{o} \rho \mu \alpha \boldsymbol{\jmath}$ Eustath．）．$\pi \varepsilon i \varrho o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ however，gives a more apt and lively image：the men，when their ships are broken，are in the water， and they＂spear＂them there＂like fish＂． 127－30．лвiб $\mu \propto \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\prime}$ ，cf． 96 and Virg． AEn．IV．579－80，Vaginaque eripit en－ sem fulmineum，strictoque ferit retinacula ferro．－$\ddot{\ddot{c}} \lambda \alpha$ ，the var．1．$\alpha \mu \alpha$ here is supported by a very large array of mss．but the use of $\alpha \nu \varepsilon ́ \rho\llcorner\psi \alpha \nu$ with－ out an object is too harsh，（although Eustath．adopts it as $\varepsilon \lambda \lambda \lambda \iota \pi \omega_{s} \lambda \varepsilon \chi \vartheta \varepsilon \stackrel{\varepsilon}{v}$ ， and there seems no doubt that Cal－ listratus and Rhianus have preserved the true reading．For the sense，ef． Virg．AEn．III．290，Certatim socii fe－ riunt mare et aquora verrunt，and IV． 583，Adnixi torquent spumas et carula verrunt．See here the notes on $\iota .488$ －9．－غ̇л on 12I．The survivors are thus reduced to one ship＇s company．

133－77．We sailed on with troubled hearts，and came to Circe＇s isle，玉æa， and harboured there by night．After two woeful days I went out to look about me，and saw smoke rising．I returned to give my comrades their meal，and met a monsterstag，speared him，and，twisting a rope of twig and rush，made shift to carry him to the ship．There I cheered my men and bade them eat．

133－4，see on l．62－3．Here we have no mark of direction．By the expressions of $\mu .3-4$ ，however，the asual rising point of the sun seems the undoubted site of Eæa．The abode of Eos and her $\chi$ opol are there．Circê moreover and her brother Æetes are children of Eëlios and Persê（Perseïs in Hes．Theog．957），the latter namie pointing certainly to eastern sources of tradition，while the sun－god is most naturally connected with the east．Most likely we ought to say N．E．，as there was an indication of a N．W．position for the point where the Eolian island was first met，and although the island is not fixed and all the winds are loose，yet he probably meets it again somewhere west or north of Ithaca or between the two．Again there is a trace of a rather high northern lat－ itude for the Læstrygonians；see on







a e. 106 ; cf. 216.
b $\eta .41$ mar.
c e. 334 mar.

1) B. 706, Г. 238.
e App. A, 3.
f $\boldsymbol{E} .248, \boldsymbol{Y}, 106$.
g. $x .190$; cf. $\mu .269$,
274. 

h $\boldsymbol{Y}, 191,207$.
i $\gamma .489$ mar.
ј $\mu .186$; cf. 99.
$k \gamma .10$ mar.
1 §. 846 mar.
m e. 142.
 et Chamæleon, h., $\alpha v \dot{\delta}$. Aristoph. h. (ex \&. 334 a Buttm. corr.). 138. $\varphi \alpha \varepsilon \sigma \iota-$
 I. Vi. 133.

86. The normal point of sunrise, to be north of east, suggests the summer solstice and, generally, the season of navigation; and this consideration, among a people so given to navigation as the early Greeks, and in a poem of seaadventure like the present, has great weight. Mr. Gladstone's conclusions, III. p. 312-4, substantially agree with this. Völcker, Geogr. § 61, 67 and map, and Ni. on $\mu .3-4$ with Eustath., place it, against all this evidence, in the far west, influenced by the supposed necessity of a still farther west for the abode of Aïdes, and of a situation for Ææa near it. I am further inclined to think that the poet viewed Odys. as having reached a point of the island beyond the actual sunrise, so that the sun would seem to rise and set on the same western side. Thus alone can I account for the bewilderment expressed by Odys. in 190-1 immediately after the just previously recorded setting and rising of the sun.

135-9. The etymol. of Ai $\alpha i \eta$ and Alinv ${ }^{2}$ s is doubtful: I incline to connect it with $\eta{ }^{\prime} \omega$-s the dawn, as if a changed form of $\boldsymbol{\eta} \omega i \eta$. The mention of Eetes is perhaps a tacit recognition of the legend of the Argô, cf. $\mu$. $70-2$, as it is not usual to mention the brothers of the persons introduced in H. - Kiger is probably akin to $x ข x-\alpha ं \omega, x\llcorner\rho-\nu \alpha, \omega$, "to mix", -ef. oi้v $\omega$

 App. C. 8 (I) (2). Several Scholl. on $\varepsilon$. 334 say that Aristotle and Chamæleon
 $\varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha$, and explained it as meaning ह̇niysios; other Scholl. there say that

Aristotle, unable to explain $\alpha v \delta{ }^{\eta} \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \alpha$, altered it to $\boldsymbol{o v} \dot{v} \eta \dot{\eta} \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha$ in the case of
 Calypsô and Circê, as each dwelling apart from other deities in her own palace ( $\left.\alpha v^{3} \lambda \eta\right)^{\prime}$ ) an explaation extremely frigid. The poet would not probably have fixed on the $\alpha \dot{v} \lambda \dot{\eta}$ as the basis of an epithet to express this characteristic, nor, if he had, would an adj. in $-\varepsilon \iota \varsigma-\varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha-\varepsilon \nu$ have been a likely form for it to take. Further, $\alpha \dot{v} \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \varepsilon \sigma \alpha$ seems to make a somewhat grotesque anticlimax with $\delta \varepsilon \iota \nu \eta \geqslant$ vès immediately preceding. ovं $\bar{\eta} \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha$ for Inô is a reading of more merit, and has some support from both the sets of Scholl. mentioned. But the poet
 the sense thus required, and would probably have said $\ddot{\eta} \pi \varrho i \nu \mu \dot{v} \nu \quad \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota-$
 express this of Inô (see La Roche, $H$. Textk. 208-9); Ni. suspects that ov$\lambda \dot{\eta} \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \backsim$ ó $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha$ was the original form; as also ódó $\varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha$ in $\iota .32$ for the додósбб人 of our texts. - ò oóp@ovos
 of Oceanus stands for remoteness from all known connexions, and seems to show that the Greeks had forgotten the ancient cradle of their race in the Aryan highlands, left by them probably while in the pastoral state, of course bringing with them some names for which they could no longer account. The magic in which Circê and Medea (her niece) deal, is perhaps a reflex of Oriental beliefs; see the Arabian Nights, passim.
140-1. $v \eta i$, the dat. constrn. is rare
 probably implies that they reached it
a 九．74－6 mar．
be． 388.
c ع． 390 ．
ไ $\lambda .95, \chi .79,90$ ，
X．311．
e 274 ．
$\mathrm{f}=.8,{ }^{\Psi} \mathbb{S} .451$.
g．ఢ． 259 mar．，$\pi$ ． 314.
h cf．e． 167.
i 97 mar．
$\mathrm{k} \alpha, 58$ mar．
l ג．52，II． 635.
m 432.
n 197，251，A． 118.
o d． 117 mar．
p $\alpha .281$.
q e． 474 mar．
r d． 779 mar．














$\qquad$

$\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\pi} \dot{0}$





by night（mar．）；this too $\sigma \iota \omega \pi \tilde{\eta}$ con－ firms－the cautious silence of men who，bewildered by darkness and strangeness，strain their ears to catch every sound that may guide，them． This guides us to interpret $\dot{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \pi^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} x \tau \tilde{\eta} S$ ＂at the shore＂，as though they had almost，and might have quite，touched it before they groped their way into the harbour．The dat．$y \eta^{i}$ is a rare construction．Five mss．have $\nu \check{\eta} \alpha$ ．
 presents here the utter exhaustion to which weariness from rowing，cf． 78 ，had reduced them；and to this $\alpha \nu \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha$ in 172 corresponds，showing that their attitude was actually recumbent．They were also covered up，as we learn fr．


144．$\tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \sigma^{\prime}$＇H⿳亠丷厂彡，$\tau \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \sigma^{\prime}$ must mean ＂had brought in fully＂not＂finished＂； so Voss，Geogr．§ 20，3．－है ${ }^{\prime} \gamma \chi o \mathrm{c} . .$. $\varphi \dot{\delta} \sigma \gamma^{\prime} \boldsymbol{v o v}$ ，he has occasion for both； the spear to kill the deer，the sword to threaten Circê（162，32I）．

150．Kiœxys，not that he knew as yet whose dwelling it was，but he uses，as in 135，by anticipation，his
subsequently acquired knowledge．－ $\delta i \dot{\alpha} \delta \varrho v \mu \dot{\alpha}$ r． $\boldsymbol{\tau} . \lambda ., \delta i \alpha$, the smoke rising＇amidst＂．$\delta \varrho v \mu \dot{\alpha}$ to be under－ stood of tall trees overhanging and overshadowing the palace（ $\varepsilon v \beta \eta \eta^{\circ} \sigma \sigma \sigma \iota$ 210 inf ．），which last was probably not in sight，ef．196－7，where it is not mentioned．Some dwelling might be inferred from the smoke，cf． 152.

152．$\alpha \boldsymbol{\prime \prime} 90 \pi \alpha$ ，here only an epith． of smoke，mostly of metal and of wine， with both of which＂sparkling＂would suit；not so here，but best understood from $X$ ．149－ $50, x \alpha \pi v$ òs ．．．$\dot{\sigma} \varepsilon \dot{\iota} \pi v$－ ＠ós $\alpha$ ivoućvoしo，as＂smoke proceed－ ing from fire＂，by which fire might be inferred．The notion of sparks rising with it would hardly suit a distant prospect seen by day．In Hes．Opp． 363 the same epith．is used of $\lambda \iota \mu \grave{o} \nu$ ， ＂hunger＂．
${ }_{153}{ }^{2}-5$ ．Só́ $\sigma \sigma \alpha \boldsymbol{\tau} \sigma$ ，see on $\zeta .242$ ， $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \alpha \tau^{\prime}$ ．－$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \vartheta O_{0} \nu \boldsymbol{\tau}$ is here of course हُ $\lambda \vartheta{ }^{\circ}$ suit 甲＠ovéov $\iota$ preceding，see on $\zeta$ ． ${ }^{155-7 .}$－סєĩँvov，their provisions must have been short，we may suppose， since they had not shipped any save













[^14]165．Fe＠＠vбќ $\mu \eta v$.





 $1^{67} . \pi \varepsilon \iota \sigma \mu \alpha \vartheta^{\prime}$ G．pro var．1．Vi． 5 Ald．；$\tau$ om．Fl．Ald．Lov．；co $\rho \boldsymbol{\tau} \iota \alpha \boldsymbol{v}$ K．Stu．

water，since their first departure from Aolus，sixteen days before；cf．28－9， $80-1$ ．Thus the providential supply furnished by the stag is regarded with pious acknowledgment in 157.
${ }^{157-9 . \tau i ́ g} \mu \varepsilon$ 9と $\tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$ ，the absence of Pallas and the unknown character of the friendly deity is again remark－ able；see $140-1$ and note．－ $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{0} \tilde{v}$－ $\boldsymbol{v o v}$ ，since such game was mostly ob－ tained by the concerted action of a company of hunters，or by dogs．－ ódov，not that there was any road； the track which he had made in com－ ing is perhaps meant．－лотcucóvd $\varepsilon$ ， a stream running into the harbour is perhaps to be supposed．－ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{o}_{\boldsymbol{\prime}}^{\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v o s},}$ fut．，so mar．；aor， $2 \ell^{\prime} \pi \breve{\prime} o v$ ；the perf． $\pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega \alpha$ is not Homeric．We find， however，$\pi$ órog and $\pi o ́ \sigma \iota s$ and the verbal adj．rovos，showing the stem $\pi \sigma^{-}$as well as $\pi \iota-$－ $\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\eta} \gamma \dot{c} \varrho$ ，＂for in fact＂，see mar．for similar instances．

161．©zvクбtiv，the older gram－ marians，after Aristarchus，derived this fr．$\dot{\alpha}-x \nu \eta \dot{\gamma} \vartheta \omega$ ，as being the very centre ном．OD．II．
of the back，which the creature＂could not scratch＂，and as such，used speci－ ally of animals．The derivation from $\alpha$＂́ $\sim \alpha \nu \vartheta \alpha$ ，the spine，has since been suggested．But $\alpha^{2} \alpha \alpha \nu \vartheta ิ \alpha$ in H．means merely a＂bramble＂，$\varepsilon .328$ ；and is probably first found in sense of spine in Eschyl．Fragm． 255 Dind．On the
 for a scraping－knife， 1.640 and from
 seems regularly formed．Further，H． seems to use §óxıs for the back－bone in I．208．The older derivation seems therefore preferable．

162－8．ávtıx＠̀̀ $ห . \tau . \lambda_{\text {．，}}$ the phrase is similar to several（see mar．）of men wounded in battle．－\＆ंV zoving $\mu \propto z \omega \nu$ ，cf．Virg．Georg．III．374－5 graviterque rudentes Cedunt．－ऐஸ． $\pi \alpha$, ，some kind of thick and fibrous shrub is probably intended．§ $\omega \pi \eta$ そे८, thickets of it，are spoken of N．199， as a ready cover for wild beasts to retire to：for $\lambda \dot{v} \gamma o v g$ see $t .427$ note．


а $\cong .176$ ．
b $\chi .189$ ．
c 2．634，E．741； cl．$x .219$ mar．
d $\dot{\boldsymbol{Y}} .431$ ．
е 三．38，T． 49 ．
f $\boldsymbol{M} .452 ;$ ef． $\boldsymbol{E}$ ． 288.
g 180 ．
h e． 482 mar．
i K． 157.
k $\zeta .143$ mar．
1547 ，O． 22.
m 226，$\mu .154,208$ ， 320 ；ef．є． 408.
n cf．190－2，226－8．
$0 \sum_{\Omega} 112$, T．65， ת． 523.
p $208, \lambda .69, \boldsymbol{X}$ ． 48：．
q $\Sigma .135,190, \Omega$ ． 551.
r O．613，Ф． 100.
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168．$\alpha \nu \varepsilon ́ \delta \eta \sigma \alpha$ N．169．र $\alpha \tau \alpha \lambda 0 \varphi \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \iota \alpha \propto \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ H．N．Amb．（1）Amb．（2）Hesych． Eu．Ro．Fl．Ald．Bas．St．Ern．Wo．，$x \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda o \varphi \alpha \delta \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ in mar．h v sic in plerisq． antiq．，Eu．var．1．St．，$x \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda-$ Vi．5，56，$x \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda о 甲 \alpha ́ \delta \iota \alpha$ A．K．，Vi．50，133，$\lambda 0-$
 $\alpha^{\prime} \pi^{\prime}$ Vi． 56,5 172．$x \alpha \delta \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \beta \alpha \lambda 0 \nu$ I．K．Vi． $56 ; \nu \varepsilon \omega \dot{s} \beta \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ A．K．I．N．Stu．Vi．50， ${ }_{133}$ Fl．Ro．174．$\pi \omega$ h．q．Ro．var．1．St．，$\pi \omega \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ Vi．iii M．Stu．H．ex em． Fl．Ald．St．Ern．Ox．，$\pi \tilde{\omega}_{S}$ I．，$\pi_{\pi o v}^{\pi \omega} \alpha, \pi o v$ Eu．；$\not \alpha \tau \alpha \delta v \sigma \sigma o ́ \mu \varepsilon \vartheta \vartheta^{\prime}$ H．I．N．Vi． 50.



ழotécovev，this word commonly means＂on both of two opposite sides＂ or the like．Here of the opposite ex－ tremities，fore and hind，of the animal； ＂having twisted from both extremities＂． The action of twisting the fibres etc． into a rope and twisting it from foot to foot is viewed as one，and indeed was so；since such a twist could only be made by a fixed point from which to begin．This either foot would supply， and then，twisting on，he would pass it round the opposite foot．－反モıvoio $\pi \varepsilon \lambda \omega \varrho o v$, ＂monstrous creature＂；so Гоюуєiך $x \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \dot{\eta}$ d $\delta \iota \nu . \pi \varepsilon \lambda$ ．（mar．），where a frightful aspect is intended；here size merely．In $\alpha \mathfrak{i} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon ́ \lambda \omega \varrho \propto 219$ per－ haps both．
 that the majority of the mss．in his time spelt it thus，$-\varepsilon \alpha \alpha$ ．It means ＂hanging from my head＂，as $\chi \alpha \tau \omega \mu \alpha-$ diooo（mar．epith．of a quoit）＂flung from the shoulder＂．Those who have seen an old fashioned＂porter＇s knot＂
buckled round the top（dópots）of the bearer＇s head，may form some notion of the mode of carrying here meant： the extremities tied together would cling round the head in the same way． Mad．Dacier＇s notion that he passed it round his neck（as cited by Ni．，＂sur mon cou＂）is less suitable，as causing a distressing pressure on the windpipe etc． The weight，however，required that he should steady his steps by his spear
 L．uses it for a different reason：＂His spear．．．．＂

He walk＇d with to support uneasy steps $O_{\text {ver the burning marl．}}$
171－3．，ètécク，＂one（of two）＂；so mar．－©̀véyecoc，they were lying in the attitude of weariness and des－ pondency，with their heads muffled， see on 143 and 53．－öv $\boldsymbol{j} \varrho \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ，distrib－ utively in apposition with éroíoovs； cf．$\dot{v} \mu \varepsilon \tilde{\imath}_{S} \ldots \hat{\varepsilon} x \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \eta, \delta, 629$.














a $\alpha .191$ mar. , $T$. 210.
b $\times .379,460$.
с $\alpha .288$.
d 9.49 mar.
e 171.
f $\delta .47 \mathrm{mar}$.
g $\beta .261$ mar.
h $\gamma .66$ mar.
i t. 161-2 mar.
k $\gamma .329$ mar.
I 8. 430 mar.
m $\beta$. 1 mar.
n e. 171 mar.

 ó $\vartheta \alpha \lambda \mu o \check{\sigma} \sigma v$ Fl. Ald. 182. $\tau \varepsilon \tilde{\chi} \chi 0 \nu \tau$ 'Vi. 50 , et $\tau^{\prime}$ om. N., $\tau \varepsilon v \dot{\chi} \neq \nu \tau o \mathrm{Fl}$. Ald. 183. ห $\alpha \tau \alpha \delta \tilde{v} \nu \tau \alpha$ A. Vi. 56. $184 . x \varrho \varepsilon ́ \alpha \tau ’ \gamma$ A. I. K. M. N. Vi. omn. Fl. Ald. St. et edd. $185-6$ om. Stu. $\quad 188$. $\varepsilon \gamma \dot{\omega}$ K.; $\mu \tilde{v} \vartheta \begin{gathered} \\ \nu \\ \text { K. S. } \gamma \text { Fl. Ald. sic }\end{gathered}$ Rhian., h., var. 1. St.; $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ Ro.
notion of "dying before one's time" is common in all simple language: cf. Sed misera ante diem, Virg. AEn. IV. 697. This "day" might be accelerated, or even post-poned by Zeus. Such at least is the tenor of Zeus' words in $\Pi .43$ I-42, where, the $\mu$ oi $\rho \alpha$ being that Sarpedon should then die, Zeus proposes to rescue him. In a somewhat similar way Achilles is represented as having a choice between two lots ( $\delta \iota \chi \vartheta \alpha \delta i \alpha{ }^{\circ}$
 of shorter or longer life. Ordinarily, however, it could not be so postponed; see on ع. 436. - $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$, see on 202. $\beta \varrho \omega \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\eta}$, a word not found in II., where $\beta \varrho \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma$ (and $\beta \rho \omega \tau$ vis in T. 205 also $\sigma$. 407) occur: the accus. is once found with $\mu \varepsilon ́ \mu \nu \eta \mu \alpha \iota$ (mar.).

178-97. My comrades, roused at my words, gazed at the deer, then feasted all day, then slept till dawn. Then I called a muster and advised them. I could not say which way lay east and west, but I invited deliberation, as being at my wit's end, and told them what I had seen in my previous survey of the island.
 of woe, see on 143. This muffling the
face or person was so characteristic as the costume of sorrow that Aschylus is derided by Euripides in Aristoph. Ran. 942-4 for his frequent use of it
 है $\kappa \alpha \lambda$ v́ $\psi \alpha s,{ }^{\prime} A \chi \iota \lambda \lambda \varepsilon ́ \alpha$ тıv’ ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ Nıóß $\eta \nu$, то $\pi$ @о́б $\omega \pi$ оข о $v \chi \chi \delta \varepsilon i \xi \alpha s .-\chi \varepsilon \tilde{i}-$ oas, this is to be understood as a devotional act, see $\beta .261$ and note; ef. also Eschyl. Pers. 201-3, $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon i \delta^{\prime}$
 $\sigma \alpha \pi \eta \gamma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \sigma v \vartheta v \vartheta v \eta \pi$ ó $\lambda \omega \chi \varepsilon \varrho \grave{\beta} \beta \omega \mu \tilde{\omega}$ $\pi \varrho 0 \sigma \varepsilon ์ \sigma \tau \eta \nu$.

184-8. 2@ $\dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ ' see on $\gamma$. 33. áooŋ̀v see App. A. (4). The $\alpha$ 人oò does not cheerfully shout assent as in B. $333, I$. $50-\mathrm{I}$, or observe a chilly silence as in $I$. 30 , but its members merely 'wail aloud"', having no counsel left to offer. This marks the point of dejection to which they had reached. That the ship's company is here convened under the title of $\propto \boldsymbol{\alpha} o \rho \eta$, marks on the other hand the habits of the Greek mind even in its early Homeric stage. They were free men and could only be led by persuasion in the last resort, and through the moral ascendancy won by their chief. This is clearly established by the case of Eurylochus,
a $\mu, 271,340$ ．
b $\mu .138, \lambda .104$ ， 111 ；cf．$\beta .370$.
c e． 172.
d 174 mar．
e $\varrho .78$.
f ef．M．239－40．
g． 138 mar．
h cf．$\lambda .16,18$. i 228 ．
k P．634， 712.
197 mar．
m $\Sigma .205$.
n $\ell, 25$ mar．
－149－50 mar．
p 九． 146 mar．；ef． т． 446 ．
q J． 481 mar．
r cf．I． 527.
s 106.
$t$ ef． 435.















 Ro．St．var．I．${ }^{\text {192．} \alpha v \varepsilon i \tau \alpha \iota ~} \alpha \dot{\gamma}$ V．K．Ni．iii Fl．Ald．var．I．A．，$\alpha v v$ ．$\alpha$ ；

 $\omega \nu$
 ゆर́zoıo
－甲óvoio m．n．St．，－甲оvoı Vi．5，56，－甲оขоı $\alpha$ ．
$265-73$ inf．and $\mu .297$ foll．，and of course throws greater lustre on that chief＇s character．
 passages one only of these terms oc－ curs（mar．）：the $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \alpha \check{L} \rho o \iota$ is suited to the formal character of the $\dot{\alpha} \gamma o \varrho \dot{\eta}$ ， like the＂milites＂of Cæsar：the ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$ píhot seems then added by way of transition to a more familiar and endear－ ing style．－ov $\gamma \dot{\varrho} \varrho$ к．$\tau$ ．$\lambda$ ．，for this statement，which sounds so strangely after the just recorded sunset and sun－ rise，see on 133－4．For Góqos，see App．G． 2 （I2），（I3）．
 poetry imagined a vessel in which the sun passed back from west again to east to recommence his course．A Fragm．of Æschyl．restored by Her－ mann（Dind．64）mentions it，as does another of Stesichorus，Bergk．p．997，





193．оvx оíoноє，the sequel shows
the feeling to be，that recent experi－ ence had taught them only to expect savage monsters and imminent peril in these far off regions；and being now so far that east could not be discerned from west，what might they not expect to find？Their only hope would have been to find the island uninhabited；and this is negatived by the next line $\varepsilon \tilde{\delta} \delta o \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho \quad \varkappa . \tau$ ．This explains the $\gamma \alpha$ ．
 ＂I found an isle zoned in with bound－ less seas＂，Worsley．－$\chi \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{\sim} \mu \boldsymbol{\lambda} \grave{\eta}$ ，
 see on 150 ．

198－209．My comrades，quite un－ manned by what they had suffered and what they now feared，wept aloud． But，since tears were idle，I divided them all into two bodies，with myself and Eurylochus for commanders，we cast lots which should go and which should stay．Mine was the latter．With lamentations they left us lamenting for them．










a 2. $391, \pi .216$, $\varphi .56, \boldsymbol{T} .5$.
b $\delta .556$ mar.
c $568, \Omega .524,550$; сf. $\pi .88$.
d $\beta .402$.
e d. 653 mar.
f $\boldsymbol{\Gamma} .316$, य. $\mathbf{F} .861$;
cf. $\ell .331$.
g. cf. H. 171-6.
h $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$. 353.
i $\beta .298$.
k $\beta .11$ mar.






epithet elsewhere always in a tone of admiration hardly compatible with the subject here. If the line be genuine, (although the elsewhere unused $\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ঠৎофќyoı throws some suspicion upon it) the epith. is probably a tribute to his mere bulk, with a dim sense that passions and feelings might be in proportion to it. The same epith. is used of Eurylochus 207 who turns out a craven. Such fixed epithets, as the $\dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{v} \mu \omega \nu$ of Agisthus $\alpha .29$, rather imply the class or rank to which a person belongs than his individual merit. The ßín Kv́x $\lambda \omega \pi$ os is probably periphrastic for the person merely, as in $\beta i^{\prime} \eta{ }^{\circ} H \varrho \alpha-$ $x \lambda \eta$ si $\eta$, and other instances. Cf. Ovid. Met. XIV. 248-50, Nos quoque Circao religata in litore pinu, Antiphate memores immansuetique Cyclopis, Ire neyabamus et tecta ignota subire.
202. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ov $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho$ x. $\tau$. $\lambda$., the $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ really implies a suppressed clause "but they desisted", or the like. Such a clause is expressed in $\xi$. $355-6$,


 the clause with $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ is expressed but
 $\alpha^{\prime} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime \prime} \gamma \varepsilon \tau^{\prime}$; and so $226-8$ inf., where the leading clause has no negative.
203 -8. The crisis is too fearful to
send any picked men, after their recent experiences; see on 193. Where in our service there would perhaps be a call for volunteers, the Greeks cast lots. - díxc, in two companies. Ev́gúdozos, he was, see 441, a $\pi$ nòs to Odys., had wedded, say the Scholl., his sister Ctimenê. This betokens that he would rank with the minor $\dot{\alpha} \varrho \iota-$ $\sigma \tau \tilde{\eta} \varepsilon s$. As there was probably no reason as yet to disparage his courage this accounts for the selection of him, Polites, 224, was probably a younger man. The custom of shaking up marked pebbles in a helmet, or similar receptable, is twice used in II. (mar.):
 ع́xocotos, show the process. - dive xaì عïzo $\sigma$ ', the total including the chiefs was therefore 46 . Besides, 6 were lost at Ismarus $\iota .60,6$ eaten by the Cyclops, and if the three sent to the Læstrygonians were of Odysseus' own crew, as is likely, one of these should be added to make up the total which left Troy. This would give $46+6+6+1=59$. These however, are those left after the ten years' siege. How many left Ithaca it is not possible to reckon. Probably not less than a third of the total should be allowed for losses in the war. This would place the original complement at about 90 . This would be very

## a $252-3$.

b cโ. $\gamma .406$ mar.
e $\alpha, 426$ mar.
d $\lambda .605$.
e. . 268, M. 285.
if X. 93.
ह゙ в.47, M. 255, O. 594, N. 435.
h J. 230.
i cf. $\varrho .302$, «. 219.
is $\ell .452$.








$211 . \xi^{\xi} \varepsilon \sigma \tau 0 i ั \sigma \iota$ K. M. N. Vi. 56 Eu. Fl. Ro. Ald. St., $-\sigma \iota \nu$ Wo. et recentt. 213 .
 $\tau \dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon$ A., $\tau 0 v v^{\prime} \gamma \varepsilon$ Vi, 50 et var. 1. A. ${ }^{215}$. ovㅇ $\tilde{\eta} \sigma \iota$ A. I. K. M. Vi. 133 Fl.; $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \alpha i v o v \tau \varepsilon$ Ro. St. et edd., $\pi \varepsilon \varrho \iota \sigma \alpha i \nu . \gamma$ K. M. N. Vi. 50 Vr. Hesych. Eu.
 centt.; lóvtes $\gamma$ Stu. 217 . $v v \mu \tilde{\omega} \gamma$ Stu. Vr.
slightly above the mean of the crews who went to Troy according to the view of Thucyd. I. 10.

210-29. They soon reached Circê's palace, where wolves and lions came tamely fawning upon them - a fearful sight - like hounds who wait for fragments of their master'sfeast. They heard the goddess singing within at her loomwork. Polites, my most loved of comrades, marked the voice - goddess' or woman's, he knew not - and bade them shout.
 2 (28). - $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{v}$, being sing., shows that $\delta \omega \dot{\omega} \tau \alpha$ is to be taken as a sing. "the palace" (mar.). - גv́roィ $\boldsymbol{\varkappa} . \boldsymbol{\tau} . \lambda .$, cf. Virg. FEn. VII. 15-19, Ov. Met. XIV.
 so $\vartheta$ ย่ $\lambda \% \varepsilon \iota \nu$ in 291, 318, 326 inf . Again
 the action of supernatural power on mortal senses is still intended, although the supposed effect is there external to the person affected by it. The Scholl. and Eustath. interpret xot $\varepsilon$ $\vartheta \varepsilon \lambda \xi \varepsilon$, "had tamed, or robbed of their fierceness". Then they would not have been transformed men, but charmed brutes. The contrary is suggested by 433 inf., where see note. - zaxi $\varphi \dot{\varphi} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \boldsymbol{x}$ ', so Virg. ub. sup. potentibus herbis. In $\delta$. 230 we read $\varphi$. $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$

$\gamma \varrho \alpha$. The Arabian Nights abound with such effects of magic; and there too the persons transformed retain their human feelings; cf. 240 inf . Magic and medicine are not distinguished in H. So, the charming of wounds finds place by the side of the soothing ( $\ddot{\eta} \pi \iota \alpha$ 4. 218) applications, alike in $\tau .457$, ह̇ँ $\pi \alpha 0 \iota \delta \dot{\eta}$, and in Pindar Pyth. III, 92,
 Chaucer, Knightes T., 2713-5,

To other woundes and to broken armes Som hadden salves and som hadden charmes And fermacies of herbes.
The marvellous tameness may be supposed to have roused suspicions in Euryl. (ef. 232), who, although he does not witness his comrades' transformation, yet as the sty was probably in the $\alpha v \lambda \dot{\eta}$ (see on 389-93 inf.) may have seen swine driven into it, and at any rate seems on reflexion to arrive at the conclusion that they had been transformed; cf. $259-60$ with 432-4.

215-9. ov่@йбıv ห. т. $\lambda$. , so Ovid. ub. sup., Quinetiam blandas movere per aëra caudas, nostraque adulantes comi-
 from a recumbent posture is probably meant. They are no doubt in the $\alpha v \lambda \eta{ }_{\eta}$, though it is not named. - $\sigma x i v \omega \sigma^{\prime}$, subjunct. of simile, see App. A. 9 (14); $\sigma \alpha i \nu \omega$ is the proper word to express the animal action of crouching, fawn-










[^15]219．Fídov．223．Féega．
$\delta$


 $\alpha \nu \delta \rho \tilde{\omega} \nu$

 น $\alpha \grave{\iota} \mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon ์ \varepsilon \iota \pi \varepsilon \nu, ~ V i . ~ 50, ~ 133) . ~$
ing，etc．，though often used by metaph． of men or things；cf．$\varepsilon \ell \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \delta \varepsilon \pi \rho \circ \sigma-$ бкiveє $\sigma \varepsilon ́ \tau \iota$ ，Æschyl．Prom． 854 ， бкiv\＆$\mu \varepsilon \pi \varrho о \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon i \chi o v \sigma \alpha$ ，Soph．Antig． 1228．In Hy．Ven． $69-72$ the wild beasts crouch and fawn similarly on Aphroditê descending Mount Ida，oil $\delta \grave{\varepsilon}$

 Eustath．would derive it from $\sigma \varepsilon i \omega$ ， really $\sigma \varepsilon \mathcal{E}^{-} \mathcal{\omega}$ ，cf．the Latin cev－eo（ $\sigma \varepsilon \mathcal{F}$－）
 Эvuov，＂what will gratify their ap－ petite＂；cf．Eschyl．Agam．1414，X＠v－



220－3．жєддєлдожс́ноєо，beautiful tresses，a beautiful voice，and beautiful loom－work are among，the＂fascina－ tions＂of Circê．－öxi radz ，the same is ascribed to the Muses（mar．）． －iбtov，the tall beam which sup－ ported the loom，which，with the cross－ piece and thrums depending，resem－ bled the mast，yard，etc．，of a ship． The＂weaver＇s beam＂of 1 Sam．XVII．
 since the work was done standing，with a good deal．of movement to and fro，
to fasten and insert the threads．－ oícer $x . \tau$ ．$\lambda$ ．，＂So shining，slender，and instinct with grace，As weave the daughters of immortal race＂，Worsley． －лє่ $\lambda \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \boldsymbol{c} \boldsymbol{c}$ ，see on $\gamma .298$ for verb plur．with neut．plur．subject．

224－5．Hodirns，the same name is given to one of Priam＇s sons（mar．）， whom Virgil AEn．II． 526 foll．intro－ duces as slain by Pyrrhus．－x讠゙ $\boldsymbol{\ell}$ 亿－ ovos，the Schol．has 3 interpretations， ＂most near of kin＂，＂worthy of esteem＂， ＂dearest through affinity＂．The Scholl． on $I .640$ render it in the first sense
 sense on the kin between Achilles and Ajax，for the third see 才．583－4，

 nol $\gamma \dot{v} v o s$, although this obviously also includes the first．The fact of his being in Eurylochus＇division，and the scarcity of blood－relations of Odys．（cf．$\pi .117$ ）， give a slight presumption in favour of his having been，like Euryl．，connected by affinity merely．It is in form superl．， founded on the noun $x \tilde{\eta} \delta 0 s$ ，ef．also the adj．x $\eta$＇$\delta \varepsilon \iota o \mathrm{~g}$, T． 294.
226－8．زथ்e ．．．$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \dot{\varepsilon}$ ，see on 202.
a ع． 61 mar ．
b ঠ． 627 ；cf．x． 399. c 255 ．
d cf． $174-6$ ．
e ef． 192.
f $\beta^{3} .257$.
－255－8．
И $\mu$ ． 249 ；сf． ． 341.
$\mathrm{i}=169$ ．
к $\zeta .19$ mar．；cf．$\chi$ ． 399, 廿． 370,2 ． 89．
1 ג． 272 ；cf．$\mu .41$ ， \％． 282.
m к． 323 ，九． 339 mar．；ef．$\chi .210$.
n 314，$\xi .49$.
o $\alpha, 145$ mar．
p cf．$v .69$.
q 乃． 290 mar．
r A． 631.
s A．638－40．
$t 290,316$.
u cf．$\delta .41$
v ס． 230.
w ८． $94-7$ ；cf．$\delta$ ． 220.
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237．$\dot{\alpha} \varepsilon \iota \delta \iota \alpha \dot{\alpha} \varepsilon$ Ro．；$\dot{\alpha} \mu \varphi \iota \mu \varepsilon ́ \mu \alpha \chi \varepsilon \nu$ Vi． $56, \dot{\alpha} \mu \varphi \iota \beta \varepsilon \not \beta \eta \not \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu$ Vi．5， 56 ．229．тoíd
 Wo．et recentt．，óiogáusvos Vi．omn．K．M．N．Eu．Fl．Ald．et var．1．A．St． Ern．Ox．，$\dot{\text { ö́cozo }} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \dot{\alpha} \rho$ A．Vr．，óito $\sigma \alpha \tau o ~ \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ I．$\beta$（post hune v．habent I． $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ disti－ chon ex t． 339 x． 316 confectum，sed $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ corrupte）．233．G．N．Vr．Vi．50， 133 in textu et H．Amb．in mar．insititium habent $x$ ． 316 sed of pro $\mu 0$ ． ${ }^{236}$ ．om．Vi． 5 ．
－coodcácı，see on $\varepsilon .61$－ 2 ；ef．
 $\boldsymbol{\mu v z \varepsilon v}$ being made $\xi \varepsilon \sigma \tau 0 i \sigma \iota \quad \lambda \alpha \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \iota$, there would be a loud echo from the porticoes and Épxos；by $\boldsymbol{\delta} \alpha \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{v}$ is probably meant the very floor of the $\alpha \dot{v} \lambda \dot{\eta}$ ；cf．the epith．$\varepsilon \rho \iota \gamma \delta o v i \pi o v$ of the кivova $\eta_{s}$ ，App．F． 2 （8），and 388－90 inf．Ni．cites Pind．Ol．XI．93－4，
 vaגíaıs．

230－50．Circê opened her doors and invited them to enter．They heedlessly followed，save Eurylochus．She led them hospitably in，and set a wassail－ bowl before them，mixed with baneful drugs．She then transformed them to swine，though they retained the minds of men．She flung mast and berries to them．Eurylochus returned，after long waiting（260），to the ship，but dumb with fear and woe－begone．We asked his tidings，which at length he told．

[^16]throughout，until she is vanquished and Odys．domesticated in her palace． Then，but not before，her nymphs are mentioned（348 foll．）．－Посирєíw， about the locality nothing is clearly known．The Scholl，on 1.639 say a mountain in Caria，or a rock in Icarus． Athen．I． 28 cites Ephippus as saying，
 as though Пొ．had become a current name for a high class of wine，the locality being forgotten；and so Ari－ stoph．Eq．1०7， $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ oṽ $\delta \alpha i ́ \mu o v o s ~ \tau o \tilde{v} \Pi \varrho \alpha-$ $\mu \nu i o v . ~ A r i s t o p h . ~ F r a g m ; ~ 301 ~ D i n d . ~ h a s ~$

 $\pi ท ่ ง$ เัข
where it seems clearly local．The Scholl．add nugatory verbal derivations fr ．$\pi \varrho \alpha \ddot{v} \nu \varepsilon \iota \nu$ and $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \varepsilon \nu$ ，although they perhaps point to real qualities of the vintage．A similar posset is mixed by Nestor（mar．）．
 tion after home represents all the higher









[^17]
## 

 Fl. Ro. Ald. St. Ern. Ox., $\tau \varrho i \chi \alpha_{s} \tau \varepsilon$ Ambr. q. h. Eu. Wo. et recentt. 240. x $\alpha i$ reixas Fl. Ald. St. Ern. Ox., $\pi$ ód $\alpha$ M. Ambr. q. cum var. 1. $\delta \varepsilon ́ \mu \alpha s$, sic Zenod., h., $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{i} \delta \varepsilon ́ \mu \alpha \alpha_{s}$ var. I. h. et M. sic Ambr. Wo. et recentt., nostram $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\beta}$
 Vr. Vi. $5^{50}$, 133 Eu. Ro. Apoll. Lex. Wo.; $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ́ r v \lambda o v$ A. Vi, $5 ; \beta \alpha \lambda \alpha v o v{ }^{\prime \prime} \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v$ Apoll. Lex.; $\tau \varepsilon \beta \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \varepsilon$ Stu. Vr., $\tau^{\prime}{ }^{\xi \prime} \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$ K. Eu. Ro. Fl., $\tau{ }^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\prime \prime} \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \nu$ rell. (hunc

 ject., $\alpha \hat{\iota} \psi \boldsymbol{\in} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ H. et lib.
element of their nature, as opposed to immediate indulgence. To forget it would, further, have the effect of making them content to dwell as swine in her sty, in spite of their vo $\tilde{v}_{S}$ being $\varepsilon^{\prime} \mu \pi \varepsilon \delta 0$ (240). The effect of the potion must be supposed to be, to unman them entirely, and disable them from resisting or evading the stroke of the wand. For a singular mediæval perversion of the ethical points of this adventure see Pref. to vol. I. p. iv, n. 3. - § $\dot{\alpha} \beta \boldsymbol{\delta} \omega$, for a similar use of the $\varrho \alpha \beta \delta \delta o s$ or $\sigma x \eta \pi \alpha \dot{\sim} \nu \circ \nu$ by other deities see mar. - ésegvv, this form does not cecur elsewhere. H. uses for
 72 , where Wolf and other edd. have $\mu \varepsilon$ عi' $\rho$ yovol, Bek. reads, I think, correctly $\mu^{\prime}$ हैं ${ }^{\prime} \rho$ goval; the $\mathcal{F}$ dying out the $\varepsilon \varepsilon$ - was contracted into $\varepsilon i^{-}$, and عi' ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \omega$ is thus the Attic form.
239-40. Some differences of reading (see mid. mar.) are worth notice here.

241-3. xaciovteg, a trace of the vo $\tilde{v}_{S} \varepsilon^{\prime \prime} \mu \pi \pi \delta \delta o s$, and thus justifying the
 be the mast of the $\pi \rho \check{\sim} \nu o s$, generally supposed to be the ilex or "scarlet oak" said to produce both esculent acorns and berries (? galls) which yield
a scarlet dye. Tozer II. p. 68, says that the dwarf Ilex grows now on the precipices of Olympus in Thessaly. The word $\dot{\alpha} x-v \lambda o s$ suggests our word acorn (aikcorn, Scotch "aik", English "oak", Germ. (Fidfe). - $\beta$ cidavóv, mast of the forest oak, used also by analogy for the date and similar products of other trees, the Lat. glans. Alcæus has "Aex $\alpha \delta \varepsilon s$ $\varepsilon^{\prime \prime \sigma} \sigma \alpha \nu \quad \beta \alpha \lambda \alpha \nu \eta \varphi \alpha ́ \gamma o \iota(B e r g k, 955)$ and so Herod. I. 66. The reading $\beta \alpha \lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime}-$ $\nu 0 v$ for $\beta \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \alpha \nu o v^{\nu} \tau$ ' is worth notice. x@aveins, "cornel", found abundantly on the Mountains Ossa and Olympus by Tozer II. p. 79. A village near the latter is now called K@ $\begin{aligned} & \text { via from }\end{aligned}$ it; ib. p. 107. The situation $\dot{\alpha} v \dot{\alpha} \delta \varrho v \mu \dot{\alpha}$
 favour an abundance of such provender. The statement that Aristar. "knew not" this verse is puzzling. Ni . supposes he must have read $\delta \tilde{\omega} \pi \varepsilon$ $\delta \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}$ for $\tau 0 \check{\sigma} \sigma \iota \delta \stackrel{\varepsilon}{c}$ in 241, as otherwise there would be no principal verb. Possibly the statement may refer to the line ascribed to Callistratus (see mid. mar.) instead of $24^{2}$. In it $\pi \alpha \nu$ $\tau \dot{s} s \mu \dot{\eta} v$ or $\mu \dot{\varepsilon}(\nu)$ is corrected by Rud. Schmidt to $\pi \alpha \nu \tau o i \eta s$ (Dind. Annot. ad Schel.). - oíce $\sigma \dot{v} \varepsilon \xi_{\text {, }}$ ef. Scolion ap. Bergk, p. $1294,{ }^{\circ} \mathcal{A}$ vs $\boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\alpha} \nu \beta \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \alpha v$ ov


244-8. Voss conjectured $\alpha^{\prime} \psi$ for $\alpha i \psi$ '.
$a \cong 355$ ．
b $\delta 489$.
c．．ा． 259.
d $x .308$ ：cl．ס． 701.
e $\alpha$ ． 6 ．
f I． 9.
f．I． 3.
h $\delta .704-5$, v． 348 $-9$.
i e． $213 ;$ cf．N． 283.
k 421， 485.
$1 \Omega$ ． 599.
m 150 mar．
n 2．100，202，488， $\mu .82$ ；cf．X． 216 ．
o $210-1$ mar．
p 221－2 mar．
（1） 228.
r 229－32 mar．
s v． 79.
t $\gamma .165$ mar．
u cf．$\mu .441$.
v cf．$\delta .524, \pi .365$.
$\mathrm{w} \cong .58$ ．
$x$ Э． 406 mar．
y 9.416 mar．
z $\boldsymbol{\Gamma} .334-5, T$ ． 372－3．
аа 9.107 ；cf． $\boldsymbol{\varkappa}$ ． 158.






 ＇Odvббє ${ }^{\text {．}}$












 Э $\eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ ．

246．દ̇หต $\sigma \sigma \vartheta \nsim \iota, \gamma \beta$ Vi．iii I．K．M．N．247．$\beta \varepsilon \beta \lambda \eta \mu \varepsilon ́ v o s$ Vi． 5 ， 56 Vr ．A．a man．I．


 H．I．K．M．Vi． 50 ， 56 Stu．Vr．Eu．Fl．，hab．Vi． 133 Ro．Ald．，in mar．addunt

 I．K．M．N．Vi．iii Fl．Ro．Ald．Ern．Ox． 263 ．$\alpha \hat{i} \psi \gamma$ A．K．M．N．Stu．Vi．
5， 50 I．post ras．Fl．Ro，Ald．，$\alpha \tilde{i} \psi$＇ $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ H．；$\eta \boldsymbol{v} \omega \dot{\gamma} \varepsilon \alpha \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\beta} \gamma$ H．Fl．Ro．Ald．，$-\gamma \varepsilon \alpha$


It seems，however，that the coming ＂at once＂is more prominent in the mind of the narrator than the coming ＂back＂．－áy $\lambda \dot{i} \boldsymbol{i} \eta v$ ，＂intelligence＂， so ő $\varphi \varrho \alpha$ лv́vi $\eta \alpha \iota \quad \lambda \tau \gamma \varrho \eta \tilde{\eta}_{s} \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda i \eta s$ ，
 xóruov，all he actually knew was their disappearance，$\alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \omega ์ \geqslant \sigma \alpha \nu, 259$. －由ísto，here we detect the prim－ itive sense of óitw as a verb of motion， which caused it to lend a fut．to $\varphi$ ह́œю， ＂was tending towards＂．

250－73．Eurylochus detailed his ad－
venture．His comrades had disappeared and he had waited in vain．I girt on my weapons to prosecute the search， and bade him guide me．He implored me not to take him thither，but to escape with the remnant，whilst yet we might．I bade him stay and eat and drink．I would go alone，for go I must．

251－8．See the notes at the previous passages referred te in mar．




 $\alpha v ̉ \tau o v$.










a 2． $594, \varrho$ ．Зін． б． 28.
b ち． 142 mar．
c $\beta$ ． 362 mar．
d cf．I．429．
e $\boldsymbol{P}$ ． 685 ．
f A． 428, B． 35.
g．cf．284－5．
h cf．$\Theta .246$, P． 367.
¢． 93 ；cf．$\beta .47$ mar．
k 288，Ф． 374.
l o． 524 ，I．251， Y． 315 ．
m 七． 522.
n $\boldsymbol{\nu}^{2} 28$, o． 260 ．
－ת． 476 ．
p $\gamma_{.} 365$ mar．
q $\boldsymbol{N} .752$ ．
r Z． 458.
s 146.
t $\delta .514$ mar．， $\boldsymbol{K}$ ． 365，यื． 773.
u P．283，X． 190.
v II． 28 ．

264．$\gamma^{\prime}$ om．Vi． 50 ；$\alpha \mu \varphi о \tau \varepsilon ́ \varrho \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ ह́ $\lambda \dot{\omega} \nu \beta$ A．I．Vi． 50 Vr．Stu．a man．I，$\lambda \alpha \beta \dot{\omega} \nu$ $\lambda \alpha \beta \dot{\omega} \nu$
 Vi．omn．H．I．K．M．N．Stu．Vr．，Eu．，hab．A，in mar．I．M．266．$\kappa \boldsymbol{\gamma} \gamma$ ，
 है

 I．N．Vi．${ }^{1} 33 . \quad{ }_{275} . \delta \eta^{\prime} \varrho^{3} \gamma$ ．
 of $\chi \varepsilon \varrho \sigma i \nu$ ；see mar．－安ええíббをचo， this，as implying entreaty，may be held to connect the words of supplica－ tion with their context，if 265 be，as in many mss．，omitted．This，however， is somewhat harst，as compared with the poet＇s usual copious use of con－ necting phrases．

268．$\sigma \omega \nu$ ，a Schol．says that Aristar． took this for $\sigma \tilde{\omega} 0 \boldsymbol{v}$ ．It seems much more natural to take it as gen．plur． of oós．Aristar．may have been in－ fluenced by the considerations that ह́ro兀＠o七 in various cases occurs fre－ quently alone without any possessive， e．g．$\ell .550,561, \mu$ ． $53,55,140$ ，and that＂＇lly comrades＂，might seem un－ suited to the speaker，since they were equally his comrades．But on the other hand for to＂bring safe＂H．uses the simple verb $\sigma \alpha \omega \dot{\xi} \omega$, e．g．${ }^{2} \pi i \quad v \tilde{\eta} \alpha \sigma \alpha-$


 ＠ovs inf． $55^{1}$ very similar to $\alpha \xi \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \sigma \omega \nu \nu$ （ $\sigma \tilde{\omega} 0 v$ ）．The point is one which must be left in doubt．

272－3． $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma} \omega \boldsymbol{v}$ и．т．$\lambda$ ．，the words convey a rebuke to his cowardice，as capable of selfish enjoyment under such circumstances．$-\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\varepsilon}$, here $=\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ； see on $\beta$ ．－x＠ate＠ウ̀ $\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\varepsilon} x, \tau$ ．$\lambda$ ．，this phrase occurs also Hy．Ven． 130.

274－391．I took my way over rocks and through thickets towards Circê＇s palace．As I neared it the god Hermes met me，and with earnest regard en－ quired my errand．He then told me my comrades were transformed，and I should fare no better，save for a mar－ vellous antidote which he would give me．By the help of that I might defy Circê＇s drugs，terrify her，and safely enjoy her favours；first exacting her solemn oath not to practise on me to my hurt when defenceless．

275－7．iع＠थ்¢，see on $\gamma .278$ ．－
a $\varepsilon .87$ mar．
b ท．19，II． 790.
c $\Omega$ ． $347-8$ ．
। i．o．366，$N$ ． $48 \pm$.
e $\beta .302$ mar．，$\gamma$ ． 374 mar．
f P．364，$\mu$ ．287，
K． 385.
¢ e． 311 ，2． 93.
h ८． 400 mar．
i $\Omega .519$ ．
j $\Gamma .219$ ；ef． 231.
k 449.
1 ท． 132 ；cf．2．53， $\mu .383$.
m 238，241，と． 221.
n $\boldsymbol{\nu}, 367$.








## 

279．$\pi \varrho \omega \dot{\tau} \omega \mathrm{N} . ; \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \tilde{v} \delta \dot{\eta}$ var．1．a man．rec．A．；$\chi \propto \varrho \iota \varepsilon ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \tau o s ~ V r . ~ V i . ~ 50 . ~ 280 . ~$ $\kappa ้ \rho \alpha$ oi A．N．Vi．omn．，oî M．，${ }_{0}^{\mu 0 \iota} \alpha{ }^{\circ}{ }^{281}$ ．$\pi \tilde{\eta} \delta^{\prime}$ H．lib．pler．St．，$\pi \tilde{\eta} \delta^{\prime}$ edd．， $\tau i \delta^{\prime}$ Vi． 56 （Alter），$\tau i \pi \tau$＇Schol．Vi． 133 ；$\alpha v{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \omega \mathrm{c}$ Vi． 56 （Alter）v．Eu．St．Ern．，
 Wo．Ox．et edd，rec．
＇E＠ucios，it is remarkable，that here alone，save in the suspicious $\omega$ ．I foll． （where he occurs in the mythologically later character of $\psi v \chi 0 \pi o ́ \mu \pi \sigma \varsigma)$ ，does Hermes act independently of the bidd－ ing of $Z \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v} \underset{\varepsilon}{c}$ ，and as it were self－moved； cf．$\varepsilon .29,{ }^{〔}{ }^{2} \rho \mu \varepsilon i \alpha, \sigma \dot{v} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha ́ \quad{ }^{\prime}$ к้ $\lambda \lambda \alpha \pi \varepsilon \varrho \not \approx \not \approx \gamma \varepsilon \lambda$ ós हैбб८．His conduct here is，however，an example of the statement in $\Omega .334-5$ ，＇Equsí, ，боi
 $\delta \varrho i \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota \varrho i \sigma \sigma \alpha \iota, x . \tau$ ．$\lambda$ ．Also that Odys．seems to know him without his declaring himself；but perhaps his narrative may be influenced by the subsequent words of Circê in 331．－
 $\varrho \alpha \beta \delta o v \quad \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \quad \chi \varepsilon \varrho \sigma i \nu, \quad$ калท̀v $\chi \varrho v-$ бєi $\eta \nu$ ；cf．App．C． 2 ；so Pind．Pyth． IV．зıб，＇Еৎ $\mu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma ~ \chi \varrho v б о ́ \varrho \alpha \pi \iota \varsigma . ~$

279－80．vix $\boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\tau} \eta$ ，cf，Luc．de $S a-$ crific．p． 264 ed．Tauchn．，$\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \pi \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau 0 v \sigma \iota$
 Eৎu $\boldsymbol{\eta} \nu \dot{v} \pi \eta \nu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta v .-\ddot{\eta} \beta \eta$ ，similarly Apollo appears，Hy．Apol．Pyth． 371
 $\tau \varepsilon \rho \tilde{\omega} \tau \varepsilon, \pi \varrho \omega \vartheta \eta^{\prime} \beta \eta, x . \tau$ ．$\lambda$ ．In the Hy．Merc．Hermes is introduced as an infant－still with the wand as his
 －performing marvels of successful cunning，e．g．stealing the cattle which

Apollo tended，and pleading the im－ possibility of such a babe as himself having committed，the theft（270－2）．
 374－5．

281－5． $\boldsymbol{\pi} \tilde{\eta} \boldsymbol{\delta}^{\prime}$ и．$\tau$ ．$\lambda$ ．，the tone is first one of compassionate but some－ what contemptuous expostulation，as from a superior；but quickly passes into one of friendly sympathy，counsel， and aid．Comp．in Milton＇s Comus， 609 foll．，the speech of the Attendant Spirit，＂alas good venturous youth，ete．＂ founded on the adventure here．－avं $\boldsymbol{c}$ $\hat{\omega}$ ，the varr．l．$\alpha \boldsymbol{v} \tau \omega s \alpha{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \omega$ g are worthy of notice；either if read，would suit in， the sense of irrito；see on $\delta .665^{2} \cdot \alpha v \tau^{\prime}$ would mean＂again＂，in reference to his having gone out alone before， 146 foll．－é＠ well expresses the last＂forlorn hope＂ of the whole adventure，consisting of the hero himself．Here，according even to rules of tragedy，the difficulty re－ quires the intervention of a deity．－
 oíd＇，the word may be supposed as－ sisted by some gesture，as pointing with his $\varrho \alpha \dot{\beta} \delta \delta 0$ towards the palace． －ع̇vì Kigzys，for examples of this
 see on と． 221.


















a 330 ．
b $298,385$.
c cf．267－8
d v． 329
e cf．$\gamma .231, \delta .753$ ， O． 290.
I e． 346 mar．
g．292，ס． 230.
h $308,426,445,554$ ．
269 mar．
k ס． 410 mar．
l ४．82，A． 361
m cf．235－6．
n H．263，I． 351. 587，A． 841.
o 318， 326.
p 287，ঠ． 230.
q cf． 15 mar．
r $\mu$ ． $251, \ldots 238$ mar．
s e． 300 mar．
t 322.
u Г．379，E．436， $\boldsymbol{Y} .346,442$ ；cf． ع． 341 ．
v 323；cf．$\ell 377$. w 334.
x H． $18 \%$ ．
y cf $\lambda .249-50$ ． z 281， 385.
aa $\varepsilon .178$ mar．；cf． 343，381，v． 229. bb є．179， 187. ce 341.

284．$\eta^{\eta}$ A．Vi． 50,56 St．，$\stackrel{\mp}{\eta}$ lib．et edd．；$₹$ pro $\sigma \varepsilon \mathrm{I} . ; \hat{\varepsilon} \beta, \sigma \varepsilon$ H．sed deleto $\sigma$ et ${ }^{2}$ suprascr．；$\varphi \eta \mu i$ \＆$\beta \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ H．A．I．K．M．N．Vi．omn． 287 ．$\tau \tilde{\eta}$ M．；$\varepsilon^{\prime} \chi \chi \nu \delta^{\prime}$ Vi． 50 Stu．Fl．Ro．Ald．Ern．，$\varepsilon^{\prime \prime} \chi \omega \nu \nu \alpha, \delta^{\prime}$ om．Wo．；$\varepsilon_{\varsigma}^{\nu} \delta \omega \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \alpha, \varepsilon^{\sigma} \nu \delta \omega^{\prime} \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \gamma$ Stu．Fl．
 Stu．Eu．Fl．Ald．St．Ern．，$-x \eta{ }_{\sigma} \eta$ Vi．133，$\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda \eta \eta$ Ro．et in comment．Eu．
 A．，$\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \sigma \eta$ Ro．，$\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota \gamma$ N．Stu．Vi． 50 Fl．Ald．；$\oint \alpha \beta \delta \omega$ Fl．Ald．cf．ad 238 ，
 296．vло $\delta \varepsilon i \sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha \propto \beta \gamma$ H．b．q．Fl．Ro．Ald．Eu．St．Wo．Di．（sic Aristar．



 $\mu i \sigma \sigma \eta$ Wo．edd．recentt．300．$\mu \eta \eta^{\prime} \tau \iota \tau 0 \iota \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ H．I．K．Stu．Vi． 50,56 Eu．St． бо८ ，$\beta$ оvरとv




[^18]fishing－rod（mar．）．－غ่лcê̈gce，infin． for imper．，as in E．263，Aiveixo $\delta$＇ $\varepsilon \pi \propto \check{i} \xi \alpha \iota \mu \varepsilon \mu \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon ́ v o s ~ \overparen{\xi} \pi \pi \omega \nu$ ．

299－3ог．нахќ口шу ．．óoxov， oath taken＂by the immortals＂．－
 ons．－xaxòv xai ćvńvo＠c，ef．the
a $\mu .61$, A．403，B． $\mathrm{si4}, \cong 291$.
b $\lambda .156$.





304．Feixsiov．
304．íx
phrase，$x \alpha x \dot{o} v x \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \nu \alpha \lambda u \nu$ or $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \lambda-$
 expressive here，as hinting at the loss of man＇s form in the brute，which his comrades had suffered；ef．Hor．Epis． I．ii．24－5，Quce（pocula）si cum sociis stultus cupidusque bibisset，sub domina meretrice fuisset turpis et excors．

302－22．Hermes then pulled up a plant with a black root and white flower，called＂moly＂，and seldom found by men．He departed，and I went to Circe＇s palace，where I was admitted and drugged like my comrades；but when she struck me with her wand and dismissed me to the sty，I drew my sword and rushed upon her．
303．ゆ它啮，found nowhere else in H．Nor can $\varphi v \eta$ be said to represent it elsewhere；since this refers to ex－ ternal shape，often coupled with $\varepsilon \hat{\delta} \delta o s$, as in $\xi$ ． 16 ，or contrasted with $\varphi \varrho \varepsilon ́ v \varepsilon \varsigma$ ， as in $\vartheta$ ．168．Here＂explained its character＂，might seem meant by $\varphi \boldsymbol{v} \sigma \iota \nu$ $\alpha \dot{v} \tau o \tilde{v}$ है $\delta \varepsilon \iota \xi \varepsilon v$ ，including，probably，how to apply it．Nothing，however，is said about eating any part of it，and it may be supposed to have been merely carried about the person．And suppos－ ing the next line to be explanatory， as often，of the expression in this， $\varphi v \dot{v} \iota$ ，like $\varphi v \dot{\eta}$ ，will refer to externals merely，though with something more of detail．Such an explanatory phrase is $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \circ \varrho о \nu \eta \tilde{\eta}^{\alpha} \ldots$ ．．ós of $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \quad x \lambda v$－ $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ हैx $\chi \alpha, \alpha$ ．299－300．

304．ழín x．$\tau$ ．$\lambda$. ．，it is not probable that the poet had any real plant in view；though some have thought to identify it with a kind of garlick，the allium nigrum（Miquel Homeric Flora cited by Ni．）．A Schol．，but one said by Buttm．to betray，a much later hand，explains it by $\alpha \gamma \rho \iota o v \pi \eta \gamma \alpha \nu o v$, ＂wild rue＂．Pliny N．H．XXV．4，says， Laudatissima herbarum est Homero quam vocari a Diis putat moly，et inventionem
ejus Mercurio assignat，contraque summa veneficia demonstrat．Nasci eam hodie circa Pheneum et in Cyllene Arcadia tradunt，specie illa Homerica，radice ro－ tunda nigraqua，magnitudine cepe，folio cylla，effodi autem difficulter．This prob－ ably is no more to be relied on than the local identification of Жoliê，Ææa etc．

305－6．$\mu \hat{\omega} \lambda v$ ，Curtius，209，gives mollis $=$ molvis $=$ Gr．$\mu \tilde{\sim} \lambda v-$－，akin to $\mu \alpha \lambda \alpha x{ }_{s}$ ；comparing tenuis and the Gr． stem $\tau \alpha \nu v$－．It would thus be related to the $\mu \alpha \lambda \alpha \chi \eta$ ，malva，＂mallow＂，of Hes．Opp．41．Doëderlein would con－ nect it with $\alpha \mu \beta \lambda \dot{v}_{s}$ ，as＂blunting＂ the force of magic．－raдéovaı $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$ हol，so of the rocks in $\mu .6 \mathrm{r}$, П $\lambda \alpha \gamma и \tau \grave{\alpha}_{S}$
 ovaiv，where the poet probably means to assume that the later name，＂Sym－ plegades＂，was known to his hearers． The language of poets ever cherishes archaic relics of elder language．And， if the Homeric poems sprung from older ballads，as there is reason to suppose，some terms in those ballads would be affectionately retained in recitation when they had become lost to the general contemporary language． But with H．every thing unknown is exalted into the divine－omne igno－ tum pro mirifico．See some remarks， too long for citation here，by Mr． Gladstone II．21－29．Thus these rem－ nants of an older vocabulary were， like all nature－powers，and all higher human skill，referred simply to the gods．Sometimes H．gives the later as well as the older name，as Aiyoíov $=$ Boıtezvs and $x \dot{v} \mu \iota \nu \delta \iota \varsigma=\chi \alpha \lambda x i s$ ， A． 403 ，茟．291；cf．also the change of name of an ancient monument in $B$ ． 814．This was substantially Heyne＇s view（see Heyne on A．403），and seems more modest and rational than that of Hermann，＂factum est ut poetarum











a $\boldsymbol{Y} 266$.
b）$\delta .379,46 \times, 5.445$. c $0.43, \Omega .468,694$. d $v .73, O .21,7!$ ，
2． 142.
e $\mu .143$ ．
f $\ell .118 ;$ cf．$\alpha, 51$ ．
gr ס． 427 mar．
it 220 mar．
i A． 10 ．
k $\delta .767$ mar．
$1256-7$ ．
m ८． 62 mar ．
n 233 mar．；cf．$\alpha$ ．
130.
o $\Sigma$ ． $389-90$ ，$\eta$ ． 162 mar．
p $\boldsymbol{\tau} .57, \boldsymbol{1} .638$ seqq． ч 235 mar．
r o． $149, \gamma .41$.
s $\Omega .350$ ．
 dंv $v \alpha v \tau \alpha \iota$
A．h．St．Wo．edd．，$i^{\prime \prime} \sigma \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$ M．307．$\dot{\alpha} \nu \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\beta} \eta$ Vi． 133 et var．1．A．308．$\dot{v} \lambda \eta^{\prime}-$
 tium hab．A．N．Vi． 133 a $x$ ． 221 translatum）． 3 If．$\sigma \tau \alpha \varsigma{ }_{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} v \sigma \alpha$ A．Bek．sic
 I．N．Vi．133． 315.0 m ．Stu．sic Aristar．，I•；post hunc $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{K}$ K．inserunt $\alpha$ ．136－7． $x \varepsilon$

 indicat h．collato $\lambda .136$ रウŋ＠$\alpha^{\prime}$ viò $\lambda i \pi \alpha \varrho \tilde{\text { ．}}$ ．Sic Wo．Ox．var．1．St．
sermo quod ad nomina attinet prorsus a communi sermone diversus esset； quem propterea deorum，ut illum ho－ minum linguam dicebant＂；and more consistent with Homeric usage than that of Lobeck，that＂the names of things and places described by poets， but not known to men in general，were expressed by words made up by the poets themselves and referred to，or sheltered under，divine authority＂； which certainly fails to include the case of a thing＂known to men＂under one name，but called by＂the gods＂ by another，as was the river Scamander or Xanthus（ $\Upsilon .74$ ）．Goettling supposed that these＂divine＂names were Pelas－ gic．That may have been so in some cases；but there is surely no evidence to show that they are any thing be－ yond an older Ionic．For Hermann＇s and Lobeck＇s view I am indebted to Ni． ad loc．－©́＠úaбeıv，＂to dig＂，merely as a means of finding，and therefore ex－ pressing in effect＂to find＂．－лcivece §v́vavt $\alpha \iota$ ，see on $\delta .379$ ；cf． $\boldsymbol{\pi} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\tau} \alpha$
 701；Facile est omnia posse deis，Ov． A．A．I．562．Milton has made use of this passage in his Comus， 629 foll．，
embellishing it with an ethical allegory； Among the rest a small unsightly root， But of divine effect，he culled me out． The leal was darkish and had prickles on it； But in another country，as he said，
Bore a bright golden flower，but not in this suil．
307－9． $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ areòv，the epith．recog－ nizes Olympus as a mountain and a part of the earth＇s surface；cf．ove\＆$\alpha$
 Poseidon，after alleging the trine division of realms to Zeus，Haides， and himself，adds，$\gamma \alpha \check{\alpha} \alpha \delta^{\prime}$＇$\varepsilon ँ \tau \iota \xi v v \grave{\eta}$
 name is probably derived from $\lambda \alpha, \mu-$ $\pi \varepsilon \iota \nu$ ，expressing the brilliancy of its snowy height；cf．the epithets $\dot{\alpha} \gamma^{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v}$－
 a common modern form of the name． － $\boldsymbol{v} \tilde{\eta} \sigma o v \tilde{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v}^{\prime}$ ，his flight seems to ＂huy＂the land so far as available； cf．$\varepsilon$ ． 50 ，and $55-6$ ，where he flies from Olympus to Pieriê，and thence darts down upon the sea，whence land－ ing on Calypsô＇s Island he goes（ $\eta^{\eta} \ell \varepsilon v$ ） till he comes to her cave．－xóe－甲ver，see on $\delta .427$ ．

310－22．zad2lex ．，see on 220 sup． －$\ddot{\boldsymbol{\eta}}$ d＇ $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{i} \psi$＇，see on $230-5$ sup．－


a $\delta .220$ ，
Ь $v .5$, K． 486.
c． 237 mar．
d ef． 2.292.
（． 238 mar．
f 及． 302 mar．
－ $250,485$.
h $\tau$ ．598，I． 616 ， Д．650；cf．$\Omega .8 \mathrm{~S}$ ， 5． $255^{\circ}, \eta .342, \chi$ ． 395.
i C． 173 ；cf． と． 300 ．
k 295 mar．；cl．$M$ ． 308.

1 E． 343.
m CD． 68 ．
ค） $265, \beta .362$ mar．
o $\alpha .170$ mar．
p 0.306 ．
（1） 291 mar．
1． 9.32 mar．
s ह． 47.
t A．218，ת． 335.
ı I． 409.
v $\alpha .64$ mar．
w $\varepsilon .191$.






















 $\sigma 0 \iota$ et sup．ov $\boldsymbol{\alpha} ; \dot{\alpha} \eta^{\prime} \lambda \alpha \tau 0 \varsigma \mathrm{H}$ ．

A． 8 （2）．－$\varphi \dot{\alpha} \propto \mu \alpha r o v$ ，see on 211

 374－5．－ع้อхモо vข̃v，Ni．remarks that $\boldsymbol{v} \tilde{\boldsymbol{v}} \boldsymbol{v}$ ，hardly suits the imper．：but $\varepsilon, 146$ ov́t $\omega, \nu \tilde{v} v, \alpha \pi o ́ \pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \varepsilon$ ，and 0.65 $\eta{ }^{\prime \prime} \delta \eta \nu \tilde{v} \nu \mu, \alpha \pi{ }^{\prime} \pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \varepsilon$ ，are instances．

 ${ }_{276}$ ，and $\delta$ ź $\xi 0$ T．10，are similar forms．
 $\boldsymbol{v} \omega \boldsymbol{v}$ ，divinities in H．，although exempt from death，are not from wounds，see E． $39^{2-7}, 883-4$ ．Here，however，the fierceness of assailt alone is intended； cf．Worsley，＂Armed，with the flash of murder in mine eye＂；but the next line，ending with＂in dread to die＂ （of Circê）is incorrect．

323－47．Circê，in alarm，demanded who I was，that thus unquelled I en－ dured her potions？She at once be－ thought herself that I must be the

Odysseus，of whose coming Hermes had forewarned her．She bade me to her bed as a pledge of mutual confidence． I answered，I durst not trust her，save on her solemn oath to do me no harm． She took the oath，and I consented．

325－29．$\tau i ́ s \pi o ́ \vartheta \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}$ ，see on $\alpha$ ． 170 ， 7．238．－Eis，the ancient grammarians， Herodian and Charax，cited by La Roche，Textk．p．242，are in favour of treating this，like the other persons pres．of $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \mu i$ ，as an enclitic，－ovod
 $x \varepsilon \pi i n$ ，for this use of aor．indic．with subj．in relative clause see examples
 on $\alpha .64$ and cf ．Chaucer，Manciples T．17271－2，＂My son，God of his endeles goodnesse Walled a tongue with teeth and lippes eke；＂also Theocr．II．104，vi vì $\varrho$ ovj $\delta \dot{o} v \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \iota \beta$ ó－
 $\varkappa \eta \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ to bewitch，which，however，is















 $\alpha v ̉ \tau \propto \varrho ~ \varepsilon ̇ \pi \varepsilon i ́ ~ \varrho ’ ~ o ̋ \mu о б \varepsilon ́ v ~ \tau \varepsilon ~ \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v ́ \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon ́ \nu ~ \tau \varepsilon ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ o ̋ \rho \chi о \nu$,
a 284.
b ce． 1 mar．
e 887 mar．
d a． 259.
e $\beta .430$.
f 2． 98, A． 220.
$5 \%, 342,347, \quad \Omega$ ． 133， 275.
h $5.311, \pi .442, O$ 224 ．
i e， 126 mar．
ke． 174 mar．
1 cf． 239.
m 334.
11301 mar．
o ع．177－9 mar．
р $\mu .304-5, \beta .377$ -8 mar．

$$
\text { 336. } \pi \varrho \circ \sigma \varepsilon ่ \mathcal{F} \varepsilon \iota \pi \circ \nu .
$$

 K．Fl．Ald．，$-\beta \varepsilon i o \mu \varepsilon \nu \gamma$ A．Vi．ı 33 Wo．Bek．Di．，$-\beta \eta^{\prime} о \mu \varepsilon \nu$ M．et $\sigma$ o sup．o，－$\beta \eta^{\prime}-$ $\sigma о \mu \varepsilon \nu$ N．Vr，Vi．iii Ro．St．Ern．Ox．；$\mu \ell \gamma \varepsilon ́ v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \gamma$ G．Stu．Vi． 133 Eu．，－غ́v $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\beta}$ ．
 Bek．341．Veins mss．x（ $\gamma$ Vi．omn．）Eu．Fl．Ro．Ald．Apoll．Lex．Ern．，－$\eta \varsigma$ ，



not found in H．or any early author； but fr．which，Pind．Fragm． 25 has

 $\lambda \eta \tau 0 \nu \mu \alpha \nu i \alpha_{S} \alpha \nu v o s$, Theocr．XXII．
 and $\dot{v} \varphi \varphi^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \delta o v \eta \tilde{\eta}_{\varsigma}$ и $\eta \lambda \eta \boldsymbol{v} \varepsilon i_{\varsigma}$ Plato，Rep． ${ }_{413}$ C．Aristar．rejected this line．The Schol，admitting it，enquire，why，if the $\nu 0 \tilde{v}_{S}$ was ${ }^{\prime} \mu \pi \varepsilon \delta \delta^{\prime}(240$ sup．）in the comrades，Circê should dwell on the
 answer rightly，that vóos ool éãı is only a periphrasis here for $\sigma \dot{v} \varepsilon \bar{i}$ ；cf．


330－5．лодv́r＠oxog，see on $\alpha$ ． 1 ．
 and App．©．2．－eлィßعiouev，for such forms in $-\varepsilon \iota-=-\eta$－see on $\iota, 23 \mathrm{I}$ ． －лєлоi9онєv，as the Schol．remarks，
 （ $\tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \dot{v} \nu \dot{\eta} \nu) \pi \varrho o \beta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon$ ．He had been advised to consent by Hermes，297－8 sup．，as a means of obtaining his com－ rades＇release．
$337-41 . \pi \tilde{\omega}_{\varsigma} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho$ ，the $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho$ rather belongs in strictness to the next clause， ＂since you have made swine of etc．， how do you bid me etc．？＂See on ov
 xòv u．$\tau$ ．$\lambda$ ．，see on 301 sup．

346．$\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v ́ \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ，this suggests a lengthy formula，and indeed the öosos， or deities sworn by，usually included sev－ eral，as Calypsô in $\varepsilon$ ． $184-5$ swears by Earth，Heaven，and Styx．In Hy．Ap．Del． 79－88 a similar formula occurs．So Herê in $\Xi, \mathbf{2} 27$ ，names all the infernal deities in her oath to Hypnus，and similarly in Eurip．Med． 743 Egeus，called upon by Medea to swear，says to her，$\varepsilon \xi \eta \gamma o v$

[^19]a 480 .
b $\pi .139,370$.
c $\delta .624$.
d $\tau .34 \overline{5} ;$ cf. $\pi .253$.
e cf. $\boldsymbol{Y}$. 8-9.
f ef. $Z .420$.
g v. 104, 356.
h E. 598, М. 19.
i $\gamma, 349, \delta .297, \eta$.
$336, \Omega .644$.
k $v .151$, I. 200.
1 кe. 130, v. 73.
m $\alpha, 138, \chi \cdot 74, \lambda$.
419 : cf. 0.333.
n ef. A. 630.
o $\eta$. 182 mar.
p o. 104,123, थ .
741 ; cf. ८. 203.
q $\alpha .142$ mar.
r 9.436 :cf. X. 444.
s $\geqslant .13$ mar.
1 9. 434 mar., $\boldsymbol{x}$. 40.
u cf. $\mu .175$.













349. Foו. 356. Foĩvov. 357. Fク $\delta$ v่v.
 Ro. A. et H. var. 1. sic Sit. Ern., $\varepsilon$ éns $\mu \dot{̀} \nu \mathrm{~m}$ m. Bent. Fl. Ald. Lov. Wo. Bek.








 St. Wo. edd. var. 1. A. $\alpha$, utr. Eu. 356-7 om. Stu. Vr. 358, $\alpha \nu \varepsilon ́-$ หolev $\gamma$.
veovs, who immediately dictates several; cf. also Æschyl. Sept.c. Th. 45-6.
 Fragm. 215 Dind.

348-74. Circê's four attendant nymphs prepared the banquet and the bath. Of the latter I partook, but the former was distasteful to me; and I sat moodily without tasting food.

348-51. té躬 $\boldsymbol{\mu \varepsilon v}$, "the while", i.e. during, the colloquy etc. of 336-
 - jigvovece $x . \tau . \lambda$., this and the next line are suspected as being interpolated by Ni., who urges the pres. form of riyvovial, as unsuited to the tenor (we should expect $\varepsilon$ ǵvźvovio), and the fact that the Nymphs are in H . the daughters of Zeus ( $\zeta .105, \iota$. 154 , Z. 420 ), - an affiliation which is the simpler and older form of Greek mythol-
ogy. I incline to agree with him. The Scholia here are very corrupt, but they bear traces of the lines having been allowed both by Zenodotus and by Aristarchus. - $\varepsilon i \zeta \bar{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \delta \varepsilon$, the $\varepsilon i_{S}$ with the $\delta \varepsilon$ joined is not usual in H .
 occupations do not differ from those of the $\alpha \mu$ рíлодо in other palaces, but a special costliness and superlative character of material is ascribed to the furniture, as in the $x \alpha \dot{\alpha} \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ and $x v^{\prime}$ $\pi \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \alpha$, being golden, the $\tau \varrho \dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \xi \alpha \iota$ of silver etc. We may contrast the rustic simplicity of Calypsô's grotto in $\varepsilon .57$ foll., who, however, uses a golden shuttle, with this magnificence here. See the passages referred to in the


















a $\mathbf{\Sigma} .349$ ；cf． $\boldsymbol{W} .365$.
b II． 408.
c $\delta .48$ mar．
d 9.434 mar．， 4 ． 40.
e cf．I．336，e． 199.
f E． 7 ；cf． $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$ ．44，
ち．225－6．
g．cf．ס． 716 mar．
h ת． 514.
i $\gamma .466-7$ mar．
k 233 mar．；ef．$\alpha$ 130 mar．
1 リ． 162 mar．
m $\alpha .131$ mar．
n $\eta .172-6$ mar．， ＠．91－5．
o $\alpha .139$ mar．；cf． $\pi .51$.
p cf．$\eta .220$.
4 A． 24.
r i／s． 698.
s A．105；cf．$\beta$ 152 mar．

## 360．Fヴvorィ．

 Vi．i 33 b．Eu．Fl．Hesych．，oỉvoit $\beta$ Vi．iii K．Vr．N．post ras．36ı．ह̈́ $\sigma \sigma \alpha$

 $368-72$ om．aut in mar．add．mss，viii et Eu；，hab．vi（ $\gamma$ ），cum＊not．Ro．，［］ Wo．edd． 370 om．peenc omn． $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ in mar，éróvvá Vi．${ }_{3} 33$ Fl．Ro．Ald． 372






360－4．\＃̈vort，said by Eustath．to mean either＂jingling＂，or＂brilliant as a mirror＂Apollon．Lex．prefers the latter．So the Scholl．，who add＂in which one may see one＇s self＂（ $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} v$－

 ing mixed it to a comfortable tem－ perature＂，it was probably mixed with cold water in the tripod itself，and then poured over him：thus $x \varepsilon \rho \alpha \sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha$ rot $\alpha$ is a constructio pragnans，imply－ ing x $\alpha \dot{\iota} \gamma \chi \varepsilon \varepsilon \alpha \sigma \alpha$ ；so Worsley，＂And， with care tempering a warmth deli－ cious，made it stray smoothly adown
 «́रos $\vartheta v \mu$ ．（mar．）；the same epith．is applied to $\varphi \alpha \rho \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha, \beta .329$ ，and to the $\sigma \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ of the despatch borne by

Bellerophon，Z． 169. －For $\lambda \boldsymbol{0} \boldsymbol{v} \sigma e v$ and $\lambda i \pi$＇，see on $\gamma .464,466$－$\quad$ ．

366．This verse is wrongly repeated at 466 ，but may be allowed here；see on $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$ ．433－45．

368－72．These verses have the bal－ ance of ms．authority against them here；see the mid．mar．They appear to have been unknown also to Eustath． and the Scholl．See on $\alpha$ ．137－9 for $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \beta \eta \tau o s$ and $\tau \alpha \mu i \eta$ ，on $\eta \cdot{ }^{1} 76$ for 372， and on $\% .43$ for $\chi \propto \varrho \iota \zeta о \mu \varepsilon ์ v \eta$ ．

374．＂ג20 甲 oov்̇ $\omega v$ ，＂absently＂． －xexex，the ills of his comrades， towa：ds whose retransformation Cirê̂ had made no advances as yet，although Hermes 297－8 sup．had led him to expect it．
（ ef．O．422，A． 284.
b $\alpha$ ． $14 y$ mar．，$\ell$ 288.
c A．249．
d $\delta .25, \pi .455, v$ ． 190.

7． 141.
I X fiti，A． 297.
g．ع． 456.
h e． 75 mar．
c． 87 mar．
$k$ g32 mar．
a．2！ 1, ס． 492
mar．，o． 393.
m d． 253 mar．
$11 Z .5 \geq 1$
0 cf．$\beta .122, \varepsilon, 190$.
p 58 mar．
q cf．268， 284.
r A．587；cf．$\delta .226$ mar．
s \＆． 161.
l 373 mar．
u 九． 100 mar．
v $\varrho .61, \sigma .185, \chi$ ． 433.
w 238 mar．
x 230 mar．
















377． $\mathcal{F} \varepsilon \in \pi \varepsilon \alpha$ ．



## ү＠．бтvүеৎо⿱

 Ro．Ald．，＂бvvy．melius＂，h．n．；$\alpha \gamma \chi o \tilde{v} \delta^{\prime}$＇ $\boldsymbol{\sigma \tau \alpha u \varepsilon ́ v \eta ~ A . ~ H . ~ I . ~ N . ~ V r . ~ V i . ~ 5 0 , ~}{ }_{\alpha} \gamma \chi \iota$


 I．Vi． 50 ， 133 ． 384 ．$\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \boldsymbol{v} \alpha \iota$ Vr．Vi． $5,56 \mathrm{Eu} . \operatorname{var.~1.~A.,~} \pi \alpha v \sigma \alpha \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota \mathrm{~A}$ ． 385．$\lambda \dot{v} \sigma \alpha \sigma \vartheta \neq \iota$（vitiose pro $\lambda \tilde{v} \sigma \alpha \iota$ Buttm．） $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ H．M．Heidelb．，ab h．licet $\lambda \tilde{v} \sigma \alpha \iota$ $\vartheta{ }^{\prime}$ conjicere，$\lambda \dot{v} \sigma \alpha \sigma \vartheta \vartheta^{\prime}$ ex corr． $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ ，sic edd．386．$\pi \varrho o ́ \varphi \rho \alpha \sigma \alpha \gamma$ A．K．I．a man．I，

 $\beta$ H．I．N．，$\alpha \nu \omega^{\gamma} \gamma \varepsilon \varepsilon$ H．sup．，$\alpha \nu \varepsilon ́ \omega \gamma \varepsilon \propto$ Eu．，$\alpha \nu \varepsilon ์ ต \gamma \varepsilon ~ R o . ~ e d d . ~ r e l l . ~$

375－405．Circê saw my loss of spirits and appetite，and demanded what new evil I feared？I replied that she must release my companions，if she wished to mend my cheer．She went from the hall to the sty and brought them；then，anointing them with a new drug，restored them to men＇s form in more than their former beauty and size．A scene of sorrow turned into joy then followed，in which she too sympathized．She bade me then return to the ship，drag it up，store the goods in caves，and bring the rest of my comrades．
 the rival reading $\alpha \gamma \chi o \tilde{v} \delta^{\prime} i \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta$ Ni．remarks，that the latter is chiefly used in H．of some one not on the
spot，but approaching from some dis－ tance，the former of a by－stander who comes close up．

379－80．$\beta \varrho \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \boldsymbol{1}$ ，see on 177，sup．
 which no ms．favours；ef． 412 inf．， where most mss．have ovंd＇$\tau \iota$ ，though the vulg．is ovo＇$\varepsilon$＂＇$\tau \iota$ there．

383－6．évoícucuos，＂of proper feel－
 $\sigma \iota \mu \circ \mathrm{s}$（mar．）：for another sense of the word，see on $\beta$ ．115－26．－ת＠ó－ $\varphi \varrho \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha$ ，see on $\varepsilon$ ．160－1．

388．$\delta \imath^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon} x \mu \varepsilon \gamma \dot{\propto} \varrho o \iota o$ ，on the Ho－ meric $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \rho o v$ see App．F． 2 （10）－ （12），and especially（6）where this place is noticed．

389－93．бvৎعioṽ，probably in the













a 19 mar．
b E．497，I．190．
c cf．$\Omega .359$ ．
d $240 ;$ ef．$\gamma .446$ ， T． 254.
e ع． 321 ．
f $\sigma .162, \omega .369$ ．
g．cf．ち．230－1．
h $\boldsymbol{\Gamma} .168, \iota .324$ ．
i $\lambda .615$.
k cf．$\beta .302$ mar．
1 d．113，$\chi .500-1$ cf．$\Omega .514$.
m 10，454，＠．542， B． 466 ．
n $\alpha .19$.
o d． 370 mar．， $\boldsymbol{x}$ ． 455.
p e． 159.
q e． 203 ct sapiss．
r d． 779 mar．

| 390．F－F－оıиот ${ }_{\text {S }}$ ． |  |  | 397．F＇̇x |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

391．ह゙б $\tau \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \alpha$ ，$\dot{\alpha} v \varepsilon ́ \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \gamma$ et $\alpha$ in mar．393．है $\varphi v \sigma \sigma \varepsilon$ H．I．N．A．ex em． Etr．Fl．Ro．Ald．St．Ern．Ox．，है甲 $\begin{aligned} & \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \\ & \beta\end{aligned}$, mendose $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ sed in mar．nostr． 394.

 éxยivoı I．M．Vr．Vi．，5， 50 Ro．var．1．St．Bek．，$\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \varepsilon$ ，uєivoı K．N．Vi． 56 St． Wo．Ox．399．หоv $\alpha^{\beta} \iota \xi \varepsilon \mathrm{mss}$ ．ix（ $\gamma$ ）St．Ern．Ox．，x $\alpha \nu \alpha \not \chi \iota \xi \varepsilon$ Vi．5， 56 Wo．Bek．
 A．H．I．Vr．Vi． 50 M．var．1．；$\delta \check{\alpha} \mathfrak{\jmath} \varepsilon \alpha \dot{\nu} \boldsymbol{v}$ A．et H．var． 1.
$\alpha v \lambda \grave{\eta}$ or $\pi \varrho o ́ \delta o \mu o s ;$ see the last note and that on 211－4 sup．－évvéoot－
 touch of descriptiveness，and especially by the lively word $\varepsilon \varrho \rho \varepsilon \frac{1}{}$ ，the poet relieves the bare generality of the re－ transformation．In Ovid the accessories are overdone till the result is grotesque；
Mei．XIV．303－5，
Erigimur，selæque cadunt，bifidosque relinquit
Rima pedes．Redeunt humeri：subjecta lacertis
Brachia sunt．Flentem flentes amplectimur etc．
Horace follows H．closely Epod．XVII． 15－9，

[^20]395－9．vecite＠ol $x . \tau$ ．$\lambda$ ．，this poetic recompense of their previous disgrace has great beauty：compare the effect produced on Odys，himself by Pallas in そ．230－1．－Érãtog，in distrib－ utive apposition with हैxeivou．－i$\mu \varepsilon$－ oózes．．．子óos，＂happy lamentations＂， Worsley．For joy producing the signs
of sorrow，cf．．．Eschyl．Agam．${ }^{24}$ ，${ }_{\omega}^{\prime \prime} \sigma \tau^{\prime}$

 Knightes T．2883－4，＂He brought him to the halle，That roreth of the crying and the soun＂，and the epith．$\eta \chi \eta \varepsilon v \tau \alpha$ ap－ plied to $\delta \dot{\omega} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$（mar．）．For $\sigma \mu \varepsilon \rho \delta \alpha-$ גと́ov，of sound，see on $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$ ．305．The word $x \alpha \nu \alpha ́ x \iota \zeta \varepsilon$ ，with which it is here joined，is probably onomatopoietic from noise，as our＂clatter＂，＂rattle＂，and the like；see on 122 sup，also cf．
 and Eschyl．Choeph． 152 ，ieve $\delta \dot{\alpha} x \varrho v$ $x \alpha \nu \alpha \chi \varepsilon \begin{gathered}\text { óló } \mu \varepsilon v 0 \nu \text { ；in both of which }\end{gathered}$ the splash or patter of falling drops seems intended．
402－5．v $\tilde{\eta} \alpha$, which was left in the vov́doxos $\lambda \iota \mu \dot{\eta} v$ of 141 sup．It was now to be drawn up till required，which was not till a year had passed， 469 inf．；when they launch it again，$\lambda .2$ ； cf．the direction in Hes．Opp． $619-31$ to draw up the ship on shore when， at the setting of the Pleiads，the season of navigation ceased，till it
a $423-4$.
b $\pi, 348$; cf. e. 73 ,
c $\boldsymbol{v} .363,367$.
d App.F. 1 (7) mar. ミ. 412.
e t. 100 mar.
i $\beta .103$ mar.
g ס. 779 mar.
h 176.
i $\iota .100$ mar.
k. ס. 719, 兀. 543,
w. 59.

1 ס. 556 mar.
mef. E. 162.
n e. 181, v. 251,
A. 729, 4.8 .816.

- $\sum .575$.

1 N. 493.
q cf. A. $562, \boldsymbol{T}$.
167.
r $\Sigma .572$.
s e. 219 mar.
। c. 92 mar., $\Omega .123$.
u cf. $\boldsymbol{E}, 749, \boldsymbol{M}$. 460.
v $\beta$. 155.
w 9.527 ; cf. $\pi .214$.
x ef. $v .93$.
y $66,420,462-3$, $\mu .345$.















404. $\tau^{\prime}$ pro $\delta^{\prime}$ H. I. A. a man. I, aut $\varepsilon$ है $v$ aut $\delta \bar{\varepsilon}$ om. var. 1. h.; $\sigma \pi \varepsilon i \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \iota$ Vi. 5 ,
 $\pi \varepsilon \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ K. ${ }^{405 . \alpha}{ }^{\alpha} \psi$ M. Vr. Vi. 5, 50 Bek , Di., $\alpha \tilde{i} \psi$, mss. xi. ( $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ) St.










 H. Vi. omn.) Eu. Fl. Ro. Ald. St. Wo. Ox., $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ Aristar., h., sic Bek. Di.
should recommence next year. - $\pi c \not c \boldsymbol{\mu}-$ жоштоv, like Chaucer's "alderfirst", "alderlest", "aldermost", "alderlevest". - $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sigma \sigma \iota$, so Odys. places his treasures for concealment in a cavern, in $\nu .367-70$. - ö $\pi \lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha}$, see App. F. I (7). - ©́ษ ićvaย, i. e. hither, after doing as directed, of which there is no express mention in the sequel, but it may be understood from the word $\pi i \vartheta 0 v$ ro of 428 .

406-21. I went, as Circê bade, and found my comrades plunged in sorrow. They welcomed me with joy, as young calves that frisk about their dams, but weeping still. They seemed in me to
see their native land, and bade me tell the fate of our comrades.
 but this form occurs in Eurip. Suppl. 629. - жо́лழоv, "the farm-yard", -
 not $\varepsilon$ énoì elided, but ह́uغ̀ accus. Standing, as it does, between $\mu \eta \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha s$, the obj. with which it is compared in the simile, and $\iota^{\prime} \delta o v$, a verb transit. having really the same obj. $\varepsilon \mu \varepsilon$, the attraction thus exercised is so great as to leave $\varepsilon$ हैंvvə wo whout any obj. in proper form. - $\sigma$ piot, depends best not on $\delta o ́ x \eta \sigma \varepsilon$ but on $\varepsilon$ है $\mu \varepsilon \nu$ following. - «v่т $\omega v .$. 'IVáxทร, the first posses-

















a cf．$\lambda .480$.
b d． 793 mar．
c 265, 阝． 362 mar．
d cf．E． 688.
e 416 mar．
f 250 ．
gr 403－4 mar．
h cf．$\Xi .369$ ．
i $445,210,287,308$ ；
cf．$\Sigma .504$ ．
k $\eta .99$ mar．
1 ち． 86 mar．
m 178 mar．
n cf．$\alpha .199$.
－$\delta .77$ mar．el se piss．
p 2． 618, v． 351 ，
A．816，P． 201.
q $\zeta .199$ mar．
r $555, \alpha .41$.
scf． 212.
 428．Fė $\varepsilon$ と́ $\varepsilon \sigma \iota$ ．430．F＇́л $\varepsilon \alpha$ ．




 I．Vi．iii St．Ern．Ox．，$x \tau \eta \dot{\mu} \alpha \tau$＇$\varepsilon v$ A．H．Vi． 56 ，$x \tau \eta \dot{\mu} \mu \tau \alpha \delta \varepsilon \grave{\varepsilon} \sigma \pi \eta^{\prime} \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \iota$ Bek．Di．；




 A．Vr．h．． 50 La R．，ह̇＠v́rove $\gamma$ Fl．Ro．Ald．St．edd． 430 om．mss．viii（om． prorlit Vi），hab．ix（ $\gamma$ ）（H．M．N．in mar．）Fl．Ro．Ald．St．［］Wo．Bek．Di．La R．；


sive gen．the second appositional．－
 thusteron．－odogveónevol this pieture of welcome，incapable of any other expression than that of tears and wail－ ing，is highly natural．

422－37．I bade them do as Circê had directed，and then follow me to retrieve our comrades，now happy guests in her palace．Eurylochus alone with－ stood me，threatening them with the perils of transformation，and blaming
my rashness，as having caused our previous losses．
42,3-4, see on 4,3-4 sup

426－33．í＠ooũ乌 $\dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v} \delta_{\text {．，＂＂in the magic }}$ palace＂．On í＠os to express a super－ human attribute，see on $\gamma, 278$ ．－
 is absent in most and the best mss．： yet the poet rather delights in con－ nective phrases，and the word $\varepsilon \rho v \operatorname{l}^{2} \alpha v \varepsilon$ hardly suffices as such．At 442 inf ．we have ह̇л $\dot{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \sigma \iota \nu$＇̇eク́tvov．－The sur－ mise，$\eta$ ク หєv $\alpha^{\prime \prime} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma . . . \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \circ \nu \tau \alpha$ ，（render

а є．208，ク． 93.
b e． 154 ．
c 200 ， 2.288 seqq．
d $\Omega$ ． 29, A． 548 ， P． 112,657 ；cf． ૬． $449,455$.
e $\Theta .89$, II． 60.
f $\alpha 7$ mar．；cf．$\iota$ ． 228.
or $\beta .93$ mar．
h $\lambda .231$.
；$\Pi$ ． 473 ，三． $38 . \%$ ．
k A．146，玉．3．1．
1 P． 457
m 9． 581 mar．
n cl．$\eta, 205$.
o t．492－3 mar．
p $\xi .171$ ；cf．$\delta .212$.
¢ ©． 466, Ф． 372.
r t． 194 mar．，$\varrho$ ． 429.
s $\gamma .386$ mar．，$\varrho$ ． 194；ef．\％． 141 mar．
116 mar．













434．435．440．Foı．442．F\＆

Ald．rell．edd．，$\varepsilon^{\nsupseteq \varrho} \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \varepsilon v \alpha ; \varepsilon \varsigma \alpha ; \mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma \alpha v \lambda o v \gamma$ Vi． 56 N．A．a man．1． $436-7$ om．Vi．5，56．437．หа́иєĩvo兀 $\gamma$ A．I．K．N．Stu．Vr．Vi． 50 Eu．438．$\gamma \varepsilon$ om．A．K． $439 . \sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \varepsilon \nu \operatorname{sos} \gamma$ A．K．N．Stu．Vr．Vi．50， 133 Eu．Fl．，$\sigma \pi \alpha \sigma-$ os ，vi





＂for her to make you all etc．＂）shows that the creatures seen by Euryl．at Circê＇s palace were transformed men， and that Euryl．had now，from the fact of missing his comrades coupled with that of the brutes so seen，and their strange behaviour（ $21 \mathrm{r}-4$ sup．）， arrived at this as a conclusion．For the form $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\prime \prime}$ xev with fut．see on 507 inf．
 ＂stay＂or＂abide in＂．So，＂where does he keep？＂in that sense，is familiar English：cf．$\sigma \dot{v} v$ ह́ $\mu \circ \grave{\iota} \tau o ́ \delta \varepsilon, \delta \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha \varphi v$－ $\lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma 0 \iota s$（mar．）．－xai áváyż，this shows what is meant by $\varepsilon \rho \xi$＇in the next line，really $=\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\nu} \dot{\alpha} \gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \nu$. －$\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\varepsilon}-$ $\boldsymbol{\tau \varepsilon \varrho o \iota ~}$ not among them on that occasion， having been probably left in charge of the ship．－ $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma \dot{v} g$ ，used scorn－ fully，and approaching the Aristotelian sense Eth．Nicom．III．6，of one who
exceeds the limits of $\alpha v \delta \varrho \varepsilon i \alpha$ ．Euryl．， whose＂better part of valour is dis－ cretion＂，taunts Odys．as though lack－ ing that quality．－xóxeĩvoィ，there is evidence（Scholl．on $\alpha$ ． $777, O .45$ ， 179）that Aristarchus wrote the $x \alpha i$ not in crasis，but in full．I cannot but think the reciters of the poems would have used the crasis，and，as this is the vulgate，I have let it stand．－ $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \sigma 9$. ，see on $\alpha$ ． $7^{-8}$ ．
$438-48$ ．I drew my sword and thought to have struck his head off on the spot； but my comrades interceded；and when we went，he skulked after us in craven sort．

439－43．блкббкцєvоя $\boldsymbol{\varkappa} . \tau . \lambda$. ，this， it seems，he actually did；as some such menacing gesture is required by the intercessory words of $443-5 .-\pi \eta \tilde{0}$ ， see on 205 sup．－$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{i}$ бv̀ xモגモúとıg， this contrasts their devoted fidelity with the insubordinate Euryl．











a $\cdot 1.11 .82,0.658$.
b $\gamma$ ．3ti5 mar．
c：К． 254 ．
（1 A．402，E．492， ミ． 104 ．
e 282.
f $\gamma$ ． $466-7$ mat．
¢ $\beta .247$ ．
if ${ }^{\circ}$ ．109，w． 145.
i $\rho .222$.
¡ $11 . \Omega .48$.
। 10 mar．， 399.
m ס． 370 mar．，$\%$ ． 400.
（1）$\varepsilon .15!$ ．
－ع． 203 mar．el see－ piss．
p cf．ס． 556 mar．
ч $\varrho .46$ ；сf．К． 483.
r．Y．201．432，$\Omega$ ． $105, T .421$.



 St． $45^{2}$ ，$\varepsilon v^{*} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{S}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathrm{M}$ ．h．var．1．A．a man．rec．Wo．Di．et yar．1．St，


 Vi．omn．）Alḑ．St，Ox．Wo．m．var．1．，$\varphi \varrho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau 0 ~ \delta \grave{\varepsilon} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha$ N．；$\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \vartheta v \mu \tilde{\sim}$ lem． m．，var．1．$\tau^{\prime} \varepsilon \in \sigma \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha$ h．et Vi． 133 sic Bek．Di．，var．I．$\varepsilon^{\prime} v \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ m．sic lem．v．
 Stu．456．om．mss，xv（ $\boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ，Vi．omn．）Eu．，hab．Fl．Ald．Ro．cum＊，［］
 $\lambda \varepsilon \varrho o ̀ v \sim \boldsymbol{\beta} \mathrm{H}$ ．

447－8．$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \iota \pi \tau \sigma$ ，the perf．$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \iota-$ $\pi \tau \alpha \iota$ has a merely pres．sense in $K$ ．
 so $N .{ }^{256}$ ，where it follows $\mathrm{E}^{\prime} \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha \iota$ ， and in $\Omega .256,260$ ．Thus the pluperf． has a sense simply past．
$449-74$ ．We found our men，accord－ ingly，treated as honoured guests in Circê＇s hall．As we and they embraced with tears，she checked our lamenta－ tions，and bade us cheer our hearts and recruit our strength，dispirited and exhausted as we were by our toils．We spent a year with her in feast and mirth；but at its end my comrades reminded me that home remained to be won，if fate so permitted it to be．

450．2oṽのと่ข ．．．モ゙x＠！ repeatedly seen that these processes in H．are always united，the second being the complement of the first：and so among the Greeks and Orientals gener－ ally．Thus Polycrates＇daughter dreamt，


 But how little the same notion of the unction as necessarily following the bath took hold of the Western mind， may be seen from Chaucer，Monkes $T$ ． 14661－4，who has appropriated the legend of Polycrates（though changing the name to Croesus）and has just dropped out this feature of it，sub－ stituting wiping for anointing．
Upon a tree he was，as that him thought， Ther Juppiter him wesshe，both bak and side， And Phoebus eke a faire towail him broughe To drie him with．
By Bacon＇s time classical sources were reopened，and we read（Essays，XXXV） the dream rightly stated，including the ＂anointing＂．

454－60．x2ciovov，this includes both parties，the newcomers and those ban－ queting；for the emotions of mutual recognition had interrupted the feast． In 460 she bids these resume and the others share it．－oíder x．$\tau . \lambda_{\text {，}}$ ，she speaks as though their past toils were
a $\alpha .4$ mar．
b I．4，II． $746, \delta$ ． 381 mar．
e $\lambda .401,408, \omega .111$ ；
cf．$\Omega, 365$ ．
d $\mu .23,302$.
e ع． 191 mar．
f 416－7．
g ef． $\boldsymbol{\alpha}, 68$.
h $\alpha .343$ mar．
i o．342．
k $\psi .52$.
1 ४．53，Г． 99.
m $\beta .103$ mar．
n i． 123 mar．
o $\delta .86$ mar．
p 九． 557 mar．
q B．295，2．248， 294－5．
r §．294，兀．152，$\omega$ 。 142 ；cf．$\beta .107$. s $\boldsymbol{\sigma} .367, \chi .301$.
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$$
\text { 453. Fعǐ } \delta 0 v . \quad \text { 457. Foǐ } \delta \alpha . \quad \text { 460. Foîvov. 468. F } \eta \delta v \text {. }
$$


 in mar．aseripsit）．460．$\pi i \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon$ var．1．St． 46 I ．$\alpha \hat{v} \hat{\tau}$ เร A．N．${ }^{462 \text { ．oîov } \tau \varepsilon}$





 I．M．Stu．Eu．Ro．var．1．St．et a man．I A．et G．，hab． $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ Vi．omn．K．Vr．， add．A．et G．
the sole cause of their present trans－ ports，and as affecting to disregard the more immediate cause，viz．their loss and recovery of human form through her agency．This suits the part of the kindly hostess which she
 review of their sufferings shows that an entire chapter of their wandering is now regarded as complete．The second continues to the end of book $\mu$ ．，when all save Odys，are lost．－ avoogrot，the Ciconians，the Cyclops， and the Læstrygonians．Her know－ ledge is to be taken as superhuman－ an instance of $\boldsymbol{v} \varepsilon \circ \dot{i} \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \varepsilon \pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \alpha{ }^{\prime} \sigma \alpha-$ $\sigma \iota \nu, \delta .379$ ，where see note．

463－5．$\dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} x \varepsilon \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \varsigma$ ，this seems best derived fr．$\alpha$ intens．and $\sigma x \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \omega$ to dry，cf．$\alpha i \pi \varepsilon \varrho \iota \sigma x \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \check{\iota} \varsigma \varphi \varrho \varepsilon ́ v \varepsilon \varsigma$ Soph． Aj．649．Here the notion of＂withered＂ predominates，as expressing exhaustion． In $\alpha .68$ and $\delta .543$ that of firm and unyielding is required，and＂obdur－ ately＂might render $\dot{\alpha} \sigma x \varepsilon \lambda \grave{̀} s$ there．－
$\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \pi о \sigma \vartheta \varepsilon$, Aristar．read $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \alpha \sigma \vartheta \varepsilon$ ，prob－ ably influenced by $\pi \varepsilon \pi \alpha \vartheta v i \eta$ Q． 555 ： but a form $\pi \varepsilon ่ \pi o \vartheta \alpha$ ，modifying the stem－ vowel from $\alpha$ to, 0 ，is justified by the analogy of $\lambda \alpha \gamma \chi \alpha^{\circ} \nu \omega \quad \varepsilon^{\prime \prime} \lambda \alpha \chi-o v \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda o \gamma-\chi \alpha$ ， $\lambda .304$ ，and，though less obviously，by $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \nu \eta \nu_{0} \vartheta-\alpha$ fr．$\varepsilon \pi \alpha \nu \vartheta \vartheta-\varepsilon \cdot \varepsilon$ ．The $2^{\text {nd }}$ plur．would then be $\pi \varepsilon \pi o ́ v \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ；when， dropping the $\alpha, \pi \varepsilon ่ \pi о \sigma \vartheta \varepsilon$ is the result of an euphonic accomodation，to avoid the harshness of $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \pi 0 \boldsymbol{v} \tau \varepsilon$ ，and yet preserve the $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$ of the stem；the aspira－ tion of which survives in the pres． $\pi \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$（ $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \cdot \sigma \chi \omega$ ）by passing on into the $\chi$ ．The more usual perf．$\pi \varepsilon ่ \pi o v \vartheta \alpha$ is fr．stem $\pi \varepsilon v \vartheta$ ，ef；$\beta \varepsilon v \vartheta \vartheta-o s \beta \alpha \dot{\jmath}-o s$.

467－7०．тعגєбழó＠ov siç évıav－ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ，this phrase occurs in Hes．Theog． 740 ，also mar．Lines 469 － 70 also occur ib． $5^{8-9}$ ，with the change of $\pi о \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ for $\mu \alpha x \varrho \alpha$ ．They are cumulative phrases， describing the lapse of time，descend－ ing in sequence from the year to sea－ sons，months，and days；somewhat similar are the iterations of Gen．VIII．















a $\tau .15$, 甲. 380.
b e. 100 mar.
ç. 443, \%, 174, 261, A. $561, R$. $1!9()$.

1) $\delta$. 561 mar.
e O. 503.
f $\varepsilon$. 41-2 mar.
s $\beta$. 103 mar.
h ८. 556-9 mar.
๙. 365 , d. 768 ,

ג. $334, \nu^{2}, 2, \sigma$. 399, \%. 299.
k 347 mar.
1 ת. 357; cf. X. 338, \%. 264 mar. m 311 mar.
n $\beta$. 269.

- B. 286 .
p t. 365 mar.
q ८. $350, v .52, \tau$ 281.
r cf. $\delta$. 733.
s 250, 320.
t A. 491; ef. $\pi$. 428.

 a K. N. Stu. Vi. 5, 133 Eu. h. var. 1., -@@- M. Vi. 56. $475-9$ om. Vr. Vi. 50 Eu., in mar. add. H.; [] Wo. Bek. Di. 475. हंлeivevo $\gamma$. 476. x $\alpha \tau \alpha \delta \tilde{v} \nu \tau \alpha$ Vi. ${ }^{56}$. 477. $x \varrho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \alpha \tau$ ' $\gamma$ Vi. iii A. I. K. M. N., $x \varrho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \alpha \tau^{\prime}$ cf. all 184. 479. $\delta \dot{\eta}$ тóтє $\beta$ G H. I. M. N., oi $\mu \varepsilon ̇ \nu \propto$ m. var. I.; $\alpha \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma$. G. H. I. M. N. 48 I.
 $5_{6}$ Eu. M. [] Bek. Di, hab. a $\boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ Vi. ii A. G. H. I. K. N. Vr. Ro. M. a man. 2;


22, "Seed time and harvest, and cold and heat, and summer and winter".

471-4. The hero required reminding by his comrades, or at least the hint of departure comes first from them. And if $485-6$ be taken literally, he sustained some importunity from them before the hint was taken. In this respect he sinks below our ideal of heroism, as implying self-denial. Against this we have to set the opposite importunities of Circê, as mentioned in $\ell .31-2$, forming a temptation which he resisted in the end. Nor is there any reason for regarding his purpose of return as indefinitely postponed, during the meanwhile. - $\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{c} t-$ $\mu o \nu \iota^{\prime}$, a term of reproof, as elsewhere; see mar.
475-95. I felt the truth of their words, and implored Circê to speed us home, for my comrades were importunate, whenever she was away. She bade me, in reply, prepare for another voyage first, to the abode of the dead,
there to confer with the soul of Teiresias the seer, whom alone Persephonê had gifted with faculties after death. 475-9. Only a few mss. reject these lines (see mid. mar.). Yet they rather cumber than assist the narrative.
$48 \mathrm{r}-2$. He resumes as it were the position of the stranger and suppliant, suited to the case of an exiled wanderer.

483-5. $\ddot{\eta} \nu$ лモ@ $\dot{v} \boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma t \eta \varsigma$, no such promise had been expressed, or seems implied in her oath to do him no further harm, 343-6 sup. But a year had passed, giving plenty of time for other conversations and promises. - "̈2 $\lambda \omega \omega$
 does not carry on its force to $\varepsilon \tau \alpha \rho \omega \nu$, even as that of opiot does not in $\zeta$. 155-7 to $\lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \sigma o ́ v \tau \omega v$ following. The syntax of pronouns seems to have had a special affection for the verb rather than the noun. The same feeling probably led the Latin poets of more polished style to eschew, or nearly so,
a v．164，A． 284.
b e． 272 mar．
c e． 203 et saxpiss．
1 cf．O． 186.
e e． 261.
f $\quad$ ． 41 ；cf． 563.
£ 175 mar．
।． $534,564, \lambda .47$ ，
I． 457,569 ．
i $565, \lambda .165, \psi$ ． 323.
k $\lambda .90, \mu .267$.
$1 Z .352$ ；ct．x． 240.
m cf． 2 ． 213 ， 226 ．





 $\psi v \chi \eta \eta^{i} ~ \chi \varrho \eta \sigma о \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v s ~ \Theta \eta \beta \alpha i o v{ }^{k}$ Tहı＠єбí＜o，



$$
\text { 489. } \dot{\alpha} \text { Féxovteg Foixw. 49r. 'AFíd } \alpha 0 \text {. }
$$

## $\nu \varepsilon$ ह́



 490．$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon$（ $\sigma \varepsilon$ ？）$\chi \varrho \dot{\eta}$


 $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ Vi．${ }_{5} 6$ lemm．h． $\boldsymbol{q}$ ．Wo．Bek，Di．
the use of eius and cuius in favour of $e i$ and $c u i$ ．
489．$\mu \eta x \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v}$ x．$\tau$ ．$\lambda$ ．，she acts on the same principle as that of Me － nelaüs in o． $7^{2}-3$ ；but he，as suits his character，（see App．E． 8 （ir），） gives it a more sententious expression．
 mann＇s（Lexil．it）reading and reason－ ings here；taking $\varepsilon \pi \pi^{\prime}$ as adverbial in the sense of＂besides＂or＂additionally＂． He chiefly founds his view on a quasi－ variant，but probably a gloss，given
 meaning＂besides him（Ä̈des）＂．This is supported by the reading of one ms．（Vi． $5^{6}$ ）at 543 inf．，$z \pi^{\prime} \alpha i v \tilde{\eta}$ ，and by the epith．of Persephonê，when named alone，being $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha v \eta^{\prime}$ or $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \nu \eta \eta^{\prime}$ ．Buttm．， further，objects to allowing the com－ position of a simple adj．oivos with a prep．，as foreign to＂the ancient lan－ guage of Homer＇s time＂，and regards the phrase as an archaic formula in speaking of the infernal powers．

492．Teı＠と宿o，Teiresias is the great prophet of the past age，i．e． regarding the Theban war as the great centre of Epic cycle immediately before the Trojan．He is thus especially snited to be the seer of the dead．His tomb was shown near Haliartus，on the way to Delphi in the time of Pausanias （Pausan，VII．3，1，IX．18，3）．This
line is parodied by Lucian Necyom． p． 225 ．
493．нávtทos ád $\alpha \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tilde{v}$ x．$\tau$ ．$\lambda$ ．，this line suggests a compensation for the loss of sight in the powers of mental perception，e．g．of the future，similar to that in the case of the bard at $\vartheta$ ；
 $\dot{\eta} \delta \varepsilon i \alpha \nu \nu \dot{\alpha} o \iota \delta \dot{\eta} v$ ；it may probably have been borrowed from some earlier poem， which spoke of Teires．as he was when alive．His blindness is a feature of the legend as developed in the（Edipus Rex，Antigonè and Phoenissce by Sophocles and Euripides；cf．also Lucian，Necyom．

 de defect．orac．44，T．IX．272，men－ tions an＂oracle of Teiresias＂in the Orchomenian－Theban region，which is a testimony to his posthumous celebrity as a seer．$\mu \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \eta o s$, like $\pi o ́ \lambda \eta o s, ~ \alpha$.
 A．168．－९€と́vȩ，these being or－ dinarily lost by the dead；cf．Achilles words of Patroclus＇shade in ，$\Psi .103$




494－5．vóov，in the vision of the dead in $\lambda$ ．，the shades retain vóov in the sense of remembrance and of affec－ tion，at any rate when quickened by the blood－draught；but even then they











a $\boldsymbol{\Psi} .440$.
b ס. 538-41 mar.
c ef. J. 105.
d e. 96 mar., v. 59.
e e. 158 mar.
f ૬. 261, そ. $30, \omega$. 225.
g.ef. 282 mar.
h e. 272 mar.
i e. 203 mar.
k 9. 414, Z. 362; cf. T. 321 .




 @ósv七к $\pi \varrho 0 \sigma \eta v \dot{d} \omega \nu \gamma$ A. G. H. I. K. M. N. Stu. Vr. Vi. 50 , nostr. Vi. iii et var. 1. A. 499. $\tau \varepsilon$ ко@є́ $\downarrow \eta \nu \propto$ A. K. M. N. Vi. 5, 50 Eu. St. Ox. Ern. Bek.,
 (post hune Vi. 5,56 vers. a $\lambda .156$ transtul.). 504 om. $\boldsymbol{y}$ Stu. Vi. 5, hab. Fl. Ald. 505. $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon ́ \sigma \vartheta \omega \gamma$ Stu. Vi. 5.
seem to know nothing beyond their living experiences. Thus the description of old Laërtes by Anticleia is to be taken as a reminiscence only. Teiresias ( $\lambda .100$ foll.) knows of Odysseus' purpose in consulting him, of Poseidon's wrath, of the hero's subsequent insit to Thrinakiê etc., in short, knows the present and the future too; as he had done in life. Render therefore oic $\omega \pi \varepsilon$. "alone to retain faculties"; cf. $\pi \varepsilon ́ \pi \nu v \sigma \alpha i ́ ~ \tau \varepsilon ~ v o ́ \omega, ~ \Omega . ~$ 377. See further App. G. 3. - бzecie, this describes the normal condition of the departed, a mere shadowy image of previous existence, from which they seem temporarily to emerge into consciousness and expression by drinking of the blood.

495-525. I felt my heart sink at these words and loathed the light of life. After a while recovering myself, I demanded, who would guide us? She bade me not stickle for a guide, but launch my ship and trust the breeze. I should sail through the deep Oceanus, and pass the groves of Persephonê;
then beach my ship and go on foot to the abode of Aildes; where flows Acheron with its tributaries. There I must dig a pit and pour a specified libation, adore the dead, and make a vow to them and Teiresias against my return to Ithaca.

496-9. See on $\delta$. $538-41$. The Greek heroic, like the Oriental character, includes the effusiveness of sorrow which in western and northern nations is mostly checked by the pride which forbids the exhibition of weakness. So Scott, Marmion, works up such sorrow poetically into an omen:

> Woe betide a country when It sees the tears of bearded men!

Yet this, to the extent in which we witness it, is mostly modern. Shakspeare makes his heroes weep freely.
502. $\varepsilon i \varsigma$ " "ï̈do̧ x. $\tau$. $\lambda$., this sounds almost comical to us; see, however, on $\alpha$. 173 : the $\delta^{\prime}$ has, as often, the force of $\gamma \alpha \rho$; see on $\alpha .433$.

505-7. สergí vทì, "on board". -
a ィ．77，A．480；ef． 4． $852,878$.
b A． 480, 乃． 426.
c $\lambda .9-10$ ．
（1 $4: 3-3$ ．
e E． 697 ；cf．$x .25$ ， ร． 299.
f 509，$\lambda .13,639$ ， I． 5.
gef．ع． 174 ．
hi 1． 116.
i 1． 8 ；cf．ऽ．291，
321, เ．200，ย． 27 s ．
k ८．141，ク．106， ع．64，239，5．292，
e． 208.
1 ら． 350 ．
m 九． 516 mar．；cf． 2．13－20．
n $\boldsymbol{Y} .73, \quad$ Б．143， 212 ；cf．$\lambda .13, \tau$ ． 434.
－廿． $322, \omega .10$ ， Y． 65.







512．AFid $\varepsilon \omega$ ．

 a man．i quod improbant b．h．q．t．sic Bek．，in lemm．$\lambda \alpha \alpha \chi \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ sic v． $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ St．


 used as imperat．，takes the subject in the nomin．as in $\nu 0 \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \mathcal{S}_{\rho} \delta \dot{\eta} \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\prime} \pi \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha$ ．．．$\chi \varepsilon \tilde{v} \alpha \iota, \alpha$ ．290－1，cf．Herod．VII．
 this implies the absence of any exertion save the steerer＇s guiding hand（mar．）； for locality and direction see App．G．
 the breeze of Boreas to waft her＂． This construction seems limited to speeches，and to involve a pron．，which in later language would be a relative， and is so above $432-3$ in $\eta^{\prime}$ थ\＆v．．． $\pi o \vdash \eta \dot{\sigma} \varepsilon \tau \alpha$, but in the Homeric may， as here，be demonstrative．It expresses not mere future sequence，but combines this with finality．

509．$\lambda \dot{\alpha} \chi \varepsilon \in \alpha$ ，the Scholl．explain this
 and＂easily dug＂，connecting it with $\lambda \alpha \chi \alpha i v \omega$ to dig．Ni．understands it ＂roughly overgrown＂，as if $\lambda \alpha \chi$－meant as in $\lambda \alpha \chi-\nu \eta \lambda \alpha \chi-\mu$ ós．More natural and simple is the tradition of the Schol．V．that it is $=\varepsilon^{\prime} \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \chi \varepsilon \alpha$ ，denoting a narrow margin viz，the actual $\alpha \sim \tau \dot{\eta}$ ， or beach，between the trees and the stream．

510．cëみєı＠ot，see on $\eta$ ．ro6．Por－
phyrius，de Styge（ap．Stobæum IV．57）， says on the authority of Plutarch and others that this tree is a mournful one and incompetent to produce fruit，citing a fragment of Soph． 692 Dind．$\tau \alpha \nu v$－

 ＂with＂（＂withy＂stillstands for＂willow＂ in many rustic parts of England），cf． Lat．vieo vi－men，also oigv－$\alpha$ ，or in later Greek oívivì，（really an adj．， like＂withy＂，＂osier＂，in which the ot－ no doubt represents the $\mathcal{F}$ absorbed； see Curtius I．357．－由ं $2 \varepsilon \sigma$ ixa＠лоь， ＂seed－shedding＂；see App．G． 3 （I） note＊．Worsley renders，＂Sown with the fruitless willow，withering fast＂．

515－2．v $\boldsymbol{\eta} \alpha$ ，see App．G． 3 （5）（6）for the local details here．－Ev＠urvta， عvóns is the squalor，situs，or unseemly foulness with which neglect covers all objects，as often from small fungi（mil－ dew），cobwebs，dust，or weeds；and to which sepulchres were especially liable．So Simonides（ap．Bergk，p．1114）， of the dead of Thermopylæ，evvóqıov
 $\delta \propto \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \varrho ~ \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha v \varrho \dot{\sigma} \varepsilon \iota ~ \chi \varrho о ́ v o s ; ~ B a c c h y-~$ lides（ib．p．1230）uses it of rust，$\xi i-$ $\varphi \varepsilon \alpha ́ \tau^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} \mu \varphi \eta^{\prime} ห \varepsilon \alpha \dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \mu \nu \alpha \tau \alpha \iota \varepsilon \dot{v} \rho \omega^{\prime} \varsigma$.



 жє $\lambda \varepsilon v{ }^{\omega} \omega$ ，




a $\boldsymbol{\Phi}, 358 ;$ cf．$\lambda$ ． 157－8．
b B． $755, \Theta .369$ ．
c є． $359, v .98$ ．
d cf．E．672，A． 152.
e cf．T． 78.
f $\eta .303$, A． 818 ．
g．2．25－33．
h $\ell .325$.
i $\eta .86 \mathrm{mar} ., \Psi \boldsymbol{\Psi} .164$
k $\lambda .491$.
$1 \lambda .640$.
m v． 69.
n Z．186， $\boldsymbol{I}$ ．225．
0 ミ． $560, \xi .77, \mathcal{A}$ ． 640 ．



 $\alpha v^{3}$ Vr．；$\pi \alpha \lambda \tilde{v} \nu \alpha \iota$ I．，$\pi \alpha^{\prime} \lambda v v o v ~ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon},-v \varepsilon \iota v \beta$ H．Hesych．
 Parad．Lost II． 577 foll．，
Abhorred Styx the flood of deadly hate；
Sad Acheron，of sorrow，black and deep；
Cocytus，named of lamentation loud
Heard on the rueful stream；fierce Phlegeton，
Whose waves of torrent fire inflame with rage． The Lethe，which also Milton intro－ duces，belongs to post－Homeric myth． The dead of Homer have a keen re－ membrance；see the words of the dead heroes in $\lambda .405$ foll．，and the more expressive silence of Ajax， 563 ．The name＇$A \chi \varepsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ has probably no original connexion with ${ }^{\circ} \chi 0 \rho$ ，but is a kindred name to Acheloüs（see App．G． 3 （ 7 ））． The secondary associations of «$\chi 0 s$ have，through the force of poetry， wholly overpowered the primary re－ lations of the name Acheron．－ $\boldsymbol{\Pi} \boldsymbol{v}$－ Qçaعyévov，in the battle of the River Scamander，with Hephæstus，the

 For Styx，her cave and the solemnity of the oath by her，see Hes．Theog．


515．лモ́x＠ к．$\tau$ ．$\lambda$. ，a rock，wedged in between two rivers＇beds which bathe its base，may be supposed，but see App．G． 3 （4）－（7）．The words， $\pi \varepsilon ́ r \varrho \eta$ ．．．$\sigma$ v่ขยбヶs，if kept，lead back to $z^{\prime} \nu \forall \propto \mu \varepsilon \nu$ in v． $5^{13}$ ，dropping $\varrho \varepsilon$ éov－ $\sigma \iota \nu$ ，as unsuited to the subject，of which ह゙б $\sigma \eta \neq$ might form the predication．The construction will then seem left pur－ posely as vague as the conception．
 to the spot marked in the previous lines by the rock and the junction of the rivers．－$\omega$ g $\sigma \varepsilon x_{\text {．}}$ ，the words imply that precise conformity to the directions is required．－ $\boldsymbol{\beta o j} \boldsymbol{9}$ oov，of． Lucian Necyom．p．230，$\beta$ óvoov $\boldsymbol{\tau} \varepsilon$ ő $v \xi \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \hat{v} \alpha$ ，หаі $\tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \bar{\eta} \lambda \alpha$ к $\alpha \varepsilon \sigma \varphi \alpha^{-}$
 б $\alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu$ ．－Ö́oov te，see on $\boldsymbol{\iota}$ ． 325 ．－
 foursquare．

518－9．$\chi$ ò̀v，used especially of offerings to the dead or infernal powers ： for the celestials $\sigma \pi 0 \nu \delta \dot{\eta}$ or $\lambda 0 \iota \beta \dot{\eta}$ is the word．Thus the title of the Eschylean
 $\sigma \alpha \varsigma \nu \varepsilon \varrho \tau \varepsilon \varrho о \iota \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \iota \lambda i \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha, \ldots . \varepsilon^{\prime} \beta \eta \nu$ $\chi \circ \dot{\alpha} \varsigma \pi \varrho о \pi о \mu \pi \dot{s}, \ldots \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \delta^{\prime}$ ह́ $\pi \iota \sigma \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \delta \omega$ $\chi \circ \propto \dot{s}$ ，Choeph．13，21，149．－$\mu \varepsilon \lambda \iota-$ ぬŋウ่ $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ ，cf．Lucian Necyom．p．${ }^{230}$ ，


 ＂mixture＂of the＂honey＂was with milk；see schol．on Eurip．Orest． 11,5
 $\lambda \alpha x \tau \operatorname{og} x \varepsilon x \varrho \alpha \mu \varepsilon ́ v \alpha$ ．For similar offer－ ings ef．Eschyl．Pers．6ir－5，Eurip． Iphig．in Taur． 165 ，Orest． 115 ，Plut． Aristid． 21 （Ni．）also Virg．Ain．III． 66－7，Inferimus tepido spumantia cym－ lia lacte，Sanguinis et sacri pateras；and Bucul．V．67－8．

520．ézdpete，see on $\beta$ ．290．In honey，milk，water，wine，meal，all
§． 433 mar ．
b 536，$\lambda .49$.
c $v .186$.
d 9.424 mar．，$\omega$ ． 215.
e $\boldsymbol{K} .292-4$ ．
f cf．$\sigma .45$, X．504， $\mu .417$.
g＇ef．\＆． 160.
h $\gamma .6$ ．
i $\varrho .213, \boldsymbol{A} .720, \boldsymbol{B}$ ． 481.
k $\lambda .692, B, 87,91$.
1 572 ；ef．七． 432.
m e． 467 ；cf．८． 439 ．
n $\mu .81$.
o ع． 350 ；cโ． 113.









524．527．őflv．
521．$\gamma 0 v \nu \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$ A．K．，－voṽ $\sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$ A．var．1．$\quad 5^{25} . \pi \alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon ́ \lambda \alpha \iota v \alpha$ lemm．V．；$\dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon-$




the primitive aliments of human life seem represented．This symbolizes a capacity of life in the dead，and might seem a supply for their temporary re－ animation（although the actual quicken－ ing power is limited to the blood inf． $537, \lambda .89,148$ ）．Of this feeling we have strong traces in antiquity．Its coarsest and fullest aspect is shown perhaps in the account given by Herod． IV． 7 I foll．of the burial of the Scythian kings；see also $\Psi .171-6$ ．The same is suggested by the＂pyre filled with good things＂of 523 inf．；cf．Virg．An．VI． 224－5，and Thucyd．III．58，ह̇ $\tau \iota \mu \tilde{\omega} \mu \varepsilon v$
 vouínoıs．
 ＂implore with vows ．．．that，on return－ ing，you will offer＂；so 526 inf．，$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}^{\prime}$－ $\chi \tilde{\eta} \sigma \iota$＂with vows＂．－á $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} v \eta \nu \boldsymbol{\imath}$ ，the loss of＂living powers＂（Bp．Butler， Anal．I．）was esteemed to follow the extinction of life；see this further ex－ plained by Anticleia，$\lambda .218$ foll．，
 ives $\varepsilon^{\prime \prime} \chi \circ v \sigma \iota \nu \ldots$ ，and exemplified in the case of Agamemnon，$\lambda .393$ ，ov $\gamma \dot{\alpha}$ e
 －み夭́＠ $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ，the presence of a vast multitude crowding about，in which the heads alone are chiefly conspicuous， is suggested by the phrase；also their stooping perhaps，head forward，to drink of the blood．It may，however， although more weakly，be taken，as $x \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$ in $\alpha .343$ ，in the vague sense
of＂person＂only．－ $\boldsymbol{\sigma \tau \varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\tau} \varrho \alpha \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ，the stem ove＠－seems to mean＂hard＂；so Engl． ＂stern，sturdy（steer？）＂and Lat．ster－ ilis，properly of ground too hard to be tilled；here＂barren＂；cf．Theocr．IX．3， $\dot{v} \varphi \varepsilon ́ v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \dot{v} \pi \grave{o}$ б $\sigma \varepsilon i \varrho \alpha \iota \sigma \iota \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \alpha \dot{v} \rho \omega \varsigma$ ， and Virg．AEn．VI．${ }^{251}$ ，sterilemque tibi Proserpina，vaccam．In $v, 186$＂not yet weakened by bearing＂seems meant．－ $\pi v \varrho \grave{\eta} \boldsymbol{v}$ ，see，the last note，at end．－ $\pi \propto \mu \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \boldsymbol{v}$＇，cf．Virg．ub sup．，Ipse atri velleris agnam Aneas matri Eumenidum magnaque sorori Ense ferit．See on $\boldsymbol{\gamma} .6$.

526－40．Circê continued her direc－ tions．I was to sacrifice solemnly with averted face the lambs to Aïdes and Persephonê．The dead would swarm about．My comrades were to flay and burn the victims，with invocations of those deities，while I with drawn sword was to stand sentry over the blood， that Teiresias might answer my ques－ tions about my return home．


 $\delta \iota \alpha \iota \tau \omega \mu$ ह́vovg．

527－8．ヲทัスv่v $\tau \varepsilon$ ，for this ter－ mination with a fem．noun cf．mar． and Eurip．Bacch．828，тiva бтo2ทv； $\eta$ そ $\vartheta \tilde{\eta} \lambda v \nu$ ；－＂Egasos，the region （probably marked by a deeper gloom resting upon it）of the＇A$\delta \delta \varepsilon \omega$ סेó $\mu$ os， 512 sup．－$\sigma \tau \varrho \varepsilon \notin \alpha g$ ，turning the victims so as to face that way，and to make their blood gush in that direc－ tion．This is a proper sacrifice to Aïdes












b 2.37 ．
с $2.147,541,564$ ， H． 409.
d e．139，2． 44.
е $\lambda .45-50$ ．
f $\delta .743 \mathrm{mar}$ ．
g $\mu .359, \Omega .622$ ．
h v． 238.
i 491 mar．
$k$ l． 300 mar．
1 d． 433 mar．
m $\lambda 89,148$.
n 492 mar．
o $\delta .316$.
p ס．389－90 mar．

|  |  |  | ＇AFíd $\eta$ ． |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |



 x $\tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} ห \varepsilon \iota \tau$＇male rell．Ro．Fl．Ald．St．Ox．Wo．Bek．Di．533．$\delta \varepsilon i ́ \rho \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon$ ．H．




and Persephonê，as deities；whereas in 518－25 we had the reverential adora－ tion of the dead en masse，$x \lambda v \tau \dot{\alpha}$ हैं $\nu \varepsilon \varkappa \varrho \tilde{v} v$ ，somewhat similar to the feel－ ing conveyed by the phrase＂All Souls＂． －氏лоขóa甲i，cf．Soph．OEd．Col．49०，

 $\pi \tau 0 s$ ；and Virg．AEn．VI．224，Aversi tenuere facem；Bucol．VIII．102，Trans－ que caput jace，nec respexeris．He was to turn in the direction opposite to Erebus，towards the river，probably Oceanus，i，e，towards the world of the living，the region of the dead being on its further bank．

529－30 I am inclined to regard these and 532 as later inserted，or at any rate 532 as borrowed from 2．45，and 529－30 as possibly belonging after 534，＂Pray to the gods ．．．turning to the river＇s steam＂．The $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \alpha i \quad \psi v \chi \alpha i$ x．$\tau$ ．$\lambda$ ．of 530 will then lead up better to the following directions of 535 foll． which relate to them．The reason for dropping 532 is chiefly the awkwardness of $\varkappa \boldsymbol{\tau} \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} ห \varepsilon \iota \tau$＇therein，and the fact that only two mss．（mid，mar．）have x $\alpha \tau \alpha$－ $x \varepsilon \iota \tau^{\prime}$ ，in which it is probably an altera－
tion to accommodate the sense，which it does but lamely after all．With the proposed transposition，there will be nothing to prevent $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \rho \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \mathcal{S}^{\prime} x \alpha \tau \alpha$－ $x \tilde{\eta} \alpha \iota$ from having for object the＂sheep＂ of 527 ．
 analogy of forms like $\beta \varepsilon i \circ \mu \alpha \iota, \delta \alpha \varepsilon i \omega$ ， $\delta \alpha \mu \varepsilon i \omega, ~ \varepsilon ُ \varphi \varepsilon i \omega, ~ e t c ., ~ B e k k e r ~(H o m . ~$ B1．p．227－8）decides in favour of $-\varepsilon \iota-$ before $\boldsymbol{o}$ and $\omega$ in this word；and where， as in the fem．，the termination－vio comes in，to recall the old readings
 aor．form follows the fut．$\alpha \nu \omega \dot{\xi} \omega$ of $\pi$ ．404，ef．$\alpha \nu \omega ́ \xi o \mu \varepsilon v, O .295$ ；see on Э．449．－zoctexとı兀，see the last
 on $\iota$ ．${ }^{231}$ ．－$\dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}$ aiv $\boldsymbol{v}$ ，see on 491.
 521 sup．－$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma o \nu$ ，Hesych．gives $\tilde{\alpha} \sigma$－ $\sigma \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ as a superl．from this；Eschyl． Edoni，Fragm．6r Dind．－Teigeбıcio， he is the＂medium＂（to use a modern phrase）between the living and dead by virtue of Persephonê＇s favour（see on $492-5$ ），and must therefore first drink the blood．－ös rev．x．$\tau$ ．$\lambda$ ．， see on $\delta$ ． $388-9$ ．

> a $\mu .142, \xi . \quad, 02$,
> o. $56,250, v .91$.
be. 299-32 mar.
с $\mu$. 206-7.
d $172-3$ mar.
е К. 159.
f $\boldsymbol{I}, 625$.
๕. 7. 68, 2. 22.
h $\beta .103$.
i M. 212, 9. 280 -1 .
k ס. 487, $\boldsymbol{\sigma} .260$, N. 761 ; cf. ع. 268.
$1 \gamma .191$.
m H. 153.
n $\boldsymbol{v} .238, \sigma .20$.












 @sov a $\beta$ I.; qর́@os mss. vii (Vi. iii) Fl. Ald.; $\mu \dot{\gamma} \gamma \alpha$ om. $\gamma ; z^{\prime} v \nu v \tau o ~ \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\beta} \gamma$ A.
 Ern. Ox., $i \xi \xi \tilde{v} \iota$ Wo. Bek. Di., ỏ́ $\varphi$ v́ı var. 1. M., et N. (num glossa ?). 546 . $\delta \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha$




541-74. Morning returned; she dressed me for my voyage, and robed herself. I roused my comrades and told them Cireê had given the word for our departure. All obeyed the summons save Elpenor; who, young and heavy with wine, had slept on the roof; whence being suddenly roused, he forgot the ladder, fell through, and broke his neck. I bade them postpone thoughts of home for the realm of Aïdes and the oracle of the dead. They heard me and were crushed at heart. We took our sad way to the sea. Then came Circê to our ship, without our knowledge, as a goddess can, and tethered there the destined sheep.

542-5. Similarly Calypsô arrayed

 on $\varepsilon$. $230,231-2$. Also cf. Chaucer, Romaunt of R. $7370-\mathrm{I}$, "A large coverchief of thread She wrapped all about hir head", Bion, XV. 20 (of Achilles
 $x \propto \lambda \dot{v} \pi \tau \varrho \eta$. Archilochus speaks as if this were distinctive of unmarried



548. $\dot{\alpha} \omega \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \tilde{\tau} \tau \varepsilon$, Buttm. Lexil. 33 (end) regards this as not connected with $\dot{\alpha} \omega \tau o s$, but $\dot{\alpha} \omega$, to breathe deeply or snore: it would thus be also related to iavic, Mr. Paley on K. 159 considers that the original form was probably
 $\alpha f$ reduplicated. I think the form in - $\frac{\varepsilon}{6}$ points to a direct derivation from $\dot{\alpha} \omega \tau o s$, in the sense of "to be soft as wool" ( ( . 434 and note), (like $\dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha \not \approx \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$
 with vínvov as quasi-cognate object, "to indulge in fleecy (soft) slumber";

 v̋ँv $\omega$, ib. XV. 125 .
 even from there', with a tacit reference to his losses elsewhere, and the year of comfort they had spent. - vecitceros, this stands with an explanatory
 $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} 0 v \sigma \iota$. He was of least account among the crew, and his accident seems introduced in order to give an effective












$565 \psi v \chi n ̃ ~ \chi \varrho \eta \sigma о \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v s ~ \Theta \eta \beta \alpha i o v ~ T \varepsilon \downharpoonright \varrho \varepsilon \sigma i ́ \alpha o . " ~$



## a O． 570 ．

b cf．$\zeta .70,267, \%$ ． 45.
c $426,445$.
d 2．62－5．
e e． 374.
f K． 280.
g if．234，I．573．
h K．354．
i cf．$\gamma, 224, \boldsymbol{B} .600$ ．
k cf．H $41: 3$.
$1 \alpha$ ． $330, \varphi, 5$ ．
im cf． 162 mar
n cf．$M .386$.
○ 气． $466, \Psi .48$.
1）H． 330, Z． 284.
q $\lambda .475$ ．
८．171，儿． 319.
s 5.200 ．
E． 204, B． 158 ， 174，A．180，E． 687.
（1 490，九． 261.
v ข．177，ৎ．376， v． 272.
w $\eta .317$.
x 491－2 mar．
y $\delta .538-9$ mar．
${ }^{2}$ ب． $55, N .653$.
аа P． $535, \Omega .470$ ；
cf．Ф． 201.
bb $\boldsymbol{X} .78,406$ ；cf．
$\Omega .711$ ．








 ras．Fl．St．Ern．Ox．Bek．，$x \alpha \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v}^{\prime} \iota$ Schol．Ven．ad N． 633 lem．Wo．Di．La R．
opening to the scene in $\lambda .{ }_{51}$ foll．－ ழ＠عбiv x．$\tau$ ．$\lambda$ ．，＂sound in his wits＂． －iseoĩg，see on 426．－oivo $\beta \alpha$－ ＠zi $\omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ，see note on $\gamma$ ．139．－ázov́－ Gacg，see App．F． 2 （32）for some in－ stances of sounds below in the $\mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma \alpha \varrho 0 \nu$ audible above and vice versa，and for the position of the＂ladder＂$(558) \mathrm{ib}$ ． （16）．－Join xetçß̄̃vą closely with $i \omega v$ ，＂to descend by going＂，－ $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau}$＂
 $\lambda \omega \nu$ ，named also $\sigma \varphi \circ \nu \delta v \lambda i \omega \nu$ in $\Upsilon$ ． 483 ，of which the marrow is there re－ presented as scattered by decapitation； see also mar．Here the nape of the neck as wrenched out of the highest vertebra，which forms with it the＂atlas＂ joint，seems intended：cf．Aristoph．


562－74．ゆর́बヲモ ขv́ ภov，＂уои are
perhaps thinking＂．－It seems that in the hurry of departure and the feel－ ings of woe caused by Odysseus＇an－ nouncement，Elpenor was not missed at the time，or else that his burial
 $z^{\prime} \pi \varepsilon \quad \gamma \varepsilon \nu, \lambda$ ． 54 ．The contrast between the witless drunkard sleeping off his fumes above，and the sage chief re－ ceiving instructions for the fearful voyage below，is finely imagined．－ $\dot{\eta} \mu \ell \nu$ ，this word，with $\dot{v} \mu \iota \nu$ ，is of vari－ able accent and quantity；cf．$\alpha$ ．10， 166 with mar．－xœךбоиє́vovs，see on §．155－9 and 483－5 sup．，for the ana－ coluthia between pron，and participle．
 old edd．，following the mss．，read $\alpha \alpha \tau$ $\alpha \tilde{v} \vartheta \iota$ ；cf．$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tilde{v} v \iota$ ，Eschyl．Eum．456； $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v \tau i \alpha \alpha$, Herod．V．ir 2 ．－$\gamma \dot{\omega} \omega \nu$ ，see on
a 202 mar．
b J． 779 mar．
c $\lambda .5$ ．
d J． 556 mar．
e 527 mar．
f \＆． 104, K． 344.
g cf． $\boldsymbol{\gamma} .231$, K． 556.
h 387，P． 646.








$$
\text { 572. őFıv. } 574 . \text { Fidout'. }
$$

 Fl．Ald．，$\varepsilon \gamma i \gamma v$ ．Wo．Bek．Di．569．om．A．Vi．iii M．a man．ı． 570 ． $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\mu} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}$ Ald．；$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \delta \alpha ́ x \varrho v$ I．N．Vi．5，133．$\quad$ 571．v $\tilde{\sim} \alpha \mu \varepsilon ́ \lambda \alpha \iota v \alpha v \gamma$ K．Stu．， 572, ，$\alpha \tau \varepsilon ́-$


 202 sup．－そ้० $\mu \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}$ ，＂went＂，marking the point of departure；tó $\varphi \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ marks some shortly subsequent point．－oi－ zouśv $\begin{gathered}\text { should be joined with zaté－}\end{gathered}$ $\boldsymbol{\delta} \eta \sigma \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}$ ，＂went and tethered＂．－ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \varrho-$ $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \lambda \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tilde{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ，＂having eluded＂，i．$e$ ． us ；so（mar．）$\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda \vartheta \varepsilon \Sigma \nu \quad \Delta i o s$ vóov．－tic àv x．т．ג．，this，like some other general statements about the gods，is not strictly adhered to when poetic convenience requires a
departure from it（see on $\delta .379$ ）；e．g． Hermes，when conducting Priam to Achilles＇tent，after declaring his deity， ＂will not go in to meet Achilles＇eye， as it would be a shame for a deity to be seen in familiar attendance upon a mortal＂，$\Omega .462-4$ ．This seems as if， he must appear to Achilles，if he entered．We may illustrate the present passage by Pallas＇appearance to Achil－



## $0 \Delta \Upsilon \Sigma \Sigma E I A \Sigma \Lambda$.

## SUMMARY OF BOOK XI.

"We embarked with our sacrificial sheep. At the end of a day with a fair "wind we reached the Ocean stream and Cimmerian land ( $1-22$ ); thence we "went by foot along the shore. I scooped a trench and performed the ritual, "while the shades came flocking round. I guarded the blood till Teiresias "should approach $(22-50)$. I first met Elpenor's shade, who told his fate and "implored burial ( $51-83$ ). Then I saw my mother's shade, but Teiresias advanced "and drank the blood; then told me of my future fortunes and my death (84"137). Next my mother drank the blood and spoke (138-54). We told our "respective stories, and she gave me news of my home. I strove to embrace "her but in vain, for phantom-like she eluded my grasp (154-224).
"Then passed before me the ladies of the elder time, and told each her "tale - Tyrô, Antiopê, Alcmenê, Megarê, Epicastê, and Chloris, Ledê and Iphi"medeia, Phædrê Procris and Ariadnê, Mæra, Clymenê, and Eriphylê, and hosts "of others, - how many time would fail me to tell, - daughters, or wives, "or mothers, of heroes and kings (225-332)."

Odysseus pauses in his tale and silence follows. The queen is first to break it, in admiration proposing further gifts. Echineüs seconds her, and the king confirms their words. Odysseus gladly accepts the offer, and, pressed by Alcinoüs' enquiry, whether he saw any of his comrades in Aïdes, resumes his tale as follows (333-84).
"First came Agamemnon, my chief, with his followers, all slaughtered in "Egisthus' hall. Weeping at the sight, I enquired his fate. He told me how "they were butchered treacherously at a banquet by Egisthus and Clytemnestrê. "He contrasted our wives' characters, spoke of my son, and enquired news of "his own, which I could not give him (385-464). Then came Achilles, grouped "with Patroclus and others. I sought to console him amid the privations of "the dead, but he bitterly rejected my consolations. He, too, enquired news of "his sire and his son. I could only tell him of the sage and gallant bearing of "the latter before Troy. He strode exultingly away (465-540). Alone Ajax Tela"mon held aloof, still resenting my having won the arms of Achilles from him, "which had caused his death. I strove to appease the sullen shade in vain " $(541-67)$. Then I saw Minos, royal judge among the dead, and Orion the "huntsman, hunting still. Then Tityus, prostrate over nine acres, with the fatal "vulture gnawing him. Then Tantalus tormented with hunger and thirst. "Then Sisyphus toiling at his stone, which, as he strove to roll it up, rolled ever "back upon him. Last appeared Herakles, or rather his phantom form, with "bow and arrow fixed, and girt with a marvellous belt. He knew me, and "exclaimed, 'what, was I too sent thither alive, as he had been?' He retired, "and the dead came swarming round. In dread of some fearful phantom, I "sped away. We re-embarked and returned down the Ocean stream (568-640)."

## $N \varepsilon \varkappa \boldsymbol{v} i \alpha$.








b 8. 577-8 mar.
c 20, A. 309-10, 438.
d $\beta .419$ mar.
е $\varkappa .570$.
f $\delta .556$ mar.
g. ८. $539, \varkappa .172$.
h $\mu .149-50$; cf. $\beta$. 420 mar., e. 268.

## 2. $\pi \dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \varrho \omega \tau \alpha$ F $\varepsilon \varrho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$.



 Vr. Vi. 50 Eu. Ro. Fl., $-\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\beta}$; veตs I. Vi. 133 Eu. Fl. Ro. Apoll. Lex. 7. i'yuevov h., i'xusvov Eu. Scholl. ad N. 456, i'x $\mu$. Fl. v (?).

The name more commonly given to this book is $v \varepsilon x v i \alpha$, sometimes $v \varepsilon x v o-$ $\mu \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon i \alpha$. Cic. Tusc. I. 16, says, Animos enim per se ipsos viventes non potcrant mente complecti; formam aliquam figuramque querebant. Inde Homeri tota $\nu \varepsilon x v i \alpha$ : inde ea que meus amicus Appius vยหvоцаขขєí $\alpha$ faciebat. Similar vexvial occurred in several post-homeric poems of the Epic cheracter, especially in the so called vóoto (or returns of the various heroes of the Trojan war to their homes) which probably contained catalogues of heroines, as appearing after death, similar to those found in this book (Ni. with ref. to Pausan X. 28,4). A large portion ( 565 foll.) of the end of the book is marked by the Scholl, as a suspected interpolation, as regards which see some remarks in App. G. 3 (16) ... (Ig).

1-22. We came down to the sea,
launched the ship and embarked in sorrow, with the sacrificial sheep. Circê blessed us with a breeze which sped us fairly all the day. At the end of it we reached the limit, the Ocean stream; there lay the Cimmerian land and city, covered ever in thick gloom which the sun never penetrates. There we hauled up the ship, took out the sheep and went along on foot up the stream to the place whither Circê bade us.

1-8. v $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{c}$, which had been drawn up by Circe's orders and the tackle etc. lodged in caverns $x, 403-4,423$ -5 . The poet, however, adheres to his fixed forms for description of the launching etc. not deviating on this account. - ov̉gov, probably that promised as Bo@é $\alpha 0$, x. 50\%. Circê, like $\mathrm{Ca}-$ lypsô (mar.), seems to have had a local sphere of influence over the winds. They are regarded as among the powers
a 2.136 mar
b e. 334 mar.
с $\mu .151$; cf. $\beta .390$ mar., $\mu .410$
d cf. e. 250.
e $x .507$ mar.
f $\ell .78 \mathrm{mar}$.
c. P. 384.
h 9.121 ; cf. $\beta$. 427.
i cf. ع. 277, $\eta .267$.
k $\beta .388$ mar.
1 ef. Z. 143.
m т. 43-1, H. 422, =. 311 .
n $\zeta .3 \mathrm{mar}$.
o 9. 562 mar.








9. Fغ่ $\kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha$.


 sic fere V. horum utr. var. 1. Eu. et Et. Mag. cf. Aristoph. Ran. 187.

ห $\alpha \lambda v \mu \mu \varepsilon ́ v o s ~ \beta . ~$
of the region which it is hers to wield.
 9-11. ӧ $\pi \lambda \alpha$, see App. F. I (7). $\ddot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$, see on $\boldsymbol{x}$. 507 . Here Worsley well renders, "sent a breeze, Our good companinon, bellying with high strain The sails, and, we reclining at our ease, Steersman and prosperous wind impelled us through the seas".
13. $\pi$ عi@ci $\boldsymbol{F}^{\prime}$, see App. G. 3 (1).
14. Kı $\mu \mu \varepsilon \rho i \omega v, ~ M r . ~ G l a d s t o n e ~ s a y s, ~$ III. ii. 294, "The Cimmerian country of $H$. is represented down to the present day by the Crimea, one of the most ancient passages from Asia into Europe .... The cloud in which these Cimm. are wrapped flnds its counterpart in the notoriously frequent winter fogs of the Euxine. The Peninsula, lying on the very straits themselves is in exact correspondence with the (present) passage". This seems to assume a doubtful point: the Crim-ea may represent $K \iota \mu \mu \varepsilon ́ \rho-\iota o \iota$, but the reading of the latter name is far from certain; see the end of this note. In the note on $x .133-4$ reasons are given for regarding Жæa, Circe's island, as lying in the extreme E . someway to the N . in some imaginary sea, occupying central Europe, between the Euxine and the Hadriatic or the gulf of Lyons. The imaginary route being with Boreas, or from about N. E. to S. W. might be supposed to follow some such line as that of the river Borysthenes (Dneiper)
which flows into the Euxine not far west of the Crimea. By the $\pi \varepsilon i \rho \alpha \tau \alpha \ldots$ ' $\Omega x \varepsilon \alpha v o i ̂ o ~ t h e ~ c u r r e n t ~ o u t ~ o f ~ t h / e ~ P a l u s ~$ Mrotis into the Euxine may be represented. It is observable that the darkness of the region seems blended as if magically with that of night, which overtakes them as they reach it, continues throughout the book, and is still prevailing when they reach Ææa, $\mu .7 . \mathrm{Mr}$. Tennyson, seems to have followed this in the beautiful idea in his "Lotus-eaters",

Thus voyaging on
In the afternoon they came unto a land, In which it seemed always afternoon.
Aristar. read for $K \iota \mu \mu \varepsilon \varrho i \omega \nu$ K $К \varrho \beta \varepsilon$ -
 words $\eta$ "'s Ke@ße@iovs $\eta$ "'s Kópouas, Aristoph. Ran. 187. Thus we are by no means sure of the form of the name. On the other hand the dog of Aides is mentioned in 623 inf . and $\Theta .366-9$, but not by the name Cerberus, which occurs first in Hes. Theog. 311. The etymology of it is quite conjectural. As, however, the myth of this dog is said to be traceable far back in Aryan legend, it is likely that it is connected with the notion of darkness. Strabo (I. 6 or 9, III. 149 or 239), who knew the $K \iota \mu \mu$. as occupying a definite locality, regarded them as having invaded Asia in or before Homer's time, and so as turned by him to poetical account (Ni.).












a e． 479.
b $\mu .380$ ．
c $\boldsymbol{\Pi} .62$, Y． 316.
d e． 527 mar．
e $\mu .381$.
f $\boldsymbol{\Pi}$ ． 567 ．
g $\mu$ ．341，0．408，
X．31，76，$\Omega .525$.
h e． 546 mar．
i 4 mar．
k $\mu$ ． 1 ．
1 ィ． 570.
m e． 181 ；cf．c． 543
mar．
ก $x .509$ seqq．
－छ．250，＠． 600.
р $\mu .195$ ；cf．$O .515$ ．
q ห．205， 429.
r $\ell .300$ mar．
s \％．517－25 mar．








 Stu．Fl．lemm．h．b．q．；$\chi \varepsilon ́ o \mu \propto \iota \beta$ ，$\chi \varepsilon ́ o \mu \varepsilon \nu \gamma$ v．lem．M．Stu．H．a man．I Ro．， $-\mu \eta \nu$ var．1．M．，$\varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \mu \eta \nu$ in mar．H．，$\chi \varepsilon \alpha ́ \mu \eta \nu$ Zenod．，h．；$\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \iota$ mss．vii（A．a man．1）Fl．，$\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu$ \＆$\beta$ Ro．

16． $\boldsymbol{\eta} \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \iota \operatorname{x}$ к．$\tau$ ．ス．，ef．Eschyl． Fragm． 158 Dind．$\alpha_{S}$ ov゙ $\tau \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon ́ \mu \varphi \iota \xi \dot{\eta} \lambda i o v$


 $\chi \tau \varepsilon \varrho о \varsigma \mu \eta \nu \eta \pi o \tau \varepsilon$ ；and these similarly

 with a little more definiteness reproduces the Homeric description here，so far as its geography can be cleared．This line also occurs Hes．Theog． 760 with

 и $\alpha \tau \alpha \beta<i v \ldots \nu$.

19．Some legend of the long night of winter in high latitudes is probably represented here as of the long polar day among the Læstrygones，see on x．84－6．On the omission of the local features mentioned in $x .509$ foll．，see App．G．3，（ $\mathbf{1}$ ）．

21－2．$\pi$ cecí ழóov，$i$ ．e．on foot along its further bank，up or against its stream．－öv $\varphi \varrho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \varepsilon$ ，here，again，
the local features of＂the rock and confluence＂of $x .515$ are missing and all is blank．

23－37．My comrades held the vic－ tims，while I scooped a trench and poured the due libations with the vows prescribed．I sacrificed the sheep into the trench，and the shades came flock－ ing round．

23．The whole number of comrades seem to have accompanied although two only are named，each as holding a victim．
25－33，see the notes on $\boldsymbol{x . 5 1 7 - 2 5 .}$ With ßóveov и．$\tau$ ．久．，comp．Gower， Medea reviving Ason， 217 foll．，who follows the present passage（of course in some version or imitation）very closely，

Tuo sondry puttes faste by
Sche made and with that hastelyl
A wether，which was blak，sche slouh，
And out therof the blood sche drouh
And dede into the pettes tuo；
Warm milk sche putte also therto
With honey meynd，and in such wise
Sche gan to made her sacrifise．
a $x . \pi 26$ ．
b $\Sigma 336, \mathscr{Y}^{\circ} .22$.
c 153, A． $140, \boldsymbol{I I}$ ． 667，ゆ． 167.
d B．94，9． 321.
e $x .530$ mar．
f $\Sigma .492$.
œ．еf．X．127－8．
h ef．$\Omega \cdot 49$ ．
i ะ． 567.














 $\varepsilon v \mathrm{~g}$

 $\dagger$ G．Vi．133．39． $\boldsymbol{v}^{\prime} \alpha \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha i$ Vi． $5 \alpha$ sed $\tau^{\prime} \alpha^{\alpha} \tau$ sup．a man．rec．，$\beta$ corrupte $\tau^{\prime} \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota$ ．

And cried and preide forth withal
To Pluto the god infernal，
And to the queene Proserpine．
34．tov̀s，takes its gender by anti－ cipation fr．vex＠w̃ $\nu$ the more important， not $\varepsilon^{\prime \prime} \boldsymbol{v} v \varepsilon \alpha$ ，the feebler word．－ $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{v \varepsilon \varepsilon}$ $\nu$ モx＠ãv，see on $x .526-36$ ．No notice is taken here of the direction given
 $\sigma \vartheta \propto \iota$ ห．т．$\lambda$ ．

37 ，see on x． 530 ．
38－50．Maid，and bachelor，and hoary men，and warriors slain in fight， were there．Their strong and awful cry struck me with horror．I bade my comrades flay and burn the victims， while with my sword drawn I guarded the blood till I had heard Teiresias．

38－43．These lines were rejected by Aristoph．and Zenod．，as inconsistent with the sequel，in respect of the in－ discriminate character of the assembly of dead，who afterwards pass in review distinctly，and because the mention of wounds seems unsuited to their state， as also to the case of Agamemnon，who appears to show no wound，provoking thus the question，$\tau i i_{S} \nu \dot{v} \sigma \varepsilon x \tilde{r}_{\rho} \varepsilon^{\delta} \delta \dot{\alpha}-$ $\mu \alpha \sigma \sigma \varepsilon ; 398 \mathrm{inf}$ ．These grounds seem insufficient for rejecting them．They develope and expand the $\psi v \chi \alpha i$ of
v． 37 ，which $\psi v \chi \alpha i$ can only be distin－ guished by the insignia of life，such as some of the hero shades afterwards show，e．g．the accompaniment of Agamem．by his slaughtered comrades， 388 inf ．；and although they may possibly have been added later，yet they may have been from the same poet as the rest，diverging into a more realistic view of the condition of the dead； see Pref．to vol．I，part I，x．There is perhaps a greater probability of 43 having been founded upon 633，and it could well be spared．They have been imitated by Virgil AEn．VI．306－8；in whose Inferno there appear graver in－ consistencies than in the Homeric； e．$g$ ．we have in 757 foll．an extensive group distinguished by their glories in a future state of existence，but who appear from $743-5 I$ have already previously existed yet without any ac－ count being taken of that existence， whereas all the preceding groups have places and dooms founded on the life they have lived．

39－40．\＆́vadaci，for this，as an epith．of maidens，cf．Erinna，Bergk
















a $\ell .55$ mar.
b. T. $31, \Omega .415$.
e t. 401 mar.
d $633, \mu .213, \%$.
$42, \omega .450,53: \%$,
H. $479, ~ \bigcirc .77$. P. 67.
e $x .531-7$ mar.
f $\boldsymbol{x} .491$.
g. 82, 88-9.
h $x .552$.
i cf. $\omega, 187$.
k $\gamma .453$ mar., $\%$. 149 mar.
 $\lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha_{\mathcal{S}} \beta$ (incurise specimen huic scribæ sæpius admissæ alienissimas inter sc



 50. «̈ббov A. ex em. $\mathbf{5 2 - 4}^{52-4}$ Callistr., h. q. (ab Aristar. etiam damnatos ex Aristonici adnot. ad Y. 73 colligit La R.). 53. $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \rho_{\rho}$ uíœи $\eta$ у Stu. Fl.;

$\mu \circ \mathrm{v}$, , Eschyl. Sept. c. T'h. 363,) lit. "a heart to which woe was new", meaning to imply that they gave up life beneath the unaccustomed shock, thus coming near our expression of "dying of a broken heart". The Schol. Vulg. gives
 $\pi \varepsilon \nu \vartheta \mathfrak{v} \sigma \alpha \iota$, which seems less acceptable, since youth seems implied in the
 Hesych. citing this word (к叉eipouov $\lambda \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha)$ from the $v \varepsilon \alpha v i \sigma x o l$ of Eschyl. interprets it by ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma} \chi v \varrho \dot{o} v$, as $=$ "A@ $\varepsilon \iota$ ع̇ounós; see Æschyl. Fragm. 139 Dind. Here it certainly means "slain in war".

44-50. See on $x, 531-7$.
51-83. First I distinguished the shade of Elpenor, whom we had left unburied. With tears I asked, how he had come thither so soon. He told his fate ( $x .551-61$ ), and besought me by all whom I held most dear, that, when I returned to Eæa, I would give him
funeral honours, and so prevent divine wrath. He wished his body and arms to be burnt together, a mound to be raised on the shore, and his oar erected upon it. I promised compliance, but retained my guard the while we talked.

51-3. 'EגJ $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{v o} o \mathrm{og}$, on this episode Virg. has framed that of Palinurus, Enn. VI. 337 foll. Elpenor came first, and needed no blood-draught to quicken his remembrance, for he was unburied, and therefore wandered, it should seem, apart fr. the rest: cf. $\Psi .71-2$, where the shade of the unburied Patroclus so describes his own case. - ruct$\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon i ́ \pi o \mu \varepsilon v$, see on $\boldsymbol{x}$. 562 . The Scholl. raise the question, why none of the comrades devoured by Polyphemus and the Læstrygonians were similarly seen with Elpenor; and answer that, though in a shocking way ( $\alpha^{\dot{\gamma} v} \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \mu \omega \mathrm{~s}$ ), they had yet been buried.
a 72，X． 386.
b 87,395 ．
c $155, \Psi \boldsymbol{\Psi} .51$ ．
d e． 50 mar．
e \＆． 506 mar．
f $x .68, \Theta .237$
cf．I． $489, \boldsymbol{\pi} .367$.
g e． 52 mar．，$A$ ． 418.
h $x .555$.
i $x .558-60$ mar．
k 1.324 ；cf．$\beta .68$.
1 O． 665 ；сf．I＇S． 72 $-4$.
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 $-\sigma \mathfrak{v}$ Vi． 133.
 the next line would be perfectly natural if addressed to a living man．But from 55 it seems clear that Odys．knew he was addressing the dead．There is to us a comic effect about 58 ；but probably nothing was further from the Homeric meaning than such a disturb－ ance of the pathos of the scene：see on $\alpha$ ． 173 ．Its purport probably is quite simple．By making his hero utter it the poet means to mark the swift flight of the departing soul，ef．$\psi v \nsim \dot{\eta}$
 $\tau \alpha \iota 222$ ，and the absence of any stand－ ard by which we in the flesh might measure it．The $\pi \varepsilon \xi \dot{o} \varsigma \varepsilon^{\prime} \dot{\omega} \nu$ ，moreover， is formulaic（mar．）．The reading iciv， ascribed by the Schol．H．to＂all＂ （ $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \iota$ ）the copies，is worth notice．

61－5．This line might be read in several ways，retaining the form $\alpha^{\alpha} \alpha \sigma \varepsilon$ $(\alpha \cdot \mathcal{F}-)$ ，which in this verb is always found elsewhere in H．（save in T．95，where

 иахض̀ x． $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ．$\lambda$ ．There are two other verbs （mar．）true forms of which approach the false form ${ }^{\alpha} \sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon$ in the text here，viz． «ैбоцць，＂might satiate＂（found also in several other moods，）and ${ }^{\beta} \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu$ ， ＂we slept＂．These should be care－ fully distinguished．Of this present verb we have in H．also $\alpha \alpha \tau \alpha \iota, \alpha \alpha \sigma v \varepsilon i s$ etc．－$\alpha$ Óveatos oivos，the final $\sigma$ in $\alpha \vartheta \varepsilon ์ \sigma \varphi$ ．need not have any metrical force before foivos；see on $ו$ ． 182. For 62－5 see on $\boldsymbol{x}$ ．558－60．

66－9．$\tau \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ӧл儿Эモv，＂those left be－ hind＂．He does not，among those whom he mentions，include the hero＇s mother． She was dead，and the poet probably regarded Elpenor as knowing it：so the Scholl．，who add that he suppresses mention of her as dead，to spare Odysseus＇feelings：but it suffices，that he was obviously only concerned with the living．－$\tau \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$ is gen，with yov－ vógoreci as，$\Delta i o s$ боцк兀．－子ovvágouat，alluding to














a $\Theta .203$.
b ß． 365 ；cf．$\pi .117$ -20 ．
ヶ． 267, ร． $365, \Delta$ ． 163.
d $x .135$ ．
e t． 279 mar．
f 54 ．
g $\boldsymbol{X} .358$
h $\mu, 13$.
i $\mu .14, \alpha .291$ mar．
k A． 248 ；cf． $\boldsymbol{N}$ ．
$682, \underset{=}{\boldsymbol{z}} 31$.
$1 \mathrm{cf}. \mathrm{\delta} .646$.
m $\gamma .204$ mar．
n $129, \mu .15$ ；ef．$\delta$ ． 584.
o t． 522.
1）$\alpha .293$.


 et mss．vii（vid．ad 54）var．1．Eu．；ő $\boldsymbol{\pi} \iota \sigma \vartheta \varepsilon v$ K．Vi． $50,56 \propto \beta$ Fl．，ő $\boldsymbol{\pi} \iota \sigma \vartheta \varepsilon v$
 73．$\mu \eta$＇$\tau \iota \tau 0 \iota \mathrm{mss}$ ． $\mathrm{x}(\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ Vi．omn．）bis Eu．Fl．Ro．74．иакк $\boldsymbol{\eta} \alpha \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ Fl．et
 $x \tilde{\eta} \varepsilon$ Vi．5，xакxєía A．Apoll．Lex．Hesych．Herodian．ad A． 302 ＂$\dot{\eta}$ xoıvウ̀＂

 $\tau^{\prime} \quad$ ह́uol K．Stu．Fl．；$\chi \varepsilon \tilde{v} \varepsilon \alpha, \chi \varepsilon \tilde{v} \alpha \iota \beta$ lem．h．et $\boldsymbol{q}^{( }$Hesych．，$\chi \varepsilon \tilde{v} \sigma \alpha \iota$ M．N．Vi． 5 ，


the well known posture of a suppliant： so in Holy Scripture＂She caught him． by the feet＂，2．Kings IV．27．－ oíd $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ yçe，used（mar．）of a strong presentiment；not，however，always verified；nor，therefore，here denoting any prophetic gift in Elpenor，as now dead．
 tion to the gods＂，cf．Aschyl．Agam．
 spirit Hector，dying，warns Achilles of the profanity which he threatened in leaving his corpse to the dogs－the last and worst outrage in which enmity could indulge（mar．）．The idea of divine vengeance incurred by neglect of the rites of burial，or rather by wil－ ful denial of them，appears strongly
in Sophocl．Antig．－raseř̌ce，see on

 $\tau \varepsilon \vartheta \alpha \psi \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ ；see App．G． 3 （14）and note． －áv $\boldsymbol{\delta}$＠ós，the gen．is here in anacolu－ thia with $\mu 0 \iota$ a construction more com－ mon with participles，see on r． 483 －5．－$\dot{\varrho} \varepsilon \tau \mu \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ，it would probably be stuck in the mound as a conspi－ cuous mark of the sea－explorer lost on a foreign shore．There is an epitaph among the remains of Sapphô，Bergk． 914，as follows，

Mevíonos
หข́ртоข หаі $ห ต ́ \pi \alpha \nu, \mu \nu \eta ̃ \mu \alpha ~ и \alpha и о-~$ そotas，

where uv́otov means＂$a$ fisherman＇s （ขюıл
a 225.
b 225 ．
c． 465.
d 95 ．
e 9.505 mar ．
f $90,387,467, \Psi$
65；cf．ג． 543.
g т．394，$\varphi$ ． 220 ，
ผ．334，К． 267.
h o．356－60．
i Z．221；cf．2． 68.
k 55 mar．
1 \％．53－67，2． 49 $-50$
m 84 mar．
n $x .492$ mar．
－ 569 ；cf．A． 15. p 615.
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 lem．86．ह́s N． 87 om．Vr． $89 . \alpha ้ \sigma \sigma 0 \nu$ I．90．ह̇ $\pi i$ Eu．Fl．Ro．

81－3． －$\tau v \gamma \varepsilon @ o \tilde{z} \sigma t$ ，probably refers to the subject of the conversation，the unhappy fate of the luckless comrade； so（mar．）－عíd $\delta \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{v}$ ，see on $\delta .796$. －ayo＠cṽov，this reading has the authority of the Scholl．H．and Vulg． and of the judgment of Buttmann and Dindorf．

84－1 18．My mother＇s shade next ad－ vanced．I had left her alive in Ithaca I shed tears at the sight of her now， but kept the blood sacred to Teiresias first．His shade advanced，knew me， and spoke to me．He asked，why I had come thither？and bade me let him drink． I did so，sheathing my sword．He knew my one wish was for my return，but warned me it would be difficult through Poseidon＇s wrath．He bade me，when we should reach the Sun＇s holy isle， beware of slaughtering the sacred herds． So we might all return safe．Other－ wise I last，after all were lost，on board a foreign ship，should reach home alone，but only to find new troubles there，my house beset with suitors of my wife，and my substance eaten up－ although this last outrage $I$ should righteously avenge．

85．Autolycus and Amphitheê were
the parents of Anticleia mother of Odys．In $\tau .394$ foll．the story of the boar－hunt of Odys，with Autolycus＇sons is introduced．Autol．was king or noble in Parnesus．The locality，near Thebes， and some names in the pedigree given by the Scholl．（Hermes，Dædalion，Heos－ phorus）point to a legendary Oriental， perhaps Phœnician extraction．She died through pining for her absent son， the Scholl．say，hung herself．The expressions of Euphorbus the swine－
 к．$\tau . \lambda ., 0.359-60$ ，cf．$\lambda .202-3$ ，agree with this，although they do not strictly imply it．

88－91．वं $\lambda \lambda$＇ov่ $\delta^{\prime} \ddot{\omega}_{\xi}$ x．$\tau . \lambda$. ，this should be added to the instances of Odysseus＇strength of feeling but com－ mand over it in App．E．I（土）．－ Tعıழعбíco，see on $\varkappa .492$ ．－бrи̃л－ $\tau \varrho O v$ ，the golden sceptre is borne also by Minos among the dead，and by Chryses among the living（mar．）． It is thus the official symbol of prophet， priest，and king or judge．－${ }^{\prime \prime} \chi \omega \nu$ ， not fem．，as referred to $\psi v \chi \grave{\eta}$ ，but masc． as to the person．If compared with人́yoŋع $\tilde{v} o v, 83$ sup．，this seems a token of the living personality of the prophet

















## a $\sum .11$ ．

b ci．$\gamma, 15,5.173$ ，
८． 13, E． 129.
c $\eta .279$ mar．
। 82.
e $\gamma .19$ mar．
f $\varepsilon$ ． 86, \＆． 30.
g $\boldsymbol{\eta} \cdot 280$.
h ง． 406 mar．
i H．441，I．350）．
k ס． 511.
1 228，232，390，$\pi$ ． 441.
m A． 92 ．
n ef． $\boldsymbol{\chi}, 323$.
o x． 251 mar．
p v．342；cf．E．433，
©． 32.
q $\alpha, 69$ mar．
r $\xi .131,370, \varrho .546$ ．
s $\Gamma .159$ ，\＆． 219.
t $\boldsymbol{\varkappa}, 189$ mar．
u c． 279 mar．
v $\mu, 127, \tau, 275$.
w e． 56 ；cf．$\Psi$ ․ 850 ，
১． 135 mar．



92 om．mss．xiv（ $\alpha \beta_{\tau} \gamma$ Vi．omn．）Fl．，hab．K．M．Eu．Ro．93．vít $\tau^{\prime} \alpha v{ }^{\prime} \tau \omega$ s




 $\delta \dot{\eta}$
（ $\alpha \prime \gamma)$ Fl．，$x \varepsilon v \pi \varrho \omega ̃ \tau 0 \nu$ H．，$\delta \eta \dot{\eta} x \varepsilon$ I．；$\pi \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ N．V．lem．
of the dead．Thus he knows Odys． and addresses him first before drinking of the blood；cf．$x, 495$ and note．
94－6．vézvaç，in a general sense， ＂the dead＂，properly＂the corpses＂． This shows that a certain latitude of poetical language must be allowed in Homer＇s description of the region of Aïdes and its tenants，and does away with any pretence of distinction between
 xéa，＂dismal＂．－póayavov，the Scholl，mention a traditional notion that shades and supernatural beings feared a drawn sword．－vŋんe＠téce ع้้лн ，see App．G． 3 （15）．

100－4．Meスeךdéa，significant by contrast with $\propto \varrho \gamma \alpha \lambda$ と́ov inf．；＂you seek a happy return；a god will make it
the reverse＂．－$\lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \iota v$ ，the subject is probably $\sigma \varepsilon$ ．－ ，＂for that＂．－

 $\chi \omega$ о́неvos $x$ ．$\tau$ ．ג．，see $\iota .534-5$ and note．－ $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{x \varepsilon}$ ，the edd．before Wolf had $\mu \varepsilon ́ v \gamma \varepsilon$ ：both have mss．authority （mid．mar．），but $\chi \varepsilon$ seems required by the apodosis here，so inf．III xoí x\＆v
 of that＇．－عis＇IVथxzv，supplied i1i inf．，may be understood here．

107．Oocvaxin，the name is no doubt derived from the three－cornered form of Sicily；cf．insula natura triquetra（of Britain），Cæsar de B．G．V．13，and Shakspeare＇s＂Nook－shotten isle＂，K． Henry V．Act．III，Sc．5，of Britain．But the poet transposes his Holy Island of
a $\Theta . \bar{i} 45, \mu .128$ ， $262-3,322, \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ． $278, v .51, \psi .304$ ．
b $\mu, 323, \boldsymbol{\Gamma}, 277$ ．
с $\mu .137$.
d $x .189$ mar．
e ef．Z． 349.
f e． 173 mar．
乌゙ e．534－5．
h o． 376 ．
i $\alpha, 160$ mar．
к $\boldsymbol{y}, 378, \omega, 125$.
1 र． 216 mar．
m $\alpha$ ．295－6 mar．
n In Odys．decies， in 11．plus vicies．













108. Fípic. 115. Foíx. 117. Fźdv人.





the Sun somewhere to the N．E．，（since Thrinakiê lies not far from Circê＇s isle， which is in that quarter）retaining the name of a real island，but fitted to a fabulous conception．See further on $\mu$ ． 127，and App．C．r．Virgil gives the name Trinacria to Sicily，AEn．III． $5_{52}$ et al．， where he places also the Cyclops＇island， ib． 580 foll．－iocidéce，the shade of violet is perhaps not so much intended as the general colour of blue；cf．ió $\varepsilon \nu \tau \alpha$ $\sigma i ́ \delta \eta \varrho 0 \nu, \Psi .850$ ；since common iron， $e . g$ ．as used in hoops，will sometimes show shades of that colour．Mr．Glad－ stone III．iv． $470-\mathrm{I}$ ，thinks that，＂when we examine the poet＇s employment of cognate words，it is obvious that he can mean little more by the epithet， than to convey rather vague idea of darkness＂：see on ८． 426.

108－10．乃óá к．$\tau . \lambda .$, see App．C．1． －og $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\tau}$＇ $\boldsymbol{x}^{2} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ ．$\lambda_{\text {．，Soph．Fragm．}}$ 284 Dind，transfers the epithets to Time，

 here is subjunct．，but $\tau$ ． 374 indicat．； here its correlated forms would be éáns
 Form．§ $5^{1}$ ，
$\mathrm{II}_{3}-7 . \alpha \dot{\alpha} \dot{v} \xi n \mathrm{~s}$ ，it seems to be im－
plied，if we compare his conduct in $\mu$ ． 320－73，that he might escape，if he did not share their sacrilegious act．－

 so this is best taken here，since the conduct referred to did not begin till the last three years of his absence，and therefore was not going，on when Tei－ resias spoke this．－ $\boldsymbol{E} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{c}$ ，some of these are enumerated，robe，ear－rings， necklace，etc．in $\sigma .291$ foll．，where however they are merely called $\delta \omega \rho \alpha$ ： see App．A． 14.

118－37．He continued his prediction． I should avenge myself on the suitors， and then set off on my last voyage， taking an oar on my shoulder，till I reached men who knew neither sea， nor salt，nor ships．There a stranger would accost me and call it a win－ nowing－shovel，I was then to set up the oar，sacrifice to Poseidon，return home，sacrifice to the heavenly gods， and so amidst the happiness of my people，await my death，which was to come from the sea．

118－20．These lines clearly predict the $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \tau \eta \varrho o \varphi o v i \alpha$, of book $\chi_{\cdot, \text { ，leaving }}$ open the method，$\eta^{\eta} \dot{\varepsilon} \delta \delta^{\prime} \lambda \omega \eta \eta^{\alpha} \mu \varphi \alpha \delta o \nu$ ．









a $\psi .268-84$.
b) $125, \mu .15$.
c ef. e. 125-9.
d cf. I. 214, @. 455. e l. 84.
f ef. e. 125 mar.
g 121 mar.
h $\varphi$. 217, $\psi .73$,
$\Psi .326$.
cf. B. 33.
k $\eta .204$ mar.
1 ef. Z. 27, $\boldsymbol{\Psi} .691$.
m cf. H. 441.
n 121 mar.

$$
\text { 122. Fi } \sigma \alpha \sigma \iota . \quad \text { 124. } \gamma \varepsilon \text { Fi } \sigma \alpha \sigma \iota \text {. }
$$


 $\delta^{\prime} \beta, \tau^{\prime}$ Vi. $56, \delta^{\prime}$ et sup. $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$ I. $\quad 127 . \sigma v \mu \beta \lambda, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ G. M. Vi. 133 Eu., $\xi v \mu \beta \lambda . \beta$



On this and its consequences the conclusion of the poem depends. The sequel sketches the outline of a further final adventure, which seems feeble and almost futile, as it stands here, but which most probably was developed in a further poem perhaps by another hand; see on 134 inf. Similarly we have in $\vartheta .500$ foll. a sketch of a probably similar distinct poem on the fall and sack of Troy, and in $\gamma .130$ foll. 254 foll. there occur sketches of incidents capable of similar treatment, all suggesting the connexion of the Odyssey and Iliad with the "Epic Cycle".
121. お户̈лєєtce, this reading of crasis has a large preponderance of mss. in its favour, and seems a form to which recitation would most naturally tend. It has therefore been retained here. For similar instances see $\tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ §. 430, oṽนos $\Theta$. 360. Bekk. Hom. Blätt. p. 173 has collected a large number of others. - $\lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha} \beta \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\nu}, i$. $e$. bearing it by land, as a badge of seaadventure and insular origin. It should be noticed that, in his account of this to Penelopê, $\psi .267$ foll. Odys. inserts,
 «้vay here. It is, however, a natural inference that he would have far to go to find the place indicated.
 ance is intended as a mark of extreme remoteness from the Greek world, and
so of outer-barbarism. The Scholl. and Eustath. give a region called Bounima or Celcea as intended. Pausan. I. i 2 understood the Epirots, see also Tacit Germ. 3. We gather from the further mention of "salt" that the sea was the known source of that condiment in Homer's time - a mark of antiquity; cf. Varro's remark de $R$. $R$. II. i1, 6, melior fossilis quam marinus, as though the former denoted an advance in civilization; so the Via Salaria, Plin. XXXI. 7, 4I, was the road by which the ancient Sabines fetched their salt. Sallust, Jugurth. 89 (Ni.), speaks of a people who used no salt - a trace of barbarism. - роинголс@ñovs, ef. note on , $\mu \lambda$ -
 x. $\tau$. $\lambda_{\text {., }}$ see App. F. I (7) note *.

126-8. $\sigma \check{\eta} \mu c$, " a sign" that the end of his wandering was attained. -


 probably embodied some version of the present adventure, Soph. Fragm. 403 Dind., $\pi o ́ \delta \alpha \pi o \nu ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \delta \tilde{\omega} \rho о \nu ~ \alpha ́ \mu \varphi i ~ \varphi \alpha \iota-~$ $\delta i \mu \circ \iota \varsigma$ है $\chi \omega \nu$ юॅ $\mu \circ \iota \varsigma$; and another Fragm. of the same, cited by the Schol.

 т@ov a "stirrer of the husk"; cf.
 where the word seems to mean "gruel" or "porridge".
a f． 473 mar ．
b $\boldsymbol{\gamma}, 43 \mathrm{mar}$ ．
c ef．८． 239.
def． $\boldsymbol{\sigma} .263$.
e $\gamma .144$ mar．
f d． 479 mar．
g Z． 241.
h E．337，©． 178.
i cf．$\alpha$ ．209，$\eta$ ．30，
o． 450 ．
k $\tau .368$ ；cf．$\psi .286$.
15.2 mar．；cf．$\Sigma$ ． 434－5．
m cf．$\tau .114$.
n $\gamma .19$ mar．
















130－1．＠$\varepsilon \dot{\xi} \propto \varsigma$ ，the asyndeton here is deliberate，not metri gratia merely， as $\varrho \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \alpha_{S} \boldsymbol{\vartheta}^{\prime}$ might stand．－Пoбeı $\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\alpha}=$ $\boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\nu}$, the＂immortals who hold heaven＂ are mentioned distinctly 133 inf ．There seem to be two reasons for thus singling out Poseidon 1．）He partakes of the nature of an infernal deity，as wield－ ing the destructive powers of the sea． Thus nearly all his sea－agency in the poem is to produce storm，wreck，and disaster（ $\delta .506$ foll．，\＆． 366 foll．，v． 160 foll．）；see also note on $\gamma .6$ ，and 2．）Odyss． seems to sacrifice in expiation of the offence he had given Poseidon，and in solemn truce against his further wrath． The setting up of the oar marks sol－ emnly the spot，where the pacification of Poseidon was effected，and his own wanderings were concluded．－＂口－ $\boldsymbol{v \varepsilon \iota o v}$ r．$\tau$ ．$\lambda$ ．，Eustath．says that $\tau \varrho \iota \tau-$ uv́c was the name anciently given to such a threefold sacrifice：it is a trace of the sacredness of the number 3 ，as the trine invocation，App．C．6，and the custom of thrice calling the dead， ו．65．So in Aristoph．Plut．819－20

 rilia of the Romans is the same，sub－ stituting bull for goat．Similarly Pho－ tius（ Ni ．）cites Callimachus as describ－ ing $\tau \varrho \iota \tau \tau v \alpha \nu$ as consisting of ram，bull， and pig．－غ̇лィßท்́o＠c，cf．Theocr．

XXV．128，$\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \delta^{\prime}(\tau \alpha \tilde{v} \varrho 0 \iota)$ हُ $\pi \iota-$

 a solemn act of devotion，at which however Artemis was overlooked，oc－ curs in I． 536 foll．－$\mu$ éd $\alpha$ goes with $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \iota$ ，as in $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda_{\alpha} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha \alpha_{S} P$ ． 356 ，so $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \pi o \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}, \mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \mu \mu v$ íoı ete．

134－7．V̛́vatog，the Scholl．give a story from＂the Cycle＂，that Tele－ gonus，son of Odys．by Circê，was armed by Hephæstus with a spear pointed with the sharp fin of a mon－ strous sea－roach（ $\sigma \tau \rho \gamma \omega \nu$ ），caught by the sea－god Phorcys，with which，on landing in Ithaca in quest of his father， he unawares mortally wounded him．On this subject was the T $\eta$ deyovio of Eu－ gammon，an abridgement of which is prefixed to the Ven．Schol．p．iii；as was
 cited above on 128 ．This accords with
 with $\dot{\alpha} \beta \lambda \eta \chi \varrho o{ }_{\rho} \mu . \tau$ ．；which should mean， ＂wholly without violence＂．The words of the oracle have the obscurity common to oracles．－$\mu$ ć $\lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ ．，see on $\alpha .209$ ．
 дeлcoō̆，＂well－to－do＂，npposed to
 on $\xi^{2}$ 2．－$\lambda \alpha 0$ ö̀ ö $\boldsymbol{\beta} \iota \circ \iota$ ，a mark of royal felicity，see mar．

138－54．I told the prophet I ac－ cepted heaven＇s decree，and begged










a $\gamma .208 \mathrm{mar}$.
b 9.572 mar.
c 205 ; cf. $\varkappa .530$.
d A. 565,569 .
e cf. 425.
fo. $0.532, \pi$. 458,
P. 334; ef. e. 217
mar.
g d. 250.
h $\alpha$. 289, v. 218;
cf. $\pi .475$.
T. 121.
k $\boldsymbol{x} .530$.
$1 \% .537$.
m $\gamma$. 101, 327.
 fと́ $\pi$ og Fęé $\omega$.







him to tell me how my mother might be brought to recognize me, who sat in silence near with eyes averted. He replied, that whosoever I let drink of the blood, would speak to me and speak the truth, but none beside. With that the seer withdrew. I waited till my mother approached. She came, drank the blood, knew me, and spake.
139. غ่л $\varepsilon ่ \lambda \omega \sigma \omega \nu$, see on $\alpha$. 17-8. With this common-place remark Odys. dismisses the elaborate prophecy which he had come to seek. But although he thus lightly accepts the inevitable future and addresses himself to the immediate present - which is probably intended as characteristic of the man - he treasures up the prediction for future use, and on his return duly details it to his wife, with a somewhat solemn exordium, in $\psi .264$ foll.
 p. $227-8$, says that $x \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \varepsilon \vartheta v \eta$ ขvins is the form better supported by authority, but does not retain it in his own
 she was not conscious of his presence; which fact, however, Odys. did not realize; which accounts for his ex-
 at such strangely apathetic demeanour. This fact is not expressly stated by Teir., but must be inferred. - $\mu \varepsilon$ avarvoin, the hiatus is commonly found with the penthemimeral cæsura, when of the "bucolic" form, i.e. after a trochee. Spitzner de V. Her. p. 143 -4 has collected a large number of Homeric examples of this usage. -
 see mar.: the explanation of Faesi, making tòv a predicate, which he
 $\varepsilon^{\prime \prime} \mu \mu \varepsilon v \alpha \iota, \pi$. 475 , seems unsuitable: rovs is in that passage defined by what precedes, as "the persons expected or spoken of", whereas there is nothing similarly to define $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ here.

146-8. enidiov, this adj. is especially used of the facility arising from supernatural power, or here, knowledge; cf. $\pi$. 211, @ $\eta i \delta \iota o ́ v \gamma \varepsilon$






a c． 524 mar．
b $\eta .259$ mar．
c A． 140, E． 798.
d $P .84$ ．
e $x .418$.
f т．492，$\chi .486$ ， $\psi .70,105, \omega$ ． 478，A． 414.
g 154，v．241，M． 240，О．191，Ф． $56, \Psi^{\Psi} .51$.
h $x .305$.
i $\boldsymbol{\Phi} .282$.












 adnotantur；nisi fort． $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$ と́deıs of leg．sit）． $157-9 . \dagger$ G．H．V．［］Wo．Bek．Di． os öv
 $\tilde{\eta}$
$\beta$ ；$\varepsilon \sigma \tau i$ mss．vii（Vi．omn．）；$\pi \varepsilon \varrho \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha \iota$ M．
accord．to Buttm．Lexil．21，a fut． formed from aor．$\eta^{\prime} \nu \iota \sigma \pi 0 \nu$ or हैvionov．
 Schol．H．is corrupted here．It stands at present oṽ゙
 $\pi \alpha \dot{\lambda} \not \iota \nu$ ő $\pi \iota \sigma \vartheta \varepsilon \nu$ ．The spaced words
 véo was the reading which the Schol． was explaining ；and possibly this read－ ing may have had its origin in the ＂grudging＂silence which Ajax observes inf． $5^{563}$ ．It is possible，however，that we should read in the Schol．itself ov－

 （9）（10）．

154－79．She asked how I，a living man，had come thither，across those fearful rivers－Ocean especially，and that on foot．Was I wandering still， nor yet had seen my home and wife？ I replied，I had come，whither des－ tiny led me，to consult Teiresias，I was a wanderer stili，nor had touched my native shore since I left it for the Trojan war．I asked how she had died －through sickness，or the shaft of Artemis？I enquired after my father and my son，was my royalty safe with them，or was I deemed dead，and was
another in my place？I asked too， how had my wife determined－to wait and ward my house and heir，or to wed some noble Achæan？
 ther brink of the Ocean－stream lay outside the course of the sun and par－ took of the gloom of the Cimmerians， probably，deepening in intensity towards the region of Aïdes；hence Gopos $\boldsymbol{\eta} \varepsilon \rho$ ． is a metonym for the place of the dead，see App．G． 3 （8）（9）．But the word そógos need not be understood as de－ noting a westerly direction，unless directly contrasted with $\eta^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\boldsymbol{\tau}}$ ท̉غ́дıóv $\tau \varepsilon$ ，as in $\nu .240-1$ ．The lines 157－9 are rejected by the Scholl．The re－ mark of 159 is void of point，since she must have well known that he took 12 ships to Troy，and even speaks of his ship in 16r，as the possible means of his wandering thither；nor does his reply at all notice this part of her short speech．－ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ，the word is not superfluous，although lacking any completing phrase as $\delta \varepsilon v i \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ etc．The incompleteness of the expression makes it perhaps more forcible，as implying that none are worthy to be mentioned by the side of Ocean；it is＂first＂and last．

















a e． 50 mar．
b e． 279 mar．
c c． 259.
d $\zeta .206$.
e t． 173 mar．
f 166.
¢）$\delta .312$ mar
h $x$ ．491－2 mar．
i 481－2．
k v．249，$\varphi$ ．107； cf．$\varphi \cdot 251$.
1 cf．Ө．178，К． 448.
m cl．$\delta .521$.
n 620－1，9．529，$\eta$ ．
211，छ． 415.
o A． 6.
р ПІ． 576 ．
q $\beta .18$ mar．
r $\alpha .224$ mar．
s 398；cf．A． 228.
i $\gamma .238$ mar．
u 199，о． 408.
v $\zeta .102$ mar．
w $\boldsymbol{\gamma} .280$ mar．
x сf．458，492－4．
у 0． 522 ；cf． 495 ．

 $\nu \tilde{v} v \mathrm{~A} ., \ddot{\eta}, \delta \dot{\eta} \nu \tilde{v} v$ Vi． 50 ，$\delta \dot{\eta}$ om．$\alpha$ Vi．5， 56 ．${ }^{161-2 .} \dagger$ Aristoph．，h．




 $\eta^{\prime} \varepsilon ́ \tau \iota$ Vi．${ }^{5}$ 0．Eu．Fl．Ro．

160－2．Vクi．．．èt é＠oוб८，＂ship＂and ＂crew＂are viewed as one，jointly form ing the means of his coming；so mar．－ лodv่v дœóvov should be joined with $\alpha^{\alpha} \lambda \omega^{\prime} \mu \varepsilon v o s$. His coming to that remote and forlorn region leads her to sup－ pose what was the fact，that he has wandered ever since he left Troy．
 cited in a parodistic way by Lucian， Necyom．p．225．义ৎعt $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ does not seem to mean any overpowering external force，like that of destiny（ $\mu$ oi $\rho \alpha$ ），but the obligation to act in a particular way，which arises from a sense of interest．He expects to derive ad－ vantages from consulting Teires． 479
－80，and does in fact depart with the knowledge of the conditions of his return，and of the state of things to be expected in his house．－عび̈x $\omega$－ $\lambda \boldsymbol{V}$ ，only found as epith．of Ilion；cf．
 same element in each，$\pi \tilde{\omega} \lambda o s$ ，＂foal＂， seems to mark Asiatic soil as the best known nursery of horses．
 probably here in the original sense ＂fatal blow＂；so xoleòs raípıos；cf．
 see on $\gamma .280$ mar．；＂or the shafts of Artemis，Silently falling in a sweet death－rain＇＂，Worsley．－子غ́gés，see
a A． 316 ．
b $\beta .238, \alpha .189$.
c $\alpha .36$, A． 242, I． 399， 556.
it $\beta .281$ mat．
e ア． 227 mar．
f H． 50 ．
g x． 503.
h $\zeta .154$ mar．
i $\boldsymbol{\alpha} .46$ mar．
$\mathrm{k} \delta$ ． 447 mar．
I $\gamma .360$ mar．
m v． $337-8, \pi .38$
n $\bar{\Omega}^{9 .} 745$, छ． 93
○ cf．$\tau .513-5, v$ ． 84－5．
p $\mu .301$, §．167， $\pi .314, ~ \varrho .478$ ， I． 376 ．
${ }^{1}$ I $\boldsymbol{M} .313, \boldsymbol{Y} .184$.
r 9.98 mar．
s $\eta .50$ mar．
1 K． 146.
и A． 238.
v a． 374 mar．
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176．ov̉x हैँı I．178．$\pi \dot{\alpha} \varrho$ G．（post hunc addunt cum aliqua var．I．distichon a $\tau .{ }^{26}-7$ petitum $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ G．I．Vr．Vi． 50 et in mar．H．）．179．グ Eu．FI．Ro． 183.

 §． 54 Hesych．Eu．h．et q．lem．Fl．Ro．；$\tau \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon ́ v \varepsilon \alpha$ Aristar．，h．
on $\eta$ ． 1 50，＂royalty＂．－vé $\varepsilon \sigma \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{\kappa}$ ， see on $\beta .230-8$ ．－ $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \propto \varrho \dot{\alpha}$ ，＂to watch over＂；since，if she remarried，she would go to her new husband＇s house leaving Telem．，scarcely yet twelve years old，in his own．－ém $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$甲v $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}$ ，＂to keep in their places＂．

180－209．＂Thy wife＂，she replied， ＂abides in thy house patient but sor－ ＂rowful．Thy royalty is not usurped， ＂for Telemachus administers it and ＂holds its privileges．Thy father，winter ＂and summer alike，lives aloof from ＂the city，on rustic fare and poorly ＂clad，like a household serf，through ＂longing for thee．Similar was my ＂hapless lot；not the arrow of the Ar－ ＂temis，nor sickness slowly wasting ＂brought me hither；but my despond－ ＂ing yearnings for thee，my son＂．

She spake．I thrice strove to em－ brace her；thrice she eluded，shadow－ like，my grasp．With a sore heart I spake again．

181－6．rai $\lambda i \eta v$ ，see on $\alpha .46$ ．－
 see on $\eta$ ． 150 ；＂royalty＂；and cf．Hy．

 by referring to $\alpha \cdot 374, \vartheta, 38, v .23, \delta \alpha$ ĩ $\alpha$, S
$\alpha^{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon \gamma v{ }^{2} v \varepsilon \nu \nu$ seems to mean，＂to frequent， or be a guest at，feasts＂．$\alpha \lambda \varepsilon \gamma$ ．in other uses，is merely to＂bestow care on＂，＂care for＂；cf．$\alpha^{\alpha} \gamma \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ है $\wp \gamma$＂$\alpha^{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon-$ $\gamma \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v} \varepsilon \iota v$, Hy．Ven．II．The explana－ tion given here，$\pi \alpha \dot{v} \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho$ кадદ́ov－ $\sigma \iota v$ means，that he was freely invited， i．e．by the neighbouring princes，＂re－ ceived＂，as we say，＂in society＂．The suitors，it should be observed，had not yet set up their scene of licensed revelry in Odysseus＇palace．They therefore，or some of them，may be included among the $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \varepsilon \varepsilon$ here．Ni．，
 úd $\varepsilon$ ov Ф人i $\eta$ uss $\alpha \gamma \alpha v o l$ ，and to $\Gamma$ ． 25 ，suggests that，＂invite him＂or rather＂desire him＂to perform royal
 the sense，and that the banquets were regarded in the nature of fees for judic－ ature；cf．$\lambda \iota \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \dot{\varsigma} \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ́ o v \sigma \iota ~ จ ย \varepsilon ์ \mu \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \varsigma, ~$ 1．155．Not satisfied，however，with this he inclines to regard the word $x \alpha \lambda$ ． as corrupt，and suggests that rouغं－ ovat，in sense of＂foster and tend＂， may be read．But $x \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ́ o v a \iota ~ m a y ~ w e l l ~$ refer to complimentary invitations，re－ cognizing the youth＇s rank and pro－ spective royalty，rather than the dis－ charge of its actual functions．Eustath．













a $\zeta .55$ ；cf．X． 498.
b そ． 245 mar．；cf． c． 29.
c $\boldsymbol{\alpha} .189 \mathrm{mar}$ ．
d $\delta .301, \tau .317, v$ ． 139.
e $\gamma .349$ mar．
f $\zeta .38$ mar．
g ท． 153.
h $\xi, 506$, ข． 95 ；
cf．$\pi .210$.
i t． $72 .{ }^{1}$
k $\mu .76, \xi, 384$ ．
l $\alpha .193$.
m cf．ع． $482-3$ ．
n cf．$\varkappa .243, \xi .15$ ，
II． 235.
o B．694，ミ． 461 ．
p P． 139.
q ©．103，${ }^{\boldsymbol{I}} .623$.
r d． 562 mar．
s $\zeta .102$.
t $\gamma .280$ mar．

 191．xóvı a b．h．lem．Eu．Ro．，xóvé M．N．Stu．Vi，iii A．a man． 2 V．lem．Fl．，



 Apoll．Lex．196．rótuov yoóco \＆ $\boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ h．lem．A．H．I．M．Vi．omn．et var． 1.







 course possible to drop $\delta \iota \sim \alpha \sigma \pi \dot{\partial} \lambda_{0} ._{\ldots}$ ． rodéova ，and read continuously $\propto \varsigma$


187－94．avxó9，＂in the same place＂，where he was wont to，＂on the spot＂as we say；defined by $\alpha \gamma \varrho \omega$
 блє́ббь $\gamma \lambda \alpha \varphi v \varrho о$ йбь，८．30．Compare the account given of old Laërtes in $\alpha$ ．189－93．－Ev́vai in plur．，of one person＇s bed，is rare，probably denoting habitual place of resting，cf．ö＇v८ $\varphi \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{i}$ Tvゆю
 the passages referred to in mar．To all these subjects $\varepsilon v v^{\prime} \boldsymbol{i} i$ is the predicate． －$\chi \varepsilon i ̈ \mu c$, of duration．－лv＠òs，see App．F． 2 （20）（end）．－о́лшод ，see on E．328．－yoũvov，see on $\alpha .193$. －$\varphi \dot{u} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ ，the priests of Zeus at

Dodona，the earliest anchorites，are called $\chi \alpha \mu \alpha \iota \varepsilon v ข \nu \iota$ and Odys．，when shelterless and naked，makes a bed of leaves（mar．）．These are the only Homeric parallels；and they heighten by their exceptional character the self－ imposed mortification of old Laërtes．
 great majority of mss．have the other reading aó $\boldsymbol{\tau} \mu$ ov yoómv $(X, 363)$ or one compounded of the two．But the Schol． H．states that the better（ $\chi \propto \rho \iota \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \varepsilon \varrho \alpha \iota$ ） copies had vóorov $\pi \circ \vartheta$ ．，which makes this consensus of less value：also oos $\tau \varepsilon \pi$ пóvos 202 is more in keeping with this reading．－$\chi \propto \lambda \varepsilon \pi \dot{o} \boldsymbol{v} \delta^{\prime}$ ，the $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ has here the force of $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ．－ixcivet， ＂is come upon him＂．－Ioxéथça， the epith．here becomes a nom．prop．，
 this last $\varepsilon$ vøronos is also an epith．in sense of＂sharp－sighted＂：it here means
173.
b cf． $0.354, \boldsymbol{H} .131$ ， N． 672.
e $\boldsymbol{P} .678$, A．381，
X． 68.
d T．321，336－7，
e $\boldsymbol{x} .251$ mar．
i $\Omega .772$ ；ci． $\boldsymbol{r}$ ． 167.
－K．445，P． 17.
h $\beta .93$ mar．
i 141 mar．
k ef．$\Sigma .282$.
1 o． $395, \varphi$ ．194；
cf．८． 278.
m $\boldsymbol{N}$ ． 330 ．
n 222.
0 cf．B．71，ס． 831 $-9$.
p T． 125, X． 425.
y E． 284 mar．
r $\alpha .346$ mar．
s $\boldsymbol{Y}^{5}$ ．97－9．
I d． 103 mar．

| 14 | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- |


200













203．$\mu \varepsilon \lambda \imath F \eta \delta \delta^{\prime} \alpha$ ．209．F＇$\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \alpha$ ．21I．＇AFí $\delta \alpha 0$ ．
200．ov̋tย́ $\tau \iota$ Vi．omn．I．K．Eu．Ro．；$\alpha \tilde{v}^{*}$ pro oṽv var．l．A．a man．rec． 202.
 suspicari licet：$\mu \varepsilon \lambda \iota \eta$＇тo＠$\alpha$ a sed in mar．nostr．；$\alpha \pi \eta \tilde{v} \varrho \alpha$ A．Vi． 56 Apoll．Lex．






＂sure－aiming＂．－voñoos，she did not pine away（as the next line shows is the meaning）through slow sorrow， but laid violent hands on herself：see above on 85 ．
 for thee＂，so（mar．）$\sigma \tilde{\eta} \pi o \vartheta \tilde{\eta}, \varepsilon \mu \dot{\eta} v$ « $\gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda i \eta \nu$ ；cf．Anacreon Fragm．Bergk，

 aor．of indefinite frequency，$\mu \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \alpha \tau^{3}$
 vopooбvivn，＂tender feeling＂．The var．l．of $\mathbf{v}$ is noticeable $\sigma \tilde{\eta} \tau^{3} \alpha^{3} \gamma \alpha-$ vop＠oбv่v $\eta$ ，adapted no doubt to a reading $\alpha \pi \eta \dot{v} \rho \omega v$ ，of which there is now no other known trace．It is prob－ ably founded on the legend that she ＂took away her own life＂，and on a desire to find this verbatim in the poet．
 followed，AEn．II．792－4，Ter conatus
ibi collo dare brachia circum，etc．，and V．740，Dixerat，et tenues fugit，ceu fu－ mus，in auras．－ovvioc，see on $\delta .796$. Here Worsley has，
＂Thrice ll essayed with eager hands outspread， Thrice like a shadow or a dream she fled， And my palms closed on unsubstantial air＂．
210－24．I asked her why she thus evaded my embrace，nor let me so far enjoy even sorrow？Was it some phantom that Persephonê had sent to baffle my woe？＂No＂，she said，＂it ＂is no such illusion．But thus are all ＂the dead．Their substance has passed ＂in the funeral flame away，their soul， ＂like a dream，has taken wing．But ＂speed thee back to light，and tell thy ＂wife of all＂．
 lots is understood（mar．）．－tevcco－ $\pi \omega \mu \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \alpha$ ，see on $\delta_{,} 103$ ，and cf．$\tau$ ．













a d． 796 mar．
b 226,635 ．
c $226,635$.
d t． 13 mar．
e 5． 154 mar．
f A． 414.
f $v .33$ ．
he．160， 339.
i $\boldsymbol{\gamma}, 337$, 夕． 308.
k ס． 691 mar．
1 e． 293 mar．
m cf． $\boldsymbol{\Psi} \boldsymbol{\Psi} .191 .2$
n Z． 182.
－$\tau, 39, \nu, 25, \boldsymbol{K}$ ． 246．A． 596.
p cf．E．391，Ф．52， 270，＝． 199.
q $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\text {．}}$ 455，M．М．386， II． $743, \boldsymbol{Y} .406$ ． r $\alpha .161$ mar．
s 207；cf．य／ 100.
l B． 90.
u e． 451.
v B． 485 ．





 Vi． 133 v．lem．Fl．，$\delta \alpha \mu \tilde{\kappa} \alpha, \delta \alpha \mu \nu \tilde{\alpha}$ ह̇ $\pi \varepsilon i$ Ptolem．Ascalon，$\delta \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \iota \check{\omega}_{s}^{\prime}$ Cra－
 ＠ov Eu．Ro．223．甲óws d̀̀ A．Vi． 56 Eu ．，甲র́os $\delta \grave{\varepsilon} \mathrm{H}$ ．ex em．man．1，甲 $\alpha o s$

 on $\delta .796$ and App．G． 3 （12）．－áycù̀， see on $x$ ．49， 1 ．

216－9． $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \mu \mu \sigma \varrho \varepsilon$ ，an especial epith． of Odys．（mar．）see also หєĭvov ỏïoućvך то̀ $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \mu \mu о \rho о \nu, \beta .35 \mathrm{r}$ ，and note．－ av́rそ，＂this＂，viz．what you mur－
 $\beta \varrho o t \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$ x．$\tau$ ．$\lambda$ ．，on all this passage see App．G． 3 （ii）（iz）－ìves èxovatv， ＂muscles enwrap＂，but on this phy－ sical basis the more abstract notion of substance distending seems built．

221－2．$\delta \alpha \mu \nu \bar{\alpha}$ ，of $\delta \alpha \mu \nu \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \omega$ we have also $\delta \dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \alpha \varepsilon \delta \dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \alpha, 3$ sing．imperf． and $\delta \alpha \mu \nu \hat{\tilde{c}} 2$ sing．pres．mid．（mar．）， also $\delta \dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \kappa \sigma \kappa \varepsilon$, Hy．Ven．251．The other forms are fr．$\delta \alpha ́ \mu \nu \eta \mu \iota$ or $\delta \alpha \mu \alpha \dot{c} \omega$（－óш）．
 pres．force merely；but to express a continued state（ $\alpha \pi \sigma \pi \tau \alpha \mu_{\text {；}}$ ，instant－ aneous），as in $B .90$ ，$\alpha i i^{\mu \varepsilon} \nu \tau^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\prime} \nu \vartheta \alpha$ $\ddot{z}^{\prime} \lambda \iota s \pi \varepsilon \pi 0 \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \alpha \alpha \iota$ ，$\alpha \dot{\prime} \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \varepsilon$ हैv $v \alpha$ ，so also in later poets，$\Sigma$ vevío yóe rıs


 Here Worsley has，
＂On the bier
All substance was burnt out by force of fire， When first the spirit，her cold flight to steer， Left the white bones，and fluttering from the pyre，
Straight to these shadowy realins did like a dream retire＂．
223－4．2eגcieo，the omission of any verb of motion，as lévoct，or the like， adds great energy to the expression； cf．$\sigma \tau \alpha \vartheta \mu$ о́v $\delta \varepsilon \lambda \iota \lambda \alpha$ í $\alpha \iota \alpha \pi \sigma \nu \varepsilon ์ \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$ ，九．451．－＂̈न $9 \cdot$ ，＂give heed to＂，so perhaps in B． 485 ，$\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \varepsilon$ í $\tau \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ $\pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \alpha$ ．Ni．refers to Soph．Elec． 40
 عid̀os $\dot{\eta} \mu i \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon i \lambda \eta s ~ \sigma \alpha \varphi \tilde{\eta}$ ，Theog．31，
 that $z^{\prime \prime} \sigma \vartheta \vartheta^{\prime}$ may have stood in the original text，for $\begin{gathered}\text { z̈ } \sigma \tau \alpha \iota \\ \text { elided．Thus the sense }\end{gathered}$ would be，＂it shall be that hereafter thou shalt tell etc．＂But this seems wretchedly tasteless and jejune．Here the first Act as it were of the vexvio may be said to end；see App．G． 3 （8）．The next consists of a review of the ladies
a 81 .
b. 214 mar.
c 213 mar .
(1) 339.
e 36 mar.
$i \gamma .412$ mar.
$\because$ if. 36,42 .
is $\ell .420$.
i §. 137 ; ef. $\times .14$,
e. $70,2.511$.
k e. 318 mar.
1 x. 439 mar.
ill II. 4i3.
ก 49, 88, $\pi .361-2$.
(1) $\mu .110$.

1) 36 mar.
${ }^{1}$ (p. $230 ; c \boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{E}, 141$.
r cl. $\alpha .216, \tau .166$.
-209.
$1 \beta .120$.
u o. $225, \boldsymbol{Y} .206$;
if. $\gamma, 123$.












 235. Fídov.
233. F\&หผ́бтๆ.
234. Fóv.
$\pi$

 133. 232. $\pi \iota v \varepsilon ์ \varepsilon \iota \nu$ Vi. 56,5 Ro., $\pi i v \varepsilon \iota v \propto \beta \gamma$ A. H. a man. 1 I. K. M. N.




 236. $\dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha \sigma \vartheta \dot{\alpha} \lambda o v$ pro $\alpha \mu v ́ \mu o v o s ~ n o n n u l l i, ~ h . ; ~ ह ै ं \gamma \gamma o v o s ~ V i . ~ 5, ~ 56 . ~ . ~$
of the past ages, as shown by the first being the mother and the fifth the wife of Nestor. Their connexion is either with the Trojan, Athenian, or Theban legend.

225-59. Our talk ended. Then appeared at Persephonê's behest the ladies of the elder time, swarming round the blood. I contrived - for so it seemed best - that each should tell her tale in turn. First came Tyrô, Salmoneus' daughter, Cretheus' wife, who loved the river-god Enipeus, but was herself loved and beguiled by Poseidon in his form. The river heaped its waves to shelter their embraces. The god gave her joy of her love and promised her fair offspring; then told his name and bade her not reveal it. She bare him twins, Pelias and Neleus, besides three sons to her own husband.

233-4. $\pi \varrho о \mu \nu \eta \sigma \tau \pi v a \ell$, the Scholl. give $\pi \varrho 0-\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \omega$ as the etymol., as if $\pi \varrho о \mu \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \sigma \tau i v \alpha \iota . \quad \varphi ., 230, \pi \varrho о \mu \nu \eta \sigma \tau$ ข०८ $\varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon ่ \lambda \vartheta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon, \mu \eta \delta{ }^{\circ} \alpha \mu \alpha \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \varepsilon \varsigma$, shows
that "one after another" is the sense. - öv yóvov, this confirms the notion that some older genealogical poem was the material whence this part of the book was made up. The scantiness of the dramatic element in it, being limited to $247-53$, seems to suggest the same origin. The ladies are supposed to answer to Odysseus' questions, but there is no form of interrogation or reply.

235-7. $\Sigma \alpha \lambda \mu \omega \nu \tilde{\eta}{ }^{2}$, the legends connected with him have a double site, in Thessaly (Iolchos), and in Elis. In each was a river Enipeus, and in the latter a town Salmonê upon it. This seems to show a migration of a tribe, probably part of the Dorian-Heraklid movement, from one site to the other. Those who think the Homeric poems older than this movement will suppose the earlier territory of the tribe, and its river, intended here. Salmoneus at all events had not in Homer's time acquired the notoriety for impious presumption which we find in Virg.







## a 258.

b）Z． 154 ．
c cf．II．175－7，
क．142－3．
d ゆ．158；cl． $\boldsymbol{B}$ ． 850.
e A． 490 ，E． 788.
〔 $\ln$ ゆ．seplies．
g．と． 24 mar．
h $\boldsymbol{N} .43,59,677$ ， O． $222,=355$ ， 4． 584.
i \＆． 453 mar．
k B． 515 ；cf， 30 f．
1 ＠． 490 ；cf．ゆ゙． 603.


 133 N．Eu．Fl．Ro．Ern．242． $\boldsymbol{\pi}$＠oхóos V．lem．

Fin．VI． 585 foll．expressly connected with him and Elis．－K＠ŋๆ no c，brother of Salmoneus（Schol．）；cf．Pind．Pyth． IV．253－4，$\mu$ íc ßойs $K \varrho \eta$ ๆ $\varepsilon i \tau \varepsilon \mu \dot{\alpha}-$
 Catal．Fragm．XXXII．Goëttl．，mentions them with Athamas，Sisyphus，and Perieres，as Æolids and＂kings with jurisdiction＂（け\＆цıбтолóloı）．
 и．$\tau . \lambda$ ．，if these three verses be re－ tained，$\tau \tilde{\omega}$ of V． 241 refers to Enipeus； omitting them，to Cretheus，and the legend then resembles that of Zeus and Alcmenê．It may be noted that noth－ ing turns on her love for the Enipeus， save the opportunity which Poseidon derived from it，and which thus is not indispensable．It seems therefore likely that $238-40$ are due to some different development of the legend，although not necessarily by another hand，see vol．I，Pref．，Part I．x．In Il．（mar．） are two examples of amours with river－ gods，of which Menesthius，a nephew of Achilles，and Asteropæus，an ally of Priam，are respectively the off－ spring，and so Antiopê in 260 inf．is a daughter of the Asopus．The rivers have so far a distinct divine person－ ality in H．，that they are spoken of as attending the synod of Olympus in $\Upsilon .7$ ， and addressed with vows and prayers， $\Psi .144$ foll．So also Scamander in Ф． 324 foll．fights personally，and is overcome by Hephæstus．Horace Odes III．vii． 23 ，has borrowed the name Enipeus for one of the personce of his
lighter lyric strain．－＇Eviñ̃os，be－ sides the two mentioned in the last note，there was a third river of this name in Pieriê，having its rise in the highest part of Olympus，and mentioned by Livy，XLIV．8．This，however，is out of the question here：and since both of the others flow，not into the sea，but into the Peneius and Alpheius respectively，the expression $\varepsilon v \pi \varrho о-$ $\chi \circ \tilde{\eta} S$ лог $\alpha \mu \circ \tilde{v}$, inf． 242 ，implying，as it seems to do，access from the sea， （see note there，）less easily fits them； －a further token that 238 － 40 does not suit the present text．Ovid Met． I． 579 calls the Thessalian stream， irrequietus Enipeus．
 Scholl．raise the question how this praise is to be reconciled with the similar praise of the Axius（mar．）＇A $\xi$ iov
 and（besides suggesting a different reading there，which seems trivial，） adduce the similar praise，＂fairest of Priam＇s daughters＂，bestowed on Lao－ dicê and on Cassandrê（Z．252，N．365）． The poetic praises of beauty are surely not limited by such narrow canons as to imply any real discrepancy．The Scholl．justly add，that the praise of the Enipeus here is virtually given by the lady who loved him．－ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ ，see the last note．－$\dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v} \pi \varrho \circ \chi \circ \hat{n} s$, as most accessible to the god of the sea．It is possible that some sudden influx of the sea owing to a submarine eartli－ quake－such as is usually ascribed
a A． 482 ，ゆ． 326 ；
cf．ゆ． 240 ．
b cf．Ф． 239.
c $\beta .395$ mar．
¿ $\beta .302$ mar．，$\gamma$ ．
374 mar．
e $v .5!$ ，К． 462 ；cf． v． 358 ．
f $\alpha .16$ mar．
© $285, \xi_{.} 2 \because 3, \boldsymbol{B} .871$ ，
․ 337，is． 23.
h $\delta .352, \tau .314$ ；cf． ข．227， 264.
ع． 182 mar．
k o 450，б． 323 ； （f．II．191，Л． 60.
1 O． 175 ；cf．x． 320.
$\mathrm{m} \chi .411$, A． 214.
＂ $\boldsymbol{B} .488$.
－$\alpha$ ． 74 mar．











243．Fígov．246．FÉgy人．247．Fou Férog．
 $\gamma$ St．Vr．Fl．${ }_{2} 45 . \dagger$ Aristar．，h．［］Wo．Bek．Di．＂$\alpha \gamma \nu 0 \varepsilon i$ ròv $\sigma \tau i \neq 0$ Z $\eta$－
 Zenod．，h．；$\dot{\nu} \varepsilon \mu \omega^{\prime} \not \iota \circ \iota$ var．1．h．
to the action of Poseidon－may have given a basis of physical fact to this part of the legend．Such might raise a wave oveqï ívov．The tidal action is too slight on this coast to have so served．See however the difficulty noticed towards the end of the last note．－лотсной，＂$a$ river＂，if 238 － 40 be detached．－ле＠ıбtó $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \eta$ ，i．e． by the agency of Poseidon．Cf．Virg． Geor．IV．361，Curvata in montis faciem circumstetit unda．Retaining 238－40 the river－god would seem to have been passive in the matter．The Scholl． suggest that Poseidon rivalled and flouted（ $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \tilde{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha$ ）him；but this seems to build more on the text than it will bear．

245－7．Zenod．＂knew not＂this line， i．e．rejected it．It was also disallowed by Aristar．，（ $\alpha \mathfrak{j} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau \tau \alpha \iota)$ ．If anywhere it should seem to come in better after 242．Supposing the facts related in order，she was already the wife of Cretheus，and the expressions in this verse seem unsuited：cf．Hy．Ven．164，


 objects to the latter part of the verse． But the causing slumber is probably to enable the god to resume his own form．This is not stated，but as he declares his name，it is highly suit－ able；and in the account of the con－
duct of Aphroditê，Hy．Ven． 1 ㄱo，＇$A \gamma$－
 this is what the deity actually does． and then awakens Anchises．－ $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \tilde{v}$ $\chi \varepsilon$ œœ̀ $x . \tau$ ．$\lambda$ ．，see on $\gamma$ ． 374 ．
 ＂have joy by thy love＂．See mar．for similar constructions；the $\delta$ following is＝$\gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho$ ，explaining the＂joy＂．－ Evicuto ve， 10 Iunar periods most nearly coincide with the period of gestation； and this，besides the inherent tendency to select the base ten，probably caused ten such periods to be rated as a year， the surplus being made up by inter－ calation．Such a defective year may be understood here．See，however，on $x .5$ ． It is not，however，necessary to under－ stand $\pi \varepsilon \varrho \iota \pi \lambda o \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v$ of＂completed＂， but＂in course of completion＂；$;=$＂ere the year is out＂．Cf．$\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\alpha} \mu \mu \varepsilon$,

 for the hiatus see on 143 sup．，for the synizesis see Spitzn．de V．Her．VI． § 2 ，who notes it as most frequent in $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \varepsilon, \delta \dot{\eta}$ and $\eta$ ：see also mar．－ć $\kappa \pi-$甲úдсои，see on $\varepsilon$ ． 182 ．

251－2．＂$\sigma \chi \varepsilon O$ ห．च．$\lambda$ ．，so in Hy． Ven． 286 foll．the goddess bids Anchises not disclose her name，threatening him with the wrath and thunder of Zeus． －coí，＂I tell you＂or＂know that I am＂．








a d． 425 mar．
b）Z． $26, \boldsymbol{Y} .225$ ．
e cf．B． $110, \boldsymbol{Z}, 67$ ，
Н．382，©． 79.
d $\delta .27$ mar．
e cf．I．154， 296.
f $\boldsymbol{\alpha} .93$ mar．， $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ ．
326 mar．
g $\Omega .257$.
h A． 383 ，K． 287.

253．F\＆ı $\boldsymbol{2} \omega \dot{v} v .260$ ．Fídov．

 $\lambda \lambda \rho$


253－5．víṡ สóv七ov，although within the river，he was at its mouth， and the words are easily understood of a plunge seawards．－Эعழáлоขtと Aiog，the phrase here only occurs in H．Warriors are repeatedly $\boldsymbol{\imath} \varepsilon \rho \alpha$－ $\pi o v \tau \varepsilon s$＂A＠クos（mar．）．In Hes．Theog．
 $\pi \omega \nu$ ，cf．Theogn． 769 ；and in Pind．



256－9．Hedins，called by Pindar
 $\mu o v \gamma \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \alpha \alpha^{\circ}$ ．His grandson，Eumelus，is a leader of troops from Pheræ in Thessaly in the Catalogue B．715；cf． ס．798．Pelies is also named，Hes． Theog．994－6，as imposing toilsome labours on Iason，whose son Euneüs was still king in Lemnos at the time of the war，H．468．Thus we have a connexion between the Argonautic and Trojan legends；for which see also $\mu$ ． 72．Of Neleus，father of Nestor，we have repeated mention in Il．and Od． －Buбideuc $\gamma v v a \iota \sigma \tilde{v}$ ，this title is found in H．only here，although we have many mentioned who are at once daughters and wives of $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \tilde{\eta} \varepsilon \xi$ ．－
 ＇Auv9riová 9 ＇，these two are men－ tioned only here in H．；ef．Pind．Pyth．

 ＇$A \mu v$ vの $\alpha$ ．

260－8o．Then came Antiopê whom Zeus had loved．Her sons Amphion and Zethus built and fortified Thebes．

Then Alcmene wife of Amphitryon， also loved by Zeus，and mother of Herakles．Then Megarê daughter of Creion，whom Amphitryon＇s son wedded． Then Epicastê，mother of Edipus，who did great sin and knew it not，marry－ ing herr own son，the lord of the Cad－ meians．The gods discovered her guilt， and in despair she hung herself；where－ upon the Erinyes wrought her son woe．

260．＇Avtionvv，in this and the two following we have a Theban group of legends，the oldest extant，and in their simplest form．Since Amphion and Zethus first fortified Thebê（Thebes）， they are older in legend than the Theban war，waged by the sons of Edipus．The story of Amphion as re－ ceiving a lyre from Hermes，and the stones rising in obedience to its strains （Movit Amphion lapides canendo，Hor． Carm．III．xi．2，cf．de Art．P．394－5） is of later origin．A similar subsequent amplification is that of the two brothers having opposite tastes，the one refined， the other rustic，alluded to by Hor． Epist．I．xviii．41，Apollod．III．v． 5 ． Another Antiopê，wife of Eurytus（Hes． Catal．Fragm．LXX．6，Goëttl．），and a third，an Amazon of the Theseïd legend， should be distinguished from this one， who seems also alluded in another Hes．Fragm．LXXVIII．ib，as born at Hyriê in Bœotia．For the further legends connecting her with Dircê and her sons，see Smith＇s Biogr．Dict．s．v． Amphion and Z．enjoyed in Boentia a consideration similar to that of Castor
a $268,=213$.
b 307 ，X． 46 ；ef． 2． 299.
c ef． 283.
11 A． 406 ；cf．v． 344.
e cf．$Z .416$.
f $\delta .635$ ．
و．阝． 120 ，Е． 323 ，
T．99， 119.
h E． 392.
i $\boldsymbol{E} .639$ ．
k J． 724 mar．








266．Fídov．

 H．suprascr．Vr．V．et m．lem．sic Aristoph．，h．，$\mu \varepsilon v \boldsymbol{\alpha} \beta$ ． 267. и＠$\alpha \varepsilon$ ¢ó－甲＠ove N．Vr．Vi． 50 var．1．m．
and Polydeuces at Sparta，and are like them called Dioscuri；cf．Eurip． Phoen．147，Herc．Fur． 29 foll．，and，as in the case of the latter twins，one of them，Zethus，was ascribed to a mortal sire，Epopeus；see Pausan．II．6． 2. Similarly Herakles and Iphicles are twin sons of Alcmenê by an immortal and mortal sire respectively；Schol． V．on 266 inf ．Amphion is said to have been also the husband of Niobê．
 somewhat as Theseus was said to have done for the Athenians，Thucyd．I． 6. Pausan．IX． 5 thinks that Cadmus built the upper city or Cadmeia，and these brothers the lower town．According to another legend mentioned here by Eustath．and a Schol．，they were ear－ lier than Cadmus，and，having fortified the city，enabled the Thebans，while they lived，to resist successfully the neighbouring Phlegyes，who，however， afterwards destroyed it，and it lay waste till Cadmus refounded it．In Hy．Apol．Pyth．47－8 the early period of Thebes is similarly referred to，$\Theta \eta^{\prime}$－

 $\varepsilon v{ }^{2} \Theta_{\eta} \beta \eta$ ．We may comp．the works ascribed to Nausithoüs among the

 9－10．－をv́＠úxo＠ov，cf．on $\delta .635$. The statement implies the presence of predatory neighbours，as the Phlegyes are said to have been；cf．N．302， $\Phi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \dot{v} \alpha s, \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \tau \rho \rho \alpha$ ．

266－7．A $\lambda x \mu \eta \nu \eta \nu$ ，for the legend
of her gestation being prolonged by the artitice of Herê see T． 97 foll．， also mar．for other notices of her in

 （mar．），as below $270{ }^{\frac{5}{2}} A \mu \varphi$ ．viós．In気．323－4 Zeus seems to speak of him as his own son；and the same seems implied in the words of Tlepolemus， E． $636-9$ ．But the former passage， in which Zeus enumerates his amours， is marked as rejected by the Schol． Ven．A．Even the passage T． 97 foll． may be explained without supposing him actually begotten by Zeus．In 250，however，we have a dios viòs mentioned，who，on comparing O． 25 foll．，appears to be Herakles．So inf．
 ${ }_{j} \alpha<$ Kœoviovos．He is therefore the son of Amphitryon in a putative sense only．
 rent name for Castor and Polydeuces， and occurs even where the context calls them $\Delta i \grave{s}_{S}$ nov́＠ovs Hy．XXXIII． $1-3$ ． The statements concerning Herakles in the poems represent the legends of different localities，as Coös，Pylos，and especially Thebes；but are all of the Achæan family．No poet seems to have woven them into a harmonious whole．They multiplied too fast，and had too great a complexity of localized roots for such treatment．See some further remarks in the Preface to this
 as epith．of Herakles here and mar． －ヲvนoдéovtк，also of Achilles and Odys．（mar．）．








a 261 ; cf. $\Xi .32: 3$ $-4$.
b cf. $\boldsymbol{I}$. 60 .
c $\quad$ IS. 679.
d $\gamma .261$ mar
e $\varkappa .231$.
f A. 320 .
g o. 126, 366.
h J. 372 , e. 13, 362 , 395, 兀. 170.
271. Fídov. 272. Fと́@
 vǐ K. N. Vi. ${ }_{133}$, víi Vi. 56, vi\&ĭ $\& \beta$ H. et rell.
 of 266: her death and her children's by Herakles' hand is the subject of the Hercules Furens of Eurip. - Kgeiovtog, king of Thebes, say the Scholl. Now Herakles belongs in $H$. to the generation next, or next but one, before the Troica, since he sacked Troy in the time of Laomedon, with Telamon, father of Ajax, as his comrade; and Tydeus father of Diomedes is of the same age, who was the comrade of Polyneices. 4. 377. Thus the Homeric Creion holds the same legendary date as the Creon of the Tragedians, with whom he is the sister of Iocasta, the Epicastê ef. 271 inf . The Creion of $I .84, T .240$ is a totally different person. - viog, see the last note.

271-2. Oidinódeco, this form of genit. occurs also Hes. Opp. 163: we have the legend here in its early form, before the foreign importation of the Sphinx and her riddle, which was probably due to Sphinxes inscribed with hieroglyphics becoming known to the Greeks, as their intercourse with Egypt expanded. His refuge at Athens and burial there, where none might know of his tomb, is also post-Homeric. Soph. $\mathbb{E} d$. Col. 1538 foll. In H. he is buried at The-
 note, and compare the name Polycastê, given to Nestor's youngest daughter, $\gamma$. 464. The other ladies became famous through their sons' renown; so she infamous through her "atrocity", $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon$ éeyov, committed with hers.

273-4. ví, so several mss, and Hermann ad Hy. in Apoll. Del. 46 (Ni.). -
$\ddot{\boldsymbol{o}} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\delta}$ öv, the line probably ended ö Fòv $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \varrho^{\rho} \varepsilon \xi \xi \varepsilon v \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \xi \varepsilon v$ at first, and the next is a later insertion founded on the later development of the legend by the Tragedians, as including the oracle by which the detection of Edipus was effected; cf. Soph. EAd. R. - áv'ć$\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$, not found elsewhere in H., "heard about".
275-6. On'ß $\ldots \boldsymbol{K} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\mu \varepsilon i} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\nu}$, Thebes is remarkably omitted from the Catalogue, amidst a rather thick cluster of other Bœotian cities, and with the significant inclusion of ' $\quad \uparrow \pi \sigma \vartheta \tilde{\eta} \beta \alpha \iota$ "Lower Thebes" among them, B. 494${ }_{51} \mathrm{I}$, and the Cadmeans are several times disparagingly mentioned by H. (mar.), e. $g$. as vanquished by the Achæans, Tydeus and Mecisteus, with the same ease as barbarians, or men of an inferior race. It seems from this likely that H. did not regard them as thoroughly naturalized. The Bœotians, moreover, have no commander in chief, but are under five seemingly equal leaders. Cadmus and his race are probably regarded by the poet as an essentially foreign dynasty who supplanted the line of Amphion and Zethus. Cadmus, indeed, is only mentioned as the father of the deified Ino, ع. 333 ; where see note. Ni. denies the origin there suggested for $K \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \mu o s$, and says it $=$ иóб $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ оя, referring to Welcker, Cret. Colon., p. 22. Mr. Gladstone notices, vol. I. p. 24.3, that the name "Cadmeans" is always used of reminiscences, and that the poet calls the inhabitants of the country at the date of the Trojan war, Beotians. - "̈ 2 yé,







2ヶ6．\＆f $\mathcal{\alpha} \nu \alpha \sigma \sigma \varepsilon . \quad$ 278．＇AFí $\alpha \alpha 0$ ．279．F $\omega$ ．


the double woes of incest and par－ ricide；which，as being involuntary， are said to have been $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ó $\boldsymbol{\lambda o w}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ d．$\beta$ ．
 these epithets rather suggest the view under which Aïdes was regarded by the world above，and are less suited to a description from the point of view of one actually there．One of them actually occurs in Il．（mar．）．They probably contain a fragment of an older genealogical ballad out of which this part of the poem was compiled． －及＠ó $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{0 \nu}$ ，the same is the method of despatching the faithless handmaids （mar．）．The suicide of Epicastê is fully expressed，whereas that of Anti－ cleia is only suggested，see on 85 sup．： but then，this latter is telling her own tale to her own son．She accordingly throws a veil over the dreadful act， and dwells upon the motive only．－ $\dot{\alpha} \psi \propto \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v \eta \ldots \sigma \chi o \mu$ ．．． $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \eta \eta$ ，Ni．remarks that the first particip．refers to the method，the second to the motive，of her death．

279－80．\＆̈́ $\lambda \gamma \varepsilon \alpha$ ，these seem to have been in retribution for the acts，though unwittingly done，under the stern and simple doctrine of $\delta \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \nu \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \vartheta \varepsilon \varepsilon i \nu$ ； even as a man＇s own natural feelings in Edipus＇case would lead to self－abhor－ rence and to the recognition of the ab－ horrence of others．－ $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\tau}$＠ós＇E＠ivess， it is remarkable that twice in the Ody． （mar．）the＇Eoivves are thus connected with a mother，while in the Il．they are represented as invoked by a father against a son，and twice as hearing the imprecation of a mother，or con－ nected with her wrath，I． 449 foll． 565 foll．，$\Phi .412$ ．Iris also reminds Poseidon，when meditating resist－ ance to Zeus，that＂the Erinyes ever
attend upon the elder branch of a family＂，O．204．They are also in－ voked in oath－taking，as＂dwelling beneath the earth and avenging per－ jury＂，T．258－60．Agamemnon，too， says that his offence was due to an $\ddot{\alpha} \tau \eta$ produced＂by Zeus the Moiræ and the Erinys＂，T．87；and similarly an $\alpha^{2} \tau \eta$ is ascribed to Erinys as produced in the mind of Melampus the seer，o． 233－4．So also Odys．in disguise in－ vokes the wrath of the gods and＂the Erinyes of the poor（if perchance there be such）＂against the arrogant viol－ ence of Antinoüs，＠．475－6；and the daughters of Pandareüs are handed over by the Harpyï＂for the Erinyes to attend upon them＂，after having had divine favours unnaturally lav－ ished upon them；v． 66 foll．Finally， when the horse Xanthus by the special gift of Herê forebodes Achilles＇death， the Erinyes interfere to check his utterance T．418．Reviewing these instances Mr．Gladstone，（seeming to follow Nägelsbach，V．§ 38 ，p．264， and the Schol on T．418，غ́ íбкотоє
 Gladst．II．305－6，that＂they are in the Homeric system the never－failing cham－ pions，because they are the practical avengers of the natural and moral order $\ldots$ and they avenge the infrac－ tion of that order，not merely as a law of right opposed to wrong，but as a law of order opposed to disorder＂；and fur－ ther compares them with the idea of the＂Immutable Morality of Cudworth and his school．＂It seems probable how－ ever，that the feeling which evokes their agency is always ethical；and that they stop the mouth of the horse Xan－ thus，not as checking a mere monstros－ ity，but as repressing a presumption； and so in the case of the daughters





a II. 190, X. 472 ; cf. ช. 529.
b $\gamma .465,0.364$.
c cf. 262.
d B. 511, I. 381.
e o. 443, A. 38,452 ,
Z. 478 .
f Z. 425.
g 249 mar.

28r. post hunc septem versus $\beta$ incuria om. 282. $\mu \varepsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ A. M. Vr. Vi. 50,133
 mar. $\alpha \mu$ 甲íovos. $\quad 284 . \mu \iota v v \varepsilon i ́ \omega$ A. I. Vr. Vi. 50 Fl., $\mu \iota v v i \omega$ M., $\mu \iota v v \eta \omega$ Vi. 56, $-\eta \omega \nu$ к K. Stu. (sed sub $\nu$ signum deletionis a), Mıvvクью H. N. Vi. 5, 133 Eu.
 $\eta \dot{\eta} \dot{\varepsilon}$ mss. xiv ( $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ Vi. omn.) Eu. Fl. Aristar., h., Ern.
of Pandareüs. To this may be added, that we find them closely associated, as in the case of oaths, with the great nature-powers of Sun, Earth, etc., that they belong to the world of Aïdes, and seem to emerge thence for functional purposes into the upper world. Coupling with these facts their waiting upon parents and elders, the parental being the oldest form of human authority, we seem to see in them a coordination of natural power with moral right. The Eschylean theomythology is also very express on the point that they themselves belong to an elder dynasty of deities (Eumen. 150, 166, 779); and Pausan. VIII. 25, 4 testifies to the fact of the Erinys as being identified in Arcadia with Demeter (Mother-Earth). Further, Curtius 309, citing Kuhn, Zeitschr. I. 439 foll., traces some remarkable concordance between this tradition and that of the Vedic Saranjûs (lit. an adj. "hastening"), with which he connects the name. This suggests that they, like Persephone, were developed from traditions brought by the Greek race from their oriental primitive stock, but that, though in their origin nature-powers, (as traceable in the curse with which they can smite the earth, Esch. Eumen. 8ıo -7 ,) they yet derived their hold on the Greek mind from the ethical feelings interwoven with them; cf. क๐ $\delta$ in $\alpha$,
 fall into the onter shade and gloom, which shrouds everywhere this group of elder traditions, the Titans, Cronus, the Graiæ, etc., before the dazzling brilliancy of the Hellenic Olympus. But their rare appearance is marked by a
tremendous energy of retribution which surpasses all other moral agents. The idea expressed by Cain in Genes. IV. 14, and embodied in the Goèl, of Hebrew and Arabic domestic ethics, is closely akin to them, although more narrowly limited, as in fact their more specific Greek development also became - viz. to bloodshed in domestic feud.

281-320. Then came Chloris, Neleus' wife and Nestor's mother, as also Perô's, who was orly to be won by a hardy adventure which Melampus performed. Then Leda mother of Castor and Polydeuces, who live and die by turns: Then Iphimedeia, mother by Poseidon of the young giants, whom Apollo slew, or they would have piled up mountains to storm the heaven.
281. X2 $\omega$ ǫ $\downarrow$, daughter of Amphion, son of Iasus, (see 283 , so Pausan. IX. 36 , end,) a different person from the Amphion of 262, who belongs to Thebes. How Neleus established himself at Pylus in South-western Peloponnese, we have no account in $H$. We are probably to understand a migration of conquest south - westwards from Thessaly.

284-6. 'Юழхоцє́vє Mıv., Pausan. IX. $3^{6}$ gives a legendary pedigree in which Orchom. is son of Minyas, who is grandson of Phlegyas, and adds that the people were called ' $O \varrho \chi о \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \iota o \iota$ from this Orchom., to distinguish them from the Minyæ of Arcadia. Here Orchomenus is a place. - $\beta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \sigma \boldsymbol{i \lambda \varepsilon v \varepsilon}, i$. e. was, as we say, "queen consort" to Neleus: the same word is elsewhere used to describe female royalty (mar.). The reading $\eta \delta \varepsilon$, ascribed to Aristar., which would refer the sovereignty of Pylus
a $\boldsymbol{\alpha} .92$ mar.
b $\mu .262,355$.
c ef. o. $230-8$.
d 296 ; cf. B. 666, A. 386.
e cf. $\boldsymbol{A} .589, \boldsymbol{\delta} .397$.
f 99 mar.
g. A. 517, r. 269 mar.
h $\mu .160,0.232$, 443; cf. Э. 336 .
i $\varphi .85$, A. 549 .
k $\xi .293-4, \ldots .470$ mar.
1 ß. 107 mar., $x$. $469, \tau .152, \omega$. 142.
m 290 mar.
n 151; cf. ८. 507.
0 A. 5 .












 Fعiлóvz $\alpha$ contr. metr.
 stoph., h. (sic Pors., Dind. in ed. Scholl. Aristar.). 289. $\tau \tilde{\omega}$ Ern., $\tau \omega$ Wo.



to Amphion, is unsuitable. Neleus seems in H. to have acquired sovereignty there. - X@óuıоv и. $\tau$. ג., these other sons of Neleus, eleven in number in A. $690-3$, were slain by Herakles, who aided the Epeioi, Nestor, the youngest, alone surviving.

287-93. Пท@ $\omega$, on the legend here and its connexion with that given $o$.
 Bழot., ef. Hes. Theog. 500 , $\vartheta \alpha \tilde{v} \mu \alpha$
 for the form App. A. 2.- édidov... $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \varepsilon \epsilon \varepsilon$, on the sequence of tense and mood here see App. A. 9 (20). - $\mu \alpha \alpha^{\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ teg, Melampus, the legendary head of the prophetic family at Argos, where he settled, o. 239-55. The links of the genealogy there given are


Amphiaraüs, we are told, was short lived, o. $246-7$, and so must some of the intervening links have been. It is
possible, however, that, although Melampus was a suitor to Nestor's sister, yet, as the latter was the youngest of twelve sons, he may have been much younger than she, and so Antiphates in the next generation have been more nearly coëval with him. - $\chi \propto \lambda \varepsilon \pi \grave{\eta} . .$. $\boldsymbol{\mu o} \boldsymbol{\imath} \rho \boldsymbol{\alpha}$, this "hard fate" is explained by the next line, the $\beta$ ovorodor mentioned last, being the agents of it, having probably caught him in the act of seizing the cattle : his prophetic gifts procured his release; Pausan IV 36.
297. Эغ́б甲кєк, cf. Theocr. III.

 Propert Eleg. II. 4, 7 -10,

> Turpia perpessus vates est vincla Melampus, Cognituu Ipnicli surripuisse boves:
> Quem non lucra magis Pero formosa coëgit, Mox Amythaonia nupta futura domo.

The Scholl. have a story, said to be from Pherecydes, that Iphiclus was childless, and that Melampus instructed him how he might obtain children; together with another legend, how Melampus was rescued from his prisonroof falling upon him by a knowledge of the language of animals. Similarly Medeia promises to minister to the childless Egeus, Eurip. Med. 715-6.






[^21]298．Fعĩסov．

 Vi．omn．I．K．N．Stu．Vr．Fl．，－$\varepsilon \omega$ M．，－$\varepsilon$ ov in－$\varepsilon$ ќ mutavit H．；ию $\alpha \varepsilon \varrho о ́ \varphi \varrho о \nu \iota$





298－300．This legend is locally related to Sparta．Helen，conversing with Priam on the battlements of Troy， misses her brothers among the Greek host and does not know of their death． T．236－44．Thus in the poet＇s concep－ tion that death occurred since she left Sparta．It is noticeable that，whereas Herakles is affiliated to Zeus， 267 － 8 sup．，and whereas three of the previously named ladies and one who follows are distinguished as having become mothers by deities，these brothers are affiliated here expressly to Tyndareüs．So in T． 238 Helen speaks of them merely as born from the same mother，and she is constantly $\Delta i o g$ éx $x \varepsilon \gamma \alpha v i ̃ \alpha$, see $\Gamma$ ． 199，418，Д． $219, \delta .184, \psi .218$ ．See some remarks in the Pref．to this vol． on the Pindaric development of this legend，Pind．Nem．X． 103 foll．The latter half of 301，coinciding with that of $\Gamma .243$ ，is remarkable；since the poet there seems not to have any such notion as that of $\alpha \mu \varphi \omega$ \} $\omega$ oves here． This certainly suggests a development of the legend since $\Gamma .243$ ，where they are spoken of simply as dead and buried：and as these subsidiary legends， all household－tales of heroes，would all feel the influence of the hero－ worship which became such an ubi－ quitous passion in post－Homeric Greece， it would be more difficult to account for their having been left untouched by rhapsodist and diaskeuast than for their having been tampered with．It was difficult for Homeric poetry to be
popular without some bridging－over of the gulf between it and later Greek feeling on the question of hero－worship． Such we seem to have here：The brothers are still Tyndareus＇veritable offspring，but they have $\tau \iota \mu \eta \nu \pi \varrho o{ }_{\varsigma}$ $\Delta$ tós；they submit to death or to a privation of earthly life，but they そ＇${ }^{\circ}-$ ova＇$\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \varrho \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \varrho \circ \iota$ ，etc．See on $\delta .569$ ． Still，any such tampering is probably
 the suggestion of Ni．，$\alpha \varphi \iota \xi \boldsymbol{c}^{\circ} 0 v \varsigma$ ，is worth noting，as expressing similarly to $\alpha \mu \varphi i \beta \iota o s$ ，the divided condition of the pair between life and death．Some nature－myth，of the alternation of the life and death of the vegetable world， is probably at the root of this part of the legend．This，however，proves nothing as to the antiquity of the Dioscuric story，but a good deal as regards its hold on the Greek mind； the vital energy of a mythic tale of a hero being tolerably well measured by its capacity to absorb the older nature－myth．Now it seems likely that in this case such absorption had taken place between the time of the Il．and that of Pindar．－pvoigoos，the Schol．Ven．A．on $\Gamma .243$ suggests a reading $\Phi v \sigma i^{\prime} \xi_{\text {oos，}}$ ，as prop．name of a region near Sparta，where，legend said，the Dioscuri were swallowed up by the earth，but rendered back again．

302．ขと̧́ヲモV $\gamma \tilde{\eta} \mathrm{G}$ ，for the world of the dead physically located beneath the earth＇s surface，as in $\Upsilon .6 \mathrm{r}-5$ see App．G． 3 （8）（9）．
a cf．$v .482$.
b $\boldsymbol{E} .386$ ．
e cf．241－2．
d B．731，A．126，
X． 46 ；cf．E． 548.
е т． 328, A． 352 ，
A． 478, O． 612 ；
cf．X． 54.
f E． 385 ；cf． $\boldsymbol{O} .518$ ．
g J． 229 mar．
h 522，B．673－4．
i x． 19 mar．
k $\Omega .270$ ．










304．$\lambda \varepsilon \lambda o ́ \chi \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$ H．$\beta$ ，$\lambda \varepsilon \ell \varepsilon ́ \chi \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$ et $\lambda o \gamma \sup ,-\lambda \varepsilon ́ \chi-\alpha$ ．${ }^{20,5}$ ．$\dot{\alpha} \mu \varphi \iota \mu \varepsilon ́ \delta \varepsilon \iota \alpha \nu \beta$ H．
 Pyth．iv．158．309． $\begin{gathered}\text { ove } \\ \gamma\end{gathered}$ K．Stu．
 sup．$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon \iota \beta \quad \mu \varepsilon \nu 0 \circ \delta^{\prime} \hat{\varepsilon} \nu \alpha \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \xi,{ }^{\alpha} \mu \dot{\varepsilon}-$ $\varrho \alpha \nu \tau \dot{\alpha} \nu \mu \varepsilon \nu \quad \pi \alpha \varrho \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \tau \varrho i$ 甲i $\lambda \ldots \Delta l$


 locality near Sparta，where their temple was shown，Pausan．III．20）．Ni．cites from Müller（de Cyclo p．41）a portion of a summary of some Cyclic poem
 $\alpha^{\alpha} \vartheta \alpha \nu \alpha \sigma i \alpha v$ ；so Virg．Atin．VI．12I，Si fratrem Pollux alterna morte redemit．－ $\lambda \varepsilon \lambda \dot{\sigma} \gamma \chi \bar{\alpha} \sigma \omega \nu$ ，so cited by the Schol． Ven．A．on $\Gamma .243$ and the Schol．Pind． Nem．X．103．It is without parallel in our Homeric text；but all the mss． here have it，and Draco，de metr． p．33，would read，without authority，

 in conceiving by a god，and bearing an offspring worthy of him，like Tyrô， Antiopề，and Alcmenê．－＇A $\lambda \omega \tilde{\eta} o \mathrm{c}$ ， ＂the Husbandman＂（ $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega \eta$ ）；Otus and Ephialtes in the earliest form of the legend；were his offspring（ $\pi \alpha \check{d} \delta \varepsilon$ ＇Aえ由ฑ̃os，E．386）their names meaning
 so Voss ap．Ni．，who compares the Mo－ גiovs，＂grinders＂，descendants of Actor，
 as mythically become heroes of the host， A． $709-10, \Psi .638$ ．The Aloïdæ，per－ sonified as giants，were，like the Mo－ Ríove，A． 75 I $^{1}$ ，and Polyphemus，i．412， affiliated to Poseidon，then became enemies of the gods；and so the legend
grew．In E．385－9r they are men－ tioned as imprisoning Ares for＂thir－
 Hermes rescued him．Welckér，Griech． Götterl．I．42I，expounds this myth，of wheat stored under grund；but does not attempt to adjust the mythical details．The legend seems like an at－ tempt to fix some old Pre－Hellenic nature－myth into Hellenic theomythol－ ogy．Pausan．IX． 29 tells how they first consecrated Mt．Helicon to the Muses， regarded as 3 in number，by name Meletê，Mnemê，and Aoidê；and in conjunction with Eoclus，another son of Poseidon by Ascrê，built Ascrê or Ascra at the foot of Helicon．For this last Pausan．cites the Atthis of Hege－ sinoüs as his authority．In Virg．A．t． VI． $582-4$ they expiate their crime against Jove in Tartarus．－＇E¢८íд－ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \eta \boldsymbol{\nu}, \mathrm{Ni}$ ．connects this name with $\varepsilon \pi \pi-$ $i \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ ，used intransitively，rather than
 are simply mentioned on the score of their beauty by Pindar，Pyth．IV．，as the sons of Iphimedeia，and as hav－ ing died in Naxos．－Soicuca，for him see on $57^{2} \mathrm{inf}$ ．With this line cf．Virg．AEn．VII．649，Quo（Lauso） pulchrior alter Non fuit，excepto Lau－ rentis corpore Turni．
 currence of the number 9 in this passage， as in certain other passages there re－ ferred to，marks high antiquity of legend．It is possible，supposing the Aloïdæ to personify＂threshers＂（see









a e. 324, v. 71.
b cf. $\omega .475$.
c A. $165, \boldsymbol{Y} .328$.
d ef. $\alpha .282$.
e B. 757.
f Z. 434.
g. $\sigma .217$, т. 532, A. 225 ; cf. 0.366.
h $\boldsymbol{\Pi} .849$.
i A. 36, T. 413;
ef. A. 9.
$\mathbf{k}$ cf. $=327$.
1 cl. $\boldsymbol{x}, 279$.
m cf. $\boldsymbol{N} .484$.
n cf. B. 219.
312. $\gamma \varepsilon$ om. $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ H. Vi. omn., $\mu \eta \eta^{\prime} \neq s \tau \varepsilon$ A. Stu., $3{ }^{15}$-6. $\dagger$ Aristar. V., cf. Eu.
 A. I. K. N. Vi. iii Fl., $\sigma \varphi \omega ̃ \nu$ Vi. 50 ; lovidlos H. $\beta$. 320. үย่vvv $\gamma$ A. K. Vi. 5, 50 Stu. Vr. Eu. Ro. Ern., үध́ves $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ H. h. Apollon. Lex. Wo.
last note), that the fact of the epithet zvvénoos being applied to the ox in his mature strength ( $\beta$ oòs évvéóoto x. 19), and the trampling of the ox being perhaps the oldest mode of threshing corn, caused the epith. $\varepsilon v$ $\nu \varepsilon \in \omega \varrho \prec \iota$ to be applied in the early legend to the Aloïdæ. But, since a man is but a weakling at 9 years, the epithet as applied to a man suggests immaturity, and this suggested the development of the legend that they, perished in youth; cf. $\pi \alpha \omega \delta^{\prime} z^{\prime \prime} \tau^{\prime}$ हóv $\tau^{\prime}$, of the Mo-
 $\varepsilon \tilde{v} \rho o \boldsymbol{g}_{\text {, }}$ if this means across the shoulders, it is too small in proportion to their length. Thus $\mu \eta$ riozovs of 309 would literally suit.

315-6. Aristar. is said to have disallowed these two verses. If he did so, it was probably from a regard to their apparent inconsistency with $\varepsilon^{2} v$ 'Oגv́uл 313 ; see, however, note on ع. 50. This is the only trace in H. of the war of the gods with the giants, which occupied afterwards so large a domain in poetic theology, being developed in upwards of 100 lines in the Hesiodic Theogon. 630 foll., and figured copiously on early vases. In Hes. the giants Cottos, Gyas, and Obriareus, at first cast down and imprisoned by Zeus, are liberated by the advice of Rheia, and become his allies against the Chronid Titans, who occupy mount Othrys, as the gods Olympus. We have, however, a glimpse of vanquished elder deities, Japetus and Chronos, in

Є. 478 - 8 I ; cf. O. 225 , 氙. $274-9$. The inversion of the order in the pile of mountains as given by Virgil, Conati imponere Pelio Ossam Scilicet, atque Ossce frondosum involvere Olympum, Georg. I. 281-2, in violation of their proportionate heights, "among which Olympus is the highest, Ossa the next, Pelion the least", has been noticed by Mr. Gladstone III. 529 , and is confirmed by Mr. Tozer, Highlands of T. II. p. 135, who adds, "if the appearance of the mountains, however, were taken into account, and not their size, it would be more fitting for Ossa to rest on Pelion". Löwe refers here to Ov. Met. V. 346, Fast. V. 35-8.

317-20. $\ddot{\eta} \beta \eta \varsigma \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varrho O \nu$, the phrase recurs (mar.), and is found Hes. Opp. 132, $\alpha \lambda \lambda ’$ ö́ $\tau$ '̈v $\dot{\eta} \beta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \iota \varepsilon$ ноi $\eta_{\eta}^{\prime}, \mu$.


 los $\alpha<\varrho о v ~ i \delta \varepsilon i ̃ v . ~-~ l i o g ~ v i o g, ~ s e e ~$ on $\gamma .279-80$. - iovizovg, see App. A. 3 (2). Cf. Theocr. XV. 85 , $\pi \rho \tilde{\alpha} \tau \circ \nu$


 Pind. Ol. I. 109 foll., $\pi \varrho \dot{\sigma} \varsigma \varepsilon \dot{v} \propto \dot{v} \vartheta \varepsilon \mu o v$

 this as intrans., with $\gamma \varepsilon ́ v v s$ for subj.; but Homer's usage is in favour of a trans. constrn., if possible, as it certainly is here, continuing the subj., and making $\gamma$ ývvs obj.
a $\Sigma 592$.
b $568,9.523, \tau$. 178, N. $450, \stackrel{=}{=}$ 322.
c 631, A. 265.
d $\gamma, 307$.
e $\pi .120, \varrho .293$.
f 172-3 mar.
\& $\omega_{0} .74, Z .13 \%$
h cf. $\sum .48$.
i cf. $\sum .47, \Gamma, 144$.
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321. 326. Fídov.
324. $\gamma \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha{ }_{s}$ pro $\tilde{\eta}^{\varsigma} \gamma \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon ̀ v$ Scholl. ad Apollon. Rhod. III. 997 v. præced. omisso; pro $\alpha \pi o ́ v \eta \tau o$ var. 1. $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon ́ \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \varepsilon$ h.; $\varepsilon^{z \prime \sigma} \notin \varepsilon \nu$ e Vi. iii M. V. in lem. ita Aristoph., h., है' $^{\prime} \varepsilon \alpha$ var. 1. M. et Vi. 56 et V., utrumq. Eu., $\tau \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \varepsilon$ (e glossa nat.) K. Stu. $\gamma$.

321-32. "Then came Phædrê, Procris, and Ariadnê, whom Theseus would have wedded but whom Artemis slew. Then Mæra, Clymenê, and base Eriphylê who sold her lord. Then more - night would fail if I tried to name them all. My thoughts are now of slumber and of my return, by your grace and the gods'."

321-5. This group of ladies belongs to Attic legend. Phædrê, a daughter of Minos, like Ariadnê, belongs to the story of Theseus, see Eurip. Hippol. for her tale as later developed. Procris was daughter of Erechtheus. The story of her love for, and death by, Cephalus; is regarded by Prof. Max Müller as a version of the Dawn-myth, and Procris as = "the dew", Oxford Essays, 1856, p. 53-4.
321. 'A@tódvpv, mentioned $\Sigma$. 591 -2 as having had a xooos made for her by Dædalus. The epithet óloóp@ovos applied to Minos is to be referred to the early hostilities between Athens and Crete, which the legend of Theseus and the Minotaur embodied. It conveys the dread of quasi-supernatural power allied to enmity; so of Atlas, $\alpha$. 52, of Eætes, $x .137$; and
 yovvòv, see on $\alpha$. 193.
325. The short 0 in $\Delta \iota o \check{v}$ v́бov, against
 suspicions of this verse; further, $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{c} \boldsymbol{g}$ vv@ingu, in this context yields no just sense. There is a var. $l$. हैं $\chi \chi \varepsilon$ for $\xi^{\prime} \not x \tau \alpha$ in 324, - an effort of some critic to accomodate the passage to the later legend, that Ariadnếwas left by Theseus
in an island, and found there by Dionysus. हैб $\sigma \varepsilon$ would mean "detained". But we have here plainly an exercise of the death-function of Artemis, see on $\gamma .279-80$. The Par. Schol. on Apollon. Rhod. III. 997 cites the pas-

 $\mu \propto \varrho \tau v \varrho i \eta \sigma \iota \nu, ~ N i . ~ s u g g e s t s ~ \mu \propto \varrho \gamma о-$ Gvivクбıv, as some word reflecting on Dionysus is required. The line was probably an early poetical schol. on $\dot{\eta}^{3} \gamma \varepsilon($ or $\gamma \tilde{\eta} \mu \varepsilon) \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ ov $\delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi \delta^{\prime} \nu \eta \tau 0$. Thus "he married her in Diê, ( = Naxos, an island sacred to Dionysus,) but his hopes were frustrated, as Dionysus witnesses (who made her his own,) was probably what whoever inserted it meant to express. The older ballad which H. followed, doubtless knew nothing of Dionysus in connexion with Ariadnê. As regards the late origin and incomplete development in H . of Dion., see some remarks in the pref. to this vol. In Hes. Theog. 947-9 Ariadnê appears, without any mention of Theseus, as the wife of Dionysus, and made immortal by Zeus.

326-7. Mæra was introduced in the Nóveo of Hagias, and by Polygnotus in the painted porch of Delphi, Pausan. X. 30: she was mother of Locrus, who, with Amphion and Zethus, raised the walls of Thebes; see on 260 . Clymenê was daughter of Minyas, wife of Phylacus, or Cephalus (Pausan. X. 29), and mother of Iphiclus; see on 287 97. Eriphylê, sister of the Argive Adrastus, bribed by Polyneices, betrayed her husband Amphiaraüs to his death in the Theban war; cf. $\alpha \nu \delta \rho o \delta \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \nu \tau{ }^{\prime}$

## 







329. Fídov.
328. ै $^{2} \gamma \omega \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\beta}$; óvó $\mu \eta \nu \omega \mathrm{N}$.
 $\varphi \vartheta \alpha \rho \grave{\eta}$
in lem. Ern., $\varphi \mathfrak{\vartheta} \iota \tau$ ’ Vi. $56, \varphi \mathfrak{\vartheta} \iota \tau \boldsymbol{\beta}, \varphi \mathfrak{\vartheta}$ íro H . in mar., $\varphi \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{\imath} \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau^{\prime}$ mss. viii. Apoll.


'Eoı甲viz $\alpha \boldsymbol{v}$ Pind. Nem. IX. 37. He was beloved by Zeus and Apollo, was the great grandson of the Melampus (of 287 -97 note) from whom he inherited the prophetic gift, by which he foresaw his end but went to meet it. He was, says Pindar, swallowed up with horses and chariot by the earth opening Nem. IX. 57-60. See also 0. 244-7, Eschyl. Sept. c. Th. $564-90,605-10$, Pind. Pyth. VIII. 55 foll. He had an oracle at Oropus and another at Thebes. Thus all this group carry us back to Theban or Bœotian legend. - $\chi \varrho v$ $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \dot{\boldsymbol{v}} \boldsymbol{v}, \mathrm{Pausan}$. says that he had seen at Cnidos a necklace of green stones purporting to be that given to Eriphylê; and notices that H. mentions gold only IX. 20; cf. Pind. Nem. IX. 36. Both Clymenê and Eriphylê were mentioned by Hagias in the Nóбгo.

328-9. $\mu v \vartheta \eta$ ท̈бuce, for the mixture of fut. indic. with subj. see App. A. 9 (4). The list here suddenly closes, and the later members of it have been cut very short in their tales. This assists the endless vista suggested by these two lines. Virgil has adopted a like expression, or rather one founded on B. 486-90 where in Fin. VI. 626 the sibyl cuts short the enumeration of the criminals punished in Tartarus, Non miki si linguce centum sint, etc.

330-2. The conversation between the narrator and the listeners, for which these lines prepare the way, is very happily interposed, considering
how long the narrative has become. But considering that the two verses 333 -4 are the same as those $\boldsymbol{\nu}, \mathbf{I}-\mathbf{2}$, and that after the conversation the narrative is resumed 385 by $\alpha \boldsymbol{v} \tau \dot{\alpha} \varrho$ $\varepsilon \pi \pi \varepsilon \dot{l}$, just as if it had been uninterrupted, the suspicion arises that owing to successive interpolations of legends a break in the tale became desirable, and that this link of conversation was thus forged and inserted. But see note on 385 inf .
$330-1, \varphi \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \tilde{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\prime}$, see on $\boldsymbol{x} .5^{11}$, and cf. $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \delta \dot{v} \eta, \quad$ : 377 . His alternative proposal, to go to the ship to sleep, indicates his urgent anxiety to depart, hinted by the mention of $\pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \mu \pi \boldsymbol{\eta}$. The queen had suggested his sleeping on board ship Э. 444, and so had the king $\eta$. 319. But not so much because he would be on board during the night (see $\eta .321,326$ ) as because the voyage would be perfectly smooth and he would have nothing to do but lie on the deck.
$33^{2-53}$. Odysseus pauses, and silence ensues; which is broken by Aretê calling attention to his outward and inward qualities, as a reason why the gifts bestowed upon him should be increased, Echeneüs seconds her suggestion, but with due deference to the fiat of the king. Alcinoüs seizes on the suggestion at once, and says the thing shall be done, as it will only need to detain Odys. till the morrow, when all shall be ready, including his personal zeal to speed the quest.
a $\alpha, 365$ mar．
b $\eta .233$.
c $\boldsymbol{\alpha} .367 \mathrm{mar}$ ．
d 0.25.
e $\boldsymbol{\sigma} .249, \zeta .152$ mar．， ผ． $253,374, B$ ．
58 ；cf．2． 550,9 ．
116，168－70．
f ef．$\xi .178, \boldsymbol{Y} .169$.
๑ $\alpha, 417$, Э． 208.
h O．189，A． 278.
i e． 335.
j $\beta .97, \nu, 30$ ．
$k \varepsilon .146, x .65$.
I 9211.
m $\eta .150$ ，e．532， т．295，ס．127， I． 382 ．
n $\boldsymbol{\eta} .214$ mar．
o 队．157，ク．155－6．
р $\varphi .425, \chi .6$.
q К． 324 ．














 Vi． 50 ，vै $\mu \mu \nu v$ Fl．et edd． 343 ．om． $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ H．I．M．a man． 2 Vi． 56 ，hab．$\alpha \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ A．G．K．N．Stu．Vr．Vi． 50 ， 133 ［］Wo．Bek．Di．Fa．post hunc iterat A．$\eta .157$ ．

 K．N．Vi．5，56，$\alpha \pi о б ж о \pi о \tilde{v}$ Apoll．Lex．，$\alpha \pi о$ бхотои̃ $\alpha \beta$ H．
 curs here and in the repeated passage ข．2；but we have $\alpha x \eta \eta^{\prime} \lambda \tau \cos$, र． 329 ， where see note．＂Spell＂might be a suitable rendering here．Worsley ex－ pands it elegantly thus，＂And through the shadowy halls there seemed to creep wonder and sweet illusion，steal－ ing sense＂．－бxıóعvtc，see App． F． 2 （19）．

337．عídós $\boldsymbol{\tau \varepsilon}$ к．$\tau$ ．$\lambda$. ，the words ac－ quire pertinence by the admiration which his bodily gifts had extorted from the spectators in the games， $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$ ．134－7． －甲ó́vas．．．éṫซas，＂well－balanced mind ${ }^{3}$ ，as shown in the adventures narrated．The epith．éilo seems ap－ plied to the péves probably in the primitive physical sense（see on $\iota .301$ ）， as it is to a ship that floats evenly， the notion being that of organs which match and correspond．
 had first addressed himself as，a sup－ pliant to her，$\eta$ ．142－5．－ё $\mu \mu \circ \rho \varepsilon$ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \ell \mu \tilde{\eta} \mathcal{S}$ ，＂has his own share of dignity＂
 She means，that each should accord－ ingly share with her the burden of
suitable guerdon to the guest，although the obligation was primarily hers．－ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \tilde{0}$, ＂wherefore＂．－ $\boldsymbol{\mu} \grave{\eta}^{\text {x．} \tau . ~ \lambda ., ~ u r g e ~}$ not his departure too soon．－rodov－ $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon}$ ，＂stint＂．She makes the appeal on behalf of his need（x＠ $\begin{aligned} & \text { ísovit），but }\end{aligned}$ seizing the moment while their minds were under the charm（ $\boldsymbol{\eta \eta} \lambda \eta \vartheta \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \mu$ ós 334） of his narrative．－ $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ióv $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ ，the phrase occurs in a fragm．of Alcæus， Bergk，p． 953.

342－3．Exévクos，the same who interposed with courtierlike tact to break the silence of astonishment caused by Odysseus＇entrance in $\eta .155$ ． He seems a sort of Polonius at the Phæacian court．The name is prob－ ably derived fr．$\sigma \chi \varepsilon \check{\nu} \nu \tilde{\eta} \alpha$ ，in sense of to＂bring a ship to shore＂，l． 279. Line 343 is read here by Eustath．but not by the Scholl．and is found in 10 mss．against 5 ，amongst which are $H$ ． and Vi． 56 ：one also inserts it by a later hand．Thus the evidence is nearly balanced．See on $\eta$ ． 156 for

 says to Hector（mar．），бoi $\delta^{\prime}$ ย่रю ov̉x



 گตòs ${ }^{\circ}$ Фаıท่น

 $\delta \omega \tau i \nu \eta \nu^{\mathrm{n}} \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ́ \sigma \omega{ }^{*} \pi о \mu \pi \dot{\eta}^{\circ} \delta^{\prime}$ वै $\nu \delta \varrho \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \iota{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \mu \varepsilon \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \iota$



## a $\alpha .329$.

b cf．$\eta .67$.
c $\beta .272, \gamma .99, O$ ． 234.
d $\eta_{.} 298,308, \lambda .362$.
e cf． $\boldsymbol{x} .52, \xi .487$ ，
X． 388 ．
f $\boldsymbol{\eta} .11,62$ ．
g भ． 535 ．
h \％．52－3．
i $\vartheta$ ． 156.
k O． 399 ；cf． $\boldsymbol{\beta} .199$.
1 к．309，ঠ． 587.
m 7．318．
n ८． 268.
－ 332.
p $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ．358－9 mar．
q ィ．1－2 mar．


## 

 350．post hunc in $\beta$ nova man．operam excipit，usque ad 380．351．éa évoıov
 N．Vi．56，д $\eta$ uఱ $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ H．
 $\xi \eta s$ ，where $\sigma$ xomòs means，as in $\delta$ ．
 much the same as here．Here，биолоs is a metaphor from the archer＇s mark， for which it is in Ody．twice used （mar．），never in II．，see especially $\Psi$ ． 850－83，where we might expect to find it．Still，as no other word simply meaning＂a mark＂for archery is there used，the presumption thence arising is somewhat abated；for some word in use there must have been．The sense （among others）given for $\alpha \pi \dot{o}$ dós $\eta s$ by the Scholl．here and at K．324， ＂away from，i．e．contrary to your judgment＂of the person concerned， （Aretê，Dolon，）seems most suitable； as it comes directly from the sense of the verb $\delta 0 x \varepsilon \in \omega$ in such ordinary phrases as $\delta \dot{o} x \eta \sigma \varepsilon \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ oi $x \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$ $\boldsymbol{v} v \mu \dot{o} \nu, ~ v . ~ 93, ~$


346．èz тoṽ $\delta$＇ ห．$\tau$ ．$\lambda$ ．，＂on Alcinoüs here depends etc．＂：but the explana－


 $\nu \alpha \iota x \dot{s}$ ，ס＇́y $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha$＂，seems to show that Ex $\tau \tilde{\eta} \tilde{S}^{\prime} \delta{ }^{\circ}$ was in their text，meaning， ＂The word and deed of Alcinoüs depend on her＂．If so，however，it seems to have vanished from the mss． Our reading is certainly better suited to the caurtierlike tone of the speaker
as if，＂The queen has spoken with her usual discernment，but the decision rests with the king＂－and to the sequel，in which Alcin．claims the decision rather positively．To proclaim directly the queen＇s influence as para－ mount，would be a poor compliment to both him and her．

349－53．گんò ．．．$\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega$ ，cf，the tone of triumphant assurance in ov่
 and note there；and somewhat simil－ arly，but mingled with defiance，the words of Achilles to Calchas，ov $\tau \iota s$
 voıo etc．，$A$ ．88．－$\neq \mu \pi \eta G$ belongs to $\tau \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega$ ，although a clause is interposed，
 190．－ovjv also is late in the clause， its force is as if it had stood $\xi \varepsilon i v o s$ $\delta^{\prime}$ ovv．Ni．thinks $v \tilde{v} v$ may be the true reading，as（mar．）in $\alpha \lambda \lambda{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\alpha} \gamma \varepsilon$ $\nu \check{v} \nu$ ह́ $\pi i \mu \varepsilon \iota \nu o \nu:$ but $\nu \tilde{v} \nu$ ，since it must go with the first clause，$\tau \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega$ $\varepsilon^{\prime} \mu \pi \eta \xi_{s} \nu \tilde{v} \nu$ ，would not much mend matters．－лонлウ̀ $\boldsymbol{\sim} . \tau . \lambda$ ．，these words form a curious parody of those of Telem．（mar．）；but see Pref．to vol．I． p．viii．

354－76．Odysseus rèplies，＂he would gladly stay a year，in hope of convoy with richer gifts at the end of it，for that would raise him generally in friendly esteem on his return home＂．
a I．616；cf．0． 545.
b $\eta .151 \mathrm{mar}$ ．
c．B． 253.
d $\delta .589$ mar．
e v． $316, \bar{\Gamma} .41: c l$ ． к． 390 ．
f e．228，v．381，X． 103 et al ．
g $\boldsymbol{x}$ ． 42 ；cf．$\tau$ ． 283 －4，293－5．
h $\sigma .148, B .140$ ， M．16，，， 101.
i $x .33$ mar．
k e． 88 mar．，\％． 38 ， cf．$\alpha$ ． $392-3$.
1 M．180，II． 23.
m $\alpha .163$ mar．
n 347 mar．
－८．321，ס．247， 9. 159.
p cf． $\boldsymbol{T} .39$, E．349， o． 421.
q v．291，ழ．397， X． 281.
r e． 422 mar．，九． 128.
s $\mu .97$.
t 587，$\tau$ ．111，B． 699，Y． 494.
» $\boldsymbol{B} .804$ ．










361．Fidoíavo．363．दُFiбиоияv．
 ${ }_{\nu \eta \tau \varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ N．，$-v o u \tau \varepsilon \beta$ H．sic pler．lib． 360 ．$\eta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \nu$ pro $\varepsilon$ ï $\eta$ Vi．133．361．$\pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota 0-$



The king answers，＂I see you are no impostor，ready，as so many are，with a pack of false tales．Your words without are matched by wisdom within． You have told with minstrel grace your own and comrades＇woeful tale． But say，did none of your departed friends appear to you？The night is unexhausted，nor is it time to sleep； and I would rather hear you till dawn of day＂．

357－60．©́y $\alpha \alpha \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\delta} \tilde{\omega} \varrho \alpha$ ，see，for this trait in Odysseus＇character，App．E． 1 （14）p．lxv．－cò，＂this＂，viz．what is stated in the next line．－xגeıo－
 $\varepsilon^{\prime \prime} \chi 0 \nu \tau \varepsilon$（mar．）．－aidoióveழos，the adjectives $\alpha$ 亿ooiós $\tau \varepsilon$ píhos $\tau \varepsilon$ are often coupled as forming a fixed epithet to express＂friendly esteem＂（mar．）． Here the effects of wealth in recom－ mending him to others are noticed，as in Hes．Opp．313，$\pi \lambda 0 v ́ \tau \omega \delta^{\prime} \propto \varrho \varepsilon \tau \eta$ иаi xข̃ $\delta$ os öл $\eta \eta \delta \varepsilon i$（Scholl．）；so，Theog．

 strongly Alcæus Fragm．50，cites a say－ ing of Aristodemus in Sparta，$\chi \varrho \eta \mu \alpha \tau^{\text {，}}$

 － 42 the envious comrades enlarge on the fect that gifts are a proof that he is pilos，rai ríucos to the givers．

364．$\eta \boldsymbol{\pi} \varepsilon \varrho о \pi \tilde{\eta} \dot{\alpha}$ ，here only found， but having the kindred words $\eta \pi \varepsilon \varrho-$ $o \pi-\varepsilon \dot{v} \omega \boldsymbol{\eta} \pi \varepsilon \varrho-o \pi-\varepsilon v \tau \eta \grave{\eta}_{S}$（mar．）．The first element is said to be a Sansk，word apara，represented in our language by ＂afar＂，and meaning＂otherwise＂，i．e． than the truth；the second element is $\dot{o} \pi-\bar{s}(\mathcal{F o \pi})$ ， $\boldsymbol{0} \psi$ ，＂the voice＂，or ＂speech＂．Thus＂deceiver＂is the resulting sense．

565－6．лодvблะৎと́とя，the word is used in the Catalogue（mar．）with a tone of disparagement of the promis－ cuous Trojan allies，such as in the historic and doubtless in the prehistoric period，made up a large Asiatic army． So here of the promiscuous visitants from foreign parts with made－up tales of marvel or feigned tidings of the absent，who turned up perhaps oc－ casionally in the palace of an Achæan prince．Thus to it here is coupled $\psi \varepsilon v \dot{\delta} \varepsilon \alpha^{\prime} \tau^{\prime} \alpha^{\alpha} \varrho \tau v \dot{v} \nu \nu \tau \alpha$ ．In Hes．Theogon． 365 it is used without this infused shade of meaning to express wide











a cf. ข. 203.
b cf. $438, \omega .153$.
c 9.280 mar .
d ef. 9. 170 mar.
e $\beta .117$ mar.
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ cf. @. $518-20$,
Э. 491.
g $\mu .307$.
$h$ cf. $\xi .508$.
i H. 128.
k cf. Э. 489-90.
1 E. 156, , 430.
m $\alpha .224$ mar.
n ס. 571 mar.

- 388. 

p E. 551.
q ס. 562 mar.
r o. 392.
s 330-1 mar.
t $\gamma .359$; ef. o. $5^{\circ}$ u cf. e. 5 .
v $610, \Gamma, 130$.
w e. 151 mar.
x d. 595 .
y cf. $\boldsymbol{\beta}$. 31, є. 333.
z cf. A. 94.
aa $\ell .12$.
 374. F'́er $\quad$.




diffusion merely, of the Ocean nymphs,


 understand ő́ $\tau \iota \psi \varepsilon v \dot{v} \delta \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ as obj. of i'doıvo, meaning "whence one cannot distinguish that they are lying", this yields a feeble sense, being really implied in $\eta \pi \varepsilon \varrho \circ \pi \tilde{\eta} \alpha$ and $\varepsilon \pi i x \lambda o \pi o \nu$ before: besides which öv $\varepsilon v$ has always in H. a strictly local force. Better understand $\varepsilon^{\prime \prime \nu \vartheta \vartheta \varepsilon v}$ with $\propto \varrho \tau v ์ v o v \tau \alpha$ before ${ }^{\circ \prime} \vartheta \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu$, when "trumping up falsehoods no one can even tell (literally see) from what source" will be the sense;
 the same source as thine), $\Delta, 58$. The contemptuaus force of - $\delta \varepsilon$ in ov̉ $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$, should be noted, "can even see (much less touch)" implying a total absence of reality.
 phrases aptly contradistinguish fair outward expression ( $\mu \circ \varrho \varphi \eta \dot{\eta} \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon ́ \omega \nu$ ) from sterling inward character. - $\boldsymbol{\mu o g \varphi} \grave{n}$ $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\nu}$, see the last note. The word $\mu о \varrho \varphi \dot{\eta}$, here and (mar.) only in H.,
and nowhere in Hesiod, throws some suspicion on the two passages in which it occurs, but here it only extends to the single line, there to a passage of II lines. - $\dot{\underline{c}}$ öt áoodòs, for a similar brief clause without verb cf.


369-76. $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \tau \omega \nu$, with poetical latitude, but clearly, in reference to the narrative, meaning thy comrades. - "̈́a' $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is repented as the prep. ovv might be. - avéapatog, for this and $\mathfrak{v} \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon \lambda \alpha$ mox inf., see Buttmann,
 cluding the adjuncts, viz. the $\mu v \chi o{ }_{s}$ and the $\pi$ юóouos, in the former of which the host slept, and in the latter frequently a guest. - $\mathfrak{\varepsilon c G} \dot{\eta} \dot{\omega}$, a courteous return of the complimentary assurance given by Odys. in $356, \varepsilon i^{\prime \prime} \mu \varepsilon$ $x \alpha i$ हis $̇$ ह̇v $\alpha v \tau \grave{\partial} v x . \tau . \lambda$., with which cf. the words of Telem. to Menel. to a similar tenor (mar.). - ötと... $\tau \lambda \alpha i \eta s$, here ör $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ seems to have the force of $\varepsilon i^{\prime \prime} \pi 0 \tau \varepsilon$, as in $\beta .42-3$, see App. A. 9 (18) - as a courteous and deferential way of urging a request,
a e. 1-2.
b ef. $\gamma .334,0.126$.
e 331 mar.
d cf. 222.
e cf. $\mu .258$.
f X. 272.
g cf. $\omega$. 84 .
$h$ ef. $\alpha, 11$.
i cf, $\Omega .721$.
k $\delta .497$ mar.
1 cf. $\sum .396$.
m. T. 309; ef. $\boldsymbol{y}^{2}$. 149.
n 九. 458 mar.












 sic Bek. Di. Fa., $火 \lambda \lambda \eta$ \& $\beta$ H. I. M. N. Vi. omn. Vr. Ox.
"I could keep on (listening) till moin, if you could only bring yourself to continue your tale".

377-84. Odysseus replies, "there is time for talk and time for sleep. I will not grudge your eagerness the deeper sorrows of my tale which yet remain - how some, who escaped the havoc of war, perished at home by a vile woman's will'".
$379-4 . \ddot{\varrho} \varrho \eta$ x. $\tau$. ג., "it is the season for either, - more talk if you prefer it, sleep when you please", sustaining the tone of courteous deference noticed in a previous note. - cov́$\boldsymbol{\tau} \omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$, "than what you ask"; this gen. depends on oixчeóтع@' as comparative. Alcin. had asked (372) about those who perished before Troy; the first and larger portion of the reply gives the fate of Agamemnon and his followers, who escaped ( $v \pi \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \dot{q} v \gamma 0 \nu$ ) the war, to perish by treachery. - $\gamma \boldsymbol{v}$ varòs, Clytæmnestra is clearly intended, although the Scholl. suggest also Helen as the primary cause. In 438-9 both are mentioned.
385-403. The female shades dispersed at Persephonê's bidding. Then came the shade of Agamemnon and his comrades, murdered in Egisthus' palace. He drank the blood, knew me, wept aloud, and would have embraced me, but his phantom lacked the power. I wept in turn for pity, hailed him, King of Men, and asked his fate - was it by storm at sea, or
in foray by land, or in assault upon some city, of the stranger?
 -2. $\alpha v \dot{v} \dot{\alpha} \rho$ is a common form of continuing an uninterrupted narrative. But then we have no other Homeric instance of a tale thus broken. $\alpha \boldsymbol{v} \tau \dot{\alpha} \varrho$ moreover is used to take up a different branch of a narrative after one has been dealt with; as in A. 488, after describing the errand of Odys. to Chrysê, the poet returns to Achilles and the scene by his tent, dropped at $43 \circ$ sup., in $\alpha v \boldsymbol{v} \tau \dot{\varrho} \varrho$ ô $\mu \eta \dot{\eta} \iota \varepsilon \quad \nu \eta v \sigma \grave{\iota}$ $\pi \alpha \varrho \eta{ }^{\prime} \mu \varepsilon \nu v_{0} x . \tau$. $\lambda$. Again in $\varphi$. 359 after more than 120 lines since the command of Odys., given without to Eumæus, to bring him the bow ( $234-5$ ) - an interval chiefly occupied with conversation within the palace - we find Eumæus obeying the order in the
 $x . \tau . \lambda$. These instances modify the objection stated on 330. $\alpha \boldsymbol{v} \tau i x^{\prime}$ ह̇л $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ might be a (conjectural merely) alternative reading; cf. Hy, Ven. 186, where a speech begins, $\kappa \dot{v} \tau i x \alpha \sigma$ $\dot{\sigma} \tau \dot{\alpha}$
 as 9 mss. have $\alpha^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \eta$ (or $\alpha \lambda \lambda \eta$ ), here and 6 in the same phrase at $\xi$. 35 , and, as in $\iota .458 \% \not \approx \lambda \lambda v \delta \iota \varsigma \not ้ \lambda \lambda \eta \eta$ seems necessary, I have retained it here. The adjectival use of ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda$ og is, however, found in such phrases; not only with the subject (as Ni. says ad loc.), e. $g . M .46 \mathrm{r}, \sigma \alpha \nu i \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \delta_{\varepsilon} \delta \iota \varepsilon ́ \tau \mu \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \nu$













 $\eta^{\prime} \varepsilon^{x}$ бغ́ $\gamma$ ’ $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \iota ~ П о \sigma \varepsilon \iota \delta \alpha ́ \omega \nu ~ \grave{\delta} \delta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \nu$,
a $434, \psi \cdot 166, \Theta$.
$520 ; \mathrm{cf} .9 .324$.
b 51 mar., 84 mar.
c $\omega$. 21, A. 211,
Y. 13.
d 371.
e cf. $\delta .529-37, \lambda$.
410-3, $\omega$. 21-2.
f $\boldsymbol{H} .52$; cf. 197 mar.
g. $\delta .562$ mar.
h $471,615$.
i 98 mar.
k $x .201$ mar.
ת. 9 ; cf. $\delta .556$ mar.
m e. 84, 158 mar.
п Ф. 7.

- Z. 466, ч ․ 99 ;
cf. $\lambda .206$.
p $x .202$ mar.
(I 219.
r б. 3, ழ. 283.
s cf. ८. 515.
t $v .398,430, \varphi .283$,
A. $669, \Omega .359$.
u 55 mar.
v B. 434, I. 677, 697, K. 103, T. 146.
w 171 mar.
x $406-8, \gamma .90-1$, w. 109-13; ef. o. 384-7.

$$
\text { 389. Foíx } \quad \text { 393. Fís. 395. Fı } \delta \omega \dot{v} \text { 396. F́̇ } \pi \varepsilon \alpha \text {. 397. Fáv } \alpha \xi \text {. }
$$

386. $\alpha i v \grave{\eta}$ K. N. quam var. 1. agnoscit Eu. 388. ő́goи a Bek. 1. ed. Dind.



 et V. in lem., xïxüs $\boldsymbol{\beta}$; 394. $\tilde{\eta}^{\prime}$ oi K.; ह̈лi Apollon. Lex.; $\gamma v \alpha \pi \tau o \check{\sigma} \iota$ I. Stu.

387. $\dagger$ Aristoph. ut e sequentibus confictos.
$\tau \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon ́ v \alpha \iota \not ้ \lambda \lambda \nu \delta \iota \varsigma{ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda \eta$ (where two mss. have $\alpha^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \iota$ ), but with the object also, e.g. Ф. 502-3, (where the metre
 $\pi v \lambda \alpha \tau{ }^{\prime} \xi \alpha, \pi \varepsilon \pi \tau \varepsilon \tilde{\omega} \tau^{\prime}{ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda v \delta \iota \varsigma{ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \alpha$

388. $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \nu \dot{\eta}, \quad 2 \mathrm{mss}$. have $\alpha i v \dot{\eta}$ which appears as a var. 1 . in a $3^{\text {rd }}$ also. This strikingly confirms the reading of Buttm. ${ }^{2} \pi^{\prime}$ 人iv $\tilde{\eta}$ see on $x$. 534; who in his enumeration of passages Lexil. 11, p. 62 omits the present one. But as the Hy. Ceres 337 has $\alpha \gamma \nu \dot{\eta}$ П. I have kept $\propto \gamma \nu \eta$ here, although, I suspect, due to the post-Homeric influence of the Eleusinia.

388-92. Bek. and Dind, read ö́ббot, I suppose, from a notion that the men, not their shades, could alone properly be spoken of as having been killed; but the consensus of the mss. forbids this, and poetic latitude of language
surely allows oícou. - mivvas, ef.
 $\beta \alpha \vartheta \varepsilon i \alpha \nu$, where the sense is clearly as if from $\pi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \dot{\nu} v v \mu \iota$, "extended"; so here. - o@égcovat, see mar. for passages where this verb means "to reach out after".
393-4. će $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ovं $\gamma \dot{x} \varrho$, see on ห. 202.
 zve, ef. Eschyl. Fragm. 216 Dind. ool
 $\varphi \lambda \varepsilon ́ \beta \varepsilon \varepsilon$, words addressed apparently to a phantom of the dead. - $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\mu \pi \tau \boldsymbol { \tau } \boldsymbol { \tau } \sigma \iota , ~}$ "supple".

398-403. xウ̀@, see on 171 sup. The lines $398-403$ are said to have been condemned by Aristoph. as merely made up out of Agamemnon's following speech; but it is much more difficult (as Ni, remarks) to account for the similar lines in that speech, with-
a N． 795 ，$\Xi 254$ ．
b $\mathbf{~} .471$ ；cf．$\ell .389$ ，
あ． 366 ．
c $x .459$ mar．
d A． 156.
e $\Sigma .528$.
f $\mu .129, \xi .100$ ，
A．677－8．
g． 2.265 ；cf． 279.
h ef．e． 471.
i 399－401 mar．
k App．E．．5，mar．
1 430.
m t． 61 mar．
n $\delta$ ．531－5 mar．
o $\omega .34$ ；cf．$\mu .342$ ．
1）N． 3 ．
q छ． 532.
r $\Omega .482$.
s $\boldsymbol{\alpha} .276$ mar．

400















402．óflõv．
410．Foั̌xóv $\delta$ ．
400．$\lambda \varepsilon v \gamma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ́ \omega \nu$ Aristoph．，h．401．$\chi \varepsilon ́ \varrho \sigma \omega$ K．，－$\sigma 0 v$ \＆$\beta$ H．402．$\pi \varepsilon \varrho \iota \tau \varepsilon \mu \nu \frac{-}{-}$

 Photium secuto patet var．1．（Porson）sed putida sane．40\％om．xiii mss． （ $\alpha \beta$ Vi．omn．）Fl．，hab．H．M．Eu．Ro．410．oixov $\delta \varepsilon$ A．H．，oĩxov $\varepsilon$
 $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ，«๐yvœód． $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ ．
out these than with them．－ $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{v}$ ， here of wind，also of the vapour， steam or smoke，attending on fire； the form $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \mu \dot{\eta} \nu, \delta$, is also found $\gamma$ ． 289．－лєеєтсuvóuєvov，＂encircling so as to cut off＂；cf．$\tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \nu 0 \nu \tau$＇$\alpha \mu \varphi i$ $\beta$ ō̃ $\alpha^{\alpha} \gamma \varepsilon ่ \lambda \alpha s$ ，mar．From his own un－ lucky raid on the Cicones and narrow escape，$\iota .45$ foll．，he naturally sup－ poses that Agam．may so have met his death．－ $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{\chi} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu v} \boldsymbol{v o v}$ ，with this singular form，due to metrical exigency merely，cf．another $\mu \alpha \chi \varepsilon i o^{\prime} \mu \varepsilon v o s($ mar．）． Both are，no doubt，pres．not fut．The var．1．$\mu \propto \chi \varepsilon о v{ }^{\mu} \mu \varepsilon v o l$ ，which is the genu－ ine reading in the recurring passage （mar．）and has probably thence been borrowed，would refer to $\alpha \nu \alpha ́ \rho \sigma \iota o \iota ~ \alpha ้ \nu$－ $\delta \rho \varepsilon \varsigma$.

404－20．He replied，his fate came not through shipwreck or battle，but through the villainy of Ngisthus and his own wife＇s treachery．He was struck down amid the banquet，like an
ox at the stall，and his comrades butchered around him，like swine for some lordly revel．Never was seen， at single combat or in the ranks of war，so fearful a sight．The very floor of the palace reeked with blood．
409－13．tev́gers，deliberate contri－ vance is expressed by this word，so inf． $430, \tau \varepsilon v \dot{\xi} \alpha \sigma \alpha \pi$ ќб $\varepsilon \iota$ 甲óvov，on the har－ mony between the account here and those in $\gamma .250$ foll．and $\delta .516$ foll．，see App．E．5．－ov่ $\boldsymbol{o} \boldsymbol{o \mu} \dot{\boldsymbol{v}} \boldsymbol{v} \eta$ ，this epithet of detestation，in strong contrast with the statement in $\gamma .266, \varphi \varrho \varepsilon \sigma i \quad \gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho$ xย́ $\chi \varrho \eta \tau^{\prime} \propto \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \mathfrak{\vartheta} \tilde{\eta} \sigma \nu$, marks her as the deliberate murderess；whether by actual blow of weapon，as in Eschylus，and as 453 inf．，$\pi \varepsilon ́ \varphi v \varepsilon$ x $\alpha i \alpha v ๋ \tau o ̀ v, ~ w o u l d ~$ seem to show，or，as Ni．thinks，merely by conspiring to assist the murderer and surprise the victims，is immaterial． For some remarks on her character see App．E． 2 （7）．－ßoṽv غ̇x̀̀ 甲óvvท， see on $\delta$ ． $535-6$ ．－$\nu \omega \lambda \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon ́ \omega \varsigma$ ，see









a $\alpha .226$ mar.
b cf. 9. 76, 99.
c $\omega$ 87-90.
d $\delta .547$ mar.
c 9.371.
f 4.462, Ф. 207 ,
phus vicies in Il.
g. Ф. 145-6.
h cl. $\varepsilon$. $92-3$.
i $\%$. 227; cf. 4. 2, d. 627.
k $\omega .184$; cf. $\chi$. 188, 309.

1 cf. $\Phi .234, \mu .400$, v. 85.
m cf. $\boldsymbol{H} .53$, , 1337.
n $\boldsymbol{N} .366, \mathbf{S N}^{2} 699$.
o cf. $\alpha .300$ mar.
p 0.587 , 633; cf. ג. 546, E. 466 , ง. 527.
4 9. 378.

$$
\text { 418. Fl } \delta \omega^{\prime} v \text {. 421. fó } \pi \alpha \text {. }
$$







App. A. 21. - É@óv $\omega$, see on $\alpha .226$. Ni. cites Aristotle, Eth. Nicom. IV. 2, 20, Mag. Moral. I. 27, for the proverb of superfluous magnificence, $\varepsilon \rho \alpha \nu \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha}$
 "dainty", ef. $\delta \alpha \iota \tau i \vartheta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon i \eta$ (mar.)

419-20. Worsley well renders these lines, "Couldst thou have seen where stood Full tables, foaming bowls, while the floor smoked with blood". - roctлégac, there being one for each guest. - For the xøŋгウŋ and its position, and for the $\delta \alpha \pi \varepsilon \delta \delta \nu$, see App. F. 2 (22) and (4) (17). - $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \tilde{v} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}$, also used (mar.) of a rush of water or wind; here of warmth; cf. Virg. AEn. IX. 333 -4, atro tepefacta cruore terra torique madent.

421-34. He heard Cassandra's death shriek, as he fell, clutching vainly at his sword, while his shameless wife turned away, nor even deigned to close his eyes and lips, when dead - for what monster is there so fell as a woman, or what atrocity to equal her's? So were his hopes of cheer and welcome met. Her blot of infamy cleaves to all her sex for evermore.

421-3. ö $\pi \alpha$, the $\mathcal{F}$ in this word is inconstant, if at all belonging to it, in H. Yet it seems certainly to be a genuine original feature of the word,
as shown by the Latin vox, and by the kindred Greek stem $\mathcal{F} \varepsilon \pi$ - in $\begin{gathered}\text { ér } \\ \text { os }\end{gathered}$ हĩ̃ov. The hiatus being common in H. after the bucolic cesura, the $\mathcal{F}$ is not required here; see on 141-4. - Kccoबávj@クs, H. seems to know nothing of her gifts of prophecy, or of Apollo's love for her. She may be supposed present here, as the $\gamma \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \alpha_{S}$ of Agam. from among the spoils of war; cf. $A$. 120, 139. She was in the Il: courted by Othryoneus, whom Meriones slew, (mar.), see also on $\delta .5^{02}$. - é $\mu \boldsymbol{\varphi}$, غ́Moì, "over me", of locality, better than "for my sake", such strong energy of description builds ever more on physical facts than on ethical: cf. $O$.
 مózббl. For Cassandra's death see Pind. Pyth., XI. 29-35, $\Delta \alpha \varrho \delta \alpha \nu i \delta \alpha$


 रvvá.
 the earth, (i, e. struck down) lifting up my hands, was clutching my sword in death". $\pi \varepsilon \rho i$ in this context differs not in meaning fr. $\dot{\alpha} \mu \varphi \mathcal{l}$, in $\alpha \mu \varphi i \quad \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$

 and $\varepsilon .231, \pi \varepsilon \varrho \grave{\ell} \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ ఢ́óv$\eta \nu \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon \tau^{3}$
a ef．$\varphi .433$.
b $\psi .98, \delta, 263$.
c $\beta .82, \xi .269, \psi$ ． 150.

It $\omega .296$, A． 453.
e ク． 216 mar．
f ミ．509，I． 434.
$g$ ミ． 264.
Һ Ф． 57 ；ef． $\boldsymbol{\alpha} .32$ ， ع． 183.
i 4.24.
k o． $236, ~ \psi$ ．222，气 13，T． 133. 1409.
m छ． 176 ；cf．T． 328. n cf．e． 394.










 ท้тоц $\boldsymbol{\approx} \boldsymbol{\beta} \mathrm{H}$ ．et rell．，ท่̈ ทั Fl．
 $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \nu \eta{ }^{2} \sigma x \omega v$ ，＂dying with the sword in me＂，or＂was clutching（Egisthus＇） sword left in me＂，which seems less likely．－zvvãtes，a similar epith． is applied to Agam．himself by the enraged Achilles，$\sigma 0 i \tau \varepsilon x v v \tilde{\omega} \pi \alpha, A$ ． 159．Helen also calls herself иvข币̃лıs in regard of her unconjugal deeds，$\delta$ ． 145 ；and so Aphroditê is reviled by Hephæstus，才．319．－voб甲íaí， this word in H．always means，as here， ＂withdrew＂，or with a case of object after it＂left behind＂（mar．）．It must not be taken as if it meant＂purloined＂ $m y$ sword，－a post－Homeric sense of




 $\mu \tilde{\eta}_{s}$ ，Acts of the Ap．V．2．－ióvtィ $\pi \varepsilon \varrho \quad$ ィ．$\tau . \lambda .$, ＂And scorned with fell disdain Eyelids of one then passing on his way Toward Hades to seal down， and press the lips＇cold clay＂．Worsley．
 down＇；so mar．

 $x \dot{v} \nu \tau \alpha \tau 0 \nu$ ěg $\rho o \iota, K .503$ ，a superl．of the same．As a pos．xviveos is found， 1．373．－yvvcuxòs，cf．Soph，Fragm． 194，Dind，x $x<\iota o v \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ov̉x દ＇бテ兀v
 ríyvsto८ $\beta$＠oгoĭs．And many more specimens of ancient sentiment to the same purport might be cited．

428．This line＂was wanting in some
copies＂，Schol．：and could well be spared，having the air of a qualifica－ tion of the previous sweeping statement， as if put in by Odys．out of deference to the queen Aretê．But the words are Agamemnon＇s，whom such a qualifica－ tion ill suits；nay it is expressly ex－ cluded by the last line of this speech． The next line oiov $\delta \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{x}$ ． $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ．$\lambda$ ．then be－ comes a statement of his reason，but thrown out by oiov as an indignant ejaculation，even as in（mar．）$\eta^{3} \mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda^{\prime}$





430－3．zov＠edíc，I agree with Mr． Paley＇s sense in his note on A．114，
 therefore her＂lord and husband＂，i．e． lawfully wedded；both husband and wife belonging to the rank of free－ born nobles．I refer the word to no $\tilde{v}$－ ＠os as he does；with a sense of nob－ ility；in reference probably to a war－ like class；（and so connected with the Latin cures，quirites，）just as in passages where noveo bears a trace of meaning ＂young＂，it does so probably in ref－ erence to a warlike age．This is also Buttmann＇s view of the meaning， although he hesitates about the deriva－ tion．－$\eta^{\eta} \boldsymbol{\tau o c}$ है $\varphi \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\gamma \varepsilon}$ ，＂aye sure！I thought＂．－$\pi \alpha i \delta \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu i . \delta \mu .$, he does not include his wife among his expectations of glad welcome，although she would naturally have occured to his thoughts among those of whom he expected it．All such mention of her




















a $\beta .176$ mar．
b cf．v．57，$\psi .18$ ？
c cf． $\boldsymbol{\omega} .202$.
d $\gamma .204$ mar．
e 386 mar．
f o． 422.
g e． 507 mar．
h d． 173 mar．
（ $560, \boldsymbol{Y}, 306$ ．
k cf．$\vartheta .82,520$.
। I．339，T． 325.
m cf．$\pi .448, v .242$.
n ©．285，Ф． 154.
－$\beta .230, \chi .337$ ；cf．
o． 490, v． 327.
p $\eta .317$ mar．
if cf．X． 219.
r． 12 mar ．
s $\alpha$ ． 329 mar．
$t$ cf．$\omega$ ．115－6．
53.
v $\tau .483$.
w cf．186－7．
$\mathrm{x} \varrho .354,420, \boldsymbol{v} .42$.
y $\gamma .22$ ，e． 509.
z $\gamma, 187$ mar．


 Stu．$\gamma$ ．433． $\boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ H．A．G．K．M．Stu．Vi．5，56，$\tilde{\eta}^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \tau \varepsilon$ Ern．，oî $\tau \varepsilon$ in
 $\mu \varepsilon ́ v o \iota \sigma \iota ~ V i . ~ 50 . ~ 434 . ~ \varepsilon i^{\prime} x^{\prime}$ G．K．$\gamma$ Vi．5．435－40．† Aristoph．，h．（sive 435－43，ut monet La R．codicem M．testatus）． 436 ． $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ H．A．I．a man．I．

 Orest． 249 tres vv．inserit ab hoc l．alienos．440．$\alpha v \boldsymbol{v} \tau i{ }^{\prime} \boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}$ a $\beta$ H．Fl．，$\alpha$ vivıs A．K．$\gamma$ Stu．Vi． $5, \alpha v v^{*} \boldsymbol{\imath} \iota \varsigma$ Vi． $56 ; \pi \varrho \sigma \sigma \varepsilon ́ \varepsilon \iota \pi \varepsilon v$ Fl．id． 487 et fere al． $442, \pi \iota$－

 Fl．，$\varphi \alpha \tilde{v} \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota \beta$ H．I．；иоi om． $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ A．H．K．M．N．Vi． $5 \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ sed add．man． 1.




would seem，after such atrocious deeds， unnatural on the retrospect．－ö＂zo $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{\prime}$ ， perhaps to his own palace：the murder took place in that of Agisthus；see App．E．5．－oit $\boldsymbol{\tau} \varepsilon$ ，the of takes the accent owing to the enclitic following． There is a reading $\ddot{\eta}_{\boldsymbol{\prime}} \tau \varepsilon$ ，which would require $\hat{\eta} \delta^{\prime} \xi^{\prime \prime} \xi o \chi \alpha$ $\lambda \dot{v} \gamma \rho^{\prime} \varepsilon i \delta v i \alpha \alpha$ to be
a clause by itself with $\eta^{\eta} v$ understood， but $H$ ．would have said $\eta$＂$\eta \eta$ had he meant this．

438．＇Eגと́vそ乌 x．$\tau$ ．ג．，of．Neschyl．Agam．

 Bíov．

a ef. $\boldsymbol{\eta} .221, \mathbf{X} .504$.
b $\pi$. 281, 299, e. 548, あ. 94.
e $\gamma .221$.
d \%. 562 mar.
e $\alpha .224$ mar. ; ef. 2. 492.
f ef. $\boldsymbol{T} .327, \Omega$. 490.
g $\boldsymbol{\alpha} .93$ mar., 乃. 326 mar.
h ec. 196 mar.
i $\pi .439$, A. 88 .













454-6. † Aristar., h. ( $\dot{\text { ® }} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ quæ sede sua aberraverat, huic loco recte ad-

 461. † Aristar. (hoc etiam Schol. suo loco restituit La R.) h.
in part; but for the rest be close ". $x \varepsilon x \varrho v \mu \mu$. agrees with $\sigma \varepsilon$, not with $\tau \boldsymbol{j}$.
452. $\pi \varepsilon \varrho$ limits the whole phrase following, "even to look upon my son she forbade me". - vios, Orestes; who, being a young child when Agam. was slain, according to the dramatic form of the legend in Soph. Elect. 11 foll., was rescued by Electra his sister and placed in friendly hands; according to Eschyl. Choeph. 679 foll. in Phocis with Strophius. So Pindar (Py. XI. $2_{5}-8,53-5$ ), who ascribes Orestes' rescue to Arsinoë his nurse. H. makes him return home "from Athens" (but there is a var. l. $\alpha \pi \dot{o}$ $\Phi \omega x \eta \omega \nu)$ in $\gamma .307$, eight years after his father's death, and avenge his murder; consequently, since we are now only at furthest in the third year after that murder, the return and revenge of Orestes had not yet occurred; see on $\gamma$. 306-8.

453-6. These lines seem inconsistent with the previous assurance concerning Penelopê in 444-6 sup. For this reason a Schol. records their absence from most copies. We may compare the directions given by Athenê to Odys. on his reaching Ithaca \%. 307-

10, 333-6; which perhaps may have furnished the hint for the present pas-


 Schol. H. took this to mean "whether you hear of him as being yet alive", and condemned the v. 46 r as though the assurance there asserted were inconsistent with this question: but there is no need to regard évı ¢ю́ovios as included in the enquiry; "about my yet living son", as contrasting his own condition, would yield as good a sense; or, if we take a weaker sense
 my son as living either in etc.", might be rendered.
459. О@Хо $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \omega$, either the Minyeian mentioned 284 sup., and in the Catalogue B. $5^{11}$ coupled with Aspledon, between the Bootian and Phocian groups of townships, or the Arcadian, B. 605 , might be intended. Agam. had himself, B. 612, afforded ships to the Arcadians. As the two other places mentioned in $459-60$ are both in $\mathrm{Pe}-$ loponnesus, the Arcadian may perhaps here be preferred, as being also a nearer refuge.













a．$\delta .492$ ma1．
b）B． 48 f ．
（c）J． 8.35 mar．
（i） 81 mar．
－ i 12.
 $390 \mathrm{maะ}$ ．
－ 51,48 mai
ค．9．75 ma1．，$\omega$ 15－8．
i $\boldsymbol{P}$ ．g70， $\boldsymbol{\mu}$. （8is．
k J． 187 mar．
1 ソ． 116 mat．
il $\Omega .376$ ．
＂в． 213 mar．
－B．674．
1） 550 ．
4 153 mar．
1．538，ї． 28.
s 405 mar．
（ e． 351 mar．
u $\gamma, 132$ mal．
v $\gamma$ ． 261 ，w．426， 444，К．289．
w $\Omega .519$ ．
 475．＂AFı $\delta$ ós $\delta \varepsilon$.

 47 ．hine ad v． 535 in $\beta$ nova man．rem excipit． $47^{2}$ ．x $\alpha i \mu^{\prime}$ K．M．；ó до－ $\varphi v \varrho \propto \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta$ Vr．，－œо $\mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta \propto \beta$ H． 474．$\tau i \pi \tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \tau 0 \iota \alpha ; \mu \eta{ }^{\sigma} \delta \alpha \iota$ Vi． $56, \mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \alpha \iota$ V．


463．ti ．．．diciosal，the question and the short speech of which it forms part derive further pertinence from the fact，which the shade of Agam． appears to assume，in $444-51$ sup．， that Odys．，as he says inf． 480 foll．， had not yet returned home or even to Greece．For in that case he would probably have not needed to enquire about Agamemnon＇s fate．－évと $\boldsymbol{\nu} \omega$＇ 2eco $\beta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \dot{\zeta} \zeta \varepsilon \iota \nu$ ，to＂talk but idle air＂ （Worsley）．

465－76．We wept at this sad talk． Then came the shades of Achilles and his beloved comrades．They knew me， and enquired，＂what desperate errand next？How had I ventured down whither none but the helpless dead ever came？＂

467 －8．These two hero comrades are named in $\omega, 76-81$ as buried in the same sepulchral mound with Achil－ les；and Antil．is there particularized as the one，after Patroclus＇death， best beloved by Achilles，even as in $\Sigma .2$（ef．P． $65^{1}-5$ ）he is selected to bring the news to Achilles of the loss of Patroclus．In a legend given by

Pausan．III． 19 （end）Leonymus of Crotona is said to have first explored the island Leucê of the Euxine，and there to have seen the hero group mentioned here，including the Oileian as well as the Telamonian Ajax．The famous Scolion on Harmodius and Aristogeiton has a stanza in which a similar thought occurs；

 $\nu \alpha \iota$,


The lyrist Ibycus placed Achilles after death in the Elysian plain with Medeia for a consort；see Schol．on Apoll． Rhod．IV． 815.

471．हैyv $\boldsymbol{\text { en }}$ ，his drinking of the blood must be understood as a previous condition of so recognizing．

474－6．For the sentiment see on
 ＂ौ̈̈dóģe，ef．Theocr．XVI．52－3，
 －ruted安 $\mu$ हv，see App．G．3．－ 15 ＊
a $\beta$ ． 28 ．
b $\omega .14,4.72$.
с $\boldsymbol{\Gamma} .278$.
（1）II．21，T． 216.
（r ef．七． 253.
f ef． $9.353, \varphi, 17$ r． 367.
ヶ $\xi .328, \pi .402$.
h $\alpha$ ． 86 ．
i $\gamma .170, \delta .671,845$ ， o．29，x．97，M． 168.
k 166－7 mar．
K．476，A．734， X． 197.
m I．142，284；cf． $\eta .67$.
n 304 mar．
o o．274，A．79， II． 172 ．











## 

476．$\alpha \delta \varrho \alpha \nu \varepsilon ́ \varepsilon \varsigma ~ v a r . ~ l . ~ t . ; ~ x \propto \mu o ́ v \tau \varepsilon я ~ V i . ~ 50 . ~ 478 ~ o m . ~ V i . ~ 5, ~ 56, ~ \pi \eta \lambda \varepsilon ́ \omega я ~ \alpha ~ \beta ~$ H．I．K．Stu．Fl．，${ }_{-1}^{o} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{S}$ A．481．$\pi 0 v$ A．；$\dot{\alpha} \mu \eta_{S} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ Apollon．Lex．，$\dot{\alpha} \mu \tilde{\eta}_{S}$

 －$\tau$ ¢＠os $\alpha$ Stu．
$\dot{\alpha} \varphi \varrho a \delta \dot{\delta} \varepsilon \varsigma$ ，cf． ห．494－5 and note．－ $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \alpha \mu \dot{\nu} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ，this word $=\vartheta \alpha \nu \nu$ óv $\omega \omega$ ， for which it is euphemistic in sense of＂the exhausted＂，i．e．of vital strength（cf．x．536，$\lambda .29, \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu$ év ${ }^{2} v \alpha$ ко́＠$\eta_{\varphi \alpha}$ ，and 393－4，$\alpha \lambda \lambda$ ov $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ oí
 of those＂whose labours are over＂． It is limited in this use to the plur．， see mar．，and cf．Lat．Manes，as is also หєหиךхо́т $\varepsilon$ ，which comes into its place in later Greek（Thucyd．III．59， Plato de Legg．IV．p． 718 a，Aristot． Eth．Nicom．I．II ap．fin．）．Aschyl． has both，e．g．in Supp．231，xáx\＆亢̌ $\delta t^{-}$
 $\dot{v} \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha_{S} \delta i x \alpha_{S}$ ，and $i b{ }^{1} 1_{5} 8, \mathrm{Z} \tilde{\eta} \nu \alpha \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$ $\tau \varepsilon$ จvทนо́ $\tau \omega \nu$（Buttm．Lexil．68）．It may be compared with the Heb． lit．＂the weak＂，for the dead，in Ps． LXXXVIII．ir．Its use by Achilles is severely pointed，as if contrasting what he now is with what he was on earth． So Odys．takes it，and replies to the feeling which it irdicates in the con－ solatory lines 482－6 inf．

477－86．I said I had come to con－ sult Teiresias and had not yet set foot on Grecian land．I reminded him of his glory on earth and his suprem－ acy even in Haides，why then should he so rue his loss？




 accordingly $\boldsymbol{x} \alpha \tau \propto \chi$ Ø＠$\varepsilon$ os does not mean ＂after an oracle＂，but＂on account of＂，or＂on business with＂；so above 164，$\chi \varrho \varepsilon i ́ \omega, \mu \varepsilon$ и $\alpha \tau \dot{\eta} \gamma \alpha \gamma \varepsilon v$ हi＇＇Atð＇$\alpha$ $\psi v \chi \tilde{n} \chi \varrho \eta \sigma о ́ \mu \varepsilon v o v$ и．$\tau$ ．$\lambda$ ．

48i－6．See on 166－7．－ $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ẽ̃，this depends on $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \tau \alpha \tau o s$, for which use
 $\lambda \omega v, \varepsilon .105$ ．There is a reading $\mu \alpha$－
 doubtful whether in any other passage in H ．this word necessarily requires， as here，to be rendered＂before＂of time．For some in which that sense is possible，see mar．The Schol，on K． 476 takes it so there．－ $\boldsymbol{\mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ rectézig，not it seems，by any spe－ cial grant of sovereignty from Perse－ phonê，or the like，but by virtue of his hero－primacy on earth，which con－ veyed a faint reflex of its lustre to the Shades．In his retort，491，Achil－ les uses the phrase verv́zбби $\ldots \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma$－ $\sigma \varepsilon \iota v$ ，＂be lord over the dead＂，a preferment more decidedly sovereign in its quality；cf．Soph．Elect．84I， $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \psi v \chi o s \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \varepsilon \iota$ ，of Agam．－






a $\Sigma .268$.
b $\boldsymbol{Z} .486$; cf. $\boldsymbol{\pi} .434$.
c E. 684, P. 501.
I $\sigma .178,0.53, \pi$. 279.
e $\% .251$ mar.
f $\sigma .357$, Ф. 444 ;
cf, $\delta .644$ mar.
gef. O. 498, $\xi .211$.
h $\delta .686$, @. 250.
cf. x. 530 mar.
487. $\pi \varrho 0 \sigma \varepsilon$ F $\varepsilon \iota \pi \varepsilon \nu$.
491. F $\alpha v \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \iota v . ~$
 h. Eu. 491. $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \iota$ K. M. N. Vi. 50, 133 Eu. Fl.
$\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \iota$ и. $\tau . \lambda$., "wherefore, repine not that thou art dead".
$486-503$. He spurned my consolation, he would rather, he said, be a poor man's hireling on earth than lord it here. He enquired about his son had he come to the war? about his father - was he deposed and deprived in his old age, for want of such protection as he himself had rendered once and would have rendered still ah! if he only could?
 жco. "Prithee! about death - of all things $(\gamma \varepsilon)$ - palter not with me": the tone of Achilles is abrupt and almost indignant, but our language has no verb trans, fit to render $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \dot{v} \delta \alpha$. Perhaps, however, "preach up death", might stand. The word $\delta \dot{\eta}$ inserted, and the pres. tense of that verb, show the mood of the speaker. There is something in it of the impatience with which he in life received the polite overtures of Odys; on behalf of Agam.


 ห. т. $\lambda . ;$ ef. $\mu \eta$ $\tau \alpha \tilde{v} \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha v \delta \delta \alpha, \sigma$. 178. $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha v \delta \alpha^{\prime} \omega$ is not found in Il., we have however the somewhat similar $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ́ \varphi \eta \mu \iota, \pi \alpha \varrho \varepsilon i \pi \eta \eta ; \pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \varrho \varrho \eta \tau \sigma \iota, A$.
 "be a hireling field-drudge". The reading $\pi \alpha$ óovos is hardly worth notice: for $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\mu \varepsilon \boldsymbol { v }}$ see App. A.
 not agree, although the same man is meant, in $\alpha ้ \lambda \lambda \omega$ more generally, in $\alpha v$ $\delta \varrho i . . . \alpha x \lambda \eta{ }^{\circ} \varrho \omega$ more precisely; the relations expressed being different. The former being that of a person
whom one serves for hire, the latter that of one on whom one depends for food etc. and these two being the same person. - axdnow, "lack-land", i.e. who has no independent estate, but might have land by grant. The position is best explained by $\xi$. 63-4, where Eumæus says. Odys. had granted him "such things as a kindly chief is wont to grant", oั̃xóv $\tau \varepsilon x \lambda \tilde{\eta} \rho o ́ v \tau \varepsilon \pi o \lambda v-$ $\mu \nu \eta ँ \sigma \tau \nu \tau \varepsilon \quad \gamma v \nu \alpha i \check{\alpha} \alpha$. Now Eumæus had slaves under $\operatorname{him}(\xi .24,410)$, and might probably have had hirelings. Thus the position coveted by Achilles would be somewhat like one of these underlings of the swineherd. - $\tilde{\tilde{\omega}} \boldsymbol{\mu} \dot{\eta}$ ... $\varepsilon$ हौ $\eta$, this might be freely rendered "poverty-stricken". Eumæus again may be our example, who describes his scanty wardrobe equipments in $\xi$. 5! 3-4.
 repeats the feeling noticed in xouóv$i \omega \nu$, see on 476 sup., and ef. Stesich.

 $\chi \alpha \varrho \iota s$, where $\pi о \tau$ ' is $=\pi \varrho \circ \varsigma$. The noble shade then hastily turns the conversation in eager quest of tidings from the world of life. The lines 489 -91 are cited in Lucian Dial. Inf. XV, between Achilles and Antilochus, the latter urging that every one else shared the like fate, and that Odysseus' own turn would soon come, and reminding Achilles that he had deliberately preferred a short life with glory to a long inglorious one (1. 410-6, cf. A. 415-8); who replies, that he did not then know what death really was. Antil. rejoins, that he had better hold his tongue and endure his lot.

1．E． 18, A． 839.
1．$\lambda .168,372, \boldsymbol{E}$ 551，य． 297.
$1 \mathrm{cf} 48.$.

e H． 75.
if． 632 mar．
c． $174,177$.

－$\frac{510}{5}, \rho^{2} .111,0$.亏1 10 ．
k 175 mar．
 N． 661 ．
m $\pi .317, v .167$ ，
 шат．

494．Feı

 Fl．；оข้หi \＆ $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ H．Fl．493．グ veǐ兀．Vi． 56 et ambigue 5.

492．coù xuedòs $\dot{\theta} \ell .$, with this use of the article cf．८． 378 ，o $\mu 0 \chi \lambda$ òs हैג $\alpha$ ivos，and 464，$\tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \tilde{\eta} \lambda \alpha \tau \alpha \nu \alpha \dot{v} \pi o \delta \alpha$ ， note，however，that it is here used without any previous mention of the son spoken of，as though Achilles ab－ ruptly resumed some train of thought or conversation with his fellows on the subject．H．tells us nothing from which to estimate the age of Neoptolemus， save that Achilles had estimated that， by the time of his own death，he would have been old enough for Patroclus， had he survived，to show the youth his home and father＇s palace（T． 327 foll．），which act of tutelage expected on Patroclus＇part perhaps implies his not being of age to take independent possession of them．Or may only mean that，having no remembrance of them， he would find a difficulty in recog－ nizing and being recognized．Neither do we know anything precisely from H．of the age of Achilles himself， save that he is younger than Patro－ clus（ 1.787 ），who yet dies in prime youth，II．857．But again，the same expression $(X .363)$ is used of Hector， who，as Helen＇s words（ $\Omega .765$ ）seem to imply，was of man＇s estate 20 years before his death．The legend，later de－ veloped，which made Neopt．be born shortly before the outbreak of the war， whilst Achilles was concealed in Scyros among the daughters of Lycomedes， （Hor．Carm．I．viii．13－6，）would make Neopt．quite a boy in the last year of the war．But the Homeric student need not trouble himself with this；or any legend of concealment，since Achilles
in 1.766 foll．is sent willingly to the war，with consent of his father， and bidden $\alpha$＇દ̀ $\nu \dot{\alpha} \varrho \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \varepsilon \iota \nu$. Questions of relative age are indeed unsuitable to epos and imply a forgetfulness of the conditions under which its legends were moulded．The poet doubtless found these two，of the father Achilles and of the son Neopt．pre－existing， each having had an origin and growth so far absolute that its condition of relation was lost sight of；and he was not at much trouble to adjust them． As little concern have we with the sequel of Neoptolemus＇fate，found in Pindar（Nem．IV．84，VII．50－6I， Fragm．24），that he reigned in Epirus， and died at Delphi，being slain by the priest，Machærus，there，owing to a quarrel about the sacrifice or the spoils， or because he came to insult Apollo by demanding satisfaction for his father＇s death．Another story，which the Greek Tragedians and Virgil（ZEn． III．330－2）follow，was，that he was slain by Orestes．In ס．5－9 we hear of his marriage with Hermionê daughter of Menelaus and Helen．

495－6．тוんìv，＂royalty＂，see on
 $\boldsymbol{\tau} \varepsilon$ ，the former name is more extensive of the tavo．Thus Phœenix left＂Hellas＂ and took refuge from his father，Amyn－ tor Ormenides，in Phthiê．Now this Amyntor dwelt at Eleon；and，if we were sure that the Eleon of the Cat－ alogue，among the Bootian towns， were the same place，this would show that Hellas included some part of Bœo－ tia．See I． $447,478-9, K .266, B .500$.








 $\alpha v ๋ \tau \alpha ́ \varrho ~ \tau о \iota ~ \pi \alpha \iota \delta o ́ s ~ \gamma \varepsilon ~ N \varepsilon о \pi \tau о \lambda \varepsilon ́ \mu о \iota о р ~ \varphi і ́ \lambda о \iota о ~$



a $(0.103, \Sigma .515$ ．
h $\mu .50,1 i 8, \chi \cdot 478$.
e cf．$\sigma$ ．23\％．
if $\beta .181$ mar．
e $\alpha .257$ mar．
f $\alpha, 6.2$ mar
o． 518
I $\delta .345$ mar．
Х．473，N．573， is． 97.
k Z． 502, M．166， N．318，P． 638.
I $\chi$ ．70，248，un－ decies in Il．
in $\psi .9$.
n cf．©． 213.
o 494 mar．
1＇$\gamma .189, \delta, 5-6$ ， T．326－33．
q $\Omega .407, \pi .226$ ，甲．212，X． 420. r Ұ． 402 mar．
s $\delta .817$ mar．
t I．668，T．326， 332.

1 $\beta .72$ mar．




 $\mu \varepsilon \boldsymbol{c} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ Fl．

Mr．Gladstone，Juventus Mundi，p． 1 о － 1 ，adopts this view．It seems to me more likely that Phœenix＇migration was on a narrower scale，from some part to another of what was afterwards Thessaly．
 the extremities trembling and unsteady being a mark of decline；so Hes．Opp． $113-4$ ，of the men of the golden age，

 $\pi о \nu \tau$＇．Zenod．read here $\varepsilon i \not \gamma \alpha \varrho$ ह́ $\gamma \omega \nu$, with which cf．$\delta .341-5$ ，$\alpha \dot{\prime} \gamma \alpha \varrho$ roĩog ह́ต̀ o ỗos．．．toĩos ह́ต̀ ．．．
 －غ̇xcooyòs，only here in H．It occurs Eurip．Hec．162．－vix＇ $\boldsymbol{\omega} \dot{\boldsymbol{v}}-$ $\gamma \dot{\epsilon} g$ ，see on $\beta .1_{1}$ ．－גà̀v，the Schol．H．here records that Aristar． understood this of the troops of Memnon．

502．Gvev̧atut，the transit．sense ＂would make them rue＂，required here， is not elsewhere found：we may comp． （Ni．）the use of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \chi \dot{J} \alpha \varrho^{\rho} \varepsilon \varepsilon$ in $\delta .105$ ； similarly in Pind．Ol．VI．151，$\chi \alpha \lambda$ ros $\varepsilon ้ \gamma \nu \omega \mu \iota \nu$ and Ol．XIII．3，$\gamma \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\mu} \alpha \iota \tau \dot{\alpha} \nu$
 sense．－ $\boldsymbol{\tau \varepsilon \omega}$（or $\tau \varepsilon \omega)$ ，there is a read－
ing $\tau \varepsilon \omega \nu$（or $\tau \varepsilon ́ \omega \nu$ ）worth notice．－
 suit the bitter mood of the speaker， to consider this to mean the＂high－ handed might＂of the supposed spoilers of Peleus，not that of the speaker．－ córtovs，the etymology generally given for this connects it with $\tilde{\alpha} \pi \tau \omega$ ， to fasten，tie，check；so＂unchecked＂． More probably it is another form of
 with $\dot{\alpha} F \mathfrak{\alpha} \tau \eta$ ，＂hurt＂，＂mischief＂．It only occurs in plur．as epith．of $\chi \varepsilon \tilde{\imath}^{-}$ $\varrho \varepsilon \varsigma, \chi \varepsilon \check{\varrho} \rho \alpha$ s．

505－37．I told him I knew not of Peleus，but that Neoptolemus had joined our host and proved sage in counsel and valiant in war，slaying Eurypylus，and giving proofs of distin－ guished gallantry in the Wooden Horse， which procured him a noble share of the spoils，and all without a wound．

506－9．Nеолтодє́ноєо，see above on 492．－ $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ भceyov，according to a legend noticed there－as inconsistent， however，with Homeric facts－it was Odys．who detected and brought to the war the disguised Achilles．So Sophocl．，following H．here，makes
a H．1．4 $4, ~$ 上．10t，
N． $730-1$.
I -4.129.
－I． 126.
il I． 215.
e A． 835, O． 739 ．
i 11.497 ．
s．X．1．78－9．







515．Fòv F\＆íuตv．
 $\xi \omega \mu \varepsilon \vartheta \alpha$ Vi．5；ßoviウ̀v $\gamma^{\prime}$ Stu．511．غ＇$\varphi \rho \alpha \xi \varepsilon$ Eu．512．$\tau$ ，om．$\beta$ II．Stu．





 $\beta$ H．，és $\pi \lambda \eta \boldsymbol{v} \dot{v} \nu \mathrm{mss}$ ．ix et var．l．M．Fl． $5^{15} 5^{\circ} \pi \varrho o \vartheta \varepsilon ́ \varepsilon \sigma x \varepsilon \nu$ ह̊ò $\nu$ M．a man． 1

Eu．，－биє tò غòv G．M．ex emend．，tò ôv \＆H．，ơv $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ ．

Neopt，state that he was brought thither by Odys．and Phœnix（Philoct．343－7）． －Exv́gov（so called from the white argillaceous soil therein，since биv＠os is a mason＇s chip；Etym．Mag．citing Didymus）．H．，as said above on 492， knows nothing of Achilles lurking in Scyros in disguise．Scyros，＂the city of Enyeus＂（not Lycomedes），is spoken of as a conquest of his in I．668， which，for aught we find in H．，might have been made during the course of the war．But，since Helen says in $\Omega$ ． 765 ，it was at Hector＇s death the twentieth year since she left her home， the first decade of this period would have afforded time enough for all the developments required by the story． The Cycle filled up the interval by a preliminary expedition to Mysia，which took Achilles to Scyros，where he wedded Lycomedes＇daughter（Miiller， de Cyclo，p．42．So the Schol．Ven．

 which $\varepsilon^{\prime \prime} \xi o \delta{ }^{\circ}$ such expedition may be meant．As regards the locality of Scyros，the Scholl．on $I .668$ identify the name with a city held by some rebellious Dolopes，whom Ach．reduced． This would doubtless be in Thessaly
 A third city of the name in Phrygia is also mentioned by the Scholl．ad Il． The words of Pindar，however，speak－
ing of Neopt．，Nem．VII．52，o $\delta^{\boldsymbol{\prime}}{ }^{3} \pi \pi 0-$
 show that he meant the island－an authority older and more definite than any in favour of the other sites．

5io．Bovגós，power in debate as prowess in action entered fully into Homer＇s conception of a hero．So Phoenix says，he taught Achilles $\mu v$－



 －3．Comp．the description of the effect of eloquence in $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$ ． 1 yo－3．Mr．Gladstone has noticed that $H$ ．＂confines the grand epithet $x v \delta \iota \iota \alpha v \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha$ strictly to two sub－ jects，battle and debate；Hom．Stud． III．p． 103.
 he was at once prompt in speech and sure．With the ovx $\dot{\eta} \mu . \mu \dot{v} \vartheta \omega v$ may be contrasted the epith．$\alpha \mu \propto \rho \tau о \varepsilon \pi \eta$ s （mar．）．－vexioxousv＇，between this and $\nu \varepsilon \iota ห \varepsilon ́ \sigma и о \mu \varepsilon \nu$ ，or some confusion of the two，the mss．are divided．The $\mathbf{v}$ ．
 $\mu \varepsilon v$ as a gloss，indicating the former．－
 the line，as if in challenge to the hostile host，was of course the bearing of a true hero．Thus Menelaüs marks Paris
 22，on whose own appearance हैv $\pi \varrho 0$－ $\mu \propto ́ \chi о \iota \sigma \iota ~ P a r i s ~ r e t i r e s . ~$










a $\mu .257$ et decies in $1 l$ ．
b $328, \delta .240-2$.
c 500 ．
d J． 271.
cef．B． $\mathbf{i 3 k}$ ．
f B． 417 ； $\mathrm{cf} . \lambda .11 \because$ ．
＠o． 247.
h J．心．
i Э． $4!12-\ddot{2}$ ，え $0 ; 3$ seqq．
k $9.512-3$ ．
I B． 643.
m E． 751 ，ツ． 395.

522．Fi $\delta o v$ cont．metr．
517．ovoun่vต N．Eu．，òvourivต a $\beta$ H．，519．oĩov a K．Vi，5，oĩov $\beta$ H．Fl．


 Aristar．testatur $\mathbf{h}$ ．

516－8．Sท兀otñte，this word ex－ presses the actual death－struggle of war；so in $\mu .257$ of the comrades in the clutch of Scylla．－$\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{g} .$. öббov $\lambda$ aòv，＂all the multitude whom ete．＂－$\dot{\dot{c}} \lambda \lambda$ ， $\operatorname{oigv,~in~contrast~with~}$
 cannot tell of all，I will single out one＂；the oiov，calling attention to it as if though conspicuous before the eyes．Telephus，a Mysian prince and Trojan ally，the father of the Eury－ pylus slain by Neopt．，had been wounded by Achilles，who healed him by the same weapon which had wrought his wound：hence the proverb $\boldsymbol{o}$ гою́－ $\sigma \alpha$ s i $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\sigma} \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ ．He afterwards through gratitude rendered services to the Greek host，and came eventually to Greece in the guise of a beggar，as dramat－ ized by Eurip．in a lost play．Hor． de A．Poet． $96-7$ alludes to this．

521．K $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{c}$ ，taken on the author－ ity of Alcæus（Scholl．）as a nomen gent， representing Mysians，or some division of them，another sense is＂huge＂，from riños，－but probably only a gram－ marian＇s guess．－रvvaiwv \＆îveza $\delta \omega \rho \omega v$ ，the same phrase recurs（mar．） of the means which brought about the death of Amphiaraius，viz．the bribing his wife．The Scholl．here have a
similar story，that Priam promised a golden vine to Astyochê，his sister， mother of Eurypylus，if the latter came to the war as an ally．They give an－ other，that one of Priam＇s daughters was promised to Eur．as a wife on a similar condition，and a third that the promise was to Neopt．by Menelaüs， that he should marry Menelaüs＇daugh－ ter Hermionê，and that he vanquished Euryp．to win her；see $\delta$ ．6．The first alone of these yields a sense for $\gamma v v \alpha i \omega v \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} . \delta \dot{\omega}$, analogous to the other passage．

522．＊eĩvov，probably refers to Euryp． not Neopt．－xáええ兀бтov，beanty usu－ ally（although Nireus is perhaps an exception，B． $671-5$ ）implies in H． strength and courage．On Memnon， his complexion，and his connexion with the Ethiopians（not expressly stated in H．），see App．D．r，p．xlvi of vol．I． －ís $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{0}$ ，＂the Greekes horse，Sinon， That broughte Troye to destruction＂， Chaucer，Squieres T．，10523－4．In this last critical adventure Odys．him－ self appears to have commanded， 52.4 ； see also $\vartheta$ ．500，$\delta .284-8$ ．Hence he speaks with authority of the bearing of Neopt．

525．This verse is condemned by
a $\eta .136$ decies in
I／．，i＇s． 573.
1．$\Sigma 124$ ．
e K．390．
1 §．2（t！）m：ar．
ecf．N．278－8if，
I．35，P．733，
ip． 412 ．
f cl．$\beta .376$ mar．
£ 工．123－4．
h $\gamma .130$ mar．
ع． 26 ；cf．$\gamma 188$.
k 11 1．659－61．三．12．1．
H． 273, O． 746.
il П． $81!$－ 0 ．
1） ع． 122.











> 527. F\&x๙́бтov. 528. Fídov.






 $\beta$ ；оข่ $\tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon ́ v o s ~ \beta . ~$
the Scholl．as＂unknown to Aristar．＂， $i$ ．$e$ ．not read in the critical edition （or editions，see vol．I．Pref．p．Lxvii and notes）ascribed to him，but found in some of the $\dot{v} \pi o \mu \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \tau \alpha$＂commen－ taries＂．From them the Scholia which we inherit were most probably gathered． The line is slightly altered from the Il． （mar．）．

526．The Schol．H．says，Aristar．
 סov́ptov ízrov＇Axctoí．The reading which has prevailed may probably be that of Crates his opponent（Vol．I． Pref．p．Lxxii）．

526－7．Such signs of fear as here described characterize the＂coward＂， noxos（mar．）．As applicable to the «оөбтоц，they must be taken with poetic latitude the poet＇s immediate object being to entrance the intrepid bearing of Neopt．，to which effect he some－ what sacrifices the standard of heroic Greek valour generally．Nor is it un－ natural that the long suspense implied by $\vartheta$ ． 500 foll．inside that dismal ambush，the Horse，might give op－
portunity for the valour of some to vacillate．
531．火由ixทv，where actual contact is signified we find é èt $\mu \alpha i o \mu c \iota$ with accus．，as here and ${ }^{\circ}{ }_{i} \dot{ } \omega \nu$ ह́ $\pi \varepsilon \mu \alpha i \varepsilon \tau o$ $\nu \tilde{\omega} \tau \alpha, \iota .441$ ；where the sense is that of yearning or reaching after， as in $\varepsilon$ है $\pi \iota \mu \alpha$ ío vóб $\tau 0 v, \varepsilon, 344$ ，био－ $\pi \varepsilon ่ \lambda \omega \nu \quad \varepsilon \pi \iota \mu \alpha \hat{i} \varepsilon o, \mu .220$ ，the gen．is found．He was handling his weapons from eagerness to close with the enemy．
 share of the general plunder；$\gamma \varepsilon$ ¢́as， some distinguished addition；accord－ ing to later poets，the captive widow of Hector．Pindar，Nem．VII．59－

 $\nu i \omega v$ ．
 vos，for the contrast between these see mar．，also $\Delta .540, \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \beta 2 \eta \tau 0$ ¢ $x \alpha \grave{\imath}$


 $\beta \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega$ refers to missiles，ovं $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$ to close


 $540 \gamma \eta \mathfrak{\vartheta}$



1．O．605－6
と 471.
i）O． 686.
e $\boldsymbol{\Gamma} .22, \boldsymbol{H} .213, \boldsymbol{n}$
676.
f $573, \omega .13$
¢ $\ell .2$ mar．
h 2.530 mar．
i 466.
k 570，A． 513.
। $T$ ． $30 \%$ ．



 rasura mutat．

540．$\gamma \eta \vartheta \sigma \sigma v ่ v \eta$ I．M．N．，$-v \eta$ V．cum interp．$\chi \alpha i \varrho o v \sigma \alpha$ et sic M．；ह゙ $p \eta$ I．Fl．Ro．541．ห $\alpha \tau \alpha \tau \varepsilon \vartheta v \varepsilon \iota \omega \tau \omega \nu \mathrm{mss}$ ．xi（ $\alpha \beta$ H．a man．I）Fl．， $-\nu \eta$ rót $\omega v$ Vi． $56,-v \eta \omega ́ \tau \omega v$ V．542．हैб $\tau \alpha \sigma \alpha v$ \＆$\beta$ A．I．K．N．Vi．iii．
 $\xi v \nu o ̊ s ~ ' E v v \alpha ́ \lambda \iota o s ~ ห \propto i ́ ~ \tau \varepsilon ~ ห \tau \alpha v \varepsilon ́ o v \tau \alpha ~$
 often．

538－53．The shade strode grandly away，gratified at my news．The other comrades asked after their kin．Ajax alone held aloof，indignant at my vic－ tory－would I had never gained it at such a cost！－in the contest for the armour of Achilles．Yet I spoke to him．

539－40．poíce r．г．久．，poíz is more expressive than $\omega \chi \varepsilon \tau 0$ ，which appears in some mss．With $\mu \alpha x \varrho \alpha \beta_{\ell} \beta$ ． cf．Hy．Herm． 225 ，öбtıs $\tau 0 \check{\alpha} \alpha \pi \varepsilon$ ह́ $\lambda \omega \rho \alpha$ $\beta \iota \beta \dot{\alpha}$ лобі иболалі́нобєข．Achilles shade is soothed．He marches grandly off in a silence more expressive than all words．It should be noticed that there is scant cordiality in his bear－ ing towards Odys．；see on 541－2 inf． All his talk relates to himself and his nearest kin，（whereas Agam．dwells on Odysseus wife and child，447－51，） and he shows throughout that self－ absorbed character，the more offensive side of which is aggressive pride．Yet there is the tribute of a great soul to kindred greatness in the half－admiring， half－derisive words of question，$\tau i \pi \tau^{3}$
 ифuóv七шv；of 474－5．All this seems singularly to match the living Achil－
 with daffodil＂，the grammarians notice that the noun is accentuated $\dot{\alpha} \sigma$ pód $\varepsilon$－ dos；ef．Lucian，Necyom．p．231，$\pi \varrho$ ós

 German name being Affodil，it seems likely that our＂daffadilly＂（Spenser and Milton）is Teutonic，not borrowed from the Greek，which the French closely follows in asphodele．The com－ mon English variety of the plant ap－ pears in early spring，and in some parts the people call them＂Lent－lilies＂． Gell．p． 21 says that he found them blowing in the winter near Navarino． In curious agreement with this in Hy ． Merc． $215-21$ ，Apollo in quest of his cattle goes to Pylos（Navarino）and finds their tracks leading $\varepsilon_{S}^{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \varphi o \delta \varepsilon-$ $\lambda \grave{\nu} \nu \lambda \varepsilon \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu \alpha$ ．This character of per－ ennial bloom no doubt furnished the reason why they were planted about graves，and thus connected with the world of death．The root or some part of it was eaten in rustic diet， Hes．Opp．41．The Scholl．on $\omega .13$ have a statement that the Asphodel produced no fruit，and another that whose ate of it never felt hunger or thirst again． For the probable connexion of the $\lambda \varepsilon \tau$－ $\mu \dot{\omega} \nu$ here with the $\alpha i \not \partial \delta o s{ }_{\sigma} \dot{\omega} \mu \alpha$ see App．G．3．－ $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \boldsymbol{0} \sigma \dot{v} v \eta$ ，such is in Homer＇s view the only joy which can reach the dead：all others come and go in woe «xขvviucvą $388,466,542$. See some remarks in the Preface to this vol．
$541-4$ ．ai $\delta^{\prime} \ddot{\not \prime} \lambda \lambda \alpha \varepsilon$ ，those of the iminediate comrades of Achilles，or other shades of Greeks killed at the war，seem specially kept in view here． －عigovto u． $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ． I．，were asking after $^{\text {，}}$
a $\Sigma .193, \Theta .267$.
b ef. $\mu .440$.
c I'. 70 ; cf. $\boldsymbol{\text { II. }} 825$.
d in 14. plus vicies,
in Od. ter et dec.
oï $\delta^{\prime}$ Aï $\alpha v \tau o g^{a} \psi v \chi \grave{\eta}$ Tह $\lambda \alpha \mu \omega \nu \iota \alpha ́ \delta \alpha o$







 cf. Milton, "Lycidas, your sorrow"). They had all the same craving for
 we may observe the different degrees in the demeanour of the dead. Agamem., whose close intimacy with Odys. has been pointed out in App. E. I (1), piteously strives to fall into his arms and embrace him. Achilles shows something of the coldness of that jealous pride which he had felt on earth, and is more courteous than kind, and at last more abrupt than courteous, see on 539-40 sup. Ajax asks no news, but holds aloof in a sullen gloom of reserve which no remonstrance can dispel. The metrical violations of the digamma are disproportionately numerous in the following narrative and speech; see the mid. mar.

545-6. $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{v} \mu \iota \boldsymbol{v}$ (var. l. $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} v$ ), the double accus, is noteworthy; cf. $\beta .204$
 $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{v} \chi \varepsilon \sigma \boldsymbol{v}$ đ́ $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varphi}$, for some examples of $\alpha \mu \varphi i$ with dat. after verbs of contest etc. see on 423 sup. - лóvvicu $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\imath} \boldsymbol{\eta}$, the funeral of Achilles, as that of Patroclus in $\Psi$., was celebrated by games, at the close of which Thetis, who presided, offered his armour as a separate prize to whoever, besides being most valiant, had done most service in rescuing the corpse. Such is the form of the story gathered from Arctinus or Lesches of the Epic cycle which Q. Smyrnæus has worked up, V. 121 foll.; see also Ov . Metam. XII. 628, where Ulysses makes his appeal to the united chiefs - et arbitrium litis trajecit in omnes.
547. $\pi \alpha \tilde{\imath} \delta \varepsilon_{\mathrm{G}} \boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\varepsilon}$ T@., the Scholl. say that Agam., wishing to affect impartiality, called on certain Trojan captives to declare whether Odys. or

Aj. had done most harm to the Trojans, who declared for Odys. This may have been gathered out of some Cyclic poet, from whom this line, rejected by Aristar., is also suspected to have been taken.
 expressing himself, since in such connexions he uses vís, e. g. in T. 175, where Achil. sacrifices $\delta \dot{\rho} \delta \varepsilon x \alpha$ T .
 clus. The story of the Scholl. does not account for Pallas' share in the decision. Possibly the capture of the Palladium (not found in H.) may have been the occasion which combined her verdict with that of the Trojans. The Schol. on Aristoph. Eq. IO51, citing the $\mu \ell$ xœ $\eta$ " $" I \iota \omega \varsigma$, says that Nestor advised that spies should be sent to listen under the Trojan wall to the talk of the Trojans about the two competing heroes, where, by the management of Athenê, they overheard a Trojan maiden commending Ajax thus,

 'O反vббधท́s.
to whom her fellow retorted,


Thus the share of Athenê would be the bringing about the decision by means of the voices of the Trojans. Again later authorities mould the legend somewhat differently. Thus Pind., Nem. VIII. 44-5, says that the Greeks favoured Odys. with secret notes, implying some fraudulent practice, and Soph. Aj. 445-6 makes Ajax say that the Atridæ, rejecting his claims, had "managed the victory" for Odys., and also, with evident significance, that "no umpires ( $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \chi \alpha \iota$ ) should set









h $\boldsymbol{\Delta} .175$ ；ef．I． 492. K．304，Ф．445， 585
c a． 343 mar
1）301，B． 699.
e B． $768, \boldsymbol{P} .279$
－80 ；cf．B． 673 ．
f cf．$\alpha$ ． 66.
${ }_{g}^{2} 610, X .450$.
h $\zeta$ ． 143 mar．；ef．

f． 474.
i c． 475 mar ．
k ef．H． 211.

${ }^{1} \Gamma$ ． 4 ．





$\mathrm{m} \alpha$ ．318，$\beta \quad 87$ ， 2．：311， 1 ： 161. n ©． 33,464 ．
－ 437.
1）A． 314
q cf．$\mu$ ．185－6．
r $\% 334$.
s $\beta .103$ mar．
1 A． 292.
 f＇̇̇ $\pi=$ g cont．metr．


 $\tau \varepsilon \eta \geqslant \boldsymbol{\beta}$ et in $\tau \varepsilon \ddot{i} \nu$ mutatum a man．I H．
up his weapons as a prize＂．This seems to imply that，in the conception of the Tragedian and Pindar，the Atridæ acted as umpires，and did not escape the imputation of unfairness．

548．$\mu \grave{\eta}$ öゅモえov，$\mu \grave{\eta}$ always stands in this phrase，since although the verb is indic．the sense is optat．－ $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{x}$ ， ＂in the case of＂，see mar．for similar examples．The sentiment of this line illustrates the friendly element in the character of Odys．He would rather have lost the prize than his comrade． －ćध̇・ス $\omega$ ，＂prize＂．

553－67．I urged him not to cherish wrath when dead．I cursed the weapons which had cost the Greeks so dear a life．Dire must the wrath of Zeus against them have been，but the bane had lighted upon him．Yet I besought him to hear me．He answered not， but withdrew to Erebus．Perchance I might still have persevered，but there were other souls whom I wished to see．


 －ov $\lambda \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{v}$ ，＂accursed＂，as in $\lambda$. 410，A．2．－лїци，＂a bane＂．－ ォv́oros，more commonly éoxos is found in this sense．Cf．Mr．Tennyson of the Duke of Wellington，＂O tower of strength，cast down at length，that stood four square to every wind that blew＂．－ívov ．．． $\operatorname{\varepsilon \varepsilon \varphi ciñ̆,~cf.,~for~}$ โ̃ov advbly．with dat．，$\hat{\mathcal{L}} \sigma 0 \nu \alpha \pi \eta \chi \vartheta \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau$
 goes with $\alpha \chi \nu v \mu^{\prime} \varepsilon \forall \alpha$ ．．．ioov，＂were as completely woe－begone＂．－ov́d $\dot{\varepsilon}$ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \ell$ ．．．$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ Zモ̇̀g，to excuse the human agent and lay the blame on the gods，is a common formula of friendly delicacy；see App．E． 9 （6）． －グメリท＠と，ef．Eschyl．Pers．772，
 the＂consequences＂，in an evil sense． －fáuceoov dè x．г．$\lambda .$, ＂and thy proud soul abate＂（Worsley）．
a $\% .530$ mar.
b e. 426,436 .
с M. 393.
d $9.178, v .9,217$,廿. 215.
e $x .530$ mar.

- 322, ․ . $523, \underline{\boldsymbol{z}}$. $178, \stackrel{N}{\mathrm{~N}} \cdot 450$, $=$ 322.
g $\gamma .190$ mar.
h $\lambda .91$ mar.
i cf. $\mu .440, \Sigma .502$.
k $542, \pi .402$.
1 IS. 74 .










564. र $\alpha \tau \alpha \tau \varepsilon \vartheta v \varepsilon เ ต ่ \tau \omega \nu ~ \& \beta$ I. K. M. N. Vi. iii Stu. Fl. H. a man. I hic et 567 , $\omega$
 $\tau \omega$


 हбт $\tau \tilde{\omega} \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \propto$ N.; $\tau \varepsilon$ om. Fl .
 of this phrase see App. G. 3. - x\&ходшиєขog, Odys. thinks he might have induced him to break silence, but could not hope to appease his wrath. On this episode Virgil has probably founded the interview of Eneas with Dido in the Shades, AFn. VI. $45^{\circ}$ -76 , the romantic interest of which surpasses that here, as Eneas could with justice say funcris heu tibi causa fui,
 sees there is no change of propitiating the resentful shade, and like a wise man with a mind open to all things, resolves to make the most of his opportunity in other directions. Here the second act of the véxvıc may be said to end. The third and last labours under the suspicion of a later addition, concerning which see App. G. 3. The translation of this part by Worsley is grand, adequate, and mostly very accurate.
568-81. Then I saw Minos, exercising jurisdiction among the shades, who thronged around for his decisions; then Orion, hunting again the game he had pursued in life with a monster club; then Tityus, lying over nine hundred feet of earth his mother, with a pair of vultures tearing his liver, for the violence he had offered to Letô. 568-7r. Miveoc, son of Zeus (and

Europa in a non-Homeric legend), and king of Cnosus in Crete. Idomeneus boasts of being his grandson. In H. his mother is only called rov́œ $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{0} i^{-}$ vıros, and Rhadamanthus is his brother; see on $\eta$. 323. He is not here, as in Virg. AEn. VI. 43I-4, the grand inquisitor of crimes done in this life; but merely continues the royal function which he had exercised while living. The intensity with which the stamp, not of royalty merely but of its judicial function, clave to Minos in the Greek conception, is shown by this; and is probably to be taken in connexion with his Phœenician origin. The king "sitting in the gate" to hear causes; being a fundamental view of the royal office traceable among the Hebrews, their pre-monarchical chiefs being called "Judges", as also among the Carthaginians, whose chief magistrates were called Suffetes, a Latinized form of the
 heroic judicial function see the simile
 these perhaps were awaiting their turn,
 with these participles $\alpha^{\prime} \mu \mu i$ should be deemed in tmesis. In Exod. XVIII. 13 - 14 Moses is described as "sitting to judge the people" and "the people" as "standing by Moses"; and cf, with dixas siৎcuvveo ib. v. 16, "when they






a 310, ع．121，274， ェ． $486, \mathbf{X} .29$.
，七． 187 mar ц．210，Ф． 8.
I 539 mar．
？$\Omega$ ．614；cf．$N$ ． 473，P． 54.
cf： $1.194-6, M$ 445－6．
¢ ィ． 319 ，A． 559 ．
！ 9.403 mar．
ク． 324.
k cf． 631.
1 \％． 227 mar．
m cf． $311-2, \quad \Pi$ ． 785.

1 С． 407. 573．Fعı $\varepsilon \tilde{v} \nu \tau \alpha . \quad$ 575．$\dot{\alpha} \mathcal{F} \alpha \gamma \varepsilon ́ s . \quad$ 576．F\＆îdov．
$o v$ a man． 2.


have a matter they come unto me；and I judge between one and another＂．

572－5．＇$\varrho$＠íwva，he was loved by Eôs（mar．）and in later legend slain by Artemis for violence to her similar to that used towards Letô by Tityus， 580 inf．He appears as giving name to a constellation with hunting asso－ ciations in $\varepsilon .274$ where see note，as also on $27 \mathrm{I} .-\pi \varepsilon \lambda \omega ́ \varrho \iota \% \nu$ ，＂gigantic＂，
 it seems likely that this verb used only of Orion and Herakles has a some－ what different force from the $\varepsilon \hat{i} \delta o v$ or عígiidov of the others；see App．G． 3. －عi入£ $v \nu \tau \kappa$, Buttm．Lexil． 44 （2）（7） gives as the oldest traceable meaning of $\varepsilon i \lambda \varepsilon ́ \omega$ ，to＂shut＂or＂hem in＂， arising，however，from that of the
 to＂thrust＂or drive＂onwards＂．He cites this passage，where the sense is， ＂driving his game together＂．－тoúg x．$\tau$ ．$\lambda$. ，the sense seems to be，＂the beasts whom he had slain，or used to slay，on the lonely mountains＇，i．e． in life．－£́о́лaдov，the primitive weapon with which the woods furn－ ished the huntsman．In e．319．The Cyclops bears one．The word signifies also a mere walking－staff（mar．），but probably of rustic fashion．In later legend it is the weapon of Herakles， ＠о́ $\pi \alpha \lambda$ о $\nu \ldots \alpha \gamma \varrho \iota \varepsilon ́ \lambda \alpha \iota o v$, Theocr．XXV． $255-7$ ，or rather the no＠v́vŋ，ib．63， which appears to be a more formidable form of the same thing（with epith． бь $\overline{\eta \varrho \varepsilon i \eta, ~} \Lambda .14 \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{cf} . \pi \alpha \gamma \chi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon \frac{\nu}{}$ here）． A similar weapon，perhaps in lighter form，appears in Theocr．IV．49，as ro
＠оцио̀v тó $\lambda \alpha \gamma \omega$ ро́ $\lambda \frac{\nu}{}$ ；cf．ib．V11． 18
 $\lambda \alpha \gamma \omega \beta$ ó $\lambda o v$ 128；the shepherd＇s staff flung at hares．The construction of $\varepsilon^{\prime} \chi \omega \nu$ is drawn to the relative clause $\tau o v 乌 . . . x \alpha \tau \varepsilon ́ \pi \varepsilon \varphi v \varepsilon v$ ；but his possession of the œо́л $\alpha \lambda o \nu$ as he appeared to Odys．，is clearly implied．For examples of somewhat similar attraction see mar．， and comp．Eschyl．Sept．c．Th．20，


576－7．Titvòv，this and the two next are phantoms under penal doom， we are not informed by whose author－ ity，probably from 580 that of Zeus is to be understood，although only in Tityus＇case is the offence mentioned． In $\boldsymbol{\eta} .324$ Rhadamanthus is mentioned as going to＂visit＂（penally）Tityus see note there．Being a son of Zeus his authority might be delegated．$\tau \iota-$ $\tau v o ́ s ~ i s ~ a k i n ~ t o ~ \tau i \tau \alpha \nu, ~ \tau \iota \tau \alpha i v \omega, ~ w i t h ~$ the notion of huge extent or outstretched length．－غ́vขと́夭，see on $x .19$ and ג．3ІІ－2．－ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\gamma} \varrho \alpha$, some definite measure is intended；the＂sixth part of a stadium＂，say the Scholl．（and 100 feet at $\Phi .407$ ）；but we have no stadium in H．，and an area，not a mere length，seems intended here．Crusius gives，＂the quality of ground which a man with a team could plough in a day＂，but cites no authority．See Liddell and S．for $\pi \lambda \varepsilon ́ \vartheta \varrho o v$ as an area， and as compared with jugerum by which the Latin poets render it；e．g．Lucret． III． 1001 ，Virg．Ain．VI．596，Ov．Metam． IV．457．In the II．Ares struck down by Pallas covers seven $\pi \varepsilon ́ \lambda \varepsilon \mathscr{V} \rho \alpha$ ．

1．$\pi$ ． $71-2, \varphi, 132$ -3 ．
cef． $\boldsymbol{Z} .465, \boldsymbol{X}$ ． （12， $65, \pi$ ．108， 6． $2: 3$.
1 工． 184.
e 9.80 mar
f $\boldsymbol{B}, \overline{2} 20, \boldsymbol{P}, 307$.
g．cf．B．721，\＆． 13 mar．






## 








578－9．$\gamma \tilde{\boldsymbol{v}} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ ，the being cast forth to＂dogs and birds＂was the last pen－ alty which enmity could inflict；see $X$ ． 354，and $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$（Tৎáwv）té＠eva ұ＠óa $\gamma v \pi \varepsilon \varsigma \xi^{\prime \prime} \delta \boldsymbol{\sigma} \nu \tau \alpha \iota, \Delta .237$ ．The vulture gorging on carcases seems to have given rise to the image of this pen－ alty after death，even as the bodies or carrion burned in the valley of Hinnom furnished the image of Gehenna to the Hebrew mind．The Prometheus of Eschylus will occur to all readers； but the idea of the bird gorging（called人ı̇ıòs Prom．V．1021）was more fully
 of the same trilogy，a fragment of which is translated by Cicero Tusc．II，IoI，see ※schyl．Fragm． 179 Dind．－ウ̀ $x<0$ ，as the seat of passionate impulses，so Theocr．XI．i6．Ni．cites Aristotle Probl． XXX．to the effect that those in whom the secretion of gall is warm and copious are impulsive，excitable，and of ardent animal passions．－dégroov，there is much difference in the orthography and in the precise meaning ascribed to this word．Curtius 200 gives $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \rho-\omega$ as its etymon．Hence some membrane，prob－ ably that which envelopes the lower intestines－the peritoneum．Apollon． Lex．gives tò $\sigma \tau o ́ \mu \alpha$ $\tau o \tilde{v}$ үviòs as another interpretation．A Schol．gives ciлодвютюó as a＂Doric＂verb mean－ ing to＂eviscerate＂．

580－1．$\ddot{\eta} \lambda 2 \eta \sigma \varepsilon$ ，this word，too，has many varr．$l$ ．The form here preferred is akin to the $\bar{\varepsilon} \lambda \mu \eta \vartheta \mu \dot{o} s$ of which Hec－ tor speaks（mar．）as the probable fate
of a female captive．－ $\boldsymbol{\Delta i o s} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \dot{\alpha}-$ zoוти，in $\Phi .499$ Hermes declines opposing Letô，saying，$\alpha \varrho \gamma \alpha \lambda$ ह́ov $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho$
 $\gamma \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \alpha$ ．The expression $\Delta$ ．$u v \delta \rho \dot{\eta} \pi \alpha-$ ＠óx．is used of Herê（mar．），and seems rather suited to the notion of a single or supreme wife，which position it is probably Letô may have occupied in some ancient legend．－Пvэ動， here，in the only case of this group， the legend is localized．It might be expected that there should be some legend relating to Letô，the mother of Apollo，in connexion with Pythô（ $I$ ． 405）his sanctuary．－za 2 ǐxógov， i．e．really $x \alpha \lambda \lambda \iota x \omega \rho o v$（Schol．）；see on


582－600．Then I saw Tantalus， tortured with thirst and hunger，stand－ ing in a pool which，as he stooped to drink，ever drained away，and with lovely fruit ever，as he strove to graspit tossed out of reach by fairy power； then Sisyphus，heaving with might and main a massive stone up a mountain， which ever rolled down again as it all but topped the brow．

582．Tóv $\boldsymbol{\nu} \propto \lambda \boldsymbol{o v}$ ，the legend in Pindar， OI．I． 90 foll．，is that he reciprocated hospitality with the gods，but at one of their banquets stole nectar and ambrosia and gave it to his fellow－ mortals（ $\dot{\alpha} \lambda i x \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \iota$ ）to feast on．This Pindar gives as the reason why Zeus ＂hung a mighty stone over him，so that he expects it momentarily to fall on his head＂：so Archil．ap．Bergk，





a M． 285.
b B．597，․ 191， Ф． 455, Г． 83.
c App．A． 9 （20）．
d $\mu$ 242－3．
e cf． 4.487.
f $\mu$ ． 169 ．



 $\delta \grave{\varepsilon}$ h．，nostr．H．et Apoll．Lex．s．v．«้そєгo．

 ment totally different from that of the text．Which legend is the older it seems not easy to say，as this passage is suspected，and as there is no other mention of Tantalus in H．It may be supposed that the name Tantalus is from an Asiatic source，but that，being imported and perhaps corrupted，the legend of the weight or stone poised above $\operatorname{him}(\tau \alpha \dot{\lambda} \alpha \boldsymbol{\nu} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau}, \tau \lambda \alpha-, \tau \alpha \lambda-$ ，cf． $\tau \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \lambda \omega v \varepsilon i S$ Soph．Antig 134 and Anacr． ap．Bergk 1039）was made up to suit the name，as in the case of the Car－ thaginian Byrsa．This would probably require a higher antiquity for the Pin－ daric legend．The Schol．Vulg．inverts its form，＂Zeus fastened Tantalus by his hands to a lofty mountain，and left him hanging，besides overturning the town Sipylus，with which he was con－ nected＂．Sipylus is his town in Pindar too．In a rejected passage of the II．，』． $614-7$ ，Sipylus is a mountain in the Mronio－Phrygian region，an offset in fact of the Tmolus．It is connected with the legend of Niobê，and，accord－ ing to the Schol．，with that of Zeus
 punishment was one perhaps sometimes applied by Oriental cruelty to the liv－ ing．In Hor．Epod．V．32－8，a very similar atrocity is devised for sup－ posed purposes of sorcery；but there the boy is buried in the earth，quan－ tum exstant aqua suspensa mento cor－ pora；here Tant．is chin－deep in water． Supposing the notion had such a root in fact，it was probably by a sort of poetic justice applied to Tant．；see the last note．He had degraded to mortal use the food of the gods，there－ fore he should for ever long in vain for human food．And thus this form
of the legend would again seem to be of later growth than that of Pindar， as arising from the reflective sense of poetry，the other coming simply from the name．It is remarkable that Pindar assigns him，Ol．I． 97 three fellow－ sufferers（so the words $\mu \varepsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \varrho \iota \tilde{\omega} \nu \tau \dot{\varepsilon}-$ $\tau \alpha \rho \tau 0 v \pi o ́ v o v$ seem to mean），who must be the two mentioned here and Ixion， who at the date of this passage had not been placed amongst the doomed， but whom Pindar names as $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} v \pi \tau \varepsilon$－
 II． 40 foll．

583．غ்бтciót＇，see on श．380．
584．otモṽгo，＂was showing eager－ ness（to drink）＂；the $\pi \iota \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \nu$ of the next clause must be taken in by antici－ pation here．It is doubtless connected closely with $\sigma \tau \alpha-$ ，í ${ }^{\prime} \tau \eta \mu \iota$ ，denoting such standing as by fixed intentness or strained attitude betrays eager desire， e．$g$ ．as a pointer dog＂stands＂at his game；and it is probable that from such observed animal action the word originated．It is always in H．of some purpose，or intention，in the future， save in one place＠． $525, \sigma \tau \varepsilon \tilde{v} \tau \alpha \iota$ $\delta$＇＇O $\delta v \sigma \tilde{\eta} 0 s \dot{\alpha} x o \tilde{v} \sigma \alpha \ell$ ，where＂is positive he has heard of Odys．＂is the sense； but in this the same eagerness of assurance is implied with regard to the past，as of anticipation or intention with regard to the fut．elsewhere． Eschyl，Pers． 49 has $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \tilde{v} \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ ．．
 H．only $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \tilde{v} \tau \alpha \iota$ ，$\sigma \tau \varepsilon \tilde{v} \tau 0$ occur．
 for the sequence of moods and tenses here down to 592，see App．A． 9 （20）．
 presence of water，as bottom－mud usu－ ally is（mar．）．－xetce方vcoues，the simp．vb．थ้ $\xi \omega$ occurs（mar．），and $\dot{\alpha} \xi \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\nu} \omega$
a $\delta .458 \mathrm{mar}$.

1) 11.548 ; cf. $O$. 557.
c $\eta .115-6$ mar.
d App. A. 9 (20).
e 9.374 mar.
f Z. 153 .
ge. 13 mar.







 mar. a man. 2., $x \alpha \tau \propto \dot{\alpha}$ x $\tilde{\eta} \vartheta \vartheta \varepsilon v$ H. h. in lem.
589. oै $\chi v \alpha \iota$ mss. ix ( $\beta$ Vi. iii)

 @ย́єбxย conj. La Ro.) Eu. 593. $\chi \alpha \lambda$ غ́ $\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}$ Vi. 5.
in Hy. Ven. 271. We have ${ }^{2} \xi \eta$ for "mustiness" in $\chi .184$, and $\beta \tilde{\omega} \nu$ ( $\beta$ ov $\tilde{v}$ ) $\alpha\} \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ์ \eta \nu$ the "dry ox-hide" which covered the shield in H. 239 , so vin $^{2} \eta$ s $\alpha \xi \propto \lambda .$, ८. 234. - $\delta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{i} \mu \omega \nu$, supernatural power (mar.) mysteriously exerted as
 "leafy-crested". - xatce xo $\tilde{\eta}_{\circ}$, (often read in one, see readings in mid. mar.) "down from overhead".
 recur (mar.). Perhaps Theocritus in VII. 144-5, may have had them in view, ${ }^{\circ} \chi \nu \alpha \iota \quad \mu \grave{\varepsilon} v \pi \dot{\nu} \varrho \pi \sigma \sigma \sigma \grave{\iota} \pi \alpha \varrho \alpha$

 in legend the father of Pelops who is mentioned, but not as his son, in H . B. ro4-5, as the head of the line of the Atridæ. Mr. Gladstone, Juv. Mun. p. 138 , cf. 367 , remarks that the poet's "feelings of nationality" led him to "cut the thread which connects the Pelopids with Tantalus", as wishing to disown a "foreign source" of a great Achæan house. The feeling that he was a step higher in antiquity than the recognized head of the Pelopid dynasty, just older in fact than the oldest link which the poet permits himself to recognize, , probably peeps out in the phrase o $\gamma$ '́œ $\omega \nu$ here.
590. Lícupov, an Æolid, ef. on 237, who lived at Ephyrê (Corinth) and was the most artful ( $ห \varepsilon ́ \varrho \delta \iota \sigma \tau 0 \varsigma$ ) of men (Z. 153-4). So Pindar. Ol, XIII.
 इívvゆоv $\mu$ と̀v $\pi v x \nu$ ó $\tau \alpha \tau о v \pi \alpha \lambda \dot{\alpha}-$ $\mu \alpha \iota s$ ต́s $\boldsymbol{v}$ zóv. A Fragm. of the same
poet, I. Donalds., makes him founder of the Isthmian games in honour of Melicertes his son by the sea-goddess Inô. The legend according to which Odys. was really the son of Sisyphus, by whom Anticlea was pregnant before her marriage with Laërtes, does not appear in H. It is recognized by Virgil who calls Ulysses Wolides, Fin. VI. 529 ; and Sophocles, Phil. 417, ovi $\mu$ -
 it a reproach laid on Odys. by Philoctetes, his enemy. There is no hint in H. as to his offence. Pherecydes, cited by the Scholl. on Z. 153, says he made known to Asopus, the RiverGod, the fact that Zeus had carried off his daughter Egina. Whereupon Zeus enraged sent Death to Sisyphus, who put him in chains, from which the God Ares set him free, and Sisyphus was taken down to Haïdes; but, before going, gave charge to Merope, his wife, to pay him no funeral rites, and then, as if defrauded of his dues, persuaded Haïdes to let him return to earth again, to claim them; on which he refused to come back; until, dying in extreme old age, he was compelled to roll a stone to Haïdes ( $\varepsilon$ ' ${ }^{\prime}$ ' 'Aídov), to prevent his escaping again. Here we have certainly a very ancient piece of folk-lore. In various Norse tales the enemy of man is similarly outwitted. The incidents look as if the stone had been at first attached to Sis., as a clog, until perhaps the imagination of our poet moralized his suffering, by throwing into it labour in vain











a $\varphi .405$.
b Y． 360 ；cf． Э．$^{2} 148$ mar．
с $\mu .124$.
d cf．N．137－42．
e A． 521 ．
f cf．X． 23.
g．$\Pi .109-10,{ }^{4}$ ． 688－9．
h cf． $\boldsymbol{B} .150, \quad \boldsymbol{\Psi}$ ． 365.
－ 572.
k E．638，T． 98.
1 v．128，Ф． 500 ．
m cf．I． 143.
n A．2，E． 905. o ס． 27 mar．

602．Feíd $\omega \lambda 0 \nu$.



 pov a pro $\tau \iota \tau \alpha \iota \nu . \quad 60$ r．$\mu \varepsilon ́ \tau ’ \beta$ A．I．N．Vi．50，135．602－4．† Onoma－

 línбє N．604．om．I．Vi．5， 56 H． $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ ，hab． $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ．
and ever baftled hope．The story of the crime for which he suffered in respect to Zeus and the Asopus must be far later than that about his elud－ ing Death．

596－8．For $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \boldsymbol{\iota}$ followed and precerled by the aor．in－ $\boldsymbol{x}$ ov see App； A． 9 （20）．－roctcui乌 or x＠кт $\alpha \tilde{i}$ is，with variations of accent，（see mid． mar．），＂overpowering force＂．no $\alpha \tau \alpha i$ § as an adv．＂by main force＂is ascribed to Aristar．，when $\lambda \tilde{\alpha} \alpha_{\varsigma}$ would be subj． of $\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \sigma \tau$ £́＇$\psi \alpha \sigma x \varepsilon$ taken intrans．No one will probably accept this etymology or syntax either．ne $\alpha \tau \alpha \hat{i}$ is is ascribed to Ptolemy Ascal．and is found in several mss．Its difficulty is that the fem．of x＠$\alpha \boldsymbol{\tau}$ òs occurs several times in $H$ ．， always as $x \rho \alpha \tau \alpha \grave{\eta}$ ，to elide the $\eta$ of which is against Homeric prosody．It is more simple to take reoz $\alpha$ is as a noun，nearly of the form of $\chi \lambda \omega \varrho \eta i s$ ， t． 518 ，$\dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \rho i_{5}, ~ \varepsilon . ~ 69$ ，and therefore not a compound of is ivos．In $\mu, 124$ we have Kৎ $\alpha<\alpha u v$ ，or $-i \nu$ ，which ap－ pears to be the same word made into a prop．name．The＂force＂is not that of the stone itself，its dynamic weight，but some supernatural power incompletely personified，i．e．elevated into an agent for the purpose of a
single act．Some edd．put the stop after $\alpha v \tau \iota$ in 598 ；but for $\varepsilon$ ह゙л $\pi \iota \tau \alpha$ to lead a clause is hardly Homeric．－ zvגivd\＆uo，ef．with this the forcible simile（mar．）of the stone which thunders down the ravine to rest at last on the plain．The string of dactyls linked by the trochaic cæsura makes the sound convey the sense here．

6oo．rovin，also－in（mar．）．－rga－ tög，Zenod．и＠そтòs．

601－26．Then I perceived Herakles， in phantom only，for he himself is among the gods with Hebê for his wife．The dead huddled away from his bow and arrow ever fixed to shoot． A marvel of a belt he wore，wrought richly with monster－forms and deadly carnage－the fearful crown of artist skill．He knew me and spake，＂What， thou here？Is my hard fate thine too？ Son of Zeus was I，but bound by doom to serve a weakling，who enjoined，as my hardest task，that I should hither come and fetch the Dog．I fetched him hence；for Hermes and Pallas sped me＂．
 G． 3 （18）（26）for the singular conception of this double life．With the notion itself comp．Chaucer，Man of L．Tale，Stan，
a $\Gamma .3$ ．
b Z．38， 42.
с A．47；cf．＠． 500 ．
d ct．$\varphi$ ．22－6．
e 9．223－5．
f N．585，O．313， Ф． 113 ．
g．$\omega$ ． 179.
h ef． $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}, 175$.
i 1.31 ；cf．$v .438$.
k A．38， $\mathbf{~ . ~} 480$.
1374 mar．
m 550 mar．
n M． 146.
o H． 237.









606，608．Fefotหต́s．609．Fol．610．Fée $\gamma \alpha$.
 609．$\sigma \mu \varepsilon \rho \delta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ́ \omega \delta^{\prime} \alpha \mu \varphi i \alpha$ sed in mar．nostr．6ir．$\chi \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \pi 0 i$ Vi． 50 Vr．Vi．


98，1．last，＂Though thou here walke
 u．$\tau$ ．$\lambda$. ，so Hy．XIV．8，also Hes．Theog． 950－5 where 604 occurs．－zдаууウ ．． oi $\omega v \tilde{\omega} v \tilde{\omega}_{\varsigma}$ ，the noise is here rather of motion than of voices；cf．Virg． Geor．I． $3^{82}$ ，Corvorum increpuit densis exercitus alis；in the simile of $\Gamma .3$ both
 have $\dot{\alpha} \tau-\eta \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$ and $\alpha \tau v v^{\prime} \omega \dot{\alpha} \tau \dot{v} \xi_{0} \mu \alpha \iota$ ， （act．in Theocr．I． $56, \tau \varepsilon \varrho_{\mathrm{s}}$ ห $\varepsilon \tau v$ $\vartheta v \mu \dot{o} v \dot{\alpha} \tau \boldsymbol{v} \xi \boldsymbol{\xi} \alpha \iota$ ，in H．depon．，）some－ what like $\varepsilon \circ \rho \pi-\omega \quad \varepsilon \rho \pi v \xi \omega$ ．Thus the primary notion is to feel an $\stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \tau \eta$ ，＂woe＂， hence to shrink with fear．$\alpha \tau v\}{ }^{\prime} \mu \varepsilon$－ ข о ८ 甲oß ing which is here implied；see App． G． 3 （ 15 ）．

606－7．vvxit ćocrès，the same comparison is used of Apollo when about to shoot．The four participles without a vb．personal should be noticed． The sense acquires great force from the expression being thus suspended， and the action，as in a picture，moment－ ary，but fixed．－ $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ，stripped of the $\gamma \omega \varrho$ vios，＂case＂，in which bows were commonly kept，$\varphi .53-4, \tau o ́ \xi o v$ $\alpha v ̉ \tau \tilde{\omega} \gamma \omega \varrho v \tau \tilde{\omega}$ ós oi $\pi \varepsilon \rho i$ иєıто $\varphi \alpha \varepsilon \iota-$ vós．The epith．here would imply that they were often carried in it．－vev－ ＠ñ甲 $\boldsymbol{v}$ ，the Schol．on $\gamma \cdot 87$ notices
 similar words）without the $\iota$ under the $\eta$ ；so $\alpha .403, \xi .6$.

608． $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\pi} \tau \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\nu} \omega v$ ，the lexicons af－ filiate this with $\pi \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \eta \sigma \sigma \omega$ ，and so Worsley renders，＂Dreadfully crouching down as one in act to aim＂．I think this misrepresents the word，and sup－
pose our＂peep＂to contain the stem $\pi \alpha \pi-$ ，which，like $\tau v \pi-\tau \omega$ ，is strength－ ened by $\tau$ ，and then takes the com－ mon extension－$\alpha i \nu \omega$ ，as $\delta \varepsilon \iota \mu \alpha i v \omega$ etc． Thus vision，not posture of body，would be the fundamental notion：the eye directed along the arrow is what is
 may comp．with this noble expression
 Virg．An．VI．602－3，jamjam lapsura cadentique Imminet assimilis．



 $\alpha 0 \varrho \tau \eta \varrho \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu$ ．It seems to mean properly what in French is called a tache，（as in sabre－tache，which would suit the last passage cited），i．e．some－ thing by which a weapon or the like is suspended or attached．Comp．the word＂hanger＂in Engl，for＂a sword＂ as being hung on．火ooth̆ thus de－ noting the office，$\tau \varepsilon \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \omega \nu$ ，in apposi－ tion，expresses the thing itself．
 177 we have $\chi$ дoṽvai $\tau \varepsilon$ ฮv่ยs $\chi \propto \rho \circ-$ $\pi$ oi $\tau \varepsilon \lambda$ र́ov $\tau \varepsilon$ s，so Hy．Merc． 569 ，and
 In B． $67^{2} \chi \propto \rho o \pi o s$, nom．prop．occurs as does $X \alpha^{\prime} \rho \circ \psi$ in 1．426．With the figure－wrought belt，ef．the similar crown，Theogon． $5^{81}$ foll．，$\tau \tilde{\eta} \delta^{\prime} \tilde{z}^{2} v i$



 this v．occurs Theogon．228，with all the nouns personified as children of









a $9.32,176,280$ ； cf．J． 684.
$\mathrm{b} \cong .219,223, \psi$ 。 223.
c 390 mar．
d d． 269 mar．
e 472－3 mar．
f $\boldsymbol{\sigma} .389$ ， $\boldsymbol{A} .441$ ， 452.
g $\alpha .166$ mar．
h $\rho .217$.
i $\eta .211$.
k $\beta$ ． 181 mar
$1=247$.
m 167 ．
n cf．T．123， 133.

$613 . \mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ I．K．M．N．Vi．iii；«้ $\lambda \lambda \iota_{1} \tau \iota$ mss．xi（ $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \beta \mathrm{H}$ ．Vi．iii）Eu．Fl．；$\tau \varepsilon-$




 K．Vr．Vi．5，50．ó \％\＆ $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ v．H．Fl．620．$\pi \alpha$ ís mss．xii（ $\alpha \beta$ H．Vi．omn．）

Eu．Fl．621．$\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \iota \varrho \varepsilon \sigma i \eta s \in ; \gamma \dot{\varrho} \varrho \pi \dot{\alpha} \varrho \mathrm{N}$ ．
＂Eots，＂Strife＂．Aul．Gellius XIII． 25 notices this as an instance of the ex－ pressive accumulation（luculenta exag－ geratio）of terms in H ．

613－4．$\mu \dot{\eta} \ldots \mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ ，these form really one strengthened negative $\mu \dot{\eta}$ giving general notice of the negative character of the clause，$\mu \eta \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ direct－ ing it to $\tau \varepsilon \chi \nu r_{i} \sigma \alpha \iota \tau o$ ，and the whole with v．6r4 meaning，＂no－he，whose skill had once compassed this belt（ôs xeǐvov $x . \tau$. ．），after achieving it （ $\tau \varepsilon \chi \nu \eta \sigma$.$) need never，or had better$ never，essay another achievement＂： i．e．he could never hope to surpass or equal it－an enthusiastic tribute to the life－like effect of the execution． Still the passage is a little too real－ istic for the world of shadows，the intensified negative $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ．．$\mu \eta \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ ，as well as the use of the word $\varepsilon \gamma \varkappa \alpha \tau \tau \varepsilon \tau \%$ ，is rather remote from Homeric simplicity of expression．The apparently like sentence with $\mu \dot{\eta} \ldots \mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ ，sometimes classed with this，in $\delta .684$ ，is really unlike；see note there．Further，as regards $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma$ หó $\tau \vartheta \varepsilon \tau 0$ ，we have $\hat{\varepsilon} \tilde{\omega} \varepsilon^{\varepsilon} \gamma$－ หо́ $\tau \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \varepsilon \tau 0$ иó $\lambda \pi \omega$ ，and，in a rejected
 but an actual＂putting away within＂ is in both intended（in the latter of course mentally）．Now the $\tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \nu \eta$ can－
not so easily be viewed as a receptacle， as the vvuios by an easy metaphor may，cf．ov゙んбь $\delta \varepsilon \xi \propto \propto \mu \varepsilon \nu 0 \iota ~ \sigma \tau \varepsilon ́ \rho \nu o \iota s$
 and Virg，Atn．I．26，alta mente repostum．
 is used only of the other shades who had known Odys．in life．Now Herakles in $\varphi .24-36$ is said to have slain Iphitus who was a $\xi \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{g}$ of Odys．and changed presents with him．Therefore Herakles and Odys．might easily have met on earth；see some remarks in the Preface to this vol．on the Homeric legend of Herakles，also App．G． 3 （26）．
618－20．© $\delta \varepsilon i \lambda$＇is a stern almost threatful expression＂ha，wretch！＂－ $\dot{\eta} \gamma \eta \lambda \alpha \dot{\sigma} \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota_{\text {g s }}$ suits the same harsh tone，
 ＂one rascal trails about another＂．The form of the word implies some lost noun $\dot{\eta} \gamma \eta \lambda \dot{o}_{s}$ ，as，a link between it and
 －Zұvòg $\mu \dot{c ̀ v}$ лáís，see on $267-8$ sup．

621－5．रei＠ove poti，viz．Eury－ stheus，for this and the errand of the Dog；see mar．The name Cerberus is not in H．，but occurs Hes．Theogon． 3II．There seems no proper office for such a dog in the Odyssean shades． Ni．gives four places named in Pausanias
a $\Theta .362-9$.
b T. 314.
c ef. $\mu$. 72.
d $\boldsymbol{P} .82$.
e 150 mar.
f 152 mar.
g $\boldsymbol{\eta}$. 259 mar.
h ef. $\ell .267$.
i $\alpha .100-1, \delta .268$,
$\xi .97, \omega .25$.
k 382 .
1 ง. 223, A. 308,
Ts. 332.
m 322, A. 265.
n $\boldsymbol{x} .526$ mar.
o $\gamma .150$ mar.
p 43 mar.
(1) E. 741 ; cf. $\Theta$.

349, А. 36.
r $\% 168$ mar.

 *̈ $\lambda \lambda \sigma \nu$












 statur La R. duo vv., qui tamen ita evanuerunt ut hodie vix legi possunt,

 $\omega_{S}$




 Thes. 20, qui hane v. insititium Hereæ Megarensi ascribit. 632. $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ' om. $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\beta}$;

and others, each as the spot where Herakles found access to Hades.

627-40. He withdrew, I tarried to see who else would advance. But ere any could, the phantoms came on mustering innumerable with portentous noise. I shuddered, thought of Persephonê and the head of Gorgô, and withdrew to my ship. I at once gave orders to embark, and we returned down Ocean's stream, first with oar and then with sail.

627-32. dóuov"Ä̈doc, see App.
 as Meleager, Amphiaraüs, and the like. - ër $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ goes best with $\boldsymbol{e ́ d o v}$ not $\pi \varrho O-$
 said by Plutarch, de vit. Thes. 20, 2, to have been inserted by Pisistratus to gratify the Athenians. - $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ roiv x. $\tau$. $\lambda$. , he seems to have moved from his guard over the fosse of blood
with the drawn sword, see App. G. 3, and to have thus given the spectres an advantage against him.

633-5. $\dot{\eta} x \tilde{\eta}$, the noise is probably that of a moving multitude, like that compared to $x \lambda \alpha \gamma \gamma \eta$ oicov $\omega \nu$ in $60_{5}$ sup., not of voices; see App. G. 3
 this probably means the appalling sight of swarming spectres unnerved him, and his terror took the form of a dread of the head of Gorgô. This head appears in the Il. on the ægis of Pallas (mar.). It is merely mentioned here as the most terrible of phantom forms, which, as others, it might be in the power of Persephonê to send. The head of a Gorgô, or Gorgon, is figured on one of the earlier Greek vases in the British Museum, having a grinning mouth and pendant








```
a 213, 226; cf. }386
b \ell. 177-9 mar.
с }x.511,\lambda.13, \Sigma
    607.
```

d Ф. 263, 306.
638. $x \lambda \varepsilon \mathcal{F F i \sigma} \iota$.

 Vi. 5. 638. nóvi̧̧v mss. xii ( $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ H. Vi. omn.) Fl. post h. in $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ A. I. N. Vi. 50 , 135 v. ex ו. 104 petitus. 640. عi@ $\varepsilon \sigma i \not \eta H$ H. Vi. 56 a man. r. id. ap. antiquiores codd. Eu. repperit, $-\sigma \iota \eta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{V} \cdot ; \mu \varepsilon \tau^{3}{ }^{\prime \prime} \pi \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha \mathrm{A}$.
 possessive to which $\pi \varepsilon \lambda \omega^{\rho} \rho o v$ is in apposition - "of the monster Gorgô"; cf. Hes. Sc. 223, 4. हîx $x \alpha \dot{\sim} \varrho \eta \delta \varepsilon \iota v$ o ĩo $\pi \varepsilon \lambda \omega \varrho о v$ Гo@ $\gamma о \tilde{v} \varsigma$, so Sept. c. Th.

636-40. xৎvuvn்б८c, for these and $x \lambda \eta i \pi \iota \iota$, see App. F. I (10) (16) (17).
 of this description of the return with that of the progress thither, see App. G. 3 (1)... (4). - xverce £́óoto must be the subject of $\varphi \varepsilon \in \varepsilon, i$. e . the propelling agent was the stream. In the next line a new propelling agent is introduced without any other verb, or con-
junction, and consequently in a (strictly speaking) inconsistent apposition with $\chi \tilde{v} \mu \alpha$. To remedy this probably, some read $\varepsilon$ i@ $\varrho \sigma i \eta$, removing, we must suppose, the colon after @óoto. But even then, the agencies of the "stream" and the "oarage" are too distinct and independent for one to be viewed as the instrument of the other. We must leave this unusual anacoluthia unremedied, but the ${ }_{\tilde{\sim}}$ poet's meaning is easily seen. - ov́@os, there was no breeze, we must suppose, on the confines of the abode of the dead; but, as the ship nears the living world, the breeze revisits her.

## O $\Delta$ 〒 $\Sigma \Sigma \mathrm{EIA} \mathrm{I}$.

## SUMMARY OF BOOK XII.

"We returned to Eæea, performed the last rites to Elpenor, and were greeted "and refreshed by Circê, who promised details of our further voyage ( $1-27$ ). "After that day spent in feasting, she enquired of me our adventure more fully. "She proceeded to tell me of the Sirens' magic song, and how I might listen, "yet avoid their bane (28-54), of the fatal rocks which only the Argô had "safely passed (55-72), of the twin peaks beyond, Scylla lurking in one, "Charybdis roaring beneath the other - a choice of evils - (73-110) and "bade me, for I asked her, not seek to combat Scylla, but flee (ini-26). She "warned me lastly of the Sun-god's Holy Isle with its sacred cattle (126-41).
"Next morning, as we voyaged, I told my comrades her instructions against "the Sirens. Her orders were executed, and we fared safely past, I hearing their "song (142-200). As we neared the rocks I cheered my comrades and directed "the steersman. We approached Scylla, who, whilst all eyes were fixed on "Charybdis, devoured six of my crew (201-59). We neared the Sun's island, "I repeated Circê's warning, and bade them shun it. Eurylochus gave vent to "the murmurs of the crew, and my remonstrance was overborne ( $260-94$ ). "Then I made them pledge themselves to abstain from the cattle. While "provisions lasted, they did so; but one day, when these had failed, sleep over"came me after anxious solitary prayer, and with many vows of recompense "they sacrificed the sacred steers $(295-365)$.
"I awoke: - the deed was done. The Sun-god, wroth at the news, demanded "of Zeus vengeance on the guilty crew; Zeus promised it, and dire omens "followed ( $366-96$ ).
"After six days more we sailed. After a short run came storm and wreck: "all hands perished, save myself, who floated on two timbers, and, hanging on to "a tree saw them go down Charybdis and reappear, regained them, and drifted "nine days to Ogygiê. There Calypsô received me, but that tale you have "heard before" (397-453).
tracing of some readings of the homeric ms. in the
library of corpus christi college, cambridge, from book Xil of the odyssey
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## 




a $\Sigma .607$.
b $) .21$ mar.
c $\delta .432$ mar.
d $\lambda .70$ mar.
e $\Theta .508, v .94$.



1-15. "We returned from the Ocean "stream to the sea and Ææa where is "the abode of Dawn beached our ship "and slept on the beach till day-break. "Then I sent a company to fetch El"penor's corpse. We felled trunks and "on a lofty headland made his pyre
"and burnt the corpse and accontre-
"ments raised a mound and set up a "pillar and on the summit of all his "oar."

1-2. On the discrepancy between this account of their return and that of their voyage to the region of the dead or its neighbourhood, see App. G. 30. Its chief point is, that in going they proceed up the shore of the Ocean stream on foot, having left the ship at or near its outlet, whereas in returning the ship seems close at hand ( $\lambda$. $636-8$ ) and they return down the same stream on board her. See such discrepancies considered on general grounds in Vol. I. Pref. Part I. ix, x. 3-7. vñoov $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ', there is a prima fucie plausibility in favour of the reading $\nu \dot{\eta} \sigma o v$ हैs, which would mean that "the ship arrived at the surge of the broad open sea (voyaging through it) as far as the island", nor is this meaning easily impugned; but it is unnecessary, and has the air of an
attempt to avoid a seeming díscrepancy, which is not real, viz., that $v \tilde{\eta} \sigma 0 v$ coupled by $\tau \varepsilon$ to $x \tilde{v} \mu \alpha$ would seem by hendiadys to identify the two, as though as soon as the ship reached the $x \tilde{v} \mu \alpha$ it reached the $v \hat{\eta} \sigma o v$, which was. not in fact the case, whereas $\nu \tilde{\eta} \sigma 0 \nu$ हैs would more expressly recognize the distance between entering the $\vartheta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha$ and reaching the island. But it must be remembered that as soon as she has passed the outlet of Oceanus the ship's course is northward; since she came thither from Ææ, with Boreas ( $x .507$ ), and therefore southward. Thus なæa is still as far East as the ocean-mouth, the two having in the poet's view nearly the same meridian. How then comes this island to be specified as the spot where is the abode etc. of Dawn? I believe this is another indication, like that noticed in App. G. 5 (13), that the poet views the sunrise as lying to the N . of East, taking his normal view from the mouths suited to ancient navigation, $i$. $e$. from the vernal to the autumnal equinox, see on $\%$, 33-4. Thus, although no nearer the East at Eæa, they were nearer the dawn, because they were nearer the north, Su Mr. Tennyson,
a $9.218, \mu .318$; cf. $\Sigma 590$.
b el. E. 777.
c $\ell .546$ mar.
d \%. 511.
e $\ell$. 150-2 mar.
$f$ p. 1 mar.
g t. 88 mar.
$g^{\prime}$ к. 287 mar.
h el. x. 56to, 2. 53.
i $\boldsymbol{I}_{5} .123$, - 1.29 ,
C. 314.
k ef. x. 89, cu. 82.
1 x. 570 mar.
m d. 556 mar.
ก 2. 71-5.

- of. $\Omega .799$.
p M. 25!), N. 437.
q $\boldsymbol{R}, 793$.
r 2.77.
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4. $\grave{\eta} \varepsilon \lambda i ́ o \iota o ~ \varepsilon . ~ 6 . ~ o m . ~ V i . ~ o m n . ~ \varepsilon ~ V r . ~ N . ~ a ~ m a n . ~ 1 . ~ 9 . ~ \pi \varrho o i ́ \eta v ~ K . ~ N . ~ S t u . ~ F l . ~ B e k . ~$ Ern. Ox. sic Aristar. (?) La R. H. T. $225,-\varepsilon \iota v \propto \beta \delta \varepsilon$ H. Wo. sic Herodian. ;
 $\eta$
$-\varepsilon \iota \tilde{\omega} \tau \alpha H_{.},-\eta \tilde{\omega} \tau \alpha$ Aristar., Schol. Ven. ad I. 633, sed $\tau \varepsilon \vartheta v \eta \iota \omega ́ \tau \omega \nu$ Aristar., ${ }^{\prime \prime} \lambda \lambda \iota \iota$

N., иог $\alpha \delta \alpha x \varrho v \chi \varepsilon ́ . ~ \propto ~ H . ~$ $\alpha$
 $\pi \varepsilon^{\prime} \lambda o \iota \tau o$ Zenod., h.

The norther morning o'er thee shoot,
i. e. probably the summer morning. Ni . Voss and others, following the Scholl., in vain pretend that the oixí xoi xo@oi and $\alpha v \tau o \lambda \alpha i$ here mentioned are so only relatively, and as "forming a contrast with the sunless Hades". The poet's language is simple and direct, and he means the topography to be taken as existing for the purpose of his song quite as much here as inf. 318, where he says, $\varepsilon^{\prime} \nu \vartheta \alpha \delta^{\prime}$ हैб $\alpha \nu \nu v \mu$ -
 the looms of the nymphs in $\nu .107$ -8. As regards yoooi, "places for dancing', the idea of dancing probably arose from the gathering and dispersing of the clouds. It is further probable that ő ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \tau^{3}$ has not a precise antecedent in $\nu \tilde{\eta} \sigma 0 \nu$, but refers to the locality generally, as being the region of Eös; since the island itself is to be deemed the domain of Circê. - d́vto2ai, not elsewhere found in H., nor in Hes.; cf. Mimnerm. ap. Bergk. 412,



It seems that, as they were a day in going, so in returning. Thus, by the time they have landed etc., it is time for rest.
 La Roche enumerates (Textk. 283) 5 or 6 places in H. where the Schol. Ven. ascribes to Aristar. the readings


II - 5. ¢er@oúg, for the pyre, as implied in vex@ós $\tau$, ย̇หа́ $\eta$ inf. 13. -
 of Achilles as described in $\omega .82,-4$ the tomb is made $\alpha x \tau \tilde{\eta}$ हुл $\pi$ ৎovxov́б ह̇ $\pi i \pi \lambda \alpha \tau \varepsilon i{ }^{\circ} E \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \pi o ́ v \tau \omega$. So Virg. AEn. VI. 234, 5 Misenus is buried monte sub aërio qui nunc Misenus ab illo Dicitur. More elaborate than that of Elpenor is the description of Patroclus' funeral in $\Psi$. 108 foll. The details are here omitted, the interest of the personage , being subordinate only. - vex@óg $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ’... cモú= $\chi \varepsilon \alpha$, see App. G. 3 (14) (15). غ́oยtuòv, in accordance with the request of Elpenor in $\lambda .75-8$; cf. Virg. FEn. VI. 233, suaque arma viro remum










a A． 706 ；ci．$\delta 215$
（b）$\Xi .1,0.585$.
c $\boldsymbol{\gamma} .33, \zeta .33$.
d $x$ ．348，T．143， Z． 399.
e v．348，$\omega .364$ ， $\Theta .231$.
f e． 360 mar．
g．$x .455$ mar．
h e． 118 mar．；of． ג． 474 ．
i cf．$\lambda .156,475$.
k II．433， $\boldsymbol{Y} .29$.
। 350 ；cf．$\varphi .349$.
m \％． 460 mar．
n $\gamma .486$ mar．
o $\eta .222$ mar．

16． $\mathcal{F}$＇́ $\kappa \alpha \sigma \tau$ ．
17．＇AFi $\delta \alpha \omega$ ．
19．23．Foĩvov．
21．＇AFíd $\alpha 0$ ．
25．F＇́－ н $\alpha \sigma \tau \alpha$ ．
$\alpha$





que tubamque．The implement is distinct－ ive of the individual．

16－36．＂Circê knew of our return ＂and came to meet us with her hand－ ＂maids，bringing refreshments of which ＂she bade us partake，and prepare to ＂resume our voyage on the morrow． ＂The banquet was despatched and the ＂rest of my crew lay down to sleep： ＂but Circê took me apart，lay by my ＂side，and asked my adventures in ＂detail，which I told her：she then ＂resumed．＂

16－20．$\delta \ell \varepsilon i \pi o \mu \varepsilon v$ ，distinguish this verb from $\delta \ell \varepsilon \iota \pi$ غ́ $\mu \circ \iota$ 厄̋ $\varphi \varrho \alpha$ $\delta \alpha \varepsilon i \omega$ ， K． $425, \delta \iota \alpha \varepsilon \iota \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \varepsilon \nu$ ผं $\lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\lambda} \lambda \circ \iota \sigma \iota \nu, \delta$. ${ }_{21} \mathbf{5}_{5}$ ，from the stem $\mathcal{F} \varepsilon \pi$－．－ov＇d＇．．． $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \eta \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \circ \mu \Omega v$ ，this is no doubt intended as a superhuman attribute of Circê， similar to her eluding them，$\pi \alpha \varrho \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda$－ Эov̌ $\alpha$, ， 573 ，where see note．The phrase，however，is used of ordinary observation，as in Né $\sigma \tau o \rho \alpha \delta^{\prime}$ ov̉x
 other instances（mar．）．－衣 $\lambda \boldsymbol{\vartheta}$＇，this farewell scene with Circê takes place at the shore：they do not revisit her palace．－غ่vขvvarcévク，used with $\delta \alpha i \tau \alpha, \delta \varepsilon i \pi \pi \nu 0 \nu, \propto ้ \rho \iota \sigma \tau о \nu$, of the banquet and also，as here，of personal adorn－ ment（mar．），in which sense more ex－
 of Herê，㜽．162．The Scholl．here take it in the first sense．－x＠$๕ \alpha$ ， see on $\gamma$ ． 33 ．
21－6．$\sigma \chi \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\tau} \lambda \iota \boldsymbol{\iota}$ ，＂dare－devils＂， see on 七． 478 ．In $\varepsilon$ ． 118 ，б $\chi$ ह́ $\tau \lambda \iota \circ \hat{i}$ ह́ove， $\mathfrak{v} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{i}$ ，it means unfeeling（in what you inflict）；see note there．－

 $\vartheta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \xi \alpha \nu \alpha \delta v \dot{v} \varsigma .-\sigma \subset \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\tau}$ ，for a very similar use of öve，like Lat．quum for ＂whereas＂，cf．mar．ఉิ $\mu \circ \iota$ है $\gamma \dot{\omega} \nu$ ô $\mu о \iota \Sigma \alpha \varrho \pi \eta \delta o ́ v \alpha \ldots \mu \circ \varrho \varrho \alpha \ldots \delta \alpha \mu \tilde{\eta}-$ $v \alpha \iota$ ，further explained by $\nu \tilde{v} v \delta^{\prime}{ }_{\circ}^{\prime \prime} \tau \varepsilon$
 $\delta \varepsilon i \delta \omega \mu \dot{\eta} x . \tau . \lambda$. ，＇now at a moment when＂$: . .,=$＂seeing that＂．－ $9 v{ }^{n}$＂ $\sigma x o v \sigma^{\prime}$ ，see on $\lambda .424: n$ ．b．Gram－ marian tradition was in favour of $\vartheta v y$－ бxш founding it on the Eolic $\mathfrak{v} v \alpha i \sigma x \omega$ and so $\mu \iota \mu \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma x \omega$ on $\mu \nu \alpha i \sigma x \omega$. For partic．perf．see on $\boldsymbol{x}$ ． 530 －avereg $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$ r．$\tau$ ．$\lambda$ ．，she speaks as though her directions，if followed，would ensure them against all future suffering on their voyage．Yet she seems to know nothing of the foul weather which ex－ hausted their provisions and tempted them to their ruin，on which the final catastrophe，as regards ship and crew，
a $O .16, \beta .236$ ；cf． $\gamma .118, \pi .423$.
b $\alpha .190$ mar．
c $\beta .103$ mar．
d ef．$\ell .500$ mar．
e 九．161－2 mar．
f $\boldsymbol{\gamma} .329$ mar．
s．A． 476.
h $A .542$.
i e． 113.
k \％． 14 mar．
1 9． 496 mar．
m $\lambda .99$.
n $\boldsymbol{x} .549$.
－o．318， $\boldsymbol{\pi} .259, \boldsymbol{I}$ ． 262.

р $\quad$ ． 129.
q J．181，ж．197， т． 396, I． 445.














$$
\text { 30. F } \eta \delta \dot{v} . \quad \text { 34. Fén }
$$


 Vi．${ }^{5}$ ．30．xé́ $\alpha \tau$＇mss．xii（ $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\delta}$ Vi．omn．）$\chi \boldsymbol{\rho}^{\prime} \alpha, \tau^{\prime}$ Fl．incertum in $\varepsilon$ ．


$\nu \tau \alpha \iota$
$\varepsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon i \beta \varepsilon \tau о$ Vr．Vi．56．37．$\pi \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \iota \varrho \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \iota$ Vi． $56,-\sigma \tau \alpha \iota$ Vi． $5,-\nu \tau о$ g Stu． 38．દُ $\gamma \omega$ ю $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ I．Stu．
is made to turn in 325 foll．With her words ef．Virg．An．III． 377 foll．， Pauca tibi e multis quo tutior hospila lustres Aiquora，et Ausonio possis con－ sidere portu，Expediam dictis．－xa－ roogecping，the expression on which this is built，$\kappa \propto \varkappa \alpha \dot{\alpha} \varrho \dot{\varrho} \pi \tau \varepsilon \iota \nu$ ，is used of mischief contrived for another，but xaxo＠g．rather of blind or evil counsels bringing mischief，on one＇s self（mar．）．

 zatк， $\boldsymbol{\mu o z \varrho} \varrho \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ，here lit．，＂according to hap＂，or as they happened，equi－ valent to＂in due sequence＂．
37－54．＂＇Listen＇，she said．＇The ＂sirens thou first wilt reach．They ＂＇bewitch all who listen and none such ＂＇ever see their home again．The ＂＇meadow where they sit is piled with ＂＇bones of their victims．Stop thy ＂＇comrades＇ears with wax；and，if ＂＇thou carest to listen，let them first ＂＇bind thee fast upright on the mast－ ＂＇step，and if thou entreat release，let ＂＇them bind thee faster still＇．＂

37．лелをi＠avtんи，the aor．partic． $\pi \varepsilon \iota \varrho \eta \eta^{2} \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ in $\chi .{ }^{175}, 192$ implies a pres．$\pi \varepsilon \iota \varrho \alpha i v \omega$ ；that partic．is there used in a somewhat different sense， that of fastening a rope，$\sigma \varepsilon \iota \rho \dot{\eta} v \quad \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$

 etc．，H．102，$\chi .41, \varepsilon .289$ ．The some－ what similar perf．，$\pi \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon i \rho \eta \mu \alpha \iota, \gamma,{ }^{23}$ ， fr．$\pi \varepsilon \iota \varrho \alpha \alpha^{\omega} \omega$ ，should be distinguished．－ $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \dot{v} \boldsymbol{\delta}^{\prime}$＇oxcovoov，the fuller and more common formula of bespeaking atten－
 $\boldsymbol{\sigma o v}$ ，mar．
 formula，which occurs several times in H．，is remarkable．No special deity unless（as＇E＠usios，mar．）mentioned in conjunction with it，seems present to the speaker＇s mind．It is probably an unconscious testimony to the ul－ timate unity of Deity：see on $\delta \alpha i \mu \omega \nu$, $\beta$ ． 134 ；and cf．$\alpha \not \lambda \lambda \alpha \delta \varepsilon \begin{gathered}\text { к } \\ \alpha i \\ \delta \alpha i ́ \mu \omega \nu\end{gathered}$
 here a force similar to ultro in Latin．





（）$\pi .228$, 11． 188
с $<.57$ ，в． $47, \pi$ ． 195.
d $84, \pi \cdot 228$, v．188，壮 66.
e $\boldsymbol{H} .198, \boldsymbol{x} .231$ mar．
f $1 / 5.326$ ．
or S． 730 ．
三．504；cf．$\beta .249$
E． 408, P． 207 -8 ．

$$
\text { 41. } \dot{\alpha} F \iota \delta \rho \varepsilon i \eta \text {. } \quad \text { 43. Foíx } \propto \partial \varepsilon \text {. }
$$


#### Abstract

  H．I．Stu．Fl．Ro．St．；$\pi \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \varepsilon \iota$ ce K．N．Stu．Vr．Vi． 50 Fl．，$-\sigma \eta$ H．I．，$-\sigma \eta \varepsilon \beta$ ；  K．；$\gamma \alpha ́ v \nu v \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ Vi．${ }_{5} 6$ \＆N．V．


39．$\Sigma \varepsilon \ell \rho \tilde{\eta} v a s$, from the dual $\Sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon-$ ＠$\eta$ voǔv，inf． 52,167 ，the poet evidently conceived the S ．as two only．The fragm．of Hes．（CXCVII，Goettl．）cited by Schol．on Apoll．Rhod．IV．892 （where they are called the daughters of the river Achelouis and a Muse）as furnishing an allusion to that poet there，is，$\nu \tilde{\eta} \sigma o \nu$ és＇$A \nu \mathfrak{v} \varepsilon \mu o ́ \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu$ ìv б甲íб $\delta \tilde{\sim} x \varepsilon ~ K \varrho о \nu i ́ \omega v ; ~ c f . ~ t h e ~ \lambda \varepsilon \iota \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu{ }^{\prime}$ $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \nu \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \varepsilon \mu \circ ์ \varepsilon \nu \tau \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ of 159 inf．In Hes． Theogon．they do not occur．But ef．
 dwell on the furthest night－side of Oceanus，ib．275．Their names in later writers are＇$A \gamma \lambda \alpha o \varphi \eta$＇$\mu \eta$ and $\Theta \varepsilon \lambda \xi \iota \varepsilon$－ $\pi \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ ，still later they become three， conformably with the love of formulat－ ing such personages in triads as Fates Furies，Graces，etc．with names $\Pi \alpha \varrho-$ Эยvór $\eta$ ，buried，it was said，at Nea－
 Milton has remembered this in the Song to Sabrina in Comus，＂By Parthen－ ope＇s dear tomb，And by Ligea＇s golden comb＂．Strabo，I，34，mentions three dangerous rocks near Capreæ called इe！$\eta v o \tilde{v} \sigma \sigma \iota$ ，conformably with the theory of identification noticed as illusory on $x$ ．i．A very early figured Greek vase in the Brit．Mus．has a bird form on it with a woman＇s face， probably the earliest definite concep－ tion of a Siren，later given by Virgil to the Harpies，AEn．III．216－8．The name seems from the stem Feg－ser－， as in $\sigma \varepsilon \varrho \dot{\eta}$ a rope，to string together or bind；cf．the $\delta \varepsilon \delta \sigma \mu \iota \sigma$ vuvos sung
by the Chorus in Eschyl．Eumen．331－2． In a fragm．of Alcman，Bergk 820
 $\gamma \varepsilon \iota \alpha \sum \varepsilon \iota \varrho \eta$ ， $\boldsymbol{v}$ ，it means merely＂song－ stress＂．In a passage of the Hes．el Hom．Certam．，Hes．Goettl．p．314，19，
 the language is supposed that of an oracle．The epitaph on Erinna $\sigma \tau \tilde{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \iota$
 and the mention of Sirens in Eurip． Helen． 168 shows that the custom was common of placing the image of a Siren on a tomb，although how it arose does not appear．
 $\xi \varepsilon v, x .213$ ．The Scholl．raise a ques－ tion whether the victims perished by dropping suddenly dead through fas－ cination，or through becoming spell－ bound，unable to move，and so being starved，citing Aristoph．and Aristar． as supporters of the opinions respect－ ively．Either is consistent with the poet＇s language，nor is it likely that his mind ever rested on the question． See a fragm．（Bergk，294）of Pindar
 cited on $\lambda .334, \kappa \eta \lambda \eta \vartheta \mu \omega$. ．Athen．VII． 290，E．，cited by Bergk in note，says that $x \eta \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \delta 0 \varepsilon \varepsilon$ after the manner of the Sirens，cansed listeners to waste away through forgetfulness of food． －ció§eín，so，on Circê＇s invitation to the crew，oथ̃＇$\delta^{\prime} \alpha \mu \alpha \pi \alpha \nu v \varepsilon \varepsilon_{S} \dot{\alpha} i-$

 able copulation of the sing．and plur．
a $64,67, P .176$.
b 184 seqq.
c 159.
d $\xi .134$.
e $\alpha$. 161 mar.
f ع. 435 , น. 395,
$\pi .145$.
g. ঠ. 348, e. 439 .
h 177.
i $175,199$.
$k$ cf. $ข .2,142$.
1 ૬. 493.
m j. 322, 391, v. $\because 33, ~ \omega .511, ~ I$. 359.
n 161-4, 178-9; cf. e. 99.
o 2. 497 mar.
p 162.
q ef. A. 434.
r ef. $\boldsymbol{x} .96, \Psi{ }_{S} .121$.
s $\chi .33,41$; cf. $\beta$.







48. $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \iota F \eta \delta \varepsilon ́ \varepsilon$.





verb; Ni. says, because the wife comes forth to raeet him before the children gambol with him. The pathetic image of one lost far from home, missing such fond welcome, is familiar to the poet (mar.); ef. especially ovं $\delta \begin{gathered}\varepsilon \\ \dot{\alpha} \\ \text { @ }\end{gathered}$



45-6. 'erup', on both sides of them whereas below $\pi \varepsilon \varrho i$ means "about" them (the bones). - óoreópiv, here gen. plur., in ő ó $\varepsilon \sigma \varphi \iota \nu$ I. 384 , dat. plur., so $\varepsilon \dot{v} v \tilde{\eta} \varphi \iota v$ is gen. sing., $\beta$. $2, \tilde{\eta}_{i}^{\tau} \varphi \iota$ ßin甲ı dat. sing., $X$. ro7. - जis, here in its probably primary sense of heap or deposit. Aristar. preferred $\vartheta \varepsilon i{ }_{\mathrm{S}}$. The word is mas. always in this sense, and so in H. when signifying "shore", which later grammarians distinguished
 The stem $\mathfrak{v} \bar{\imath} v$ - is found also in $\dot{\alpha}$ кœо$\vartheta i \nu-\iota \alpha$, "top of the heap", or choice offerings, not read in H. Ni. cites

 ${ }_{0}^{\prime \prime} \mu \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \beta \varrho \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu .-$ ¢८voì, in the phrase
 it is probable that @́vós means comprehensively all that covers the bones, drying, as often, into one leathery mass upon them; so Hes. Scut. 152,

 Apoll. Rhod. II. 20I, @ $\iota \nu \begin{aligned} & \text { 位 } \\ & \delta \varepsilon \\ & \varepsilon \\ & \sigma \\ & \nu\end{aligned}$ $\dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \varepsilon ์ \alpha \mu \circ \tilde{v} v o \nu$ है́egov. The power of the fascination is enhanced by the fact that with the monument of previous victims before their eyes, the listeners yet could not resist rushing on their fate.
$47-8 . o v^{\prime} \alpha \tau^{\prime}$, the legend is referred to by Alcman in the fragm., Bergk 848, каi $\pi о \tau^{\prime}$ 'O
 which Bergk restores by $\varepsilon \pi \pi \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda \varepsilon \psi \varepsilon \nu$ x $\varrho o ̀ \nu$ रह@i $\delta \varepsilon \psi \eta \dot{\sigma} \alpha \sigma \alpha$. Alcman's date is circ. $650 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{C}$. ; the passage is important evidence for the currency of this part of the story, of Odysseus at that period. - $\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\psi} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$, , the only cognate of this in H . is ćd $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \psi \eta$ ros, epith. of $\beta \circ \varepsilon \dot{\eta} \eta$, "undressed", (mar.). Doëd. regards the verb as an intensive of $\delta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \iota v$, with the fundamental notion of "wetting", and so softening, (here perhaps we might say "liquefying") and would explain $\delta \iota \varphi \vartheta \varepsilon^{\prime} \rho \alpha$ as $\delta \varepsilon$ $\varphi \vartheta \varepsilon \check{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \delta о \rho \alpha$. - $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \ell \eta \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \alpha$, the epithet represents the wax in its original form of the actual comb with the smell of honey about it.
51-4. iбтоле́ $\delta n$, see App. F. I (6). - đúroṽ, i. e. íбгo $v$ understood fr.











## 58. Fع¢ध́ $\omega$.

 N. utr. Eu., -бєıs Vi. 50 a man. I, $-\sigma \eta$ Vr.; $\sigma \varepsilon \iota \emptyset \eta \varepsilon i \eta \eta v ~ V i . ~ 5 . ~ 53-4 . ~ † ~ A r i-~$

 $(\alpha \beta \gamma \varepsilon)$ Eu. Fl. Stu. Ern. Ox., $\sigma \varepsilon$ év Vr.. $\tau$ óv $(\varepsilon ้ v$ om.) M. Vr. Vi. iii; $\delta \varepsilon o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ mss, xix ( $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\delta} \varepsilon \mathrm{Vi}$. omn. H.) Eu. Fl., Hesych. hoc et $\delta \iota \delta o ́ v \tau \omega \nu(o$ pro $\varepsilon$ ?), $\delta \iota$ -
 Stu. Fl. Ern. St. Ox., $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \nu$ Eur. Ro.; $\tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} \delta \varepsilon$ N. Eu.; $\pi \alpha \varrho \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda$. $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ Vi. iii
 G. M. N. Vr. Vi. 50 Eu. Ro., $\pi \varrho \neq \tau i$ e.
 on 39. - $\delta \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\tau} \omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$, this reading of Aristar. here, recorded by the Schol. $H$. , is supported by $\delta i \delta \eta 3$ sing. imperf. in 1. 105. In Xenoph. Anab. V. 8, $\S 24$ occurs $\delta \iota \delta \varepsilon \alpha \sigma \iota$ as $3^{\text {d }}$ plur. pres. It is right, however, to notice that fifteen mss. besides Eustath, and the Flor. have all the other reading $\delta$ sóvicu. So two collated by the present editor ( $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ ) preserve $\delta$ \&óvewv. The binding him with yet more cords is a poetic security against the struggles which might be supposed to accompany his urgent entreaties for release.

55-100. "Next will come a choice "of difficulties - either the passage "'past the beetling rocks, the Planctæ, "'past which no bird ever soars, whence "'ships return a wreck of planks, save "'only the Argô which escaped by "'favour of Herê; or on the other hand "'that of two other dangerous rocks, "'the one steep, peaked and inaccess"'ible, too smooth for hand or foot to "'find a hold. There, in a cavern "'overhanging the water-way, yet out "'of bow-shot reach, lurks Scylla, "dismally yelling, a monster of
"'mischief, the horror of the very "'gods, who fishes with her six heads "'hanging out of her den, and seizes "'in each mouth a man from every "'passing ship."

55-60. x人@è Sinvexźas, from stem zver-found in aor. $\eta \nu \varepsilon \gamma \mu \alpha$, compounded with $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha}$, comes the notion of "earrying through"; so here, "I cannot carry through my account": elsewhere (mar.) it passes into the sense of "thoroughly". -
 taken as a question dependent on co $\gamma \boldsymbol{r}$ -
 key to the difficult passage following, expressing a choice of routes, each dangerous, one by the Пגќякткц, 5972 , the other betwixt Scylla and Charybdis, 73-110: notice, however, that the $\tau \tilde{\eta} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v \ldots \tau \tau \tilde{\eta} \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ of 62 and 66 do not indicate the two routes in question; since $\tau \tilde{\eta} \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ is not alternative to $\tau \tilde{\eta} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$,
 see on $x$. 131. - reoti, as if rolling to the foot of the rocks and breaking on them. - rvaveixidos, the physical basis of the epithet is either the darkness of a rough sea overcast with clouds, see
a $\varphi .363$ ；cโ． 0.343 ． b 9.306 mar． c $x .305$ mar．
d v．243，X．140， थ． $.853-5,874$ ； cf．E． 778 ．



61．$\pi \lambda \alpha x \tau \dot{\alpha}$ II．hoc et $\pi \lambda \alpha \gamma x$ ．h．；$\delta \dot{\eta} \tau 0 \iota$ mss，xvii（ $\alpha \beta \gamma \delta \in$ Vi．omn．II．）Eu． Fl．St．Ox．Bek．，d’ グ́тo兀 N．Dí．

App．F．x．19，or，as we have $x v \alpha^{-}-$ $\boldsymbol{\nu} \varepsilon \operatorname{og}_{\mathrm{g}}$ as an epith．of $\psi \dot{\alpha} \mu \mu \mathrm{os}_{\mathrm{s}}$ in 243 inf．，the discoloration of the sea near shore．It seems in either case，how－ ever，like xvv币̃тเs，$\delta, 145, \Gamma .180$ ，to express the quality of a person and reminds us that Amphitritê，viewed as a goddess，is intended．
 the epithet $\pi \lambda \alpha \gamma x \tau \varepsilon$ ，applied abusively to Eumæus，meaning apparently＂vag－ abond＂，or else＂wandering in the wits＂，and so，crazy，and the noun $\pi \lambda \alpha \gamma и \tau о \sigma v$ v $\eta$ meaning certainly＂a rov－ ing habit＂，（mar．）show that $\pi \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \xi \omega$ is the verb from which this word comes． It and $\pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ contain doubtless related roots，but the adv．है $\mu \pi \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \gamma \delta \eta \nu, v$. I32， and the secondary verb $\pi \lambda \eta$ итi\}ouкц, Ф． 499 ，show that the verbal from this would be $\pi \lambda \eta x \tau o ́ s$ ．The notion preferred by two Scholl．$\delta \iota \dot{\alpha}$ ，$\grave{̀}$ теоб－
 therefore to be rejected．Either then interpret the＂wandering＂rocks and comp．in modern geology the term ＂erratics＂，or else the rocks which mislead and cause to wander from the course．The former of these is the more obvious，but then $\pi \lambda \omega \tau \dot{s}$ epith． of $\nu \tilde{\eta} \sigma o s$ in $x .3$ seems indistinguishable as regards meaning，and nothing turns on the quality of $\pi \lambda \alpha \gamma \mu \tau \alpha i=\pi \lambda \omega \tau \alpha i$ in the adventure which follows；all the mischief the rocks are described as doing might be done if they were fixed． Плауит $\grave{l}$ might indeed be intelligible of islands which disappeared（as often in volcanic regions）and re－emerged， and the $\pi v \varrho o s \tau^{\prime} \ldots$ ．．vi $\varepsilon \lambda \lambda \alpha \iota$ of 68 and the $\pi \alpha \pi \nu o s$ of 202,219 are in fa－ vour of this．Such were observed in a volcanic eruption a few years ago in the＂Catakekaumenê＂near the S．W． point of Asia minor．In the sentence itself，however，we have the＂mislead－ ing＂agency ascribed to these rocks in apparent exercise viz．in $64 \alpha^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha^{\prime}$ $\tau \varepsilon \ldots \pi \varepsilon ์ \tau \varrho \eta$ and this makes the sense of＂misleading＂preferable．The other，
＂wandering＂，being，however，more obvious，seems to have prevailed and developed into the formidable notion of rocks that shut a ship in and crushed her，borrowed perhaps from icebergs． Pliny，who VI．13，gives them the names of＂Plancla，sive Cyanere sive Symplegades＂，states in IV． 27 a simpler explanation，quoniam parvo discrete intervallo．ex adverso intrantibus gemina cernebantur，paulumque deflexa acie coeïnitum speciem prabebant．Already in Pindar＇s time the name and notion was current $\sigma v \nu \delta \varrho o ́ \mu \omega \nu \quad$ иı $\eta \eta \boldsymbol{\jmath} \mu \dot{\nu} \nu$


 x． 305 and note；cf．also Hes．Theog．



 ßoı $\alpha \nu$ ßoòs $\omega \nu \dot{\mu} \mu \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \nu$ Zev́s．It seems that the current name therefore was something else，$\Sigma v \mu \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \alpha \delta^{\prime} \varepsilon s$ or $\Sigma v v$－ $\delta \varrho о \mu \propto \dot{\delta} \varepsilon \varsigma ;$ or，if these were not yet in use，perhaps Kvóvé兀 may have been；

 $\Pi \lambda \alpha \gamma x \tau \dot{\alpha} \varsigma^{\prime \prime E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \varepsilon ́ s ~ \varphi \alpha \sigma \iota ~ \varepsilon і ً ข \alpha \iota . ~ B u t ~}$ the line here may be spurious．
 that way＂，so $\tau \tilde{\eta} \delta$＂and $x$ eivy in 66， 69 ；see note on $55-60$ ．－oúdì лo－ т $\eta \boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\alpha}$ ，＂not even birds＂，（therefore much less any ship）the inference is
 $\boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{G}}$ ห．$\tau$ ．$\lambda$ ．，an old nature myth seems to lurk in the language here．It is possible that the Pleiads，as we now call the group between Taurus and Andromeda，were early noticed and first named in connexion with the sea－ sons，whether of navigation or hus－ bandry．If，when they rose with or near about the sun，the harvest was begun，they would be said to ＂bring food to father Zeus＂．Why in bringing it their course should lie between these rocks does not appear．
$\tau \varrho \eta \dot{\omega \nu \varepsilon \varsigma, \tau \alpha i \tau^{\prime} \alpha \prime \mu \beta \varrho о б i \eta \nu ~ \Delta i i^{a} \pi \alpha \tau \varrho i \quad \varphi \varepsilon \varrho о v \sigma \iota \nu, ~}$





a $\eta .316 \mathrm{mar}$ ．
1） 79 ；cf． $\boldsymbol{\gamma} .293$ mar．
c B． 202.
d ef． $\boldsymbol{\alpha} .141$ mar．， Z． 169.
e cf．YS． 169.
if $\chi .387$.
g O． 605.

63．$\alpha \ell^{\prime} \tau^{\prime} \varepsilon$ ．64．$\alpha \ell \varepsilon l \mathrm{mss} . \operatorname{xiv}\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha} \beta \boldsymbol{\delta} \varepsilon \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{Vi}, \mathrm{omn}\right.$ ）．66．$\tau \eta \eta^{\prime} v \delta^{\prime}$ G．I．H．
 var．1，ö́б $\iota \iota$ H．，$\eta^{\nu \nu} \tau \iota \varsigma$ Vi． 5 post ras．68，$x \tilde{v} \mu \alpha \boldsymbol{\vartheta}^{\prime}$ Vi．${ }_{5} 6$ ； $\boldsymbol{v} v \in \lambda \lambda \alpha$ Vi．${ }_{5} 0 \mathrm{Vr}$ ．

But from the Greek point of view the Propontis lay N．E．or in their quarter of the sky．It seems likely that their connexion with husbandry is earlier than with navigation，and that the hunter＇s view of them，as doves pursued by Orion（see on $\varepsilon .272$ foll．），is older than either．Though six principal stars only are discerned，seven were believed in and said by Hipparchus （ad Arat．Phan．I．14）to be visible in a clear night，the disappearance of one is woven here into the local legend of the $\Pi \lambda \alpha \gamma u \tau \alpha i$＇：＂the rock draws off at every passage one of the Doves in their flight，but Zeus completes the number by inserting another＂．From the＂Doves＂here mentioned Apollon． Rhod．perhaps borrowed his notion of the dove which Phineus，II． 328 foll．， bids the Argonauts send through the Cyanean rocks to test the passage first．－$\tau \varrho$ ท่ $\varrho \omega \nu \varepsilon$ ，this is a fixed epith．of $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ or $\pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha \alpha_{S}$ in H．，said to be from its trembling or shrinking （ $\tau \rho \varepsilon i \nu)$ ）－みai tajv，＂even of them （one）＂．－ápaceгítą（mid．），how the rock operates on the dove is not ex－ plained．There is certainly no sugges－ tion of the rocks closing in and crush－ ing．They are $\varepsilon$＇$\pi \eta \varrho \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon$ and would meet at summit sooner than at base． They are spoken of as having violent breakers at their feet and we are probably to understand a violent cur－ rent setting right upon them；ef． 7 I ． The word $\pi \alpha \varrho \varepsilon ์ \pi \lambda \omega$ ，and so $7^{2} \pi \alpha \varrho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon}-$ $\pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \varepsilon v$ ，is，again，unsuited to the description of $\sigma v v \delta \rho o ́ \mu \alpha \delta \varepsilon s$ ：we should expect rather $\delta \iota \varepsilon ́ \pi \lambda \omega$ or $\varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \dot{\varrho} \eta \sigma \varepsilon$ ．Comp． the description of the $\sigma v \nu \delta \rho o ́ \mu$ ．in Apollon．Rhod．II． 317 foll．To assist our imagination，the magnet mountain in the Arabian Nights（Sinbad＇s voyage）
may be compared．Since the motion is the dove＇s and the rock is fixed，we must suppose the dove＇s course in－ fluenced in some such way by the rock， in order to give any suitable sense to $\alpha \varphi \alpha \iota \varrho \varepsilon \check{\tau} \tau \iota$. －$\lambda i \varsigma$ ，distinguish this from the $\lambda i \tau \alpha$（noun）$\pi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \sigma_{\sigma} \mathcal{S}_{\alpha}$ of $\alpha$ ． 130，where see note，and so $\varepsilon \alpha v \tilde{\omega} \lambda \iota \tau i$之．352．It is here adject．＝גíбб $\eta$ and perhaps a shortened form of it． The other noun，$\lambda i i_{s}$ or $\lambda i{ }_{i}$ ，a lion，is again distinct from both．It is poss． that the original reading was $\mathrm{fi}_{\mathrm{g}} \pi \varepsilon$－ $\tau \varrho \eta s$ or fis $\pi \varepsilon \tau \varrho a \eta y$ and that $\lambda i s$ may have come corruptly from 79 foll．， where it is certainly more suitable．－ «̈ $\lambda \lambda \eta v$ ，＂another dove＂．－̇̇vooi－ Эutov，so Theocr．VII． 86 has Goois हैvの＠ivulos．

66－8． $\boldsymbol{\tau} \tilde{y} \boldsymbol{\delta}^{\prime}$ ，see on $55-60$ sup．－ ¢́vev，aor．marking what is habitual． －лivazás te ．．．$\sigma \omega \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ，the description is a lively one of what follows when a vessel dashes full on a rock and goes to pieces．So Sir W． Scott，＂Pirate＂，etc．VII．，＂The retir－ ing billow only bore back a quantity of beams，planks，casks，and similar objects＂．－ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{v}$＠ós，Eustath．，who quite adopts the Symplegadic view of these rocks，ascribes the fire to their mutual clashing on each other，$\sigma v \gamma$－
 Expoloṽб just，he adds，like fire－flints （ $\pi v \varrho \varepsilon i \alpha$ ）．As opposed to this view it should be noticed that the smoke is visible at once while the rocks are yet distant， 202 inf ．Hence we should have to suppose them always in con－ flict，which seems inconsistent．In Apoll．Rhod．IV． 924 foll．the fire seems to burst out from the top，of rocks，


a $1.95,161,5.334$ ；
cf．O． 704.
b cf．e． 415.
c $\omega .42, \boldsymbol{r}, 347, \Omega$ ． 423.
d $80,95,101,108$ ，
$220,430, \boldsymbol{B} .396$.
e 9． 74 mar．
f ef． $\boldsymbol{Y}, 417$.
g ef．B． 179.
h cf．$\zeta .44$.
i $\xi .384$ ；cf．$\lambda .192$.







 $\pi \alpha \sigma \iota \varepsilon ́ \lambda o v \sigma \alpha$ A．H．M．N．Vi．iii Vr．St．Ox．sic Aristar．Schol．Ven．ad X．${ }_{51}$ ，




 is probable the poet may have seen or heard of a submarine volcano，in which the flames sometimes burst up through the water，as was noticed in the case referred to in note on 61 sup ．This would better suit the union of the $x v^{\prime}$－
 and so $x \alpha \pi \nu \grave{\nu} \nu \alpha \alpha i \quad \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \alpha \mu \tilde{v} \mu \alpha, 202 \mathrm{inf}$ ．

70－2．＇A＠үळ，her passage is de－ scribed by Apoll．Rhod．IV．930－63 as effected by Thetis and the nymphs pushing her through，in a way very unsuited to the previous formidable description given by Phineus．II． 317 -345 ．Theocr．XIII． 2 I－3 has＇A＠$\%$ ⿳⺈





 sailing＂from him＂，means from Colchis homeward．－$\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}$ ，the subject is $x \dot{\prime} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ：see，for the sense as opposed to the notion of $\Sigma v \nu \delta \rho o ́ \mu \alpha \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon$ ，and so with regard to $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \pi \pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \varepsilon \nu$ ，on $64, \alpha^{\alpha} \varphi \alpha \iota$－ ＠sizal．－＂H＠ŋ，Apollon．Rhod．IV． $95^{8}$ ，makes her watch from heaven the Argô＇s passage through，and cling about Athenê in her fears for its safety．

73－5．oí $\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\varepsilon} \delta \dot{v} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ и． $\boldsymbol{\tau} . \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ．，the alter－ native course to that alongside of the $\Pi \lambda \alpha y u \tau \alpha i$ is here depicted，the $\delta \grave{\varepsilon}$ here contrasting the clause with that of 59 ，
 difference of expression $\sigma x, \pi$ ．here， akin to $\sigma x о \pi \iota \grave{\eta}$ and $\sigma x о \pi \dot{\prime}$ ，and $\pi \varepsilon$＇ $\tau \varrho \alpha \iota 59$ sup．，by the latter a range of tall cliffs，by the former isolated peaks are intended．－ $\boldsymbol{o} \boldsymbol{\mu} \dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ，in apposition with бxóлzえou as part with whole；cf．

 uos $v \pi$＇$\alpha \varrho v \varepsilon i ́ o v ~ \lambda v o ́ \mu \eta v: ~ t o ~ t h i s ~ \mu \grave{\varepsilon v}$ we have no correspondent $\delta \varepsilon$ till ioi in $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ ह́zeœov．So Virg．EEn．XII． 161 foll．，Interea reges，ingenti mole Latinus quadrijugo vehitur curru：．．．bi－ gis it Turnus in albis（Löwe）where reges is left like бхо́лєло here with－ out a verb．－ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\grave { o }} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ，here used of $v \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon ́ \lambda \eta$ ，but as if without a definite notion of the subject，so in $\ell .359$ we have $\boldsymbol{o}$＇$\delta$＇where the subject intended is oivos．－＇eceri，this word，on stem ＠co－akin to $\varrho \varepsilon F-$ ，may be compared with $\pi \lambda \omega$－（in $\pi \lambda \omega \tau \grave{\varsigma}$ रo兀є＇$\pi \lambda \omega$ ）akin to $\pi \lambda \varepsilon F$ ，and，for the initial $\varepsilon$ added， with ह́ov́n from＠́voucu．Like a tide which has ebb and flow this word ex－ presses rapid motion either way $A .303$ ， $\pi$ ．441，and so the noun éowiे means advance towards סovৎòs ह́eюウ̀，O． 358 ，
 from，but also the verb denotes the balanced state between these，or rest， loitering and the like；cf．$B .179$ ，渻 $\boldsymbol{\prime} \iota$

 Theocr．XI． 36 has followed，$\tau v$＠ós $\delta^{3}$










[^22]
## 

77．ov̉d’ દ̇лıßcuin Vi．50， 133 Vr．，sic Aristar．，h．，ov̉ x $\alpha \tau \alpha \beta$ ín a $\beta$ \＆Fl．Vi． 1,33 var．1．Schol．Ven．ad $\Omega .476$ ，sic mss．rell．St．Eru．Wo．Ox．Bek．Di． 78. $\chi \varepsilon i \rho \varepsilon ́ s ~ \tau \varepsilon \boldsymbol{d}$ h．Schol．Ven．ad B． 489 Wo．Bek．Di．，$\gamma \varepsilon$ a $\boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ M．I．K．M．Stu．





 and Virg．Buc．＇II． 22 has Lac mihi non lestate novum non frigore defit；for олюю $\eta$ see on $\lambda .192$ ，where the $\boldsymbol{v}$ в́œos and $\sigma \pi \omega^{\circ} \eta$ seem to combine in contrast with $\chi \varepsilon \check{\mu} \mu \alpha$ ，here they are contrasted with each other．－$\lambda i s$ ，see on 64 sup．Here the inaccessibility is assisted by the smoothness．
 and the shades＂，for the connexion of Gópos with ह＇peß roos seems to denote the immediate， $\varepsilon i s$ the ultimate direction：otherwise $\pi \varrho o s, ~ \xi . \eta^{\prime} \delta^{\prime \prime} E \rho \varepsilon \beta$ os would have sufficed． The sense of $\tau \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\nu} \nu \nu$ is no doubt that the cavern tends towards the gloomy region of death：＂westward＂would seem an unmeaning item in the de－ scription；the effect of which is to convey a horror of the monster＇s abode and a notion of the darkness which conceals her so that she is heard but not seen；cf． $85,87,93$ ．
82－4．i9vंvete is here epic sub－ junct．with $\alpha \nu$ ，＂may probably be guid－ ing＇＂－Eioccpizoito，i．e．the eleva－ tion of the cave is such that it is out of bow－shot range from a passing ship ： see on 102 inf ．The difference between the subj．and optat．is here well ex－
emplified in $\boldsymbol{i v} \dot{v} v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon$ íбcゅixouto， the steering past being a probably future event，the shooting imagined merely，as a measure of distance．

85．इxv่ $\lambda \lambda \eta$ ，＂the Flayer＂，cf． $\sigma x \dot{v} \lambda \lambda \omega$ ，and $\sigma x \tilde{v} \lambda \alpha$ ，spoils stripped off the person．We may，however，ef．the Lat．squilla，lobster，when the common basis seems that of a marine creature of prehensile powers．From these powers highly developed in the ten－ tacles of some such creatures，e．$g$ ． cuttle－fish，（said to be found very large in the straits of Messina），or perhaps by a notion compounded of this with the shark，（comp．the use of such a creature made by M．Victor Hugo in his Travail－ leurs de la Mer）the idea of＂Scylla＂by poetic exaggeration was evolved．So 2
 star．）$\tau \tilde{\eta} \Sigma x \dot{v} \lambda \lambda \eta \pi \varepsilon \tau \varrho \alpha i o ́ v ~ \tau \iota ~ \vartheta \eta \varrho i ́ o v$


 $\pi \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha i \eta \nu "$（231）．For the polype see on $\varepsilon .432-5 .-\lambda \varepsilon \lambda a x v i \pi e$, in $X .141$ we have $o \xi \xi \dot{v} \lambda \varepsilon \lambda \eta x \omega s$, which with the Attic $\lambda \varepsilon \lambda \bar{\alpha} x \omega$ s shows that the $\breve{\alpha}$ here is metri gratia merely，as in the 3．plur．
 $\lambda \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega \omega$ occurs Eurip．Androm． 672 ．
a cf． 396.
b e． 289.
ce cf．$x .219$, B． 321.
it N．344．リ．226， x．207，o． 165.

 c．．ع． 24.





88．F८ $\iota \omega$ v．
 $y \in \lambda$


 nulli Eu．

86－8．These lines are deservedly suspected：the $\tau \tilde{\eta} S \dot{\eta} \tau 0 \iota$ commencing this and the next clause in 89 is a tame repetition，and the $\delta \varepsilon \tau v o \nu \lambda \varepsilon \lambda \alpha-$ $x v i \alpha c$ contrasts absurdly with the＂voice of a young cub＂introduced to explain it．It is possible that 86 only may be wholly spurious and 87 partly remoulded to effect the junction．The interpola－ tion is probably of a literary age and intended as an etymological suggestion （ $\Sigma x v ่ \lambda \lambda \eta ~ q u a s i ~ \sigma x v ่ \lambda \alpha \xi ̇) . ~ N . ~ b ., ~ h o w-~$ ever，that Hes．Theog．833，describing the voices emitted by the serpents＇ heads which grew from the Titans＇ shoulders，after enumerating that of bull，lion，etc．adds，${ }^{\prime \prime} \lambda \lambda o \tau \varepsilon, \delta^{\prime} \alpha v^{5}$
 $\sigma \alpha \iota$ ，where he surely does not intend such a bathos as our notion of $\sigma x v^{\prime}-$ $\lambda \alpha \xi$ would convey．It is the addition of $v \varepsilon o \gamma L \lambda \tilde{\eta} s$ ，then，which constitutes the bathos here，and that must be regarded as of very doubtful author－ ity．It is likely that from this line， however suspected，sprang the later image of Scylla，caruleis canibus（axv－ $\lambda \alpha ́ x \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \iota)$ resonantia saxa．Virg．En．III． 432．It is true that 88 may be resolved into $N .344$（or as the Schol．quotes it，$\varepsilon .74$ ）and $\nu .292$ ，but this is hardly a presumption against its genuineness． －veovedウ̆s is a word probably of spurious origin，perlaps originating in the corruption of $v \varepsilon o \vartheta \eta \eta o \tilde{v} s$ ，an epith． of $\pi 0 \iota \eta$ ，grass，in $\Xi .347$ ，but which would equally suit a young animal． The suggestions of the Schol．，v\＆oyvins and $\gamma \alpha \alpha^{\alpha} \alpha \tau \iota$ тৎє甲оиє́vクs，show that they know nothing of its origin．With
 tov $\Sigma .410$ ．

89．$\ddot{\alpha} \omega \underline{0}$ o ，of the many renderings which tradition has preserved，show－ ing the great uncertainty of the ancients，three are chiefly worth notice I．）jointless from $\alpha-\omega ้ \rho \eta$（said to be $=$ кю $\lambda \dot{\eta}$ in ，Ion．Greek），2．）motionless quasi $\alpha$－ővvuı，3．）fore as opposed to hind feet，in support of which a fragm．of Philemon is cited，ov to $\dot{v}$ s
 noui＇乡єıv；（ $\pi \varrho^{\prime} \alpha \sigma \vartheta \propto \iota$ Bekk．Anecd．p．
 last quality of having all the feet in front agrees with the fact of the ten－ tacles in the cephalopods，as their name denotes，being in close proximity to the head．The strangeness of aspect would increase the monstrosity of the notion． Philemon is，however，far too late to settle a question of Homeric Greek．It may be that the poet meant＂waving＂， as a polype＇s tentacles，fr．¿́\＆íp $\omega$（cf． $\alpha i \omega \varrho \alpha, \alpha i \omega \varrho \varepsilon ́ \omega$ ，Plat．Phœd． 11 E， 112 B）； these would be in front；and thus by a comic adaptation，or from a fragment of recitation being caught up into the vulgar tongue，$\pi o ́ d \varepsilon s ~ « ँ \omega \varrho o \iota ~ m i g h t ~$ come to mean＂fore feet＂．The mean－ ing given by Crusins，＂ugly＂，（as if fr．$\omega \varrho \eta$ in sense of＂beanty＂，which， with $\tilde{\omega} \rho \alpha \iota o s$, belongs to later Greek， must be rejected．It should be noted that scylla clutches her prey not with her feet but in her jaws：hence the $\pi o ́ \delta \varepsilon \varsigma ~ « ้ \omega \rho \circ \iota ~ m e r e l y ~ e n h a n c e ~ h e r ~ r e-~$ pulsiveness，as they would not support her．To this word no doubt belongs


 $\mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma \sigma \eta ~ \mu \varepsilon ́ v ~ \tau \varepsilon ~ \varkappa \alpha \tau \propto \dot{c}$ e $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \iota ́ o v, ~ \varkappa о i ́ l o \iota o ~ d \varepsilon ́ \delta v x \varepsilon v, ~$







 $\sigma \varepsilon \tilde{v}$,
a $v .107, x, 293 \mathrm{mar}$.
b K. 473 ; cf. $\Psi$. 358.
c $\xi .12$.
d e. 326, B. 834, A. $332, \boldsymbol{I I} .687$.
e t. 330 mar.
$f \otimes .14$.
g d. 368.
h cf, $\boldsymbol{Y}, 490$.
Ф. 22
k. $\quad 421$.

1 a. 422 mar.
m \%. 328, E. 812,
H. $\mathbf{1 0 0}, \boldsymbol{\text { W. }} 466$.
n ef. $186, \boldsymbol{x}, 140$.
0 Ł. 482 mar.
P e. 25 mar.





 Eu.; vєต่s $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ I. K. Stu. Vi. 50, 133 En. Fl., òs vet. pleriq. Eu.
the compound $\alpha \pi \eta \omega \varrho 0 \iota 435$ inf., epith. of the boughs of the eqeveos.
 implied fr. 8r-4 sup., since the cave, possibly its depth included, is out of bow-shot from a passing ship, that the "necks" would be of that length, at any rate deducting that depth. For the bow-shot see on $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$. 229. - ţíбtorxoe, a notion taken perh. fr. the shark. - ォvzvoí жиі Эанє́єя, see App. F. 1 (4). - $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ x. $\tau . \lambda$. , either "half her body", the anterior extremities which lang out being the other half; which, as $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \sigma \eta$ agrees with $\Sigma x v \lambda \lambda \eta \eta$, is more proper, or "midway down the cave", i.e. half its depth down; cf. छ. 299-
 $\tau \eta \mathrm{s}$, "she (the ship) ran midway over Crete (i. e. coasting half its length)".
 on $\ell .330$. - $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \delta v x \varepsilon v$, a real pres., "has penetrated", and therefore is or abides.
94-100. $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi i \sigma \chi \varepsilon \ell$, the var. 1. $\varepsilon \xi$ i'oxet is worth notice, but, as Ni. remarks, weakens the sense. - $\beta$ e@ $\varepsilon$ Qoov, the root seems to be $\beta$ oo-,
found also in form $\beta \rho о-$, $\beta о \varrho \alpha, \quad \beta \varrho \omega \dot{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\kappa}$, comp. Lat. voro vorago, near akin to ßog-- - ixvvcóce, so Hes. Sc. 2ro, we have $\delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi i \nu \varepsilon \varsigma . . i \chi \tau \vartheta v \propto 0 \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma:$ here they are fished for. - xuvac, might be rendered "dog-fish", but the notion would be too limited, and so of "sharks" or any voracious monster of the sea. $\pi o v \tau i \alpha u v \dot{v} \omega v$ is cited as from Anaxilaus in Com. Fr. III. 347 by Doëderlein s. v. $\sigma x v^{2} \alpha \xi$ - ${ }^{\prime \prime} \lambda \eta \sigma \iota$, obs. subj. epice with $\varepsilon l$. The var. $l$. $\begin{gathered}\text { viveбut see }\end{gathered}$ mid. mar. is noticeable. - x $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{c}$ c $\ddot{\varepsilon}$ $\mu v$ gice, for construction as well as sense cf. $\varepsilon .419$ foll., $\delta \varepsilon i \delta \omega \mu \dot{\eta}, \ldots$ rai
 o í $\tau \varepsilon \pi о \lambda \lambda \propto \tau \varrho \varepsilon ์ \varphi \varepsilon \iota x \lambda v \tau o ̀ s ' A \mu \varphi \iota-$
 - $\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\tau} \varepsilon=\gamma \alpha \varrho$, so $\lambda .537$. - zvevол@с́œоєо, see App. F. I (19) (20). IOI-26. "Under the other rock, "'which is flatter and marked by a ""wild fig-tree, Charybdis swallows "'and regurgitates thrice a day the "'sea. Hug thou the Scylla side, and "'lose six men rather than court de"'siruction for all'. I enquired, might
a（3． $149, \xi .114, \varepsilon$ ． 71，Z． 249.
b $\tau .587, \varphi .76,114$ ， 127.
c 433,1 Z． 433,4 ． 167，ゆ．37，X． 145.
def．e． 69.
e 235.
f 236,431 ；cf． 240 ．
g d． 359 mar．
h．．f．A． 116.
i cf．114，$\sigma .147-8$.






102．$\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o v$＂Aristoph．＂，h．（unde altera lect．patet，fortasse $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o u$ ）；$\delta \dot{\eta}$





＂I not escape Charybdis and yet make ＂Scylla feel my vengeance？＇Daring ＂＇mortal＇，she replied，＇still bent on ＂＇prowess，wilt thou challenge the ＂＇very immortals？For such is she． ＂＇No，where fight is vain，＇＇twere best ＂＇to flee．If there thou lingerest，fear ＂＇Scylla＇s second swoop，and another ＂＇six men lost．Nay，row for life，and ＂＇implore Crataiis，Scylla＇s dam，to stay ＂＇her further mischief＂．＂

101－3．tòv $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{\prime}$ Éve＠ov，the second of the two in 73 sup．－$\chi \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \lambda .$, see App．G． 5 （7）－（9）．－غ $\lambda \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu$ ， Ni ，following Schol．Q，would pause at $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o v$, and govern $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ ．by ס८ӧ̈б $\tau \varepsilon v$－ $\sigma \varepsilon i \alpha s$ ，referring to $\hat{v} .218$ ，xai $\tau o \xi \alpha-$ そoíavo рюг $\tilde{\nu} v$ ．It is better viewed as a brachylogy A being near B，A and B are＂near one another＂．－ $\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\iota} \boldsymbol{\circ}$－ Otevigecas，this means from rock to rock，i．e．at the base，whereas the estimate of $83-4 \mathrm{sup}$ ．depends on the elevation of range required．－ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\delta}^{\prime}$
 －éecveós，＂often found on pre－ cipices＂，says a Schol．

104．X $\dot{\alpha} \varrho \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\delta} \iota \varsigma$, probably akin to ＠ог̈ßдos，（comp．גv́aך גoıuos，גvү＠òs ioryos），as denoting＂the sucker＂． Thus $\alpha v \alpha \varrho \varrho \circ \prec \delta \delta \varepsilon i$ accompanies it．The rough prefix $\chi^{\alpha-}$ ，being evolved from the aspiration of the $\oint$ initial，perhaps expresses the efflux as well as influx of the water．The ancients gave the name in historic times to the agitation of the sea near Messina．Crusius s．$v$ ． says，the vortex is hardly visible when the sea is in repose，but dangerous
for small boats when there is a high sea on，though they traverse it in fair weather securely．He adds that in an earthquake of 1783 it assumed for－ midable dimensions，referring to Bar－ thel＇s Letters on Sicily and Calabria，II． p． 66 foll．The epith．$\delta \check{\iota} \alpha$ perhaps denotes something portentous．It is， however，too promiscuously applied to be specially pressed here；cf． $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \alpha \boldsymbol{\mu} \dot{\nu} \nu$ Kך甲ıбо̀ dǐov，B．522．Ni．cites Cic． Plilipp．II．27，Charybdis que si fuit， fivit animal unum；cf．Eurip．；Tro，435－6，
 nothing in the detail of 235 foll．to in－ timate the action of a living agent．Simo－ nides（Bergk 1132 ）has $\delta \alpha \sigma \pi \lambda \tilde{\eta} \tau \alpha$ X $\alpha$ ．， with which ef．$\delta \alpha \sigma \pi \lambda \tilde{\eta} \tau \iota \varsigma^{\prime} E \rho \iota \nu \dot{v}, ~ 0.234$.

105．$\tau$＠íg $x . \tau . \lambda$ ．，the Scholl．H．Q． on 439 reconcile this passage with that（which seems to imply that Odys． had to wait all day for the reappearance of his raft，）by supposing $\varepsilon v \eta^{\mu} \mu \alpha \tau \iota$
 so that he needed but to wait 8 hours， which would allow time for the $\delta t$－
 session．Polybius（XXXIV．3，10）took $\tau \varrho i s$ to be an error for $\delta i_{s}$ ，deeming the efflux and influx to follow the tides of the ocean．This minute anxiety to re－ concile the poet with physical fact is superfluous：for teig，comp．ס．86， $\tau \varrho i s \gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho \tau i x \tau \varepsilon \iota \mu \tilde{\eta} \lambda \alpha \kappa . \tau . \lambda$ ．，and note there．

106－7．$\delta \varepsilon \iota v o ̀ v$, this is perhaps best taken as an isolated exclamation，＂fear－ ful sight＂！cf．«スyiov，ס．292，and


















a o．in，ก．240．
b e．525．
c．f．$\Xi .16 \mathrm{~s}, 1.119$ ．
（1 $\Omega .3!9$ ．
－1．16：3，I ．ffi． ＠． $1 \%$ ．
I Y．135．Z．1．ns．
54． 9.121 mar．
h $1.5 \pm 2$ mar．
i $\delta .642 \mathrm{mar}$ ．
k v．43，Y． 147.
1 ß．31， 1.333 ，玉． 248.
mi $x .487$.
n e．494．．
o N．727，I．228；
cl，e． 67 mar．
p ع． 175 mar．
$4 \boldsymbol{T} .45, \Delta 245$ ， Ф． 528 ．
r Z．185，©． 17.
se． 278.











$\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ¢ós $\gamma \varepsilon \pi v$ voi $i \mu \eta v, \beta$ ．43，and re－ marks in App．A． 9 （18）and at end of（19）on the relation of the opt．to
 бivoito $\gamma^{\prime}$ ह́raioovs．－ov̉d＇घ̀vo－ oix $9 \omega \nu$ ，ef．$\iota .525$ ；with this limitation of divine power in its own province comp．$r$ ． $35^{8-9 .}$

108－14．лєл $\boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \dot{\text { évos }}$ ，we have $\pi i \lambda$－ $v \alpha \tau \alpha t$ ，rilvcto，pres．and imperf．，by－ forms of $\pi \varepsilon \lambda \dot{\alpha} \xi \omega$ ，whence this may be viewed as perf．part．comp．иívұuи，
 this an aor．$\varepsilon^{\prime \prime} \pi \lambda \eta \tau 0$, epice $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \tau 0$ ，oceurs帚． $43^{8}$ ，to be distinguished from the
 goes with $\pi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \mu$ ．：＂make rather for Scylla＇s rock with all speed＂．－غंvi－ बJeg，obs．accent：the imperf．has

 follows as it were the form in $-\mu$ l．－
 106.

116－26．$\sigma \chi$ モ́v $2 \iota \varepsilon$ ，see on 1.478 ，－
 it is implied that Scylla cannot pursue． －xogvoбorevog，the helmet was put on last；hence the whole process
a $\lambda .597$.
1．C1．1＇．50，Z．28\％． c $\sigma .114$.
d 2． 107 mar．






 $\eta_{S}$
Vi． 56,133 H．K．A．var．1．，$\tau \eta \nu$ M．$^{\boldsymbol{j}} \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \iota \nu \varepsilon$.
of arming is implied．－$\beta \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathrm{g} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{v}$ ，

 and note．The name seems to re－ present＂brute force＂personified as hostile to human enterprise．Hence the most voracious and formidable of monsters is suitably affiliated to her． Her control over Scylla arises merely from their imagined relationship．Stesi－ chorus in his＂Scylla＂made Lamia the mother，for which name cf．note on Á́uov，x．8ı．Others call her Hecatê． The Scholl．mark K＠ó $\tau \alpha$ ïs，contrari－ wise $x \rho \alpha \tau \alpha \iota i$ is in $\lambda .597$ ，and prefer the reading reazolis here and taking it adverbially，＂invoke with might the mother，etc．＂The lines $124-6$ were rejected by the Alexandrines，say the Scholl．，＂as opposed to the view that Scylla was $\sigma \dot{v} \mu \varphi v \tau o s ~ \tau \tilde{\eta} \pi \varepsilon ์ \tau \rho \alpha^{\prime \prime}$ ．This condition is nowhere stated，（she is only called $\Sigma x . \pi \varepsilon r \varrho \alpha i \eta \nu$ in 231 ，）and need not limit the poet＇s fancy，although the image seems founded on some creature which clings to a hole in a rock．More probably the rejection was founded on the incousistency between the direction how to check Scylla from swooning a second time with the previous direc－ tion to Odyss．to fly and not give her the chance：obs．also that in the en－ counter with Scylla， 245 foll．，no in－ vocation to Køó $\tau \alpha \iota$ s occurs．

127－53．＂She lastly warned me of ＂the Sun＇s sacred isle with its herds ＂and flocks under the watchful care of ＂guardian nymphs，repeating the words ＂I had before heard from Teiresias（ $\lambda$ ． ＂r04－14）．Morning came，as her words ＂ended．She departed．I went to rouse ＂my crew．We embarked and prepared ＂to row，but she sent a fair breeze and ＂we flew before it．Then I addressed ＂my men with a heavy heart．＂

127．©otvaxinv，the position of this and the adjacent imaginary localities the Sirens＇island，Scylla and Charybdis is the most difficult point in Homeric geography．This group is not，like Ogygiê（see App．D．2），locked up in a seemingly studied mystery．They are all reached in the same day＇s run on leaving Жæa，and the bearings deducible from the winds mentioned furnish conditions as though meant to give a clue，but which are hard to reconcile with each other．I have in－ ferred（ $x .133-4$ ）Eæa to have lain in the furthest East and somewhat high north；then，since Circê tells Odys．that Boreas will waft him to the $\pi \varepsilon i \varrho \alpha \tau \alpha$＇$\Omega x \varepsilon \alpha v o \tilde{\imath} \circ$ in the direction of Aïdes，it may be assumed that this their next run from Circê lies in a different if not opposite direction， $i$ ．e．somewhere in the quadrant be－ tween N．E．and N．W．But if at Exa they have already turned the point of sunrise（see on $x .133-4$ and cf．$x$ ． 190－1），none of the group can well lie further E．Thrinakiê itself，being the island of the sun（262），may be assumed to lie as far East as the is－ land of the dawn，Fæa（3）．Now， when at Thrinakiê，Notus and Eurus are both foul winds（ $325-6$ ）．Notus obviously because they are now further N．than at Eæa，which itself was northerly（x．133－4）．Eurus probably would favour their return：why then is it rated as adverse？I can only suggest that it would blow them straight back on Scylla and Charybdis；i．e．（it seems to follow）these lay W．of Thrin． They leave Thrin．eventually under sail（402），i．e．with a fair wind，which therefore is not Notus nor Eurus，and as Zephyrus comes on to blow after－ wards，it seems to follow that the wind

#      <br> a $\lambda .108$ mar. <br> b A. $678, \Sigma, 528$. <br> c 2. 402 mar. <br> def. $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot 7$. <br> e cf. $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} .530$. <br> f cf. $\gamma, 422$. <br> के e. 57 mar. <br> h cf. \&. 479. <br> i 375. 



with wh. they left was Boreas, between N. and E. At a point not far S. on this course Zephyrus wrecks them, and may be supposed to drift Odys. on the raft E. or E. and S., to a point whence Notus setting in $(\mu, 407,427)$ drives it on Charybdis; see plan at end of this book. This tallies with the previous inference from 326 that Charybdis lay W. of Thrin. Thus if Thrin. lies on the same meridian as Eæa but northwards. Scylla lies to the W. of Thrin. and N. W. of Næa, and the Sirens' isle between Ææa and Scylla. The fair wind which wafts them to the Sirens has no direction assigned to it. At the Sirens' isle it fails, and their further course is by the oar to Thrin. The Propontis and adjacent straits, all forming a close sea and lying $N$. E. from the best-known seas of Greece, may have probably served for the general groundplan of these magic waters. Through those straits lay the route to Colchis, the legendary track of the Argô, which, it seems from 70 -2 , partly coincided with that of Od . here. There is still a difficulty in the poet's conception, of equal force whereever we localize his scene. That conception seems to involve a close sea,
 could not be avoided; nor, till they near Thrin., does the sea seem to open. Thus there could be only one line of route from Scylla to Thrin, and vice versa: but after being wrecked somewhere S. W. of Thrin., the hero is drifted to Scylla again by evidently a different route. This, however, equally besets all possible solutions. As regards the name $\Theta \varrho \iota v$, see on $\lambda$. 107. 128-31. ßо́бとove' $ห . \tau . ~ \lambda ., ~ s e e ~ \Lambda p p . ~$ C. 1, ef. also Theocr. XXV. 129 foll.,

noג̇́ovto iعooì'Hєגíov x. $\tau . \lambda$., and Hy. Ap. Pyth. $233-5$, Liv. xxiv. 3. Löwe cites Riccii, who asserts that there are herds of swine near Naples deemed sacred to St. Antony: see also Lucian de Syr. Dea, 4I, $\varepsilon$ हैv d̀ $\tau \tilde{\eta} \alpha \dot{v} \lambda \tilde{\eta}$ र. $\tau$. ג. - oiळv, not oícv, dissyll., Aristar. and Ptolem., so in $\alpha, 443$, oios

 жevenzovtce, the numbers point to a possibly Semitic (Phoenician?) source or channel of the legend: their product $35^{\circ}$ may be compared with those in the enigma of Cleobulus (Bergk, 971) on the calendar, wh. yields, however, by a nearer approximation to the true one, 360 days and nights. Ni, cites Diod. II. 22, 97 referred to by Dornedden p. 16, who compares the 360 rings on the grave of Osiris. Cleobulus' words are


 dos है' $\chi$ оvба.
 $\mu$ غ́ $1 \alpha \iota v \alpha \iota$.


 denote the unbroken sequence and permanence of order. This reference of the legend to the calendar is mentioned by the Scholl. and Eustath. as given by Aristotle.

132-41. Dév'továe te A., the names are, like those of the sea horses in $\psi .246$, Lampos and Phaëthôn, taken from solar attributes. So Phaëthon is the name in Theocr. XXV. 139 of the brilliant bull among the Sun's sacreal twelve shich Herakles encounters, and

a 346,374, , .8 mar.
b $\beta .131, X .421$.
c §. 154 mar .
d 2. 107 mar.

е II. 23:3.
i \&. 46 mar.
g 2. 110-4 mar.
h t. 534.
i $\% .541$ mar.
k $333, \boldsymbol{x} .308$ mar.
1333.
m $\gamma .347$.
n \%. 546 mar.
o e. 178-80 mar.
p 2. 6-10 mar.
9 e. 539 mar.






















 M. a man. 1, hab. $\beta \in$ Vi. ii G. H. I. K. N. Vr. A. et M. in mar. 140. $\alpha \lambda v$. $\xi \varepsilon \iota s \beta$ I. N. Vi. 50 H. ex em. Ern. St. Ox., $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ Wo. Bek. Di., -छoıs G. 141.
 ( $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \beta \varepsilon$ Vi. omn.) Fl. 147. om. A. H. I. M. et $\alpha$ a man. 1, hab. Vi. ii K. N.
 Lex., ef. $\lambda .6$; vems $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ Fl. I. K. Stu. Vi. 50, 133 Apoll. Lex. Macrob. Sat. v. I3, 16


For $\Lambda \alpha \mu \pi \varepsilon \tau$. cf." ${ }^{\prime \prime} H \lambda \iota \varepsilon \alpha \alpha \lambda \lambda \iota \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \varepsilon ́ \tau \eta$, Anacreon (Bergk p.ior9).- Trepiove, see on $\alpha .8$ and cf. 176 inf. with note there. - Nécı@a, the name is founded on the light of day, "new every morning". - $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{o} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\imath} \boldsymbol{\imath}$, this denotes the remoteness of Thrin. from all known regions. It suggests some other locality for Neæra's abode, but there is no other mention of her. - $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{v} \xi \eta$, , see on $\lambda$.

143. $\delta \iota \dot{\propto} \nu \bar{\eta} \tilde{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\nu} \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \nmid \varepsilon$, this is
the last glimpse of Circê. She leaves the hero with no fond regrets, like Calypsô. And this simple withdrawal is more effective as a parting of mortal from immortal than the most laboured description. The hero at once busies himself about the matter in hand.
 see App. F. I (II) $(\mathbf{I} 7$ ) and App. A. ${ }_{15}$. - ixprevov ovgov, see on $\beta .420$ and $\lambda .7 .-\alpha v \dot{\jmath} \dot{\eta} \varepsilon \sigma \sigma c$, see on $x$. 136 , ع. 334. - ̈̈ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha}$, see App. F. I (7).














a $2 \pi$

1. $x$. is.
2. cf. x. 174 (i.
d) $\gamma .424, \xi .94, \Omega$ 473.
c. .f. $\lambda .151,297$.
f e. 387 mar.
g 39 mar.
h B. 467 .
i 49-54 mar.
k cf. $\Pi$. 12.
 165. Fย่ $\alpha<\sigma \tau \alpha$.
 H. M. 153; post hunc add. $\beta \in \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{I} . \mathrm{K} . \mathrm{N} . \nabla \mathrm{Vi} .50 \mathrm{~A}$. a man. rec. xéx

 $\omega$

 mss. xvii ( $\boldsymbol{c} \beta \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \mathrm{Vi}$. omn. H. $\boldsymbol{v}$ insert. a man. antiq.) Eu. Fl. 163-4. † $\omega$ s






154-64. "'Friends', I said, 'share "'with me what advice I have from ""Circê, that all may know the lot "'which all share. First we must shun "'the Sirens' flowery mead and fatal "'song: - I might listen, she said, "'but then I must be bound on the "'mast-step, and if I entreat release, "'bind me more surely yet'."
 that the sage chief, though affecting candour, only tells his comrades what it is needful for them to know; see 223 foll.
 this seems the best supported reading here (although the mss. fluctuate greatly); see for this change of mood in alternatives App. A. 9 (16).


 cited Schol. Apoll. Rhod. IV. 892, placed the Sirens $\nu \tilde{\eta} \sigma o \nu \varepsilon_{S}^{\prime} A \nu \vartheta \varepsilon \mu \dot{0}$ -
 ing it a nom. pr. - غ่v ívoror. x. $\tau . \lambda$, see on $5 \mathrm{I}-3$ sup.

165-91. "As I spake, we neared "the Sirens' isle and were suddenly "becalmed. We furled sail and rowed: "I then scraped fine a cake of wax, "melted, kneaded it, and stopped their "ears withal. They tied me on the "mast-step and then rowed again. We "were come within earshot, when the "Sirens perceived the ship and raised "their strain. They bade me stay my "course and listen, that I might learn

а $\pi$ ． 222 ，$\Omega .396$ ；
cl．$\vartheta .567$ mar．
b ：39）mar．
c $ク .266$ mar．
de e．391－2 mar．
e M． 281.
i．م． 132 mar．
gef．$\gamma \cdot 10-11,0$ ．
496.
h $\varkappa .23$ mาт．
i A． 433.
k ef．九， 104 max．
1 M． 5.
m 47－51．
и $\alpha$ ． 99 mar．
0.9 .507 ；cf．ع． 409.
¡ М．397．य็．686，
711.

4 阝．181，0．349．
r 47 mar．
－50－1 mar．
1 l． 564 mar．
u $\ell .473$ mar．

















 ${ }^{0} \mathrm{~S}$


 ${ }_{1}{ }^{24}$ ．$\pi \iota \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\xi} \varepsilon v v$ N．Vi． 50 Vr．Eu．Fl．St．Ox．vid．ad 164 ，$\pi i \varepsilon \xi 0 v$ a $\beta \varepsilon$ V．II．Ern．

 K．M．N．Stu．Fl．St．Ern．Wo．Ox．Di．，$\dot{\alpha} \pi \tilde{\eta} \nu \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\delta} \varepsilon$ II．h．b．M．var．1．；ö́cov
 $\tau^{\prime}$ द̀ $\gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \nu \varepsilon \propto \mathrm{H}$ ．
＂and go，as all others went，the wiser ＂on my way．They claimed to know ＂the＇tale of Troy＂and all that may ＂happen on mother earth．＂

167－77．इet＠n่voiöv，see on 39 sup． Plutarch Moral．p． 745 foll．says that Sophocl，called the S．daughters of Phor－ cus．－＊थve $\mu$ oc r．$\tau$ ．$\lambda_{\text {．，the Schol．Ambr．}}$ here mentions that Hes．spoke of the Sirens as having power to bewitch the winds：but here the $\delta \alpha i^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu$ of 169 is probably some independent power，（ $\lambda$ ． 587 ）since the magic of the Sirens lies wholly in their song（Ni．），which has not yet begun．－v $\boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{i} \eta$ ，probably a noun in app．with $\gamma \alpha \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \eta$ ，as the adj．appears as $\nu \eta \dot{\prime} \varepsilon \mu \mu_{\mathcal{G}}, \Theta$ ． 556 ．－

see App．F． 1 （9）．－жŋ＠oĩo，cf．Luc．


－＇Tлegcovideco，this patronym．oc－ curring only here in H．（see on 133） throws some suspicion on the verse．

 4－7 Eelios is son of Hyper．and
 Stesich．（Bergk 977）．But I do not see the inconsistency which Ni ．finds in the action of the $\ddot{\imath}_{S}$（ $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \varepsilon{ }_{\varepsilon} \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu \chi \varepsilon \varepsilon-$ ＠๗̃ $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ Eustath．）being coupled with that of the $\boldsymbol{\eta} s \lambda i{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{ov} \alpha \boldsymbol{v} \gamma \dot{\eta}$ ．
 From the perf．$\gamma \dot{\gamma} \gamma \omega \nu \alpha$ a second pres．


 ＇$A \chi \propto เ \omega ̃ v, ~$










 $\alpha \iota$



रধуตvé $\omega$ or as Ptolem．Ascal．would have it $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \dot{\sigma} v \omega$ is formed with infin． $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \omega \nu \varepsilon i v$（or $-\nu \varepsilon \iota \nu$ ）and imperf．dd $\gamma \varepsilon-$ $\gamma \omega v \varepsilon v v$（or－vow）．N．$b . \dot{\alpha} \pi \tilde{\eta}_{\nu}$ here seems the true reading as the Scholl． expressly deny $\dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \nu$ and cite as parallel Eur．Phon． 283 foll．，$\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ ס̀̀

 Anacol．，where the sense is clear，is not uncommon in II．，see 2．6．63－40． Here dıónovęg of course is $\dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon i s$ ，the crew（oi $\varepsilon_{\nu} \nu \tau \tilde{\eta} \nu \eta i$, ，School．）understood in the ship．－évevvov，the word denotes a work of skill and artistic effect（mar．）；see on 18 sup．

184－91．Cis．de Fin．V．18，has thus rendered these $v v_{\text {．，}}$－a heavy acari－ cature of the graces of the original：

O deans Argolicum，quin puppim fleets，I lix， Antibes ut nostoc persis agmosere cantus： Nom memo have ungquan est transvectus cad－ tula curse，
Quin prius adstitorit vorum dulcedine captus： ＇＇est varies avido satiatus pectore musis， boston af pathos lapses persenert mas．

 Omniaque a latis serum vestigia ferris．

The first line is cited as $\delta \varepsilon \tilde{v} \varrho{ }^{\circ} \ddot{\alpha}^{\prime} \gamma=$ dir x．$\tau$ ．ג．，probably a slip of memory， in Xemoph．Mem．II．6，if．Miso il．
prob．inf．the Sirens and Scylla as agents of mischief are contrasted．－ roдv́cuv＇，of whom many גĩvo（ $\xi$ ． $508, \varphi$, II）are told，$=$＂far－famed＂． －xorcornaov，they of course ex－ petted that he would be mable to do otherwise．－лגziova عidふ̀s，the temptation of＂knowledge＂here has been compared with that of Genes． III．5．－ש̈б的 $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \dot{\boldsymbol{v}} \boldsymbol{v}$ ．，＂all that may happen＂，but not necessarily，before it happens．Cf．the knowledge claimed by Circê，x．457－9．Ni．with $\gamma^{\prime} v \eta \tau \alpha \iota$ compares 66, ov $\pi \omega$ фv́रev $\eta^{\prime} \tau \iota i^{i \prime x} \eta-$
 roiov ix $\eta \tau \alpha \iota$ ．It may be observed that in II．relative clauses with it subj．mood，when depending on a principal clause with a fut．tense mostly have $\alpha v$ or $u \varepsilon v$ ；whereas with a historic tense or a pres．in the prim－ copal clause $\ddot{\alpha} \nu$ or $x \in \nu$ is not needed：


 （suh，j，without xe or $\ddot{\alpha} \nu) \quad I, \Sigma 9)^{2}$ sot



102－200．＂They sang，I strugeleal ＂and made signs to the crew to loose ＂me：－they only rowed the harder．
a $53-4,161-4$
b 1．490， $4 t i s$.
e $\lambda .23$.
（1）el．9． 340 ．
e．J．419，9． 336
1 （5）．
\％$x .412$.
h if．e．16if．
i 48 mar．

1 e． 100 mas．
m 47 mar．， 177.
if $1 . \frac{\pi}{1} 1 ;$ mat．
－ 403.
1）$\gamma$ ．24is，in e．decies．
！$\% .556$, К． 354.
1 （1）．534．
s 4． 190.
1 ع． $327,461, \xi$ ．
251.
$\because \delta .705$.
v v． 115.
w 144 mar．
入 \％．172－3 mar．， ら1ti－7．
у $\% .547$ mar．
z \％． 173 mar．















 194．$\tau 0 i \varepsilon$ K．195．$\alpha \dot{v} \tau i \not x{ }^{\prime} \alpha^{\alpha} \nu \alpha \sigma \tau$ ．$\alpha \beta$ I．Stu．Fl．，$\delta^{\prime}{ }^{\alpha} \nu \alpha \sigma \tau$ ．H．K．Vr．，Eu． 196. $\pi \lambda \varepsilon i o \sigma \iota v$ हैv Vi． $56 ; \tau \varepsilon \pi i \varepsilon \xi_{0}$ G．Vi． 5 a Vi． 133 et M．var． $1 ., \tau^{\prime}$ है $\pi \iota$ ．Stu．





 $z_{\varepsilon} \mu \varepsilon \delta^{\circ} \beta$ A．；$z^{\prime} \lambda \nu \sigma \alpha \nu$ A．N．Stn．Vr．Vi． 50,56 Fl．204．$\beta \dot{\mu} \mu \beta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \nu \boldsymbol{\beta} \gamma$ A．G．I．M． Stu．Vi． 50 Vr．，$-\sigma \in \nu \alpha$ H．，$-\sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon$ Vi． $5^{\alpha}$, I 133 K．N．Eu．；$\psi \alpha \tau \alpha \varrho \varrho 00 \nu$（accentu var．） ne vi， $\boldsymbol{y}^{2}$

 206．$\varepsilon \gamma \omega \bar{\nu}$ H． 1.
＂Then two of my chief mates tied me ＂yet faster－so，till we were out of ＂hearing，when they removed the wax ＂and loosed my bonds．＂

194－5．Ö甲＠vбє к．$\tau$ ．$\lambda$ ．，for the light thrown on certain details of the ship ly this passage，see App．F．I（4）．－ Meptund $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\mathrm{s}} \boldsymbol{E} \boldsymbol{v}$ ． $\boldsymbol{\tau \varepsilon}$ ，the same two who assisted in the vexví ，ג．23， the latter also took a mutinous lead in x． 429 and so again in 278 inf．

201－7．＂On leaving the island，we ＂at once marked the rising smoke and ＂roaring waves．The oars dropped ＂from their hands in awe，the ship＇s ＂course was checked；when I inter－ ＂posed words of encouragement．＂

202－6．zaxvò̀v u．v．ג．，see on 66－8 sup．－＂dov，＂they saw＂，as shown ly 203．－$\beta^{\boldsymbol{\mu} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \alpha \boldsymbol{v}$ ，a Fragm．of Simonides on the battle at Artemisinm
 $\lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha$（or $-\sigma \alpha \varsigma$ ）；the oars being secured to the $\sigma x \alpha \lambda \mu \dot{o}$ ，＂thole－pin＂，were not lost，but fell with a plunge nearly alongside，and would check the ressel by dragging the water．－xৎоךхє́є， ＂tapering＂，the root is $\alpha x$－；see on $\pi v \varrho \iota \eta x \varepsilon ์ \alpha, ~ \iota .387$ ，the word for fashion－ ing the oar is accordingly $\alpha \pi o-\xi v \omega$ ，
 ject is＂men＂，not ह̇eء $\mu \dot{\alpha}$ ．－$\delta i \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ ．
 see App．F．I（4）．















N． 811 ；cf． 0.283 w． 244.
b $v .41$.
c $\boldsymbol{\sigma} .107, \boldsymbol{N} .120$.
1） 8.58, Э． $563, \lambda$ $367, \pi .315, \varrho, 537$.
e $\beta .20, \ell, 47 \mathrm{~b}, \Omega$ ．
83.
f と． 476, あ． 501
g $\lambda .177$ mar．
h T．64，
i $\boldsymbol{v}, \mathbf{1 7 9}$ ，in Il．octies．
k $\boldsymbol{Y} .229$.
）ס． 580 mar．
$m$ e． 103 mar．
n $\xi .86, Z .526$ ；
cf．A． 128.
－అ． 243 ；cf．v． 368.
1 B．8U2．
4 cf．Э．10，I．lis．
r $\omega 248$ ；cf．$\psi .223$.
s $\lambda .454$ mar
t $\iota .548$ mar．
и T． 43 ．
v cf． 68.
w ef． $\boldsymbol{\eta} .88$ mar． $\mathrm{x} \lambda .374$.
y cf．ع． 344, l． 441
z X． 191.


 suprascr．Fl．，${ }^{\prime \prime} \pi \iota$ A．et II．at man．ı．Vi． 56 et M．ex em．Vi． 50 Vi．Macrob．Sat．V．

 $\tilde{\omega}$


 $o v \quad \omega \nu$
 H．，－$\lambda \omega$ Vi．${ }_{5}$ ．

208－21．I said，we have known dangers as great，we were in the Cy － clops＇den，but my conduct brought us out．We shall live to remember this too．Keep your seats and work your oars，and with the aid of Zeus we shall yet be safe．Steersman，my orders are－take heed to them for the ship＇s helm is in your hand－give a wide berth to yon smoke and surge and make for the rocks，lest she swerve out yonder when you least expect it －then woe betide us！
 before $x$ is unprecedented．Yet it shows no greater flexibility of epic prosody than the well known $A \rho \varepsilon s$ $\alpha \varrho \varepsilon$ g of $E$ ． 455：cf．Hy．Cer．248，ระivך $\sigma \varepsilon \pi v \rho i$ $\varepsilon \nu i \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega$, and contrast it with $\varepsilon_{v}$

ном．OD．II．
$\pi v \varrho \check{\iota} \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \tilde{\omega}$ of $\mu .237$ ．Comp．Cic．ad Alt．VII．6．The variants $\varepsilon \nexists \pi \varepsilon \iota$（Aristar． Eust．）$\varepsilon^{\prime \prime} \chi \varepsilon \iota$ Zenodot are obviously sub－ terfuges．－¢ $\eta \gamma \mu \tilde{\nu} v a$ ，in same sense
 $\pi о \lambda i ́ o s o ~ ఫ \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma о \nu$ ，the＂broken＂water． － $\boldsymbol{v} \beta \in \varrho \nu \tilde{\eta} \boldsymbol{\vartheta}$＇，a Schol．gives Mandron as his name：he enjoys the distinction of an individual death－blow in 413 inf ． The six devoured by Scylla likewise have names traditionally preserved by the Scholl．－غ̇лモi $x . \tau . \grave{\lambda}$ ．，as much as to say，＂the safety of all depends
 rocks of 73 ，which were not yet opened， the water way between being narrow （234）．They must be supposed，how－ ever，plainly distinct at this point from the smoke etc．which marked the


18
a $x .428$ mar．
b $\lambda .176$ ．
c $\beta .79$, B．121， 376.
d $v, 151, O, 31$.
e cf． 116 seqq．
1 if．$P$ ． 697 ．
E．cf．e．17，102，$A$ ． 496.
h Z． 504 ．
i E． 435 et alecies in $I l$ ．
k $\alpha$ ． $256, \pi .295$.
$1 \delta .66,4 \cdot 76, \omega$ ． 316.
m o．283，O．676， 729.
n e． 513 ；cl．८． 335.
－cf． 114.
p $\tau .478 ;$ cऽ．M． 391.
4 ct．A． 27.
r $\lambda .608$ mar．
s ef． $80, v .103$.
l ©．．．H．143，if． 416， 427.
u cf．A． 22.
v ． 467 ．
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222．$\pi \varepsilon i \vartheta \vartheta 0 v \tau 0 \beta$ A．I．M．223．$\sigma x v \lambda \lambda \alpha v$ Vi．5，56，$\alpha v \varepsilon i \eta v$ Apollon．Lex．






 биv́d $\alpha$ M．，бหv่ $\lambda \lambda$ mss．x（ $\alpha \gamma$ H．Vi．ii）Fl．St．Ox．

222－33．＂They obeyed my call， ＂only I forbore to mention Scylla，lest ＂they should drop their oars in horror ＂and crouch under shelter．But in spite ＂of Circê＇s words I armed myself and ＂made for the fore－deck to await the ＂monster＇s swoop；but she would not ＂show，though I tired my eyes in ＂gazing at her rocky haunt．＂
 cross－timbers，$\xi v \gamma \alpha$ ，see App．F．r＇（17）． －غ́ழทuобข́vทs，see her words， 120
 $\lambda \alpha \nu \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \alpha \nu o ́ \mu \eta \nu=\eta$ グนย́ $\lambda o v v$ ，＂wilfully

 $\varepsilon i " \alpha=$＂forbad＂，for $\alpha v \omega \gamma \varepsilon \iota$ see on $\vartheta$＂． 449．－x之vr夭́，＂rattling＂，see on $\iota$ ． 308，ห．60，87．－Sv́o Soṽge，the full complement for battle，hence Esch． Agam． 643 ठ $\iota \lambda$ ó $\gamma \chi \alpha v$＂$A \tau \alpha \nu$ ，＂Atê （personified）fully－armed＂－＂＇so九к．．． лоผ́＠ŋs，see App．F．I（3），the prow and stern part were alone so furnished；
ef．Thucyd．I．ィо， $0 v \delta^{\prime} \alpha v v^{z} \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi o \tau \alpha$ $x \propto \tau \alpha ́ \varphi \varrho \alpha x \tau \alpha$ है $\chi \circ \nu \tau \alpha_{S} \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \alpha \underset{\sim}{\alpha} \pi \alpha-$
 $\alpha \sigma \mu \varepsilon ́ v \alpha$, I．14，ห $\alpha i \alpha v i \tau \alpha \iota(\nu \tilde{\eta} \varepsilon \varsigma)$ ） 0 vै $\pi \omega$
 －лєт＠кínv，see on 124．－лaлtaív．， see on $\lambda .608$.

234－46．＂We went dismally up the ＂water－gorge with Scylla and Charybdis ＂on either hand，the latter engulfing ＂and regurgitating the sea by turns： ＂－she sucked，you saw the waters ＂boiling in her whorl of bellowing ＂rocks，the bottom showing through； ＂she returned the draught，and an up－ ＂roar of water rose as from a cauldron， ＂flinging foam to the topmost peaks． ＂My men turned pale，and while all ＂eyes were fixed in terror on Charybdis， ＂Scylla clutched six，the very flower ＂of our crew．＂

234－44．бtєivळлov，really an adj． agr．with ódóv；see mar．The pass between Scylla and Charybdis．－סĩce













a 104－5．
b d． 511 mar．
\＆ci．§．31，e． 3333.
（1）क． $36=$
e cf E． $599, \mathbf{\Sigma} .403$,
C． 325.
1． 307.
g． $\mathbf{A .} 426$ ；cf．E． 499
h cf． $\boldsymbol{\gamma} .136, \boldsymbol{x} .204$.
i ef． 102 ．
k 237 mar．；cf．$\lambda$ 585－7，591－2．
ס． 511 max．
in cf．e． 395.
n $P .264$.
o $\lambda .587$.
P A．191，$\lambda .59$ mar．
if c．7e mar
队． 332, d． 817 ג． 50 s ．
s 417 ．
9． 373,315
u छ． 6 mar．
v $\boldsymbol{\alpha} .303$ max
w $\chi .173$, E．122，
N．61，75，ゆ． 453

244．$\tau \dot{\eta} v$ Fídouєv．
 HI．Fl．；$\varepsilon \not \mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma \varepsilon \iota \varepsilon$ V．238．$\dot{\alpha} v \mu \circ \varrho \mu$ ．A．Vi． $5, ~ 133$ Apollon．Lex．Wo．Di．，$\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \mu o \varrho \mu$. M．，$\dot{\nu} \alpha \mu о \rho \mu . \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\delta} \varepsilon$ H．Fl．St．Ern．Ox．Bek．；$\mu v \chi \omega \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta$ Apollon．Lex． 239.


 $\tau \eta \delta^{\prime}$ mss．xiv $(\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{Fl} . ;{ }^{\prime} 0 \mu \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ I．Vr．Vi．iii et A．ex em．et H． $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ eraso，ótouev V．M．var．1．245．иoílクs \＆A．K．N．Vr．Vi．omn．Eu．H．var．I． Wo．Di．；$\gamma \lambda \alpha \varphi v \varrho \tilde{\eta}_{S} \propto \beta \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ G．H．I．M．Stu．Fl．St．Ern．Ox．Bek．246．$\varepsilon$ ह́ $\xi \dot{\varepsilon}-$

 $\tau \varepsilon \varrho 0 \iota$
Wo．Ox．，$-\tau \alpha \tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$ H．

Xá＠．．．．ávege．，see on 104：the verb is obviously applicable only to the latter；and implies that the engulfing action was going on before their eyes． The converse he witnessed later， 437 －44．－$\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \kappa \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \varepsilon \iota \varepsilon$ ，for the sequence of mood and tense see App．A． 9 （20）．
 is always used in H．of water（mar．）；
 the root xux－，as in xvx－дos，denotes circular action，and is used of shaking $u p$ something to mix it in liquid，$x$ ． ${ }_{235}$, E． 903, A． 638 （of Nestor＇s posset）， hence xยหとต่v，the posset itself；then of the confused struggle of men or horses in panic，$\Sigma .229$, r． 489 ．－ ćupotéc．，including therefore the taller one，described as of surpassing height，73－6 sup．－èvvog9e，＂with－ in＂the vortex：the subject is prob－
ably still Xágvßঠıя．－xvavéク， ＂black＂，see App．F．I（ig）．－ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ， i．e．$X \propto \rho v \beta \delta \iota \nu$ ．

247－59．＂Looking inboard，I saw ＂their limbs struggling in mid air，and ＂heard their last cry of agony implor－ ＂ing help in vain，as they went quiver－ ＂ing upwards in her clutch，like fish ＂upon the fisher＇s hook，to her rocky ＂den；there she devoured them shriek－ ＂ing with hands outstretched to me in ＂the death grip of the monster．Of ＂all the rueful sights my wanderings ＂have seen the saddest sure was that！＂

247－50．बみeq๙uevog，he like the rest had turned his eyes on Charyb－ dis in the fascination of terror．The six were lifted from the vessel before he could look round．－sódas reè $\boldsymbol{x}$ ．$\ddot{v}_{0}$ ，this is formulaic（mar．）．－
a $\%$ 83，ゆ． 307
cf．M．138，́
$84,9.375$.
b $x .299$ mar．
c J． 275.
l d． 685 mar．，$X$ ． 78， 134.
，$\%$ ． 67 mar．
fef．$\chi, 384$ ．
\％七． 457 mх．
$h$ cf．$\alpha, 140, \chi, 20$ ．
if．isu，$\Omega^{\chi}$ s1．
Х．403，K．15J
थ．521，य．${ }^{\boldsymbol{L}} .684$.
1 cf． 9.526, M． 203.
im 11．408，ゆ． 29 237.
n cf．$\Theta .74$.
0 cf．X． 360.
） II． 430, P． 756 ， 759.
q $\Omega .743$ ；cf． 506 ，
2． 392.
r $\lambda .516$ mar．














 H．I．et M．v．I．Fl．Eu．

Éछ̌ovou．x．$\tau$ ．$\lambda .$, Callistr．objected to this v ．as unsuited to the rapidity of the seizure．
${ }_{251}-6$ ．$\dot{s} \delta \delta^{\prime}$ ，this simile of the fisher with his long rod armed with a hook of buffalo horn forming a fish－ prong，for no line is mentioned or sup posed（cf． $\boldsymbol{\chi . 1 2 4 , ~ i \chi \vartheta \tilde { v } s \delta ^ { \prime } \omega _ { S } \pi \varepsilon i \varrho o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ) ~}$ may be compared with that of E． 487 and of $\chi \cdot 384-7$ ，of the net with its struggling load and the fish palpitat－ ing on the shore；see Pref．to vol．I， p．LV，note 100 ．In the simile of $\Omega$ ． $8 \mathrm{r}-2$ the horn is loaded with lead，in another $\Pi .407-8$ ，the fish is dragged out $\lambda i v \omega$ иаi $\eta^{\prime \prime} \nu=\pi \iota \chi \alpha \lambda x \tilde{\omega}$ ，the oldest mention of line and hook．－xeo－ $\beta o ́ \lambda \omega$ ，＂a projection＂or＂coigne of vantage＂（Macbeth，I．6）；so the fisher in the simile $\Pi .406-7$ stands $\pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \varrho \eta$ हैँ $\iota$ $\pi \rho о \beta \lambda \tilde{\eta} \tau \iota$ 。－ó $\lambda i \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ ，as opposed to the larger fish tunnies，dolphins etc． and including all usually caught for food．－røc⿱亠䒑𧰨儿 is in tmesis with $\beta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda-$ $\lambda \omega \nu$ ，and $\delta \dot{\delta} \lambda \omega \nu$ in app．with cidactc． －x＠oínбє，Ni．reads suo arbitrio $\pi \varrho \circ i \eta \sigma \iota$ ，sibj．，but needlessly：the indic． pr．followed，as here，by aor．（ $\varepsilon \rho \rho \iota \psi \varepsilon$ ） is found in a simile $\Pi \cdot 765-9$ ，$\omega s \delta^{\prime}$
 $\pi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \mu i \zeta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v$ v̋̀ $\eta v$, 甲 $\eta \gamma o ́ v$ $\tau \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda i \not \eta v$

 marks of the Scholl．here，citing as authority Aristar．，perhaps illustrate fishing－tackle as later known．The Homeric was evidently far simpler（see on 251 sup．）a staff shod with a native horn，possibly to pierce the fish，but more probably to jerk it out while feeding．Hence the bait，$\varepsilon^{i} \delta \delta \alpha \tau \alpha$ ；is a necessary preliminary，and is of course not on the horn．－$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \alpha i-$ gover，as the fisher gets them one at a time，this agrees with ixviv und． fr．ix才v́б८ sup．

256－9．xexdnुovecs，in all the passages（mar．）where this partic．plur． occurs except those in $P$ ．（where still the text．rec．has $-\nu \tau$－）the authorities vary between $-\nu \tau$－and－$\tilde{\omega} \tau$－．In Fes． Sc． 379,412 Goëttl．gives $-v \tau$－in this word，though the mss．fluctuate．Pind． Pyth．IV． 326 has similarly $\pi \varepsilon \varphi$＠ínoveds，
 dian defends $-v \tau-$ ，deducing it fr ．a secondary pres．xє $\lambda \eta \eta \gamma \omega$ ，cf．$\tau \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \omega$ fr．$\pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ ．Eust．has－$\nu \tau$－，and Aristar． gave $-\boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\tau}$－in one recension，$-\tilde{\omega} \tau$－in the other．The sing．nom．is always
 $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ ．，elsewhere used only of the struggle




















 Fl.; $\tau$ om. $\delta \varepsilon$ N. A. ex ras. Vi. iii Ro. 267. $\mu \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota o g \propto \beta \boldsymbol{\delta} \varepsilon$ V. Fl. H. h. in


 Vr. Vi. 50. $\quad 272 . \tilde{v} \mu \ell \nu \boldsymbol{\beta}, \tilde{v} \mu \mu \iota \nu$ I. H. ex em., víiv $\boldsymbol{v}$. $\quad 273 . \ddot{\eta} \mathrm{mss}$. ix oii ov
 $-\lambda l_{0 \nu} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ V. Vr. Vi. 50 . 274. $\tau \varepsilon \rho \psi \iota \mu \beta$. mss. xiv ( $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{Vi}$. iii), $\tau \varepsilon \rho \psi \iota \beta$. $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ h., фкєбццß. A. Vr. Vi. 50 Fl. H. var. 1.
 with emphatic horror, as in $\delta .226,{ }_{o}^{0}$
 $\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \dot{\gamma} \gamma \eta \sigma \varepsilon$, so we find $\alpha \lambda y \varepsilon \alpha$ $\pi o \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$
 รु. 17.5. - غ́se@crívov, see on $\delta .337$, $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \eta \sigma t$.

260-76. "On being quit of these "perils we reached the Island of the "Sun with its herds and flocks lowing "and bleating - we could hear them "from the sea. I thought of the warn"ing of Tciresias and Cireê, and told "my comrades of the doom foreboded "by their words, bidding them avoid
"the isle."
261-76. áuv́uove, see on $\alpha, 29$, 232. - v $\boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma 0 v$, see on 127. - Evev$\boldsymbol{\mu \varepsilon \tau} .$, it is remarkable that bos longifrons is the name in palæontology of a primitive race of cattle. - ${ }^{\circ} \boldsymbol{Y}_{\boldsymbol{\pi}} \varepsilon \rho \dot{i}$., see on 176; cf. Hy. Sol. 4-8, Theocr. XXV. 129. - The variation of $\mu v$ $x \eta \vartheta \mu o v z$ gen, and $\beta \lambda \eta \chi \eta \eta_{\nu}$ accus., in the same sent, after the same verb, is remarkable. Neither the Scholl. nor Eustath. notice it, although the latter reads $\mu v x \eta \vartheta \mu o ̀ v$ and must have had conies with -ov. - Terpefico, see ג. 106-12. - Kịzทя, see 137 sup.
a d． 441 mar．
b $\Omega .349$ ．
c $\beta .430$ mar
d $x .566$ mar．
e d． 538 mar．
i $\% 205$.
＠$\because, 71$ ．
if K．1ti－t．
$i$ cf．$\alpha, 66$
k ef．$\alpha .192$ ， $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$ ． 63.
1 e．191，X． 357.
m 队． 356 ；cf．ס． 392.
п К． 98 ；сf．Ђ． 2.
o 2． 167 mar．
$\mathrm{P} \alpha .50$ mar．
ๆ $5.408, \boldsymbol{T}, 316$ ；
cil．今， 350 ．
r 307.
s K．394，468，$\Omega$ ． 633.
t c． 259.
ィ 9 ． 568 ．




 $\boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{v} \alpha^{k}$







 suprascr．${ }^{276}$ ．$\pi \alpha \varrho \varepsilon \xi$ V．I．K．N．Vi． $56 ; \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma v \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma v$ N． $278 . \mu^{\prime}$ om．є； $\boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \varepsilon i-$
 M．N．Vi． 56,133 Eu．Fl．；$\tau \iota \vartheta v \mu \tilde{\omega}$ Vi． 50 a sed in mar．$\gamma v i \alpha \alpha, \boldsymbol{\vartheta} v \mu \dot{o} \nu \mathrm{Vr}$ ．

 Vi．iii b．q．Fl．St．Ern．Ox．，$\alpha v ̋ \tau \cos \alpha \beta$ H．Wo．，ov゙ $\tau \omega$ Z Zenod．，h．；$\alpha v \dot{\alpha}$ Vi． 133 A．et M．var．1．；$\alpha \lambda \alpha \lambda \tilde{\eta} \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$ I．Ptolem．，h． $\mathbb{q} \cdot ; \dot{\alpha} \omega \omega^{\prime} \gamma \alpha_{S}$ V．
－xuoès $\boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\eta} v v_{0}$ ，the Scholl．notice that H．uses $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \xi$ with acc．as in 443 with $\delta 0 \tilde{v} \varrho \alpha$ ，so $\pi \alpha \varrho \varepsilon ̀ \xi ~ \alpha \prime \lambda \alpha$, 1．7．It occurs with gen．also，K． 349 et al．， and absolutely $\varepsilon$ ． 439 ．

277－93．＂Their hearts sunk at my ＂words，and Eurylochus began to ${ }^{6}$ murmur：－I was harsh and unfeel－ ＂ing－all of iron，inside and out． ＂What！forbid comrades，in their state ＂of fatigue，to land and taste comfort－ ＂able fare，and drive them from the ＂isle to wander all night upon the ＂sea！－night，when the weather might ＂be too bad for the Gods themselves ＂to save a ship！Nay，let night per－ ＂suade to supper and repose，and let ＂toil wait till morn．＂

278－84．бтvyعoft，＂resentful＂；he expressed a feeling widely current among the crew，as is clear from 294 inf．－ $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{c o s}$ ，see on ย． $47^{8}$ ，here ＂obdurate＂，void alike of feeling in himself and of feeling for others．－ $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \varrho \ell, i, e, \pi \varepsilon \rho i \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota$ ．－оv่d்̀ ．．．ж的－
$\mu \nu \varepsilon \iota$, does not mean that he had not shared their toil，but that he did not feel their fatigue．It is invective dis－ guised in ironical compliment．－oi－ סท́＠ع๔ $\pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \boldsymbol{\tau} \alpha$ ，this may suitably agree with $\gamma v \check{\iota} \alpha:$ but see the paraphrase sup．，

 $\mu \omega v$ ，also Aristoph．Acharn，491，$\alpha v \alpha i^{-}$ $\sigma \chi v \nu \tau o s ~ \omega ี ~ \sigma \iota \delta \eta \varrho o \tilde{v} \mathrm{\tau}$ кขクŋ．－
 （2）．－©úce，as a change due after toil．－$\lambda \bar{\alpha} \varrho o ̀ v, ~ c o n t r a s t ~ w i t h ~ t h i s ~ \lambda \alpha-~$ ＠os，a gull（gula？），\＆． 5 ェ．－av゙v $\omega$ ， see on $\delta .665^{\circ}-\boldsymbol{V o n v}$ ，＂swift＂，with accessory notion＂destructive＂，see Buttm．Lexil． 67 （6）－（1о）．－ג́ $\lambda$ ć̇ $\lambda \eta$－ $\sigma 9 \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ，Ptolem．Ascal．circumflexed this． Herod．remarks that it may be proparox： this tradition has prevailed，and so with $\varepsilon \gamma \varrho \eta \dot{\gamma} \circ \varrho \vartheta \alpha \iota, \alpha x \alpha \chi \eta \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$ and sim－ ilarly the participles $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \lambda \dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon v o s, \alpha^{\alpha} \mu \alpha-$ $\chi \eta \dot{\mu} \varepsilon v o s$, Bek．Hom．Blätt．p．70；see
 vov，غ．314．－öv $\omega \boldsymbol{1} \alpha$ s，see on $\vartheta .449$ ．









ต̈s＂है甲





a e． 286 mar ．
b I． 6 ；cf．E． 91.
c e． 317 mar．
d $\boldsymbol{\Psi} .200$ ，e． $2!5$ mar．
е $\boldsymbol{\beta} .49$, I． 78.
if $\alpha, 79$ maı．
¢．cf． $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ ．351，E． 105, O． 8.
h ©． 502.
i H．282， 293.
k $\boldsymbol{\eta} 253$ mar．
l ঠ．429， 574.
m e． 194 inar．
n $\gamma, 153$.
－401，$\beta .295,0.299$.
p 352，$\Gamma .461$.
ๆ 9.299 mar ．
r $\gamma$ ． 166 mar．
s $\delta .550$ mar．
t $x .157$ mar．
u $\delta .253$.
I．198，A．696， O． 323 ．






 $\beta \iota \alpha \mathfrak{\varepsilon \sigma v}$ oĩov，Zenod．，h．vi．，sic m．；oîov a M．，$\mu \mathrm{o}$ v̀vov $\beta \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ in mar．Schol． ad $\Pi .22$ et mss．rell． 298 ．$\alpha \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \gamma \varepsilon$ Vi．ı33：$\delta \dot{\eta} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ ．Stu．A．suprascr．，


286－93．غ่x ขvxtaัv，plur．indicating repeated experiences，as we say＂by nights＂；cf．Theogn．（Bergk p．51，4）460，
 $\mu \varepsilon ́ v \alpha$ ，Esch．Suppl．769－7०，甲८


 11．－Nótov ザ Zєци́ooto，from where they now were Nót．was a foul wind， 325 inf．：Z $\varepsilon \varphi$ ．is the wind which eventually（ 408 inf ．）wrecks them，noted for its violence，a quality quite opposite to its poetical character with ourselves． －dicẹciovar，＂dash in pieces＂ $(\delta \iota \dot{\alpha})$ ，see on §кцбє́ $\mu \varepsilon v \alpha \iota$, Э．569．－ と́どメモィ，＂against the will＂，i．e．in spite of the aid，of the gods，see 107 sup．and note．－ev víणou\＆v，sc．$\tau \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$ $\nu \tilde{\eta} \alpha$ ，so 401 inf．，o．299，vทंण0८б है $\pi \iota$－ $\pi \varrho о$ в่ $\eta x \varepsilon \vartheta 0 \tilde{\eta} \sigma \iota \nu$, ef．Herod．VII．193，


294－311．＂Eurylochus was supported ＂by the rest．I was scized with a ＂presentiment of evil to come．I told
＂him that I yielded to numbers，but I ＂put them one and all on their oath， ＂to abstain from any cattle found there， ＂and be content with the provisions ＂which Circê had bestowed．They all ＂took the oath．We then harboured ＂the ship near fresh water，disem－ ＂barked，supped and paid the last sad ＂offices to our lost comrades，till sleep ＂came upon our sorrow．＂

295－302．ઈcíuc⿱⿻丷夫丨日儿，see on $\beta$ ．134， and ef．the statement in $\iota .554-5$ ，


 reading is $\beta \iota \alpha \xi \varepsilon \sigma V^{\prime}$ oiov，ascribed to Zenod．The hero find his crew now slipping from his control in spite of his sage conduct and energetic leader－ ship．He had brought them through all perils，but at last cannot save them in spite of themselves；cf．$\alpha \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ovं $\delta^{\prime}$

 is no strict apodosis，but $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \sigma \mathfrak{v} \alpha \iota$
a (u. 45s.
b $\pi .314$, e. 478, ケ. $25 \%$.
c 28 mat.
4 p.3.7-smar., 0.
437, $\sigma .58$.
cef. $\% .125$.
1 ๆ. 56it mar.
¢ cl. H. 817.
h 283 mar., 9 . 61 mar.
i a. 150 mar.
k ef. X. $390, \Omega .1$.
1 cf . e. 65 .
แ2 215.
n 245 mat.
o d. 793 mar.
p छ. 483, K. 253.
















 $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ ’ őve $\delta \dot{\eta}$ Schol. Ven. ad K. 252 bis, e Porphyr.
$\alpha^{\prime} \pi^{\prime} \alpha \dot{v} \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu(321 \mathrm{inf}$.) is implied in $\mu \dot{\eta}$ $\pi 0 v \quad \tau \iota \varsigma ~ . . . ~ \dot{\alpha} \pi о \varkappa \tau \alpha \dot{\nu} \eta$, following. -
 this repeats the key note struck in $\alpha .7$. - éx $x \lambda \lambda \boldsymbol{}$, "contentedly". --
 La R p. 388 notices the want of uniformity in Aristarchus' usage (according to the Scholl.) concerning compound adjs. in -os: the following being
 $\alpha \mu \beta \alpha \tau o ̀ s, \alpha^{\prime} \nu \varepsilon x \tau o ̀ s, ~ \alpha \vartheta \alpha v \alpha \dot{\tau} \eta$ - (and



## 303-4 are formulaic (mar.).

 ral "harbour-basin" is intended. у 2 verooĩo, "fresh", cf. Virg. En. I. ${ }^{167}$, Intus aque dulces; see App. G. 3 (9) footnote on "Glyky". - \&x dacov,

 there. Virg. En. I. 216, 7 has

Postquam exempta fames epulis mensæque remotæ,
Amissos longo socios sermone requirunt. (Löme.)
 cf. $\alpha .423$ for sense, structure, and

 Frj$\delta v \mu o s$, see Buttm. Lexil. 81.

312-23. "With the third watch set "in foul weather with a violent gale "and a gloomy sky. At dawn we "housed the ship in a natural cavern, "a haunt of the nymphs. I then called "the ship's company and renewed my "warning to abstain for fear of con"sequences, reminding my comrades "whose cattle they were."
312. nuog, always in H. fotlowed by $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$, whether there is or is not (see on $\delta .400$ ) anything in the sense to require $\delta \varepsilon$ : but in Hes, we have $0 p p$.
 $\pi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \dot{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\prime} \iota \sigma \iota$ without $\delta \varepsilon$ added. - r@i $\chi \alpha$, "the third watch". Used as an adv. of time it takes gen. vvrós. The custom of dividing night into 3 watches, or rather 24 hours into 6 , prevails still in our navy. Cf, K. $251-3 \mu \dot{1} \lambda \alpha$ ү $\varrho \varrho$
 $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \delta \grave{\eta} \pi \varrho о \beta \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\beta} \eta x \varepsilon, \pi \alpha \varrho о i ́ \chi \omega x \varepsilon \nu \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ $\pi \lambda \varepsilon ́ \omega v$ víg $\tau \omega \nu \nu \delta \dot{v} 0 \mu \circ \varrho \alpha \omega v, \tau \varrho \iota-$


 three companies". - $\boldsymbol{\mu \varepsilon \tau} \dot{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \ldots \boldsymbol{\beta}$. . Bnerev, "had shifted their position", as of course in 8 hours they would do.











a e． $67-9$ mar．
b e．293－4 mar．
c $\beta .1$ mar．
d $\delta .7 \mathrm{~m})$ mat
e st mar．
i 1；cl．$v .1019$.
－万． 26.
h $\ell .171$ mat．
\％． 171.
k ef． $\boldsymbol{\gamma}, 176$
1 ยf．$\varkappa .2 ะ 8$.
II 398．
ก $\boldsymbol{N} .52$ ．
o 128 mar．
p 2． 109 mar．
$q \times .475$.

 a man．r．Eu．Fl．Ro．，－ $\boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$ I．K．Vi．ii，$-\tilde{\eta}$ N．ex em．317．$\rho_{\rho} \mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu$ V．，

 sup．et Vi． 133 var．1．，$\mu \tilde{v} \vartheta 0 \nu$ a $\beta$ H．I．K．M．Stu．Vi． 56 ，133，$\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \iota v$ rell． 321．$\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \delta \varepsilon$ Fl．Ro．；$\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \vartheta 0 \iota \mu \varepsilon \nu$ Eu．324．$\tau 0 \check{\sigma} \sigma \iota$ \＆$\beta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$（et in 277 ）I．K．M．N． Vi． 133.

313－8．$\xi_{\alpha \eta ั v, ~ t h u s ~ A r i s t a r . ~ m a r k e d ~}^{\text {a }}$ the accent，and the tradition has pre－ vailed．It seems，however，unreason－ able，$i$ ．$e$ ．if the Schol．on $\varepsilon$ ．368，
 describing it as an Eolic accus．which should be Gonv．Herodian preferred $\xi \alpha \tilde{\eta} \nu$ ，as if $\xi \alpha \tilde{\eta} \nu \alpha$ ，from a nom．in $-\dot{\eta} \nu$（La R．p．263）．It is from $\xi \propto \alpha \not \approx \eta \mu \iota$ and corresponds in sense with $\delta v \sigma \alpha \dot{\eta} s$

 уモ́véce ห．т．$\lambda$. ，see on $\beta$ ．1．－$\omega$－ $\boldsymbol{\mu i \sigma a \mu \varepsilon v , ~ s e e ~ A p p . ~ F . ~ i ~ ( 1 0 ) ~ ( I I ) . ~ - ~}$ $\sigma \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{g}_{\text {，}}$ in ． 404 the stores and tackle are placed in similar shelter；here the entire ship．－xo＠oi，see on $\mu$ ．4．－ Yówzor，see on $\beta$ ． 14 ；the $\chi$ opoi and $\vartheta$ ówo are natural features in the rocks and beach，which，owing prob－ ably to their fantastic and unustual forms，were assigned to the＂nymphs＂； much as popular language speaks of ＂fairy－rings＂in the grass．Such are especially common in basaltic or lime－ stone rocks．In $\nu .1_{3}-9$ we have what is evidently a cavern of stalac－ titic and other similar formations．For the nymphs see on $\xi$ ．105－6．

319－23．áyog $\boldsymbol{\eta} v$ ，see on $\boldsymbol{x}$ ．188，and App．A．4．It is possible that the oath taken in 303－4 might have been re－ garded as referring only to the im－ mediate occasion of the night in 283. The object now is to bind the whole ship＇s company by deliberate assent． － $\boldsymbol{\tau} \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{\nu} \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ ，the $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ is inferential，cor－ respondent to preceding $\gamma \dot{\alpha}$ e；＂since there is ．．．let，us therefore，etc．＂；so inf． $354-6$ ，ov $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho \tau \bar{\eta} \lambda \varepsilon \ldots \tau \dot{\alpha} \subseteq$ d $\delta$ $\pi \varepsilon \varrho i ́ \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ и．$\tau . \lambda .-\mu \dot{\eta}$ тє $\boldsymbol{\pi} \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\vartheta} .$, the usual euphemism for death or destruction；cf．$\beta$ ．179，$\mu \dot{\eta}$ лои́ $\tau \iota$ ик－
 x．$\tau$ ．$\lambda_{\text {．，Sophocl．Frag．} 284 \text { Dind．gives }}$ the same attribute to Time，$\delta \pi \alpha \dot{\sim} \vartheta$ ，




324－38．＂My words persuaded them， ＂but the wind blew South or East for ＂${ }^{\text {a }}$ whole month．Whilst our store of ＂food held out，they abstained from ＂the cattle；when it was spent，they ＂took to fishing and fowling．I betook ＂myself to prayer and went a lonely ＂path to a sheltered spot，there washed ＂my hands and entreated the immortals
a x． 14 mar．
I 632，A．12，三． 152 ．
с II． 225.
（1）$\varepsilon .295$ mar．
e $\boldsymbol{\gamma} .126$.
f e． 360 mar．
g 321 ．
h $\omega$ ． 536 ．
i 1.163.
k є．212，反． 363.
1 cf．399， $\boldsymbol{Y} .220$.
m $\chi .306$.
n $\delta$ ．368－9 mar．
o H 130 ．
p 143 mar．










 Apoll．Lex．Hesych．326．$\gamma \iota v \varepsilon \tau$. mss．ix（ $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ Vi．ii）Eu．Fl．，$\gamma \varepsilon i v \varepsilon \tau$＇$\varepsilon$ N．；

 Lex．Hesych．332．$\gamma \alpha \mu \pi \tau 0 \check{\mathrm{~L}}$ Vi． $5^{6}$ ，$\gamma \nu \alpha \pi \tau o \check{\mathrm{~L}}$ I．Stu．
＂to open us a way of escape．In ＂answer they sent sleep upon my ＂eyes．＂

325－32．Nótog，for this and Eurus， as foul winds now，see on 127 sup ．－ síws，for this with $\tau$ ópor in the demonstrat．clause cf．$\Upsilon .41-2, \varepsilon i{ }^{i} \omega s$



 The proper correspondent of $\varepsilon^{\prime \prime} \omega \in$ is of


 solutely as a demonstrative：so $\gamma$ ．126，
 note ad loc．and N．143，${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{c}$＂＂Еитю＠
 while＂．It is succeeded in both places by $\alpha^{3} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ö ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \varepsilon$ ，introducing a changed state of things，and so here 329 inf ．－ चौéce，see on $\boldsymbol{\beta} .289$ for the quantity etc．（there $\eta_{\eta}^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)$ ；and notice the use of $\eta_{1}$ oc in $N$ ．10，3 where of deer it is said that they $\lambda \dot{v}$ кюv $\eta^{\prime \prime} \iota \alpha \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \frac{1}{} \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ ，mean－ ing food or prey，thus wholly losing the etymol．sense，which is something herbaceous or farinaceous．The case
 have happened to Menelaüs as de－ scribed $\delta .363$ by him，but for divine interposition，which now was besought in vain．－ $\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\boldsymbol{\eta}}{ }^{\alpha} \gamma \varrho \eta \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ，in synizesis，
as ${ }^{\alpha} \gamma \rho \emptyset \nu$ has probably $\bar{\alpha}$ ，see on 399 inf．－уvсилтоїя суж．ห．т．$\lambda .$, repeat－ ing $\delta .369$ ，where it follows ixปvóкбкоv， and is therefore more suitable．Eustath．， however，mentions hooks as used in capturing sea birds．
 the reason for his seeking solitude was perhaps twofold； 1 ．that he distrusted his comrades and had to pray against their rashness，2．that he probably hoped for the personal appearance of some deity in answer，cf．inf．$\varepsilon \ell^{\prime \prime} \tau i ́ S$
 commonly granted only to favoured


 $\tau \alpha \iota \varepsilon ้ \propto \rho \gamma \varepsilon \tilde{\iota} \varsigma, \pi .161$ ，expresses the general principle，and the boast of Alcinoüs in $\eta$ ．201－5，that the gods were specially familiar and intimate with the Phæacians，implies a peculiar privilege．Thus of Eidotheê $\delta .367$ ．Menel．

 is visible to Odys．alone and to the dogs，but not to Telemachus，and to Achilles alone in A．198．So Telem． ＂goes apart to the sea－shore，washes ＂his hands，and prays to Pallas＂，
 7．Such was probably the expecta－ tion of Odys．now，and to this dis－















[^23]



 Vr．Vi． 50.
appointment he doubtless alludes in $\xi$ ．


 －бжध่лルg，no doubt lest the wind should scatter his prayer before it went to heaven．

338．ジrvov，so \％．31，in a similar crisis：here the notion seems to be that Odys．was by this divine economy hindered from even witnessing the sacrilege，which he could not with－ stand．

339－51．＂Eurylochus stood forth as ＂thie leader of mischief．＇Sufferers as ＂＇we are，said he，let us choose the ＂＇easiest death：famine is the most
＂＇appalling．Let us drive to sacrifice
＂＇these cattle，and accompany it with
＂＂a vow to raise an ample shrine with ＂costly offerings to the Sun－god on ＂＇reaching Ithaca．If，in wrath for ＂＇his cattle，he combine with the gods ＂＇to wreck our ship－we perish：so ＂be it：better that than die by inches ＂＇amidst desolation here＇．＂


 and with the sentiment，Thucyd．III．

Н，$\tau \tilde{\omega} \alpha i \sigma \chi i \sigma \tau \omega$ ỏ $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \vartheta \rho \omega \lambda \iota \mu \tilde{\omega} \tau \varepsilon-$
 En．X． 854 ，omnes per mortes animam
 epic subj．for $-\omega \mu \varepsilon \nu$ ．The whole proceeding is to be a sacred one in point of form，thus putting a devout face on the sacrilege－in the poet＇s view－which they are intending；cf． the two motives coupled in $\xi$ ． 25 t ，

 sequence of moods is rather unusual． There is no authority for $-\omega_{\mu} \mu \vartheta \alpha$ here， though Thiersch would have it so（Gr． Gr． $330,5 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{Ni}$ ．）．－tevgouev is fut．indic．with $x \varepsilon v$ ，and $\vartheta \varepsilon \varepsilon \check{\mu} \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu 2$ aor． optat．with $x \varepsilon$ ．A parallel is found in





 App．A． 9 （7）．This vow of a temple to be dedicated is the sole instance of the kind in H ．and it was never per－ formed．The nearest example to it is that in K．570－1，where Odys．，hav－ ing returned from a successful enter－
a ©．231，$\Sigma .573$ ；
cf．工． 3.
b $A .63$ ．
e $\boldsymbol{Y} .168 ;$ cf．$\delta .511$.
d II． 861 ；ef．E．852．
e O． 512 ；cf． $\boldsymbol{x} .177$.
f $\gamma .270$ ．
g． 294 mar．
h 343 mar．
i $\quad$ ． 539 mar．
k $\lambda .284$ mar．
1262 mar．
m B． 410 ；ef $\lambda .402$.
n $\Theta .347$.
o N． 180 ．
p ૬．328，$\tau .297$.
ๆ $\delta .604$ mar．
r＠． $160,249, \tau$ ． 243，B． 170.

















 Fl．；दُ $\varrho \varepsilon \psi \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \nu 0 \iota$ var．1．Vi． 56 ，hoe et $\delta \varrho \varepsilon \psi$ ．V．
prise upon which the blessing of Athenê had been invoked，sets up the spoils to her on the stern of his galley，


 véaco from veaoos：for the epith．， applied also to ships，see App．F．i
 $\tau \alpha \ell$ ，with this $2^{\text {nd }}$ alternative we have the subj．，whereas with the previous one 345 － 7 the optat．；this is because the latter is looked upon as more，the former as less，probable．－ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \varrho \boldsymbol{o} \boldsymbol{s}$
 vidwe，d． 511 ；and with the form of the sentiment $O .5 I I-2, \beta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \tau \varepsilon \varrho 0 \nu \eta$ グ



 our＂strangury＂，＂strain＂（of liquids）， so $\sigma \tau \varrho \varepsilon v \gamma \omega \dot{\mu \varepsilon \vartheta \vartheta \alpha ~} \lambda \iota \mu \tilde{\omega}$（mar．）．The notion is that of life being squeezed out by drops．बт $\iota \beta \iota \lambda \iota x i \gamma \xi$ Aristoph． Acharn． 1035 ，is＂a drop＂．
352－65．＂His words persuaded them： ＂the cattle were grazing near the ship： ＂they drove off the best，and，using
＂oak leaves in default of barley and ＂water for lack of wine，sacrificed and ＂ate them with the usual formalities．＂
 ov่ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho \ldots \tau \dot{\alpha} \zeta$ d̀̀ $\pi \varepsilon \varrho i ́ \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \varepsilon$ ， the sent．is slightly irregular，since the $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ corresponds to the $\gamma \dot{\varrho} \varrho$ of a clause（see on $320-1$ ）which，strictly speaking，is parenthet．，being inserted
 $\sigma \alpha v$ ，so B． $410, \beta o \tilde{v} \nu \tau \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \varrho i \sigma \tau \eta-$ $\sigma \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \varepsilon$ ，where the act being conjoined
 part of the sacrificial formality：Ni． compares $\gamma$ ．439－54，in which each of Nestor＇s six sons has a share in the ministry of the sacrifice．－ $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\chi} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon \tau} \boldsymbol{o}-$ avto，in the sense of Eurylochus＇ words in $345-7$ ，implying a vow to that effect．

357－8．Фv́ $\lambda \lambda \alpha \ldots$ ．．．ס＠vò ，some vegetable substance，to serve as the analogue to barley，was required．The oak was perhaps selected as having furnished human food before corn super－ seded its mast：cf．Hes．Opp．232－3，
 $\mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma \sigma \eta$ ठ气 $\mu \varepsilon \lambda i \sigma \sigma \alpha s$ ．－x＠í，see on ס． 41 ．














a A. 459 , B. 422 $-4$.
b) cf. $\gamma .9,456$
c $\gamma$. 457-8 mar.
d $\gamma .460$ mar., $A$. 775.
e A. $176, P . \operatorname{l} 6$
f $\gamma$. 461-2 mar.
๙ ヶ. $373,438$.
h ס. 793 mar.
$i$ 9. 303 mar.
k J. 779 mar.
I $x$. 156-7 mar.
m ל. 122 mar.
u cl. $\approx .174, \pi .290$.

1) X. 34.
p ८. 47, @. 161.
ч ع. 7 mar:
$r$ cf. $\boldsymbol{\varkappa}$. 68-69.






 mss. xii ( $\boldsymbol{\kappa} \beta \in \mathrm{II}$. Vi. omn.) Eu. Schol. ad B. 423 ; pro $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{v} \mathrm{~s}$ in mar. vท́dvuos
 $\mu \alpha^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{K}$.; хоєці́боtє St.

359-65, see on $\gamma .456-62$. With rvían $\boldsymbol{\text { cf. }} \Phi .363$, where it means the fat which simmers on the surface of water in which pork is boiled. Eustath. on this pass. notes that some regarded it there as a neut. pl., $\tau \dot{\alpha} x \nu i \sigma \eta$, sing. หขĩog, but this he rejects as un-Homeric. - $\boldsymbol{\mu \varepsilon ́ \vartheta v , ~ c f . ~ ह ̇ \pi i ~ \delta ’ ~ \alpha i ̉ \vartheta o \pi \alpha ~}$ o ĩvov $\lambda \varepsilon i \beta \varepsilon, \gamma$. 459: this was on ( $\left.\varepsilon^{\prime} \pi i\right)$ the parts sacrificially burnt. -
 $\mu \tilde{\eta} \varrho^{\prime}$, see on $\gamma .456$. Ptolem. Ascal. read $\mu \tilde{\eta} \rho \varepsilon$ (dual) $x \alpha \dot{\eta}$, comparing őббє dual. It is against this that, in $\gamma \cdot{ }_{456}$ -6i the $\mu \eta \varrho i \alpha<$ of 456 has $\pi \alpha^{\prime} \nu \tau \alpha$ applied to it, and therefore cannot easily be viewed as in force dual; and these seem to be identical with the $\mu \tilde{\eta} \varrho^{\prime}$ of $\gamma .46 \mathrm{r}$.

366-73. "I shook off sleep; but, "while on the way to rejoin my com"rades, the savoury smell came about
"me in the air. I exclaimed in pas"sionate energy against the gods, who
"had thus lulled me to my woe, while "my comrades had transgressed." 366-72. vท́ $\delta v \mu o s$, see Buttm. Lexil. 81 in favour of F $\boldsymbol{\eta} \delta v \mu o s . ~-~ \eta ं \delta \dot{v} \varsigma$
一. $\boldsymbol{\mu \varepsilon \tau}$ ', Bekk., Hom. Bl. p. 284, surgests $\mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma^{\prime}$, comparing $X .34, \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \alpha$
 as though, by having sought the gods in that sequestered spot and their having answered by slcep, he was virtually in their presence on waking.
 ع. 400 . - बíg éz $\eta \nu$, to my woe, i.e. as sharing in the penalty due to my comrades. It is true, he had escaped their $\sin$, and therefore might individually escape their destruction. Yet this opening on the side of hope does not strike him at onec. His thonghts are for his comrades: their $\alpha \tau \eta$ is lis $\alpha ้ \tau \eta$, see on 375 inf., E'x $\alpha \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu \quad \eta \mu s i s$. On this characteristic of Odys. see App. E. I (12).
a $\lambda .272$ mar.
b el. K. 4 s .
c 133 mar.
d $\delta .305$.
e $\gamma, 166$ mar.
1359.
м. $\Omega .32$.
it A. 44; cf. e. 284
i cf. $\boldsymbol{v}, 128$ seqq.
k e. 7 mar.
1 ८. 479 ; cf. B. 7 . 43.
m $\pi .104$ et scepius. n छ. $92,95$.







373. Féerov. 375. Fot.



 h., in mar. a man. r. $\boldsymbol{a}$ Wo. et edd. recentt., ėx $\varepsilon \varepsilon \iota v$ et $\alpha \nu$ sup. c. ${ }_{37} 8$. $\tau i \sigma \alpha \iota$ $\varepsilon$ T. K. N. Vi. iii. ${ }^{379}$. oî' $\mu \varepsilon \varepsilon$; $\varepsilon^{\prime \prime} ห \tau \varepsilon i v o \nu$ et $\alpha$ sup. a man. 2. $\alpha$.
373. $\mu$ モ́y é ěgov, "enormity": the same term is applied to the deeds of the suitors $\omega .458$, and to their retributive slaughter by Odys., $\omega ., 426$, also to the unnatural union of ${ }^{\circ} E \pi \iota^{-}$ ко́बтๆ, 2. 272. The sin of the crew here turns on the notion, that the lives of the cattle were essentially sacred and that human life was not. There seemed no escape from the alternative stated by Euryl., and the offence would be one which would be entitled to be viewed, as Aristotle says, Eth. Nicom. II., with pardon and perhaps with pity. Yet the feelings of the poet were wholly on the other side and they are regarded, as Ægisthus, as having brought on their doom $\sigma \varphi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \dot{\varrho} \supseteq \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda$ in$\sigma \iota \nu, \alpha .7, c f . \alpha .34-6$, nor does he anywhere bestow a word of compassion on their fate, although the offence was such as almost any one would under the circumstances have been guilty of, and was accompanied with a vow of recompense, which went far to deprive it of intentional sacrilege. Nothing but the single act done is allowed to be regarded in the question of guilt; and that act is one (as we should say) of a purely ceremonial character. It would be difficult to find in all heathen antiquity a $\sin$ in which the moral element is so wholly lacking, or rather, in which all ethical considerations plead so strongly in favour of the offenders. But as men stuck to revenge, as dignified and noble, see on $\boldsymbol{\imath} .553$; so they probably
deemed retribution the only course worthy of the gods.

374-90. "Lampetiê the nymph "brought at once the news to the Sun"god. In the assembly of immortals he "appealed to them all to witness and "avenge his loss of his cattle - his "delight as he rose and set day by "day-failing that vengeance, he would "descend to Aïdes and shine among "the dead. Zeus replied, bidding him "still gladden heaven and earth with "his rays; for he himself would splinter 'the offenders' ship with his thunder "in mid-sea. (This I heard from Ca"lypsô who ,"had it from Hermes.)"

374-5. «̈ $\gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda o s$, the Scholl. enquire why the Sun-god "who sees and hears all things", 323 sup., should need a messenger to apprise him of a fact which closely concerned himself? But the answer is to be found in the anthropomorphic limitations spoken of in note on $\delta .379$, which see. - Асиле-
 the notion seems based on the contour of the figure distending the robe. ö, $\rightleftharpoons$ ö̃七, see $A .120$, E. 433, ©. 32 . - घ̋z ${ }_{\varepsilon ้ ห \tau \alpha \nu} \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \varrho 0 \iota$, doubtless arose from the fact (which the hero, uniting his comrades with himself here disregards,) that he had no personal hand in the slaughter, and from a wish to bring the text into harmony with that fact.
 cf. $\delta \dot{\eta}$, with a negative imper., $\mu \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\eta}$ $\mu \circ \iota \vartheta \dot{\sim} \nu \alpha \tau o ́ v \gamma \varepsilon \pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ v́ $\delta \alpha, \lambda .488$. -













a c． 527 mar．
b 2.18 mar．
c cf． $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ． 58.
d $\lambda .164$ mar．
E． 397.
I $\alpha .63$ mar．
g $\boldsymbol{\gamma} .2-3$ ；cf，e． 2.
h $\eta .249$, e． 128 mar．
i 174.
k $\boldsymbol{\eta}, 250$ ．
1 cf．$\nu .32$.
m Э． 452 mar．
n o． 319 ．
o 9.50 mar．
ए cf． $\boldsymbol{Y} .252$.
4）$ข .54$ ；cf．$x, 173$ ，
ち． 143.
r．B． 342 ，I． 2.19.



 $-\tau \tau \alpha$ ．392．$\alpha \lambda \lambda$ оє $\beta$ ，$\alpha \lambda \lambda$ оs $\gamma$ 。
 for tense and mood App．A． 9 （20）．－ sí $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu}$ ィ ov́ $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ．，for similar use of $o v$, to exhibit the objective character of the negative supposed，ef．$\beta .274$ ，
 － $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mu o \not \beta \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{v} v$ ，for this with genit．de－ pending cf．$\gamma$ ．58－9，$\delta i \delta \delta v$ ，$\chi \propto \varrho i \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu$

 thus coupled with subj．see App．A． 9 （10）．Examples occur in other poets，as

 Pind．Ol．VI．39－40，ő甲 $\rho \alpha \beta \alpha \sigma о \mu \varepsilon \nu$ ．．．¿＇x $\omega \mu \alpha \iota \tau \varepsilon$ ．For the sense Löwe compares Aristoph．Nub．584，ó $\delta^{3}$

 vuiv，$\delta \dot{v} \sigma \boldsymbol{\mu} \alpha \iota$ clearly means＂will go
 497 et alibi
 ＂Nay，do thou go on shining＂，－－そsi－ $\delta \omega \varrho o v$＂̈g．，see on $\varepsilon, 463$ and $\delta .41$ ．
 lit．＂will split their ship up smaill＂，＝ will splinter it；ef．Iles．Opp．247，
 $\tau \alpha \iota \alpha v \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$（the impions）．

389－90．I cannot but think these

lines by a later hand．They betoken critical sagacity rather than epic simplicity and belong to the school of $\xi \eta \tau \eta u \alpha \tau \alpha$ or $\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \varrho i \alpha \iota$ and their $\lambda \dot{v} \sigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ or explanations．No listener to the early |  |
| :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{z}$ | how Odys，came by the knowledge． For diaztógov see Buttm，Lexil． 40.

391－402．＂We came down to the ＂shore，my comrades throwing the ＂blame on each other，but it was past ＂remedy：－the oxen were dead．The ＂gods began to show omens of dis－ ＂pleasure；for the hides crept about， ＂and the flesh lowed like living beeves． ＂Six days the feast lasted．On the ＂seventh the gale abated and we put ＂to sea，hoisted mast and spread sail．＂

392－3．veixeov x．$\tau$ ．$\lambda$ ．，the constr． would seem simpler with $\alpha^{*} \lambda \lambda o v \varepsilon v \mathcal{\alpha}^{2} \lambda$－
 is equally simple．Each man who is the object of recrimination stands in turn on a different side，and so with each fanlt－finder．－غ̇лtotedòv， ＂pointedly＂，lit，＂making a stand at him＂，denotes the earnestness with which each sought some one else on whom to fix the blame．Obs．the im perf，tense of a sustrined action，－
a $\gamma, 173-4$ ；of．$A$ ．
2 s ．
1）Btiomar．；ct．\＆
（30， 1.394.
© $\pi$ ． 5 ）．
d $\ell$ ．st mar．：cf．
こ こ19—5こ．
c 1.172 mat．
1 ： 13 mar ．
s．1f．1． 76
is ci．Y． 220.
i $\varphi .102$, Z． 357.
К е． $390-1$ mar．
l 1 i8， 426 ．
$\mathrm{m} 314,408$ ，A． 306.
（1）29：3 mar．
（ 1.77 mar．
1）\＆． 543 mar．，$\xi$ ． 301－2．













## 397．है＠íFク＠\＆s．










$\delta v v o c \mu$ ．is of course imperf．too．－
 comp．$\varepsilon^{\prime \prime} ห \tau \alpha \nu, 3^{\text {rd }}$ plur．for $\varepsilon^{\prime \prime} x \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu$ ， which shows that the $I^{\text {st }}$ sing．of this would probably be $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \varepsilon \vartheta \nu \nu \check{\alpha} \nu$ ．

394－6．đv่тix＇غ゙лєıtce，this recalls us to the time of the slaying，roasting， etc．＂immediately on＂the act spoken of just before as completed in $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon ์ v$ ． －roov́甲uevov，again imperf．－and
 in sense present；cf．©́ $\delta \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \mu \alpha x \rho \alpha, \mu \varepsilon$ ，


 $\mu o s$ $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \bar{\varepsilon} \tau \varepsilon$, cf．for the force of ictus $\dot{\alpha} \mu$－


397－402．غं $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \propto 0$ ，how Odys．him－ self subsisted does not appear．We must suppose that at any rate he did not partake of the forbidden food．As the poet finds no difficulty in his hang－ ing all day in the tree and floating nine days on the raft， 437,447 inf．， obviously without food，it is idle to

$\mu \omega \nu$ applied to Egisthus，$\alpha .29$ ，is a good instance of a fixed epithet stick－ ing to its word，even when the con－ nexion makes it least applicable．－
 suit $\delta \alpha i v v \nu \tau$ in a strictly imperf．sense： ＂continued driving off and eating＂．－ $\boldsymbol{\delta} \grave{\eta}$ ع́＇$\beta \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{o v}$ ，similarly $-\eta$ and $\alpha-$ suffer synizesis in $\alpha .226, \delta .165, \mu$ ． $330^{\circ}$ ，and $-\eta 0$－in $\eta$ ．261；see these and other examples collected，Bekk．Hom． Bl．p．173．－غंvウ่recrecv，see on 293 sup．－iбvòv．．．īvió，see App．F． I（6）（7）．
403－19．＂As we were leaving the ＂island，with sea and sky all around ＂us，a black storm－cloud overcast our ＂ship．Her further course was short． ＂A squall from the North West snapped ＂the fore－stays，bringing down the ＂mast with its tackle into the hold． ＂It fell with a crash on the steers－ ＂man＇s skull and he dropped dead ＂overboard．Zeus aimed his lightning ＂at the ship，she reeled amid the sul－ ＂furous fume，and the crew fell off ＂into the waves and were seen no ＂more．＂















a 气．3 3 3－4，$\mu .75$ ，
J：．31．, Y． 417
b \＆．A．2x．

d o． 494.
e $\xi$ ． 193.
$i$ cf．$\mu$ ． 256 ．
g ct．$\beta .421, \Psi$ ， 203
h tue）mar
i $\beta$ 4en mar．
k Z．3．44；cf．．1．68．
1 阝．390，430，ג．9，
н． 151.
m 0．479．
${ }^{n}$ 队． 417 mar．
o M．384－6，e． 426
mar．；cf．८． 498.
p cf．K． 524.
q M．${ }^{\text {M }}$ 35，II． 742.
r $\boldsymbol{\gamma} .353$ mar．
s $\boldsymbol{\gamma} .455$ mar．
t $\beta .103$ mar．
u छ．305－9．
v छ．30\％．
w ع．314，$\quad$ N． 558 ， Z． 109 ．
x O． 117.
©． 135, ＝． 415 ； cf．$\chi .481$
2245.
aa $\varepsilon$ ． 66 ．
bb $\boldsymbol{\beta} .430$.
се $\boldsymbol{\alpha}, 9, \xi, 309$.








408－11．zex之クу －Zéqu＠og，see on 289 sup．－ォ＠o－ тóvov̧ ．．．ӧ $\pi \lambda \alpha \ldots$ ．．．óvt $\lambda o v i x \varrho \iota-$ ó¢еv，see App．F．I（6）（4）．－$-\boldsymbol{\varphi} \boldsymbol{\nu} \mu \boldsymbol{\nu} \tilde{\eta}$ （or $\pi \varrho v \mu v \eta$ ），the adj．，says Herodian， is $\pi \varrho v \mu \nu o s$（oxyton）：where，standing absolutely it has the force of a noun， most texts give $\pi \varrho v \dot{v} \mu \nu \eta ;$ so $\nu, 84$ ，${ }^{\circ \prime} \mathrm{S}$
 regard it thus always when with $\nu \eta \dot{v} s$ ， as though two nouns in apposition．On this view，supported by the Schol．Ven． A．，$\nu \eta i \delta^{\prime} \varepsilon \in \nu i \pi \varrho v \dot{\mu} \nu \eta \beta .4 \times 7$ is correct， otherwise it should there be $\pi \varrho v \mu \nu \tilde{\eta}$ ．

413．＂́ $\mu v \delta \iota \varsigma,=\alpha ँ \mu \alpha$ ，said to be Eolic，and thus to have lost its as－ pirate．Herod．on A． 576 compares
 vevtñ＠ ，Curtius，p． 31 5，connects this through the Lat．urinator urinari，（ef． also ur－na，ur－ceus）with ov＠ov ov＠ćc， and the Sanskr．vari water，and thus
regards it as having properly the $\mathcal{F}$ ． The simile also occurs（mar．）where a death－blow is received from a huge stone crashing into the forehead of Cebriones：only there it is $x \alpha \pi \pi \varepsilon \sigma$＇ $\alpha \pi^{\prime} \varepsilon v \varepsilon \varrho \gamma \varepsilon$ ќos $\delta i \varphi \rho o v$ ；on which Patro－


416－9．$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \lambda i \chi \vartheta \eta$ ，cf．$\varepsilon^{\prime \prime} \lambda \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \nu \mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma \alpha$ $x \tilde{v} \mu \alpha \ldots \pi \varepsilon \rho i \quad \delta \varepsilon \sigma \chi \varepsilon \delta i \eta \nu$ है $\lambda \varepsilon \in \lambda \iota \xi \varepsilon \nu$ （mar．）；the sudden twist from her course at a large angle with it is in each case meant．－وeciov，so，when the light－ ning startles Diomedes＇horses（mar．），
 voto：a sulfurous smell is noticeable where lightning has newly struck．－ $\boldsymbol{\pi \lambda \tilde { \eta } \tau \boldsymbol { \tau }}$ ，see on $\pi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \mu \varepsilon ́ v o s, 108$ sup． －roowivクбぃv，see on ع．66．The men＇s heads，while visible above the water，are compared to rogõvoı flont－ ing there．－ $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \varepsilon \dot{\boldsymbol{O}} \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{g}}$ ，from $\alpha .9$ the Sun god seems intended．
a cl． $\boldsymbol{B} \quad 779$
b $424,438,8130$ ， $\eta .252$.
cef．$v, 437, I$ ，seo
d 1.54 ．
（1）cl．8．13，2． 452 ，
甲．25，$\omega .482$ ，
Х． $379, \boldsymbol{\Psi} .2$.
f M． $263, \boldsymbol{Y} .276$
\＆cl．＝．220，2． 574 ．
h 2.427.
i 421.
$k 444, ~=437$ ．
1 e． 82 mar．
mi 168，400，e． 391.
n $\lambda, 90$ ．
－ 325 mar．
p $v .263, \xi, 310$ ， o． 487.
I 113 mar．
r $\rho_{2} 434$ mar．
s $\sum .136, ~ \Theta .538$ ，
X． 135 ；ci．$\pi$ ．
366, A． 601.
－ 108,80 ．
is 260 mar．
v 236 mar．
w 103，X．145，$Z$ ． 433，А． 167.
$\times 9.375$ mar．，, $\mathbf{2 8 3}$ ．
y $\Omega 213$ ．
z $\omega .6$.
аа Z． 267, Ф． 219.

420














422．Fot．424．$\sigma v \nu \varepsilon$ fefeyov．
420．$\tau \varepsilon \check{\chi} \chi 0{ }^{\text {G．}} \quad 422 . \varepsilon^{\prime \prime} \alpha \xi \varepsilon$ Zenod．，h．，Fl．N．$\alpha$ et H．sup．St．Ern．Ox．quod commendat Wolf．præf．p．xlix，$\propto \rho \alpha \xi \varepsilon$ Aristar．，h．， $\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ Eu．Wo．；$\pi \varepsilon \varrho i$ N．Vi．5，
 ßоós ĩ甲ı иткцє́vo七o Athen．xiv．p． 362. $\omega^{\prime} \rho \mu \alpha$ V．quasi $\varepsilon$ ह̇ $\pi \alpha v \sigma \alpha \vartheta^{\circ}$ ô legisset． 428．$\vartheta \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu$ ．pro X $X \rho$ ．Apoll．Lex．

 videtur；ov่סغ́ $\pi \omega$ Vr．Vi． 50.

420－5．＂I continued pacing the mid－ ＂plank till the sides and keel parted． ＂The mast was wrenched out，but I ＂lashed it on the keel by the leathern ＂backstay，and seated myself thereon ＂to drift before the gale．＂
420－5．ė¢oit $\omega v$ ，see App．F． 1 （4）． －тоixovg ．．．тоо́л七оя，ib．（2）．－
 Cf．with the event here Eurip．Hel．

 dat．ethical，is the ship．The mast fell before， 410 ；the wave now wrenches it from its juncture with the ship at the
 as Zenod．，${ }^{\ell} \eta \xi \xi \varepsilon$ ）for $\alpha \rho \alpha \xi \varepsilon$ here：for ह̇лírovos ef．mar．；such effect of arsis
 in his previous brief narrative to Alcin． $\eta$ ． 252 he mentions the keel only as

$x \dot{\alpha} \varsigma \varepsilon^{\varepsilon} \lambda \ldots \dot{\nu}$ ．See also App．F． 1 （2）note＊ vol．I．p．cevir．

426－41．＂The gale from the N．W． ＂abated and a southerly breeze then ＂set in，to my consternation，since it ＂would drive me on Charybdis again， ＂which，after drifting all night，I neared． ＂As the gulf yawned before me，I ＂caught the boughs of the over－shadow－ ＂ing fig－tree and clung there，bat－like ＂without a footing，till the planks ＂reappeared from the vortex－a weary ＂while；as a judge finds it who has to ＂hold court so long．＂
426－37．Zغ́ழv＠os ．．．Nóvos，for the bearing of these winds on his course，see on 127 sup．－Exvid2ns ．．．Xá $\rho \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\delta} \iota \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ，see the notes on 85 － 106 sup．－غ́＠じvè̀v，see on 103 sup．
 Qìg，he hung by his hands（－and













## 45



（ ゆ．24．
6 cf． 77.
ect．M． 134.
de cf． 89.
ect． 5.7 ．
f 1435.
g 237 mar ．
h 421 mar．
i $\pi .220, \varphi .209$ ，
H．417，Є． 487.
k cf．A．86－90．
1 B． 264.
m 之．497，$\eta$ ． 74
n $\lambda .545$, 2． 506.
－Ф．146；cf．$\Theta$ ． 298.
p 4．468，N． 278.
4 あ． 120 ．
r cf． 248 mar．，$E$ ． 122.
s o． 479.
t 276.
u $425, \cong 437$ ．
v $\xi$ ． 351 ．
w 223.
$\times \quad$ ． $47, \alpha .28$ mar． y 287，$\alpha$ ． 11 mar．



 Ro．Wo．Bek．Di．，$\dot{\eta} \lambda \vartheta \varepsilon v$ mss．xiii（ $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \delta \varepsilon I I$ ．Vi，ii）St．Ern．Ox．， $\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \varepsilon \mathrm{N}$ ． Vi．56． $439-41$ multis dubii visi h．q．439．$\eta^{3} \mu \mathbf{o} \tau^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\delta}$ A．K．N．Stu． Strab．1．1． $441 . \tau \alpha \dot{\delta} \varepsilon$ Vi． 133 sic Aristar．，h．；x $\alpha i$ тó $\tau \varepsilon \delta \eta^{\prime} \mu \circ \iota \delta o v \varrho \alpha$ Strab．



442 inf．），as the bat by the claws on its wings，having，no foothold for stand ing；cf．434．－ $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\eta} \omega \varrho \boldsymbol{\omega}$ ，a compound of $\alpha \omega \rho о \iota, 89$ ，see note there．－ $\boldsymbol{\nu} \omega$－ $\lambda \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon ́ \omega ̧$ ，see App．A． 21.

439－40．${ }^{\circ} \psi^{\prime} \cdot$ ，for this complete pause after the first half－foot cf．$\gamma$ ． 366 ，where $\nu \tilde{v} v^{\circ}$ begins the line．－
 he hung as long in the tree as the judge would sit，who holds court all day，and rises at supper－time：see，for the supposed inconsistency of this with
 sup．，note ad loc．－cućvin，aor．of habitual action．－veixece，cf．$\Sigma .49,7$

 $\boldsymbol{r} . \boldsymbol{\tau}$ ．$\lambda$ ．There，the case being one of homicide，the assembled $\gamma$ と́povtes form the court：here the $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\eta} \varrho$ might seem to sit alone，but this is not necessary．

442－53．＂I plunged down into the ＂sea beside my raft，bestrode it，and ＂paddled with my hands．［Scylla was ＂not allowed to see me，or I must have ＂perished．］I floated nine days，and
＂on the tenth the gods landed me at ＂Ogygiê．There Calypsô received me ＂to her love，but this you have heard ＂before－why hear a tale twice over？＂

 น．$\chi \varepsilon \check{\iota}$ ．$\varphi \varepsilon ́ \varrho \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta ้ \iota$ ，＂I relinquished my hold，hand and foot，from above＂．－

 ＂alongside of＂；so，but with no such sense of closeness as here，$\pi \alpha \rho$ z̀ $\tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \nu$
 $\pi \alpha \varrho \varepsilon \grave{\xi}, \varepsilon .439$ ；see on 九．r16．The $\varepsilon$ है in this compound denotes that one body is external to the other，it may be barely clearing it，or giving it＂a wide berth＂．

445－6 are marked by Schol．Q，as rejected，and justly；since，being on the Charybdis side，it is indifferent whether Scylla sees him or not，as he is by that very fact，out of her reach． This is what the Schol．means，though somewhat obscured by corruption．－－ $\alpha i x v ่ v$ ，see on $\alpha$ ．If．It may have been added by some one who thought

а 7. 253-5 mar.
b 150 , є. 334 mar.
c. 7.256

(w. 37!).
e E. 415, T. 116.







451. Foíx 453 . Fem@ $\mu$ ย̇v $\alpha$.

$$
\vartheta \varepsilon v
$$

 Vr. Vi., 50 Ko. 449. v $\alpha \check{\imath} \varepsilon v$ K. N. Stu. 450. $\tau \varepsilon$ no $\mu \varepsilon i ̃$ A.; $\tau i ́ \tau o i \alpha \delta \varepsilon$ Stu.
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that Zeus ought to be brought in, as making some effort to save the righteous man. But it seems plain, the gods are only bent on punishing the impious, and leave him to shift for himself. The Scholl. cite Pallas' words v. 47, as guaranteeing a general protection,
 $\varphi v \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$ हैv $\pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \iota$ лóvoıs, but they hardly seem to suit the case: see rather $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$. 330 , which explains her omission.
 the same time occurs in the ship's run
from Cape Maleia to the Lotophagi, t. 82-3, and from the isle of bolus till they sighted Ithaca, $x .28-9$; and so, in the feigned adventure to Eumæus, he represents himself as floating in the same time from Crete to Thesprotiê , . 314-5; see also on $\eta \cdot 253-8$. -
 EGO, see on $\boldsymbol{\varkappa}$. 136 and App. C. 8

 $\varepsilon i \varrho \eta \mu \varepsilon ́ v \alpha$, "things plainly detailed".

## APPENDIX G. 1.

## On the Character of the Pilaeacians.

(1) The first notice of the Phæacians suggests that they were unwarlike. They migrated from Hypereiê under compulsion, it seems, of "the Cyclopes, an overbearing race", to Scheriê. By Hyperciê some region of Sicily or Italy may be shadowed forth; and not improbably, I think, the high mountain mass of which Etna is the most prominent member. Thus the name would mean "the elevated land". Scheriê was far out of the way of adventurers and, although not called an island, yet is reached only by sea, and may be assumed to be Corfû, see App. D. 15. This migration took place under Nausithoiis, ${ }^{1}$ father of Alcinoiis, i.e. in the previous generation. Nausithoiis ${ }^{2}$ was son of Poseidon and Peribœa the daughter of Eurymedon king of the giants; and these latter again are the "kindred of the gods", ${ }^{3}$ probably through Poseidon, whose worship we find established in Scheriê.' Similarly the giants Otus and Ephialtes ${ }^{5}$ are sons of Poseidon and Iphimedeia, and the Cyclopes ${ }^{3}$ are the kindred of the same deity, Polyphemus claiming to be his son. Poseidon expressly claims the Pheacians

E. (2) If we assume that Corfû was so scantily known as to furnish an easy seat for legend, and that westward of it the Greeks of the poet's day knew nothing definitely, the resulting picture is such as we might expect. Love of the marvellous peopled the unknown void with inonsters; and these are more or less nearly related to the god of that mexplored sea which shut those regions off from contact. Add to this the fact that exploration westward was already considerably developed by the rival race, the Phoenicians, who with a view to their own profits would probably circulate only or chiefly such stories as would deter others from becoming their rivals; whose worship, if we may julge from the Tyrian and Carthaginian rites in historic times, was notorious for inhmman barbarity; and near to whose original seat, viz in Canatan, there existed an actual race of giants; * ath we have certainly every facility and every stimulant suitable to the growth of a mass of legend of giants, camibals, and similar monsters. Indications, indeed, are rather in favour of a western position for these giants, from whose violence the Pheacians migratel eastward to fecherio;

[^24]${ }^{1} \xi .7 . \quad{ }^{2} \eta .56-63 . \quad{ }^{8} \eta .206 . \quad{ }^{4}$ そ. $266 . \quad{ }^{5}$ ג. 305-8. ${ }^{6}$ v. 130 HOM. OD. II, APP.
but, if we suppose the legend derived from some Phœenician settlement in Sicily, the supposed whereabouts of the giants then matches the origin of the tale.

The characteristics of the Pheacians, as Homer has drawn them, consist chiefly in three particulars.

1. Their affinity with the sea and navigation, and, coupled with this, their jealousy and rudeness towards foreigners;
2. Their general want of manliness and special inferiority in the sturdier athletic contests;
3. The predominance of female influence among them, the absence of any thing like an independent tone among the chief men, and the courtier like deference shown by these to the king and queen.
(3) Alcinoiis himself is of a simple genial, goodnatured, garrulous, boastful and hospitable character. His apparent ignorance of the outer world and eager curiosity to hear narratives of travel, ${ }^{7}$ his confidence in the intimacy of himself and his preople with the gods, ${ }^{8}$ and his sudden alarm and remembrance of the traditional oracle of Poseidon's wrath at the Phæacians despatching all strangers to their homes ${ }^{9}$ - although according to his own previous words this is the chief function of their wonder-working galleys ${ }^{10}$ - his frank offer of his daughter to a gallant stranger of whom he knew little or nothing, " his vaunt of his people's prowess in boxing, wrestling ete. ${ }^{12}$, and his sudden change of note on perceiring the ease with which Odysseus vanquishes them in the quoit throw, or rather by "putting the stone", ${ }^{13}$ at the same time his tender anxiety, not only for the despatch of his guest richly loaded with gifts, but also to spare his feelings and aroid distasteful themes, ${ }^{14}$ and his direction to the malapert Euryalus to apologize for his rudeness, ${ }^{15}$ are all characteristic. He resembles Nestor in the better side of his character, but lacks wholly the manly vigour, shrewdness and decision, which stamp the Pylian sage.
(4) As regards 1 . the navigation and seamanship of the Phæacians, it seems wholly to lack the pushing and adventurous quality. Their relation to the sea is that of halcyons-a fair-weather acquaintance only. Captain and crew alike sit idle, and steersman there is none, since the intelligence of the vessel leaves him no function. ${ }^{16}$ Neither is there any trace of their naval energies being deroted to commerce, much less to free-booting or war. The abundance of precions metals, especially gold and silver, might perhaps seem a presumable result of their connexion with the sea. But it enters the general picture of the foreign, barbaric, marvellous characteristics of the Phreacian palace without any trace of being due to such a source. ${ }^{17}$ Thus the golden and silver xúveg ${ }^{18}$ and the golden ro $\mathfrak{v}$ @o, ${ }^{19}$ the former specified as the work of Hephrstus, and to be com-
 like the plenty or rather profusion of the royal mansion ${ }^{21}$ and the luxuriance of its gardens, ${ }^{22}$ to betoken the special favour of the gods than the industry and energy of man. The women indeed appear to be highly skilful and industrious; ;3 but this should rather be connected with the third principal characteristic which
[^25]I have distingnished. The ordinary "ejo of men in a Greek community in time of peace were agrienltural or pastoral. These seem displaced by the sea ${ }^{21}$ and its kindred pursuits in the case of the Pheacians; but the women are still, as in Greece, websters, but of the rarest cumning, so as to entitle them generally to the encomium ${ }^{25}$, which the poct specially reserves among Greek ladies for Penelopê.
(5) But though the Phxacian marine is barren of warlike or commercial achievements, the king claims for its services the merit of being placed at the disposal of the stranger and of the guest. ${ }^{26}$ "We send", he says, "all such on their way," and he mentions Euboa, in the very central highway of Egean navigation as the furthest definitely known point; ${ }^{27}$ see note on $\eta$. 321-2. But even this function of their nary is represented as put an end to by the dirert intervention of their patron-leity Poseidon ${ }^{24}$ and the consequent resolution of the king. ${ }^{2 y}$ But amidst thls obvious aimlessness of their seamanship as regards trade, it is remarkable that the most telling portrat of the baser mercantile character with
 The man to whom Odyssens is there invidionsly likened is one who "in his "capacious galley makes many visits, in command of a crew who are men of "business too, and has his cargo well by heart and a sharp eye to returns." This disparagement proceeds from the flippant Enryalus, and fully justifies the caution hinted by Namsicai of the free-spoken rudeness of the populace, ${ }^{30}$ and the fuller advice given by the disgnised Pallas, that they were not disposed to pay courteous attention to foreigners, ${ }^{31}$ so that a stranger had better give them "a wide berth" and ask no questions ${ }^{32}$. But the portrait in question receives a few further tonches by the king from his own point of view, emphatically negativing the rude suggestion of Euryalus, "You are not", he says to the hero, "the sort of man of which I have often found too plentiful specimens, fraudulent and knavish, ever ready with an off-hand falsehood." ${ }^{33}$ 'The king seems to speak from the experience of good nature often imposed upon by the unscrupulous adventurer. But the remarkable coincidence of these traits with those of a familiar class within the Greek circle of knowledge is what gives them most importance. In two characteristic tales in the later bonks we have them reproduced in assumed fact or fiction, as stamping, the Phonician navigator of the

 mapping under the disguise of commerce, we seem to see the very type which is the groundwork of the remarks of Euryalus and Alcinouis.
(6) Maritime adventurers, who in (quest of gain abroad had left their seruples at home, were certain to present such a character. All who were not their profitable cinstomers, would probably be their victims, if inferior in force or framb. Whether the Greeks themselves under such ciremmstances would be much more regardful of the restraints of honesty, we need not enquire. A patriotic (ireek would be sure to pass this by and ascribe the character in question to the Phenicians. Then further, men who are themselves strangers wherever they go
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are led by the interests of the moment, and must needs push their way, and, as they are content to rough it themselves when abroad, think lightly of ronghly treating others when at home. Thus the rude tone towards foreigners which the Pheacians display could hardly fail to be exhibited also by the Phœonicians. The poet, however by exempting his Phæacians from the toils and shifts of commerce, and drawing them as in the blissfully idle state of his own deities, leaves the sharp and rognish side of the merchant adventurer's character almost a blank in them. The caution, however, given to Odysseus by the queen, showing that his crew, the flower of the Phæacian seamen, were likely to be not over-scrupulous in case sleep overtook him on the voyage, and that he had better see his package securely corded, ${ }^{34}$ seems to betray a trace of this characteristic. But the prying inquisitiveness and free-spoken rudeness of the population of a sea-port town when at home, finds full scope in them. There seems then some reason for inferring that the poet founded his conception of the Phracian character largely on the Phœnician, that the former has its root somewhere in the latter, although not, as Colonel Mure thought, a mere poetic reflex of it. (Mure, vol. I, p. 510.) They show a striking familiarity with one set of traits - the roguish, the corresponding ones of freedom and rudeness they largely share.
(7) An article in the Quarterly Review, no. 249 on "Phœnicia and Greece" suggests an interesting view of a Phoenician colony, supposed planted in Corfû, and producing a population of mixed characteristics. The accessibility of this island to them, and its eligibility as an entrepôt between Greece and Italy is obvious at a glance. Of the local features the writer says, speaking apparently from personal observation: "It is sufficiently plain that Homer had heard some accounts of Corfu. The signs are a great mountain lying to the North between "two harbours, and a little rock nearly bisecting the mouth of one, but with "respect to the mountain of San Salvador, which is at the north-east corner of "Corfu, he never could have seen it, or he would not have placed it at the "centre of the northern side, as he does by saying that Scheriê lay towards "the north like a shield on the sea" (p. 204).
(8) Again, with regard to the character of the population as compared with the Homeric portrait, the same writer says:
"There is no improbability in the suggestion that there was a Phoenician "settlement at a point so favourable for commerce as Corfû. The character "given by Homer to the inhabitants of that country agrees in part and in part "disagrees with that of the Phœnician mariners. Their great characteristic ac"cording to the poet was to exhibit a preternatural expertness at sea, together "with the wealth and luxury that commerce engenders, and yet not to be either "an energetic or a warlike people. Now this is in itself a very curious form "of character. Yet there is much reason to suppose that throughout the historic "period Corfû has presented much of this contrast. By its advantages for trade "it has attracted to its single town and port races the most remarkable for "commercial energy, the Corinthians, the Venetians, the English. But the "rural and indigenous population of Corfû presents at this day a marked con"trast to the characters of such races. They are kindly, gentle, stationary, in-
"dolent . . . . . The modeniahly mixed character of the Itomeric portrait, and "the tinge of efieminacy plainly perceivable in his Фceíexss, may be referible "to this old duality, springing even out of the legendary age and due on either "side to the physical features of the country" (p. 205-6).
(9) The two harbours mentioned by the writer as still existing at Corfit tally with the IIomeric description of the sea-port of Scheriê, which is a perfect picture of a secure and prosperous seafaring community. The "fine harbour-basin on either side of the city" is there expressly mentioned. The other features are the rows of shipping lining the way, the narrow approach to the city letween them, the lofty line of wall, ${ }^{35}$ the dock or shed, or receptacle for a galley, which was each citizen's own, ${ }^{36}$ the row of naval workshops, and public place (लंyo@ $\dot{\eta}$ ) at the water's edge, with the temple of Poseidon apparently in the midst. All this has the air of a sketch from the life and gives us a notion of what may have been the aspect of a thriving Ionian or Western $\Lambda$ siatic seaport in the heroic period. It is clear, however, that strangers were not unfrequently to he found in Scherie. They are the cause of the hospitable errauds of the Pheacian ships, which are represented as mere pleasure voyages, free from danger and toil. Even Nausicaa's unruffled presence of mind before Odysseus suggests a frce conversancy with society, and is in harmony with her surroundings. At the same time her assurance, that no visitor with evil intent could possibly approach the shores of her country, ${ }^{37}$ is consistent with the remoteness and rarity of access ascribed to it, with the Phæacians' inexperience of warfare, indicated by backwardness in manly exercises, and with their boasted intimacy with the gods. We may compare with this attitude of complacent security the phrase of the Book of Judges "divelling after the manner of the "idonians quiet and secure."
(10) As regards the second head, the poet has certainly been true to nature. The Phæacians lack manliness because they have no call upon their vigour. The partiality of the gods appears in the abundance of material resources, and in that guaranteed security without effort which leaves moral resources undeveloped. They cross the sea without the energy of seamanship, for this last is only the result of toils encountered and dangers braved. The effect of wealth, idleness, and security on the character, as drawn by the poet, if the work of imagination, is confirmed by experience. "They care not for bow or quiver", says the princess, in describing their pursuits. Of the sturdier weapons of close combat she makes no mention, nor dues amy vestige of war, or its weapons occur in the poem whilst the scene is laid in Scherie, save the complimentary sword ${ }^{10}$ which is presented ly Euryalus in apology to Odyssens. We may further enntrast the equipment of Telemachus at home, ${ }^{41}$ or of Agamemnon at Troy, when going to the $\beta$ ovi $\dot{\eta}$ or ${ }^{\alpha} \gamma o \varrho \dot{\eta}$ (though, as the latter is in a warlike camp, the pratlel is less apposite), with the total absence of any similar personal array of Acinoiis. We only know from Nansicaa's words that spotless linen was his chicf characteristic on such oceasions. She mentions also her brothers' andiety for a similar appearance in the dance. ${ }^{\text {I2 }}$ 'This must be compled with Acinoiis own words of the special gifts of his people,

[^26]




Aceordingly when he calls upon a pair of champion dancers to show their skill, his own two elder sons stand forth to answer the call. The cldest son is made indeed to win the prize previonsly for boxing; but then he has only had Phae cians to encounter, and Odysseus on honorary grounds expressly declines any contest with him. ${ }^{14}$ We are led to infer that he would have made a poor figure, if so matched.
(II) Another touch of national character indicated in the above quoted lines is worth dwelling upon. The frequent "changes of raiment" 15 and the "warm baths" have an Asiatic rather than a Greek tone about them. The former are further illustrated by the presents made to Odysseus. As each of the twelve Princes, and also Alcinoüs, gives him a complete suit, $\varphi \tilde{\alpha} \varrho o s$ and $\chi \iota \tau \dot{\nu} v$, besides a talent of gold, we are reminded of the gift taken by Gehazi of Naaman in 2 Kings $V$. The queen, moreover, presents him specially with a $\chi \iota \tau \omega \nu$ and $\varphi \tilde{\alpha} \rho o s$ for immediate use, ${ }^{46}$ those which he then wore being probably to be returned, as belonging to one of her sons. ${ }^{47}$ As regards the use of the bath among the heroic Greeks, it is difficult to judge from the Iliad; since the manners of a camp on Asiatic ground surround us with exceptional circumstances. We find there a warm kath proposed to Achilles after a hard day's fight, but declined; ${ }^{48}$ similarly one prepared for Hector, in anticipation of his return, which never took place. ${ }^{49}$ We find a warm bath ordered for the wounded Machaon, ${ }^{50}$ but the only one actually employed is on the corpse of Patroclus. ${ }^{51}$ In the Odyssey, Odysseus, as a stranger, is ordered a foot-bath, in which warm and cold are mixed. But the full apparatus of the warm bath with its elaborate description are reserved for the palace of Alcinouis and the island of Circe.. ${ }^{52}$ It is implied that Odysseus had enjoyed a similar luxury with Calypsô. ${ }^{53}$ But in other passages in either poem, where a bath is mentioned, ${ }^{51}$ there is no reason why a warm bath should be understood.* The warm bath, however, in the Phæacian palace is given to Odysseus as an ordinary preparation for the banquet. In an ordinary Greek mansion the guests, even when travellers, only wash their hands before the feast or meal. ${ }^{55}$ The lines describing the whole process are a fixed common-place which regularly recurs. We have then in this partiality for the warm bath, ascribed by Alcinoiis to his people, a characteristic of Asiatic voluptuaries rather than of the simpler Greeks.
(12) The peculiar study of dance play and ball play, ${ }^{56}$ which the Phæacian court affords us, has no parallel in the Homeric poems. The clever changes of

* Pindar by the use he makes of the warm bath in a simile seems to denote that in his time, the custom of so bathing was still comparatively, rare: ov'd'
 ๙́oŋоя. Nem. IV. 6 foll.

figure and the posturing with the ball, (which is important enough to have a maker's name specially assigned to it, $)^{57}$ also the sympathy of the hystanders shown hy their loudly heating time, thus at once sharing and applauding the movements, are all probably Asiatic rather than Greek; and the term $\beta \eta \tau \alpha \rho \varrho \neq v \varepsilon s$, which the poet uses to describe the performers, ${ }^{\text {sin }}$ occurs nowhere else. The poet evidently wishes his audience (or as we should say, his readers) to he amused at the expense of the Phracians. We may compare the reproaches levelled by old Priam at his surviving sons for their want of manly vigour, ${ }^{59}$

So of the appearance of Paris, whom she has rescued from Menelaiis, Aphro ditê says: ${ }^{60}$

```
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It is plain that the poet in these allusions stigmatizes the dancer's skill as unworthy of the hero or warrior. His festival dance performed by youths and
 even there the scene is laid in Crete, and Crete, we know, was in part at least under Phœenician influences. ${ }^{62}$ Acenrdingly he passes lightly over the Phæacian specimens of the more manly pastimes, ${ }^{63}$ but gives some larger measure to their footrace, ${ }^{61}$ and reserves his elaborate description for their least manly accomplishment, which the king Alcinouis styles $\dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \eta \dot{\alpha} \rho \varepsilon \tau \eta^{\prime} .{ }^{65}$ The suitors of Penelopê on the whole show a tinge of this same cast of character. They hurl indeed the quoit and the javelin, ${ }^{66}$ but they incite the beggars to box. ${ }^{67}$ They play also at $\pi \varepsilon \sigma \sigma o i^{\circ}{ }^{68}$ And in their sports, Alcinoiis and Eurymachus, the only two among them who are drawn as men of any pith and mettle, quietly sit hy and look on, as in a position of established superiority, ahove the competition of the rest. ${ }^{69}$ But the whole party, not excepting these two champions, are as unable to bend Odysseus' bow ${ }^{\text {T0 }}$ as the Phæacians to match him at the "putting" of the stone. The average Pheacians, however, seem to sink below the level of the suitors, even as these last below that of heroic Greeks.
(13) The institutions of the Pheacians, like the manners, so far as traceable, only differ in some details from the general heroic type. There are twelve inferior $\beta<c \sigma l \lambda \dot{\eta} \varepsilon$, as in Ithaca. ${ }^{71}$ Thus the number may indicate a Greek custom. But also possibly the number twelve ${ }^{1}$, as that of the princes, may recall the Semitic division found in the twelve tribes, with their princes, of Israil, and the same number of heads of the Edomites and Ishmaëlites. The ह̀vine cion-
 or may have been merely for the purpose of the games. Although Athene has a splendid temple near the highway ${ }^{\text {i3 }}$, she yet treads the eountry only in dis guise or in vision ${ }^{74}$, and leaves it as soon as possible, not seeking her local shrine, but retiring to Athens. ${ }^{7,}$ On the other hand it is Poseidon's peculiar region, ${ }^{76}$ who with Hermes, ${ }^{77}$ also a deity of mercantile eraft, and probably having


Phenician attributes, if not of Phomician origin (see App. C. 2, end), enjoys special honour there.* The twelve princes have a markedly subordinate position to Alcinoiis, as all that we hear of them testifies. They are ealled, like Greek chief-
 and have the privilege of the king's table either ordinarily, or as is more probable, at least on state occasions, " and are liable to be called upon for gifts in aid. The peremptory tone in which these are assessed by the monareh on his inferior princes, ${ }^{62}$ and in which the demand is reinforced by the queen, ${ }^{〔 3}$ and subsequently is further increased in amount, without any pretence of giving those princes an opportunity of refusing the tax, or questioning its items or total, ${ }^{\text {si }}$ shows a degree of arbitrariness far beyond what we find in Greek heroic manners. It is obvious to remember that an attempt to interfere with his personal share of spoil won in war was the origin of the fatal $\mu \tilde{\eta} \nu \iota s$ of Achilles on which the entire Iliad turns. The presents, too, offered by Agamemnon to Achilles in prop tiation, and ultimately accepted, are entirely Agamemnon's own, ${ }^{85}$ who never thinks even of requesting, much less levying, a benevolence on his $\alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \tilde{\eta} \varepsilon_{6}$. Further, a remarkable suggestion closes the demand of Alcinoüs,

$\tau \iota \sigma$ ó $\mu \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\vartheta}^{3}$,
"we will repay ourselves by a collection levied on the people", sf which may be contrasted with the hypothetical proposal somewhat similar in effect, if ratified, to the Ithacan members of the suitors' faction,



where, however, the supposed demand would proceed on some principle of "damages" to le "recovered" and $\pi \sigma \tau \iota \pi \tau v \sigma \sigma o \iota \mu \varepsilon \vartheta \alpha \mu v i \vartheta \omega$ indicates some formal aud recognized proceeding. ${ }^{〔 7}$
(14) If we may regard the entertainment and despatch of strangers as a public duty, whether self-imposed or not, of the king and people, then all that per-

\footnotetext{

* More evidence scems wanted to uphold the reviewer's theory that Poseidon was either the supreme God of the Phonicians or at any rate their sea-god, and whether the remains called Cyclopian are really Phonician is even more doubtful (see Mommsen's Rome I. xv.). The sea-points of Peloponnesus, Pylos, Corinth, Tænarus, and the landing place of Danaiis in legend on the Argive coast, were, doubtless, seats of Poseidon's worship in heroic or historic times (Quart. Rev. p. $214-9$, referring to Pausan. II. 1, 6 and 15, 4, also to Aristoph. Plut. 396, Cf. 1050 , as regards "the Poseidon of the sea"). This deity, if foreign, like all which the Greeks imported, was subsequently and rapidly moulded and coloured by their own imagination. The worship of Poseidon in connexion with the horse, referred to by the same writer, might on the above theory be explained by the supposition that the Phœenicians brought oriental horses with them, which greatly improved the Greek breed; just as the best blood in modern English stables is said to be all traceable te the "Godolphin Arabian". The original race of horses in Greece probably came from the northern Thracian region, perhaps the modern Ukraine (cf. Byron's Mazeppa); hence its connexion with the wind Boreas; and, as the one, so the other, is likely to have had its representation in myth.

tains to those offices might be also a public burden falling alike on king，nobles and people at large．Such seems indeed the purport of the words of Aretê，
 and of Alcinouis，

It may be observed however，that he rates the inferior $\beta<\sigma \iota \lambda \tilde{\eta}$ g at the same value as himself，and claims no generous precedence in the proportion given， merely adding a xciòv ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \varepsilon \iota \sigma o v$ as his own personal token of friendship．${ }^{49}$ As he ＂requisitions＂the gifts，so Alcinoiis commands a levy of oarsmen－a picked crew， it should seem－to be in readiness to escort the stranger on the morrow．They are taken from among the roveot，${ }^{90}$ probably corresponding with the men of military service in a Greek heroic polity．
（15）To come to our third head，the twelve nobles are chiefly remarkable for their entire unimportance．Only one has any personal prominence，the senior Echeneiis，and his importance resembles that of Polonius at the court of Den－ mark．His only speech of any significance finds its chief theme in the collective insignificance of those whom he represents，which he puts forward with polite de－ ference as a reason for greater promptness in the king，－＂Alcinoiis must give the worl．They，the chiefs，simply wait for his command．＂．${ }^{11}$ And the other few lines which he utters are in a similarly courtly strain；－＂on him depends deed and word alike，but the queen＇s speech was very much to the purpose，－．． ＂twas for them to obey．＂＂2 Now this is not only very different from the language of Nestor or Diomedes to Agamemnon，but even from that of Antenor to Priam．${ }^{93}$ Even the old courtiers basking on the walls of Troy，lave some notion of pub－ lic policy as opposed to royal license．${ }^{94}$ But the Phæacian courtiers have no independence．＇Their sole function is to echo the royal mandate，or prompt de－ ferentially on points of etiquette．
（16）The queen however appears to be the soul of the court．Indications of her character，as prompt，active and sympathetic，are observable throughout． Nausicaa，who is evidently her＂mother＇s daughter＂，is the person selected by Pallas to contrive the introduction of Odysseus at the court of Alcinoiis．${ }^{95}$ She directs the stranger whom she rescues to adress himself first as a suppliant to the queen．He is to pass by the king，who＂sits on his throne by her drinking like agorl＂，and fling his arms around Aretê＇s knees，that he may the sooner see the day of his return．${ }^{96}$＂She is honoured and looked up to，＂says the disguised Pallas，＂as no other woman on earth is，alike by her admiring husband，sons， ＂and subjects，whenever she appears in public．She is likewise endued with goorl ＂mental gifts，and reconciles cenjugal differences between those subjects．Only ＂make sure of her，and your passport home is safe．＂${ }^{97}$ IIer interposition，renew－ ing the appeal on behalf of Odysseus and energetically extolling his merits，on the seore of the interest which she had found in his tale of the Greek ladies of the elder time，is the first worl which breaks the siell cast by his narrative on the listening circle，and she adroitly turns the oceasion to accomet，to bespeak their further liberality towards him．${ }^{9-}$ Ifer influence is vindicated by the result，as Alcinoiis at once aets upon her words．We see here the quickness of her sympathies．

[^27]Her rapid question on recognizing the garments which Nausicaa had given Odysseus shows a ready insight and quick perception. ${ }^{99}$ The gifts at first levied are received by her sons and deposited in her custody, and her personal attendants bring the whole down to the ship for embarcation. ${ }^{100}$ It is she who cautions him to secure his package well for fear of plunder. ${ }^{1}$ It is to her that Odysseus' parting salutation is addressed. Nausicaa seconds her mother and cleverly claims the そwérgos of the hero. ${ }^{2}$ Her characteristics have been to some extent hy anticipation noticed above, and in App. F. 2 (13). See also Mr. Gladstone's Homeric Studies II, 483, 499. Thus in the last scene at the Phæacian court, as in the first, female influence predominates. It is in harmony with this that the shades of the heroines of the past take precedence in that portion of the vexvice, their sons ctc. being only mentioned as secondaries in connexion with them; and in the same tale Persephonê, queen of those shades, appears to be most potent below, ${ }^{5}$ no mention of Aïdes or Aïdoneus occurring, save as coupled with her ${ }^{6}$, or in the impersonal phrase dó $\mu \circ$ v "Aïdos $\varepsilon i{ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma \omega .{ }^{7}$
( 17 ) Now no other Greek lady in H. enjoys this large share of importance where those of the other sex come in for any mention at all. The position of Penelopê is so exceptional as to bar her from comparison; or rather her story breaks off just at the point at which her husband's return might have enabled us to compare her case with that of Aretê at Alcinoüs' side. Previous to that return her forlorn position and "state of seige" by the suitors force her into an unnatural prominence as measured by a Greek standard. We must then look to Asiatic history for a parallel, and there we shall find it not unfrequently. The names of Semiramis, Nitocris, Jezebel and Athaliah rise at once to our recollection. Whereas in Greek narrative we must look far down to find any woman who reaches the mark of Artemisia, and she is an Asiatic Greek and fights in Xerxes' fleet. It is apposite to the same view of this subject to notice that Alcinous and Aretê were uncle and niece ${ }^{8}$ as well as husband and wifea connexion by blood far closer than according to general Greek notions was deemed compatible with marriage, although in the royal houses of Sparta it seems, doubtless for dynastic reasons, to have been sometimes allowed that persons so related should marry. In ancient Persia, however, such marriages are believed to have been common, and the case of Cambyses is a well known instance of one where the tie by blood was closer still.

## APPENDIX G. 2.

## On certain traces of altered treatment in the Pheacian EPISODE.

The possibility that the episode of the wanderings $t-\mu$ was a later, although Homeric, addition, has been noticed on $\vartheta .50-5$. To the difficulty there noticed --more apparent than real under such a stress of hospitality-of the long de-

tention of the ship and crew, should be added the double incident of Odysseus weeping at the tale of Troy and Alcinoiis alone noticing him ( $\vartheta .83$ foll., 521 foll.); the double sets of presents ( $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} .389$ foll., $\lambda .339-40, \nu .13-14$ ) and the double conveyance to the ship and stowage of them ( $\boldsymbol{\nu}, 19-22,66-\gamma 2)$. The process of recasting has gone so far that no mere excision will now restore the simpler form. It is due to the notions of heroic hospitality that he should not depart without disclosing his name and without a solemn final banquet. The leading points which serve to keep the incidents in their proper relation to the previous and later books, are that Odysseus must reach Ithaca asleep ( $\eta$. 318-20 v. $9^{2}$ ), therefore must voyage by night, and therefore must start at the end of a day, and therefore must fill up at least one day at the Phæacian court. He actually spends two days there. The first is occupied by the games, dances \&c. Had the recasting process been mere complete, the narrative of his adventures would probahly have fallen into the second day. As it is, the Phreacian court "tum night into day" to listen to him; and whereas one $\nu v \chi \forall \eta \eta_{\mu} \mu \rho \rho \frac{v}{}$ is thus surcharged with song, incident, legend and narrative, the next is miserably jejunc of interest, and even Odysseus has nothing to do but to watch the sun on his course towards the west, and then, the stowage of the presents being completed, to go on board and fall asleep (v. 28-35, 79, 9r). The dreary blank of expectation is, however, turned to some account by the poet in a description of his listless watching. We may notwithstanding approximate to a notion of the first cast of the poem by casting out from $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} .572$ to the end, from $\iota, 31$ to $\mu .449$, and from $\nu$. I to 52 inclusive. We may thus conceive the ship to have started at about $10 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. by our reekoning upon the $34^{\text {th }}$ day. This indeed leaves the repetition of the tears of Odysseus untouched. But there seems after all something natural in this. After long separation from both comrades and home the lay of the bard would revive a link between himself, now among strangers, and all whom he had left or lost, and having heard one masterly specimen he might well long for more, although it tried his feelings to listen. Nitzsch has expressed his suspicions, which I do not share, of the passages $\vartheta$. 161 foll. and 248 foll., as containing matters not elsewhere tonched upon in Homeric: poetry (Plan und Gang der Odyssee, II p. xlvii), There will remain several passages where the recasting process has occasioned a clumsiness in some details. Thus the bathing and the packing seem mixed up with some degree of confusion in the passage $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$. $417-5 \%$. We are not quite sure whether the póoos and $\chi \iota \tau \omega \nu$ put on by Odysseus there are those given him by the queen ${ }^{9}$, as they should more properly he, or those originally lent him by Nansicaa, ${ }^{10}$ or another set wholly independent. The begiming of the thirteenth book is, moreover, very tame, and we feel that we have made a false start in v. $19-22$ when we come to $66-\mathbf{y}_{2}$. We seem to be reading a palimpsest in which the older writing shows through the new and confuses it. But I cannot say that this appears to me to be probably due 10 another hand than that of the original poet.

$$
{ }^{9} \vartheta_{0} 44 \mathrm{r}, \text { cf. } \nu .67 . \quad 10 \text { そ. } 228 .
$$

## APPENDIX G. 3.

## On tie vexvía.

(1) Odys. is told by Circe that a breeze of Boreas ${ }^{1}$ will bear him without effort as far as he need sail towards the region of the dead. When he has passed across ( $\delta i$ ) Oceanus, ${ }^{2}$ conceived as a vast river encompassing the earth, ${ }^{3}$ he will find a beach and the groves* of Persephonê. This Ocean river must be viewed as having a channel of junction with the $\vartheta \dot{\jmath} \lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \alpha$, and by passing across it ( $\delta \iota$ ' ' $\Omega$ x $\alpha \Omega v o \check{\circ} \frac{\pi}{} \pi \varepsilon \varrho \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$ ) a voyage to its further shore must be meant, not however necessarily excluding the passing some way up its stream. At the "beach" indicated, he is to strand his galley, ${ }^{4}$ and go on foot to the 'Aïd $\delta \omega$ oó $\mu 0 v$, ${ }^{3}$ marked by the confluence of infernal rivers ${ }^{6}$ etc.; where he is to dig the pit, adore the dead with vows and perform the prescribed sacrifice ${ }^{7}$.
(2) When the voyage is described as made, we find the fair breeze promised, ${ }^{9}$ before which the ship runs a whole day. ${ }^{9}$ Then the $\pi \varepsilon i \varrho \alpha \tau \alpha$ ' $\Omega x \varepsilon \alpha v o i o$, probably meaning the Ocean-limit of the earth, ${ }^{10}$ are reached. No beach or groves occur; but instead, the Cimmerians' land and city in their perpetual sunless gloom are neared, as night overtakes the ship ${ }^{11}$. The galley is there stranded, and the hero goes, the two chiefs of his crew attending him to assist in the sacrifice, along the side of the Ocean river ( $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha}$ @óov ' $\Omega x$.) to the spot indicated by Circê. ${ }^{12}$ The rest of his comrades, from $\lambda .636$, where he rejoins them, would seem to be left at the ship.

It may thus be objected that the topographical features which Circê leads him to expect are not in fact found by him, and that others appear in their stead. Further, on his return, the ship, left behind them at the distance of their march along the shore of the Ocean river, whatever that may have been, appears close at hand; ${ }^{13}$ and they then voyage down the stream on board, the current wafting her, aided first by oar and then by sail. ${ }^{14}$ We cannot suppose the rest of the crew to have followed unbidden with the ship; nor do I see how any adjustment of the details of the voyages to and from the region of the dead can make them match; and I believe it is lest trouble to attempt it on a point on which neither the poet
 xкœтои. For the cíystoos in reference to this connexion see note ad loc. and citation there from Stubæus. As regards the willow, $\boldsymbol{\omega} \lambda \varepsilon \sigma$ ixc@ $\quad$ os is probably intended as an epithet distinctive of it, and so the Scholl. take it. Stobæus III 21, again citing Porphyry, says "the willow sheds its fruit before maturity" ( $\pi \varrho i v$ と́rvৎ' $\psi \propto \iota$ ) and thus accounts for the epithet; adding "it is said that its fruit given in wine produces barrenness, extinguishing the generative power and impulses". The Scholl. repeat this or what amounts to it. The old English notion according to which forsaken damsels are said to "wear the willow". may perhaps be grounded on some such tradition. Comp. "Sing willow, willow, willow', Shaksp.
1
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nor his hearers would care for exactness, on at any rate on which they cared more for the liveliness given by variety.
(3) The difference between Circê's directions and the facts found in their place is more serious, because the question is obvious and natural, if the directions are not to be verified why are they given? In the next book all Circê's descriptions of the Sirens' isle, the $\Pi \lambda \alpha \gamma x \tau \alpha i$ with their smoke and uproar, of the position and character of the adjacent rocks, and of the Sun's Holy Island, all tally with minuteness when we compare them with the sequel of description. ${ }^{15}$ Why then should there be a difference here? Of course we might cut the knot by the excision of one or both of the passages, so as to leave no opening for agrepment or discrepancy. I shall further on (6)-(1 ), show independent reasons for thinking that the part of Circê's directions, which relates to the confluence of the rivers as marking the 'Aíd $\varepsilon \omega \delta \delta^{\prime} \mu \mathrm{o}$, is spurious. But those reasons, as regards the present view, are immaterial, and I shall assume for the purpose of the argument that the lines are genuine, since, if they are spurious, the argument will gain, if anything, in force. I only add that in that case $\varepsilon v \vartheta \dot{\alpha} \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon$ of 516 will still refer to the 'Aíd $\varepsilon \omega \delta \delta^{\prime} \mu 0$, as it does now.
(4) Let us suppose the ship on her course, nearing the ${ }^{\kappa} \lambda \sigma \varepsilon \alpha$ of $x .509$, but that the day ends and the Cimmerian gloomland throws its shadow over the crew before those groves are sighted. The hero, in compliance with the custom of not keeping the sea at night with a shore close at hand, and making out that shore to be that of ' $\Omega x \varepsilon \alpha \nu$ ós, would probably act on his own discretion and land at once, then proceed on foot-this he actually does-to the spot indicated by Circê-it may be to the $\alpha^{\prime} \lambda \sigma \varepsilon \alpha$, the $\pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \varrho \eta$ and the $\sigma \dot{v} \nu \varepsilon \sigma \iota \varsigma$ cf. $x .509,515-6$, although we are not expressly told that they are recognized. Thus the words,
 ing from the letter of Circê's instructions, while their spirit is observed; in preference to the chance of missing all landmarks, by going on up the Ocean stream on board ship in the dark. Those words then lead easily up to the
 ${ }^{\prime} \nu \vartheta \vartheta$. But if all this had been "dragged into detail" of description with the minuteness with which it is suggested here, the paramount element in the whole, that of awfulness, would have been marred. We must remember that we are not reading a ship's log-book, but the song of one who approached the mysterious with a due instinct of the picturesque. Circe gives leer description in the light of living day, and the local features are suitably specified. In the actual voyage, as we touch the verge of the great mystery, we look for them in vain. The Cimmerian night-curtain falls upon the adventurous crew, and all is blank. Along the side of Ocean's stream they grope their way in gloom, and seem to find it almost without the help of sense. Inconsistency there is; but it comes in as one element of that indefinite which is a condition of the mysterions. The details which might reconcile the inconsistency are suppressed, as being themselves inconsistent with the ruling idea. And the inconsistency is thus turned to poctic account.
(5) The hero now reaches the confines of the unseen world, to traftic with its mysteries. A saterificial libation is first poured to all the dead promiscomsly,
about (火ụi) the edge of a pit previously dug. ${ }^{14}$ This is coupled with a promise of further sacrifices on reaching home and of a special victim to Teiresias. Considerable stress is laid on the solemn supplication to the "nations of the

 $\varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega \sigma \dot{\alpha} u r_{1} v^{20}$ are the expressions. Then follows the burnt-ofiering of a ram and ewe, attended by a drink-offering of the blood and a special invocation of Aïdes and Persephonê, to whom the victims must be viewed as offered.

Now, we can have no doubt that the desire to hold commerce with departed spirits was common from a very early period, far earlier probably than any date which can be ascribed to the Odyssey. One leading motive for this wonld be to extract knowledge from the dead, and rescue secrets from perishing with them. Thus, not only Teiresias instructs Odyssens as to the future, but his mother informs him as to the past. Special localities* became traditionally the sites of such necromancy, and no dunbt individual persons obtained a reputation as necromancers, or media, such as Virgil's Sibyl. With such details, however, we are not now concerned. The method pursued by Odysseus under the direction of Circê was probably one in repute for such purposes. It is observable that they include the chief nutriments of life, honey and milk, wine, water, meal, sheep, and above all the blood of the latter, which is treated with a sanctity reminding us of the Levitical law, and of the Divine dictum "the blood is the life". This in fact seems the essential part of the sacrifice, for which the previous ritual is preparatory. The directions are precise: the pit is to be foursquare and of
 be slain over or "into." 22 it, i. e. so that the blood may run in. In Circê's directions it is minutely added, that he is to turn the victims zis "E@\& himself to turn away.

This little pool of blood, the vital fluid and nourisher of the body, is supposed to act like a bait on the dead. They assemble round it, like flies round honey, and are kept from such confusion as would probably baffle the supplicant's object, only by the naked sword. Now this ritual seems designed to exhibit, to dramatize as it were, life and death. The elements of food in life come first, and foremost among these, milk and honey ${ }^{23}$, the diet of infancy. Then comes death, set forth in the slanghter of the lambs and their blood soaking into the earth. Last comes the burning of their bodies, ${ }^{24}$ just as it is the last office performed upon the dead. The intense realization of the unseen world is remarkable. The dead, we must suppose, hear the prayers addressed to them and treasure up the vows of future offerings. ${ }^{25}$ The blood flows, and they muster as to a banquet to which they have been duly bidden. ${ }^{26}$ They are spoken of in "nations", ${ }^{27}$ and as distinguished according to sex and age,** but in various remarkable expressions as powerless and helpless. ${ }^{28}$

[^28]\mp@subsup{}{}{59

```

or where it agrees with the thing which has happened instead of the person to whom it has happened；as in，
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ov゙兀" «̋ } \beta \varepsilon \beta \lambda \eta u \varepsilon ́ v o s ~ o \xi \varepsilon \varepsilon ์ i ~ \chi \alpha \lambda x \omega ั,
\end{aligned}
\]

It cannot he necessary for me to illustrate further the subject of this appen－ dix by tracing the development of the def．art．in the Romance languages from the Latin demonstr．pron．ille．That fact in those languages is clear from evid－ ence which lies on the surface of their history，and needs no citations in support of it from writers who belong to their transitional period in this respect．That there must have been such a transitional period，whether marked by extant writers or not，is clear from that evidence；and thus it may be taken to be a law in a large class of languages which possess a def．art．that it was developed out of the demonstr．pronoun；and indeed classical Greek never quite shook off the traces of what its def．article had once been．How early this development in Greek began，I do not think there is any evidence to show．It is sufficient to notice that it is in the transitional stage that we encounter it first，as has been shown in the pref．to this vol．p．LXXV．foll．by citations from a number of the earliest poets of whom fragments are extant．

\section*{APPENDIX A． 24.}

Since completing the Preface to this volume，I notice in Ahrens de dialect． Aolic．some remarks on the Jigamma as used in Eolic Greek，which go some way to confirm the view taken in that Preface p．Lxxii．I therefore here sub－ join them．It will be seen that Ahrens＇view is，that the Eolian poets，Alcæus and Sapphô，mostly dropped the \(\mathcal{F}\) ，and that by the time of Alexander the Eolians had whully lost it．Now，the Eolians are supposed to have retained it longest in use－longer i．e．than other Greeks，Asiatic or European．There－ fore we ought to expect to find the \(\mathcal{F}\) flourishing even amongst the Eolians at a period considerably earlier than Alcæus and Sapphô，since in their time it was evidently in its decline．Further，since the other Asiatics lost it earlier， we should expect to find its flourishing period amongst the Ionians consider－ ably earlier still．But it is assumed that＂our Homer＂was an Ionian Greek； and，when he composed（allowing for the alterations wrought by rhapsodic re－ citation，aud for later additions which have adhered），the \(\mathcal{F}\) evidently was flourishing．This therefore throws us back to a period considerably earlier，I
\[
{ }^{58} \lambda .364-5 . \quad{ }^{59} \text { ع. } 196-7 ; \text { cf. छ. 62-3. }{ }^{60} \lambda .535-7 .
\]
might say fur earlier, than Alceus and Sapphô for the composition of Ionian Greek so far digammated as "our Homer" is. Ahrens' words are as follows:-

Neque tamen semper aut ubique antiqui digammæ tenaces erant. Nam et in titulis nullum eius uestigium, ubi adeo Lesb. 2166, 16 عixоби 1. \({ }^{2}+\) oix Lamps. 12 íows, Cum. 41 eírovos leguntur, quas noces olim digamma habuisse, ex eodem Homero et aliunde constat et in poetarum reliquiis reperiuntur, ubi digamma, quod olim fuit, uim consonantis amisisse metro appareat. Satis erit,

 eodem papyro ov̉ oîd \(\alpha\) non ov̉ foĭ \(\alpha \alpha\) scriptum est), S. 3 甲 \(\alpha\) हvvŏv \(\varepsilon \tilde{i} \delta o s\), S. 74

 nocales initiales ex antiqua lingua hereditate acceptum iam Alcæi et Sapphus tempore plerumque neglexisse, postea ante Alexandrum M. prorsus abiecisse. Ahrens de dial. Æol. § 5. p. 32-3. * * * * Diphthongus \(\overline{\varepsilon v}\) ex \(\varepsilon\) et digamma prodiit in \(\varepsilon \dot{v} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega \kappa \alpha, \chi \varepsilon v^{\prime} \omega\), \(\pi v \varepsilon v^{\prime} \omega\), \(\delta \varepsilon \dot{v} \omega\), etc., ut in Homeric \(\varepsilon\) そvo \(\alpha \varepsilon\); at
 (quamquam ipsum augmentum syllabicum olim digamma interfuisse prodit), \(\varepsilon v ̋ \alpha \gamma \varepsilon\), ubi eadem ualent de reduplicatione, \(\chi \varepsilon v v^{\prime} \omega\). Postremo accedunt \(\alpha v ̋ \varrho \eta r o s\),
 regulam atque normam in his regnare, sed casu quodam factum uideri, ut in aliis digamma in \(\bar{v}\) mutaretur, in aliis eiceretur. Ibid. p. 38-9.
```
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    ㄹ． 332.
    g ef ミ． 204 ．
    h cf．\＆． 335.

[^22]:    a ef．B． $4 \times 9$ ．
    b） 64 mar．
    e ef．v． 10 ．
    d ס． 122 ．
    c v． 366 ．
    f $v .355$.
    ¢ ข． 274, 人． 414 mar．
    h e．78， $\boldsymbol{i}^{\prime}$ s． 317.
    P．520．
    k $\chi$ ．119，©．269．
    $193,317$.
    m 40.
    n 235.
    o cf．X． 141.

[^23]:    a cf． $\boldsymbol{x}, 141$ ，cf．$\zeta 29$
    b cf． $\boldsymbol{y}$ ．173－5．
    c cf．$\delta .367, \chi .277$.
    d $\beta .261$ mar．
    c．5． 210 mar．
    f $\zeta$ ． 240 mar．
    －$\beta .395$
    11 cl．$x, 31$.
    今． 398.
    $\mathrm{k} \% .46$, そ． 337.
    $1 x .189$ mar．
    m w． 414.
    n $\lambda .19$ mar．
    ，$\lambda .412$ mar．，$\chi$
    472 ；c¢．X． 76 ，
    p 8． 308 mar．
    q 353.
    r $\lambda .290$ mar．
    の． 371.
    ท． 191 mar．，$\delta$
    478－9 mar．
    ${ }^{11} \boldsymbol{\alpha} .67$ mar．
    v ※． 562 mar．
    w 133 mar．
    $\times$ B． 549 ．
    у $\gamma .274$.
    z $\delta .96$ mar．

[^24]:    * See "Giant cities of Bashan" by Porter.

[^25]:    ${ }^{7}$ 9. 593-4. ${ }^{8} \eta$ 201-5. ${ }^{9}$ 9. $564-9, \nu .172-83 .{ }^{10} \eta$. 319-26, Э. 31-3.
    
    ${ }^{17}$ ๆ. $84-93$.
    
    ${ }_{22} \eta$. 112-28. ${ }^{23} \eta$. 103 foll.

[^26]:    35 そ. $26.3 .{ }^{36} \zeta .265$ and note.
    

[^27]:    ${ }^{85} \lambda .338, \nu,{ }_{5}$ ．
    ${ }^{92}$ 入． 342 － 6 ．

    $$
    \begin{aligned}
    & { }^{89} \text { ๆ. } 390-5,430, \nu, 13 \text {. }{ }^{90} \text { v. 35-9, } 40 . \\
    & { }^{97} \text { ク. } 67 \text { - } 77 . \quad{ }_{98} \lambda .333 \text { foll. }
    \end{aligned}
    $$

[^28]:    * Bekker Anecdota p. 414, 2 mentions such a place of resort év Tv@oŋvía $\lambda i^{\prime} \mu v \eta$, meaning Avernus in Italy, as recorded by Sophocles; cf. Diodor. Sicul. IV. 22 .
    ** The lines which express this have been suspected. I think needlessly, except perhaps the last, see note ad loc. $\lambda .38-43$.
    
    (6) As regards the infernal rivers, one only is elsewhere mentioned by If., the water of Styx, the river of the oath, ${ }^{29}$ in which character it also appears in Hesiod, together with a legend how Styx, who is always female, a nymph or goddess, the eldest daughter of Oceanus, was first to appear on Zeus' side against the Titans.? For some awe-inspiring characteristics of the actual Styx see App. D. 14. Tozer, Highlands of T., II. 209, speaks of it as "a magnificent waterfall, which descends 500 ft . over a stupendous cliff in the wildest part of Arcadia". On these physical facts the name Styx, meaning horror or repulsive awe, is no doubt founded. The oceasion of the mention of Styx in the Catalogue ${ }^{31}$ is the desire to account for a natural fact-that the Titaresius, an affluent of the Peneius, mingles not its waters, but flowers over those of the latter like oil,* óg-
     leing the river of the oath, perjury is the one sin expressly mentioned in H . as punishable after death. ${ }^{32}$ Styx, however, stands clearly identified in a speech of Pallas, $\Sigma \tau v \gamma o s$ probably the "river" referred to by Patroclus ${ }^{34}$ as barring his intercourse. The other infernal rivers are mentioned here only-the singular $\pi 0 \tau \alpha \mu o \check{o}, x, 529$, is probably ' $\Omega x \varepsilon \alpha v o i o-$-and the passage bears rather a strong mark of uneasy interpolation. It is
    
    
    
    
    Now, the harshness of the two subjects $\pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \eta$ and guveaus in the last line as they stand, requiring $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau i$, predicative, to be understood close after the occmrence of ह́otıv as a copula, in order to make a complete sentence, is clearly objectionable. Again, to revert to general langnage after precise description, i. e. after telling us, "there Pyriphlegethen and Cocytus flow into the Acheron," to add, "und there is the confluence of two roaring streams", is surely tame and umpoetical in a high degree: not to mention that the givears required by the previous description is really that of three rivers not two.
    (7) Now, of the names Acheron, Cocytus, Pyriphlegethon, none is found anywhere else in H., althongh there are repeated allusion to Aïdes, one containing, as we have seen, the name of Styx. Acheron oceurs in Pindar ${ }^{36}$ and in Eschylns, ${ }^{37}$ Cocytus in Aschylus only, Pyriphlegethon in neither. Cocytus and Periphlegethon are equally absent from the fragments of the early Lyric writers. F'urther, when they make their voyage and reach the abode of the dead, we are only told, "we went till we eame to the place which Ciree had indicated": and there is no mention of rivers at all in book $\lambda$, save in a passage of the speech of Anticleia, condemmed by a tradition of the Scholl., derived, we may presume, from Arisiarchas, and partly inconsistent with its own context. "How", says she,


    could you come across these rivers, which intervene between the living world and the dead without " ship?" And she immediately proceeds to speak of his ship and comrades as assumed to have accompanied him. The sentiment here seems to suit the later tradition of Charon and the ferry over the Styx or Acherusian lake, which was actually incorporated by Polygnotus in his representations of the Homeric vervía on the wall of the Delphic Leschê.
    (8) Yet the spot "which Circê had indicated" ( $\varphi \rho \alpha \dot{\sigma} \varepsilon^{38}$ ) seems to require some natural landmark which would show them where to stop. Such would be sufficiently furnished by "the rock and the confluence", if we omit the lines which
     $\pi \omega v$, or $\pi \varepsilon ́ \tau \varrho \eta \nu \tau \varepsilon \sigma v i v \varepsilon \sigma i v \nu \varepsilon x$. $\tau$. $\lambda$., which will then involve an allowable $\pi \varrho \omega v \dot{v} \sigma \tau \varepsilon \varrho \circ \nu$, the principal object, the 'Aïd $\varepsilon \omega$ $\delta \delta^{\prime} \mu o s$, being mentioned first, the intermediate and accessory, through which it is to be known and reached, coming last. What then will the "two rivers" be? Doubtless the Oceanus and the Styx, which, if its mention by name be discarded here, has, as we have seen, unquestionable authority from the Iliad as the river of the dead.
    (9) Now we know from Herodotus that the river Acheron was so called in his time and probably at the time of which he writes. He mentions "Acheron" twice** in connexion with the "Thesprotians", and the names confirm one another. The name "Thesprotians" is as ancient as the Odyssey itself; and, if the names Styx and Acheron had occurred alone in this passage, the presumptions against $x .5^{13-4}$ would by this passage of Herodotus have been considerably weakened. But Herodotus moreover mentions in connexion with both these an "oracle of the dead", at which Periander consulted the shade of his departed wife. Similarly in Eschylus' Persae ${ }^{39}$ the shade of her departed husband is consulted by Atossa with a ceremonial in part resembling that of Homer here, but without the sacrifices and the blood. Now the accession of Periander is dated at $6_{33}$ B. C. and the $\nu \varepsilon x v o \mu \alpha \nu \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \iota v$ was at that time an established institution. In fact, in it we are carried back to the earliest historical period of Greece. The rivers of the region had marked names.*** It seems indeed more

    * The vowel $\varepsilon$ in $\pi \varepsilon ́ \tau \varrho \eta$ can be as easily short as in $\dot{\alpha} \varrho v \varepsilon i o v s ~ \tau \varepsilon \tau \varrho \alpha ́ \gamma o v s ~ \tau \varepsilon$ 1. 239 ; see Spitzner de v. her. III. §. 6.
    
    
    
    *** Tozer, II. 219-20, says, speaking of the region near Suli, "Below this point (a ford of the Acheron) the ground is low and marshy, and the river forms a considerable lake in the winter-the palus Acherusia.... At Glyky where the Acheron enters the sea, there is reason to believe was the site of the ancient oracle of the dead (Herod V. 92). Pausanias thinks that H. derived the idea of his inferno from this spot, and adopted the names of the rivers of this part of Thesprotia. Lofty rocks, as well as rivers, and a marsh, certainly entered into the Greek conception of these (infernal) regions . . . . I know nothing which so well illustrates the disposition of the Greeks to interpret their profoundest ideas by the help of grand natural objects as these two rivers the Styx and the Acheron". The name "Glyky" is founded on $\gamma \lambda v x \dot{v}$ s $\lambda \iota \mu \eta \nu$, "Freshwater Harbour", the lake and river effectually conquering the salt character of the sea.
    likely than not that Acheron is another moulding of Acheloüs.* The name Pyriphlegethon was actually current, Strabo ${ }^{\text {to }}$ tells us, for one affluent of an Italian Acheron in his time. These names, as the Scholl. on $x$. 513-4 point out, were taken from the last offices performed upon the dead, the "cremation" and the "lamentation"; and seem to testify to the influence of the $\nu \varepsilon x v o \mu \alpha \nu \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \iota o \nu$ in the region. I do not think Pyriphlegethon can be found in any ancient writer till the philosophic period, when we find it in Plato,** rolling fire which is purgatorial or penal, but $H$. knows nothing of any such function of it. Now, if the passage in which these lines st.und had really been as old as the bulk of the poem, the ease with which the name $\Pi v \varrho \iota \varphi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} v \omega \nu$ lends itself to poetic images of horror would lead us to expect some notice of it in some of the older poets instead of the total absence which we find. I suppose it to have been the latest addition to the characteristic nomenclature of the region, as the necromantic associations extended their currency. Its development by Plato in that most popular of all his dialogues, the Phædo, would no doubt have given the passage a seeming authority which would counterbalance whatever suspicion inight attach to it, and thus it cumbers the Homeric text to our own day.
    (10) The Homeric vexvíc is divisible into four acts, as we may not improperly call them. The first alone relates directly to the plot of the poem. It ends at r. 224 . The next extends to v. 329 , and consists of a series of family memoirs; in each of which a lady of the past age is introduced as narrating the legend; see further on $\lambda .223$-4. The third begins at v. 387 and ends at v. 567 , and consists of the dialogues with the hero comrades of the Trojan war. The fourth, which has been suspected as interpolated (see below, (r8)), consists of six distinct and startling phantasms, three of them in a state of penal doom. In this last Odys. appears as a passive spectator, and does not, as in the second, interrogate 'l each whom he sees. The whole is conceived by the poet as enacted on a geographical extension of the earth beyond the ocean stream. There is no descent noticed, nor any passage of local description denoting a subterranean position for the scene. Yet phrases are constantly in the mouth of the poet which conflict with this his general conception in this part of the poem. Thus the shades come not merely $\varepsilon \in \xi$ but $\dot{v} \pi \grave{\varepsilon} \xi{ }^{\prime} E \varrho s \varepsilon_{\beta} \varepsilon v s$. ${ }^{12}$ Elpenor is said to have
     in the realm of death are said to have a privilege from Zeus $\boldsymbol{v} \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \boldsymbol{v} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}$ र $\check{\eta} \mathrm{s}^{44}$ Elpenor's soul 'Aiठóod $x \propto \tau \tilde{\eta} \lambda \vartheta \varepsilon \nu$. $^{15}$ The shade of Achilles enquires how Odys. had ventured "Ä̈סós $\delta \varepsilon x \propto \tau \varepsilon \lambda \vartheta \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \varepsilon v,,^{46}$ and the phantom of Herakles says cor-
    * See note-ad loc. Ach. may be compared with the various forms of aq ua (aix, usk etc.). The association with the dead warped the word into a fancied derivation from " $\alpha$ дos
    ** Phedo 113 B, where the Acheron and its tributaries are transferred to the state after death; and we have a blaging river throwing out a fiery stream here and there on the earth's surface, $i$. $e$. in volcanic eruptions. $\Phi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma=\boldsymbol{v} \omega v$ occurs indeed in a fragment of Ibyens, but only as the name of a star; Bergle, p. 999. A fragment of Lycophron, 695 , has the following
    
    
    $\pi v \varrho \iota \varphi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \varsigma \tau \varepsilon$ @єiv@ov.

    $$
    { }^{40} \text { Strabo V. } 244 . \quad{ }^{41} \lambda{ }_{229} .{ }^{42} \lambda .37 .{ }_{46} \lambda_{475 .}{ }^{18} \lambda .{ }_{57},{ }_{155} .{ }^{14} \lambda .301 .{ }^{45} x .560, \lambda .65 .
    $$

    HOM. OD. II. APP.
     pression the governing image is that of an Aïdes below the earth, such as we find expressly stated in the Il., as in the striking passage where beneath the
    
     should be broken up and his abode displayed. ${ }^{49}$ The shade of Patroclus departs $x \propto \tau \propto \chi \mathfrak{v}$ ovós. ${ }^{50}$ The deities who avenge perjury, do so on the dead $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\varepsilon}$ $\nu \varepsilon \rho \vartheta \varepsilon .{ }^{51}$ Similar direct notices abound. This is indeed the natural and obvious conception. It was the one most familiar to the hearers' minds, -may we not add?-to the poet's own, and to which his language seems to revert in spite of his effort to establish a contrary general image. In Sclavonic folk-lore, as in $\lambda$. , a sea is to be crossed by the disembodied spirit, and the abode of the dead, the "Rai", is situated in the far eastern region of the Sun, as I have supposed the Homeric to lie "See Songs of the Russian People" by W. Ralston, and my note on $\mu .2-3$. The rhapsodist who made up the first part of $\omega$. has confused the idea. The departing shades there take their way $\pi \alpha \varrho^{\prime}{ }^{\eta} \varepsilon \lambda i o \iota o ~ \pi v i \lambda \alpha s,{ }^{52}$ i. e. "past the gates of sunsel", as is clear from his geographical notice of the А $\varepsilon v x \alpha \dot{\delta} \alpha \pi \varepsilon ́ \tau \varrho \eta \nu .{ }^{53}$ The notion of a subterranean inferno is clearly deducible from the custom of burying the corpse, that of one beyond the limits of earth and its surrounding Ocean-stream, no less clearly from the smoke rising from the pyre and carrying the essential form, the $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$, with it to an indefinitely remote region. And as these two modes, cremation and interment, viz. of the bones afterwards, were often combined (see $\omega .65-84$ ), so the poet combines the images to which they gave rise. ${ }^{54}$
    (ir) There are several fixed phrases to be noticed in connexion with the idea of the locality or direction of the domain of Aïdes. The most common are
     as we have seen, connected by a preposition of downward direction. These seem used quite generally to denote the appointed abode of the dead, whether regarded as subterranean or trans-oceanic: and in the same sense Odys. and his mother are said to be $\varepsilon i v{ }^{\prime} A{ }^{\prime} \delta \alpha_{0}$ when conversing at the edge of the pool of blood. ${ }^{56}$ Besides these should be noticed そópos and "E@\& $\beta$ os, which last I am disposed to regard as the Greek equivalent to the Heb. צֶּ "sunset, gloom, darkness", derived through a Phœenician medium. From it comes the adj. ह́ $\varepsilon \varepsilon \beta \varepsilon \nu \nu o ̀ s$, akin to which is ह́@ $\varepsilon \mu \nu$ ós. For Gópos see App. G. 5. This last is used for 1) the west or northwest, 2) any darkness, 3) the especial gloom which pervades the region of the dead. The words are combined, to describe the cavern of Scylla, $\pi \varrho o{ }_{\rho}$
     Theoclymenus the seer, who describes the phantoms of impending fate as $i \varepsilon \mu \dot{\varepsilon}$ -
     es down towards the nether darkness, the phantoms are on their way to the gloom of Aïdes. Contrast with this last the words $\varphi$ ó $\omega s \delta_{\varepsilon} \tau \alpha \dot{\chi} \ell \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \iota \lambda \alpha \iota^{\prime} \varepsilon o,{ }^{59}$ directing Odysseus' speedy return to the living world.
    
    (12) We have seen that the shades to Odysseus' eye, when standing by the
     whole atmosphere is a sunless gloom, a more intense darkness in one direction is yet supposable. There are other indications of a special centre of the abode of Death, marked by deeper shades of night, as when the ghost of Ajax or Heracles, conspicuous before, we may suppose, in the "Asphodel meadow", is said to go $\delta \sigma^{\prime} \mu 0 v$ 'Aídos si'ow, ${ }^{61}$ when Odys. dreads the Gorgon head being sent to him $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi{ }^{\prime} A i \not \partial \delta \varepsilon \omega,{ }^{62}$ and when Circe bids him sacrifice the lambs turning them sis "E $E \varepsilon \beta$ os. ${ }^{63}$ The poet has no distinctive name to give, but uses the term, used just before generally for the whole region, in a sense which the context shows to be more intense; for, in the general sense, Odys. is in Erebus Hades etc. already. The effect of this vagueness of language is to add to the awful character of the thing described, and rather to convey the feeling than represent the image. I will consider further on (22) how far any representation is traceable, and what is its type.
    (13) The physical state of the dead themselves in H. opens some questions of curious interest.** In the living man the $\varphi \varrho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varepsilon$ appear to be the chief phy-
     example of the use of the word with a clearly corporeal meaning such as I am not aware is found with the word in the singular. Again we read, $\varepsilon^{\prime} \nu \vartheta \vartheta^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime \prime} \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon$
     is the use of the word $\pi \varrho \alpha \dot{\pi} \tau \delta \varepsilon \varsigma$ in $\tilde{\eta} \pi \alpha \varrho \dot{v} \pi \dot{o} \pi \rho \alpha \pi i \delta \omega v .{ }^{65}$ A medial use of the word, transitional towards its moral and emotional sense, is found in "Exco-
     the physical affinity of the idea. The latter usage abounds in such phrases as
    
     $\varphi \varrho \circ \nu \varepsilon ́ \omega, \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \varphi \varrho \circ \nu \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$, and many similar compounds, but in all these the physical sense is superseded entirely. The lower creatures in accordance with
     as do the animated works of art which are among the marvels of the poet's


    
    magic，e．$g$ ．the Phracian ships and the live statuary of Hephrstus．${ }^{69}$ On the contrary $\vartheta v u \grave{s}$ appears not to retain any trace in H．of an original bodily meaning．It is very often found in some combination with the former word，as
    
    
     rarely so，especially where the two stand in connexion as in $\varepsilon_{s} \varphi \varrho \varepsilon \dot{v} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\vartheta} v \mu \circ$
    
     $\varepsilon ่ ห \lambda \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \vartheta \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota, ~ \varepsilon ่ \tau \varrho \alpha \dot{\pi} \varepsilon \tau \circ$ 甲＠ウ̀v，${ }^{73}$ it should be noticed that the verb is depo－ nent or passive．Intellectual power is more commonly expressed by vóos vo $\tilde{v}$ or the rarer vó $\eta \mu \alpha,{ }^{71}$ which former thus appears as contradistinguishing men
    
    及ov $\lambda \dot{\eta}$ and the like．${ }^{76}$ There is，however，a marked class of phrases in which $\nu o v s$ and $\mu \varepsilon ́ v o s ~ a p p e a r ~ a s ~ i t ~ w e r e ~ v e s t e d ~ a l i k e ~ i n ~ t h e ~ \vartheta v \mu o ̀ s ~ o r ~ i n ~ t h e ~ \varphi \varrho \varepsilon ́ v \varepsilon s, ~$
    
    
    （14）Now in death by violence the favourite phrases are $\lambda i \pi \varepsilon \varepsilon \delta^{\prime} \dot{o} \sigma \tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v} v \mu \dot{s}$
     $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \tau 0$, ó $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \sigma \alpha \iota, \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \pi \nu \varepsilon i \varepsilon \iota \nu,{ }^{78}$ and the like．Similar is the use of $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$｜in $\psi v \chi \grave{\eta} \delta^{\prime} \varepsilon ่ \chi \varrho \varepsilon \vartheta \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega \nu \pi \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon ́ \nu \eta ;{ }^{79}$ whereas in such connexion $\varphi \varrho \eta ̀ \nu$ or $\varphi \varrho \varepsilon ́ v \varepsilon \varsigma$ is not found．We have in one passage $\vartheta v \mu o \tilde{v} x \alpha i \psi v \chi \hat{\eta} S x \varepsilon \chi \alpha \delta \omega^{\prime} \nu .{ }^{\text {so }}$ It is pro－ bable that the etymological affinity of the words $\vartheta v \mu \dot{o} s$ and $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$ influenced this use of them，yielding the literal senses of＂warmth＂and＂breath＂．And similarly it should seem as if the $\varphi \varrho \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \varphi \varrho \varepsilon \dot{v} \varepsilon \varepsilon_{s}$ adhered to the r original phy－ sical basis and，like the $\sigma \alpha^{\circ} \propto \varepsilon \varepsilon s$ and the $o \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \alpha$ ，refused to be volatilized away． Correspondingly in the case of Odys．，almost dead from exhaustion，we read， after a while $\varepsilon_{\S} \varphi \varrho \varepsilon \dot{v} \alpha$ ヲv $v \mu$ òs $\alpha \gamma \varepsilon ́ \varrho \vartheta \eta,{ }^{81} i$ ．$e$ ．life came back to its physical seat．But then，although the $\vartheta v \mu$ ís leaves the body at death，it is not men－ tioned as a surviving element．The residuum is $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$ merely，never $\boldsymbol{v} v \mu \dot{s}$ ，and is spoken of as fleeting，impalpable and elusive，an $\varepsilon i^{\prime} \delta \omega \lambda o \nu$ ，compared to sha－ dow，smoke and dream．The most remarkable passages on this part of the subject are as follows．On being visited by the apparition of the unburied Pa－ troclus，Achilles exclaims with an air of surprise，
    
    
    Teiresias by special prerogative retains his $\varphi \varrho \varepsilon ์ \nu \varepsilon \varsigma$ and $v o v ̌$ ，
    
    
    
    And Odys．receives from the shade of his mother the assurance of her state，
    
     $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \quad \tau \varepsilon \pi v \varrho o ̀ s ~ x \varrho \alpha \tau \varepsilon \varrho o ̀ v ~ \mu \varepsilon ́ v o s ~ \alpha i \vartheta O \mu \varepsilon ́ v o \iota o$
    
    Thus, to sum up briefly, the $\varphi \varrho \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon$ perish with the body, by decay or combustion, the $\vartheta v \mu o s q u i t s ~ t h e ~ d y i n g ~ f r a m e ~ a n d ~ b e c o m e s ~ e x t i n c t, ~ t h e ~ \psi v \chi \dot{\gamma}$ similarly quits it and survives, having the form of an $\varepsilon^{i} \delta \omega \lambda / 0 v$.
    (15) I do not think there is any word in H. for "consciousness", although there are some phrases oĩ $\delta \varepsilon \nu$ ह́vi $\varphi \varrho \varepsilon \sigma i v$, and the like, which may be held to imply it. It is a difficult question, therefore, whether the poet meant his departed $\psi v \chi \alpha i$ to be bereft of consciousness, until quickened by the blood-draught. I incline to think that he did not, and that the effect of the blood-draught is merely to reanimate them to temporary power of intercourse with the living, being as it were, a sacrament which renews communion between the quick and dead. The supposition of Achilles is perhaps hyperbolical, that Patroclus in Hades could hear of his having surrendered the corpse of Hector, and might resent it. ${ }^{5 i}$ Still, taken for whatever it is worth, it favours this view. The voice of the spectre is described by a special word in the case of Patroclus' apparition,- $\tau \varepsilon \tau \varrho \iota \gamma v \iota \check{\alpha}$, "6 "gibbering", which is repeated in the spurious passage in $\omega$. 5. I have supposed the $x \lambda \alpha \gamma \gamma \grave{\eta} \nu \varepsilon x v \dot{v} \omega \nu$. . oi $\omega \nu \omega \nu \nu \omega^{c \prime}{ }^{〔 7}$ to be the rustling of hurried movement, but it is possible that something like the cox exigua and inceptus clamor of Virgil, En. VI. $49^{2-3}$, may be intended there. The expression $\vartheta \varepsilon \sigma \pi \varepsilon \sigma i \eta i \alpha \chi \eta_{\eta^{-8}}$ stands in a line which is probably spurious, and again later the shades assemble $\dot{\eta} x \tilde{\eta}$ $\vartheta \varepsilon \sigma \pi .,{ }^{69}$ when, as 1 shall show further on, they have probably taken advantage of Odysseus' departure from his post to sip the blood at random. Something different from the sound described by $\tau \varepsilon \tau \rho \iota \gamma v \check{\iota} \alpha$, or possibly by the $x \lambda \alpha \gamma \gamma \dot{\eta}$, aforesaid seems intended by this strong expression, used elsewhere of the din of
     sive to an encouraging speech from their leader, ${ }^{91}$ of the roar of the tempest in a forest or of stormwinds ${ }^{92}$ among the clouds.
    ( $f_{1}$ ) Tl.e comdition of the dead is described by the epithet $\dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \nu \dot{\alpha}$, for which see the last note. The prominence given to the rópqva ${ }^{93}$ in connexion with this no doubt arises from the obviously human character of a skull as compared with other bones, may its individuality, and, when a number are seen together, their variety of expression. Buttmann, referred to in the note ad loc., has exhansted all that nerd be said on the rouóves. The other expressions speak for themselves. They express the total cessation of the physical power of impressing the living except indeed by the voice, tet९ifvial. The word $\dot{\alpha} \varphi \rho \alpha \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \varsigma^{*}$ seems to go further; but is really only an expletive of reproach,
    *The adj. is, only used besides of the suitors, $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \tau \eta \rho^{\prime} \rho \nu, \propto \varphi \rho \propto \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \omega v, \beta .282$; but the noun $\dot{\alpha} \rho p \rho \delta i \alpha$ occurs often, mostly like $\dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha \sigma \mathcal{\vartheta} \alpha \lambda i \alpha t$, in the plur., and varies in meaning from ignorance or inexperience of some particular fact or thing, as in B. $368, \varrho .233, \tau .523$, to general thoughtlessness, and folly, as in
    
     thought or circumspection is intended.
     ${ }^{93}$ ห. 521, ス. 29.
    "witless", used by Achilles, when in strong language he gives vent to his feelings on the helpless state of the dead. We find also in one place the epithet ¿xńotos, ${ }^{91}$ which only means "heartless", in the sense of "lifeless". It seems likely that all these phrases are to be understood of the loss of such vital powers as we are conscious of having in and through our bodily organization, as explained in Agamemnon's case,
    
    
    It seems more likely indeed than not that the poet had not clearly before his mind the question of the presence of consciousness, but some of his statements seem inconsistent with any assumption of its absence. The adoration and especially the vows offered to the dead are a case in point, and that above all in which a pyre filled with $\varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \hat{\lambda} \dot{\alpha}$ is promised them, ${ }^{96}$ to be fulfilled at a future time.* The jealousy with which the $\psi v \chi \alpha i$ of the buried ban from their society the $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$ deprived of rites is even a stronger case. ${ }^{97}$ Those who agree with me in accepting as genuine the sixty suspected lines $\lambda .565$ foll. will of course see that a state of consciousness is implied by the functions and the sufferings of the last six famous or notorious personages there mentioned. But I rest the argument on independent grounds.
    (17) The position of the unburied or unburned dead is to be noticed. The disembodied $\psi v \chi \grave{\eta}$ appears in Patroclus' case, restless, in Elpenor's, anxious. The first complains that he is excommunicated from the society of other $\psi v \chi \alpha i$ for want of the last rites, seems new to his sad condition, and hardly aware,
     is possible, however, that we must allow for the inconsistencies of the state of dream, which could not be unknown to the poet, and through the medium of which the phantom interprets itself to the senses of the percipient. Achilles would be eager to embrace Patroclus, and this feeling would naturally shape itself in a request from the latter to embrace him. There is a further statement worth notice that, when the last rites had been paid, he (the $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$ ) should never return. Elpenor is met accordingly on the first stage of the $\nu \varepsilon x v i \alpha$ by Odys., as though the latest arrival. His request is ${ }^{99}$ for a pyre on which his arms might be burnt; these we may suppose (see the last note) were for his present accommodation; and for a mound on which his oar might be erected; this was to keep his memory from perishing wholly on earth. The singular condition under which he encounters Odys. is that of knowing and being able to accost him without the blood-draught. This only the unburied, it seems, could do. Thus cremation, in destroying the body, was conceived to destroy also a link of sympathy and possible intercourse between the living and the dead. This is most powerfully shown in Anticleia, who had died for love of Odys. ${ }^{100}$, and yet could not, when


    dead, recognize him, mutil the mystic dranght had been quaffed. ${ }^{1}$ The prophet Teiresias could no doult in the poet's notion have told him his future fate as easily without as after the blood-draught, but demands his homorary share of it, and that the first. There occurs however a plarase in the parting words of
     shade who is permitted to drink the blood. The belief that it was in the power of the dead or of the infernal powers, to beguile the living with false appearances,* peeps out in 213-4, 217. Similar is the double dream-gate with its thoroughfare of false dreams and true. ${ }^{3}$ The phrase then signifies that one effect of the blood drank thus under permission would be to preclude any such delusions.
    (18) From either v. $5^{6} 5$ or v. 566 or 568 a long passage, extending as far as
     this comprehensive rejection, we have another special stigma affixed to $602-3$, which is included in the longer passage.** That stigma ascribes these two lines expressly to Onomacritus the editor of Pisistratus. But, if he inserted these two lines, the context in which he inserted them must have been at any rate older than Pisistratus' time, and this consideration throws a grave doubt on the reasonableness of the rejection of it. That rejection was probably founded on the assumption that the station of Odysseus over the blood is maintained to the last, and that v. 628, $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \dot{\alpha} \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega} \nu \alpha \dot{v} \tau \circ \tilde{v} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu 0 v{ }^{\prime} \mu \pi \varepsilon \delta \delta \nu$, refers to his still maintaining it. But it is in that case very difficult to account for the statement.
    
    
    which seems to imply, by the marked addition of $\varepsilon \pi i$ to a similar statement in the first stage of the véxvı $\alpha$,
    
    
    
    
    
    ** The lemma of the Schol. which tells us this, is actually the first three words of $v .604$; but 604 is a line common to this passage and Hes. Theog. 652. The ascription therefore to Onomac. could hardly have related to this. Besides which, the Schol. itself goes on to speak of Hebê, and give a special interpretation of the word. Now Hebe occurs in v. 603. It seems clear then that the Schol. has got tacked on to a wrong lemma and relates really, as here said, to 602--3, which two lines must stand or fall together. Further still, we find, tacked on to the Schol. on 384 , a passage which raises and answers certain questions on Sisyphus and Heracles, and therefore has plainly strayed from its proper context - no uncommon occurence in Greek Scholia. It belongs to this later part of the book, and it contains the remark, "we too reject the two verses beginn-
     less to some later grammarian who agreed with Aristar. or some earlier one. The fragment has probably lost part of its context; for the words, toing d\& dio
     sage rejected by such earlier authority.
    *** This last phrase belongs to a passage rejected by Aristoph. and Zenod.,
    ${ }^{1}$ ג. $141-4$.
    ${ }^{2} \lambda .148$.
    ${ }^{3} \tau$. $560-7$.
    4 $\lambda .631-2$.
    ${ }^{5} \lambda .36-7$.
    that the hosts of the dead were moving against him, or with some special animus bearing reference to him. At any rate their movement alarmed Odys. Now, why should a mere gathering of the shades towards the pit or about its brink have alarmed him now more than before; for (since $36-7$ are lines of unquestioned genuineness) he had experienced that before? It is not consistent with his character to shrink without a cause. Why then should he, if he had not deviated from the rites prescribed, and was still maintaining his ground and guard, which we are assured would keep the shades in check, apprehend the Gorgon Head which would certainly be a token that Persephonê was displeased? I can see no sufficient answer to this question.
    (19) But if he had trespassed from his appointed station and was prying further, the conditions were wholly novel and his security was gone. The awful Goddess of the Slades might well resent such a profane intrusion into her domain, and her wrath might visit him with some scaring phantom. Now there is nothing to suggest a departure from his station, if this long passage 565 627 be rejected; I might even say, there is no opportunity for such departure. Nay, the v .628 , if read in continuity with 564 , actually negatives any such
     to the spot at which he had been standing from the first. I will endeavour further on to show that the rejection must begin, if it be accepted at all, at v. $5^{65}$. I now remark that the band of illustrious comrades all approach, or appear in view, together, forming one company, evidently under the same conditions. The shade of Agamemnon is alone mentioned as drinking the sacrificial blood, v. 390 . This is doubtless to avoid tame repetitions of the same phrase, and we are to understand the same rule, which was applied to the shates of the ladies, to extend to heroes, v. 233 , $\alpha \ddot{\imath} \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varrho о \mu \nu \eta \sigma \tau i v \alpha \iota ~ \ddot{\varepsilon} \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \iota \sigma \alpha \nu$; that is, they would approach and drink in succession. Not, of course, that we need suppose that the dialogue with Agamemnon was completed before Achilles drank, but such details could easily be left by the poet to be filled up by the imagination of the audience. Still, Odys. would be maintaining his guard over the blood against other shares; since his curiosity was by no means exhausted, and there were more to come whose words he might wish to hear (629-30). Since then he maintained his station unchanged as far as $5^{64}$, if, skipping the intervening lines, we read 628 in conjunction with this last, we must needs suppose the station of Odys. unchanged as far as v. 636 , which tells us of his departure to the ship. But I have before shown that this would involve the inconsistency of making the hero, who is marked by intrepedity in the face of danger, tremble without a cause, and of giving the phantoms a power from which by the poetical conditions of their case they are expressly excluded (147-9).
    (20) I proceed to show that the rejected passage must, to make a consistent context, begin at 565 inclusively. Firstly, it is plain we cannot, retaining 566-7
    

    I think, on insufficient grounds; see note ad loc. The last line of it however, $\eta \gamma x \tilde{\eta} \vartheta \varepsilon \sigma \pi \varepsilon \sigma i \quad \eta \quad x . \tau$. $\lambda$. since it is almost identically repeated in the previously cited passage from $\lambda .632$, where it cannot be spared, is probahly spurious here and gathered from that passage.
     pass on to read in conjunction with them 628 foll．
    
    
    The second line in the latter couplet repeats too closely the second line in the former，to say nothing of the highly unsuitable conjunction of the latter by avं $\dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega} \nu$ after $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu_{0}$ in the former．Secondly，if we sacrifice the former couplet and try to join 565 continuously with 628 ，
    we shall fare no better；for the $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ought to contrast its clause，as in fact it does in conjunction with v． 627 ，not with a conditional but with a categori－ cal statement；and the frigid repetition of $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \dot{v}$ so close to $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega} \tau \dot{o} v$ of the sup－ posed previous line further condemns this juxtaposition．It follows then that， if we indulge the critics in their wholesale rejection of about 60 lines，we must throw v． $5^{6} 5$ into the bargain．I have shown that this involves a double incon－ sistency．It now remains to show that the passage，if allowed to stand，involves no inconsistency．
    （2I）I have shown that we must suppose Odys．to have shifted his ground and relaxed his guard somewhere before v ． 631 foll．It is indeed nowhere expressly stated that he did so，but it is left open to us to suppose that he did so in pursuit of Ajax，who＂answered him nothing，but departed after the other shades
    
     $\boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{\tau} . \lambda$. ．，＂there nevertheless＂，or＂there equally＂，it matters not which，＂though in wrath，he would have addressed me，or I him，but＂etc．Now this certainly suggests that Odys．kept within speaking distance for a while，and，as Ajax per－ sisted in his retreat，could only do so by fullowing him；the rather，as Ajax is described at the very first as standing vóopiv，＂aloof＂from Odys．and the rest of the group，v．54．＂But＂，he in effect continues，＂my attention was dis－ tracted from him by my curiosity about others＂．Thus we are at liberty to sup pose the point of view shifted and the guard abandoned，and the questions of the ancient critics，＂how Minos in his chair of state，Tityus in his recumbent attitude，Sisyphus with his rolling rock and mountain，\＆c．\＆c．，could be sup－ posed to come forward and partake of the blood＂，＊＊at once lose their point． It may be urged that so important a movement as this now supposed，by which the hero is made to relinquish his position of vigilance，onght not to be assumed without express statement to that effect．There is some force in the objection doubtless．But we ought to consider what the poet would have thonght neces－ sary to be told，and where he might have been willing to leave imagination to supply missing links．The assumption that he would in any given case have drawn the line between these exactly where we should draw it for ourselves，is


    ＊＊See the Scholl．on $570,571,593$ \＆c．

    I think a rash one. But those who allege interpolation and urge excision as the remedy, may always fairly be met by the alternative suggestion, that poems so old may have lost as well as acquired lines. And it seems not more unreasonable to suppose a line or two missing than to suppose sixty lines tacked on.
    (22) The remaining local designations are the "wide-gated palace of Hades", a meadow overgrown with the asphodel, a $\delta \dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \delta$ ov or terrace, a $\lambda o ́ \varphi o s$ or hillslope, and a pool of water. The first three seem to show a shadowy analogy to the palace of the Achæan prince, his $\tau \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \varepsilon v o s$ adjacent, and his $\alpha \dot{v} \lambda \dot{\eta}$ or enclosure. ${ }^{6}$ The lines of resemblance must not indeed be pressed, especially as regards dimensions; but these purposely dim and vague conceptions, as they are left by the poet, show their rudiments as traceable in the world of life. The meadow probably has an indefinite extension, and Odys., on quitting the blood-pool, would be conceived as being at once in it. It is here remarkable that the word عiбgvór. $\sigma \kappa$, "I noticed", is used only of two of the phantom persons in the sequel, viz Orion and Herakles, ${ }^{7}$ both of whom are engaged somewhat similarly, the-one driving phantom beasts before him the other phantom mens. Of all the other personages, whether ladies, heroes, or criminals the phrase only varies between i'סov (or $\varepsilon i \delta \delta o v$ ) and $\varepsilon i \sigma \varepsilon \check{\iota} \delta o \nu .{ }^{9}$
    (23) Now this difference in phrase is just what we might expect if these two were conceived as seen first at a distance and in rapid motion, the others nearer and in fixed position, or, as in the cases of Tantalus and Sisyphus, moving only within narrow limits. Some such difference in the mode of perception seems certainly indicated, and the difference which is most obviously supposable is what has just been suggested. Of one of them only, Herakles, do we read that he recognized Odys. and addressed him. ${ }^{10}$ Whether he drank of the blood or not before speaking, is a question which we can afford to leave open. But he certainly, on the above supposition, would have had an unmolested opportunity of so doing, as of course would other phantoms also. And this at once helps us to account for the altered bearing of the phantom hosts in respect to Odys., and for his novel apprehensions as regards the consequences. It only remains to notice that $\alpha v \tau o \tilde{v} \mu \varepsilon v^{\prime} \mathcal{v}$ in 628 will on this supposition refer to the spot at which Herakles left him. The whole account indeed somewhat labours under the double disadvantage of being at first somewhat diffusely spun out, and then somewhat abruptly cut short or "huddled up" at the close. But if the outline of the sequence of incidents is as represented here, there is at least no inconsistency in them and no need of rejecting any lengthy passage.
    (24) And if the passage $565-627$ be rejected, we must, I think, accept the further consequence, that the conclusion of the whole book has also sustained alteration. Lines $631-5$, unless some such supposition as I have made in (19)--(2I) be admitted, directly contravene the necromantic conditions upon which the $\nu$ ' $r v \iota \iota$ is constructed; and, unless consistency in these be assumed, our attempts at a critical examination of the claims of particular passages to be genuine will most probably fail through the want of criteria.
    (25) I have already stated my suspicion of the passages which speak of the alternate life of the sons of Ledê and of the divided or duplicate existence of

    Herakles. ${ }^{11}$ I can only give as the common ground for this distrust the wide distance between such mythological refinements and the simple forms of early legend which are characteristically Homeric. It is impossible, I should think, to read even the legends contained in the vexvía only without feeling this. Moreover, we know what a flood of hero-worship pervaded the Greeks of the sixth century B. C. and afterwards; see some remarks Pref. p. xxix, cxviii on this head. Now, it would be most unlikely that, with such a tendency so strongly at work, the Homeric poems should have escaped some trace of it, especially when we consider of what a highly sympathetic material they consist, and in how looselylinked a form they mostly hang. The myth of the Dioscuri, who are expressly denied divine origin by $\mathbf{H}$., is given by Pindar in a fully developed form, and these lines which relate to them may probably date from the same period. In the II. they are spoken of as merely dead and buried in the ordinary course of nature, by way of accounting for their not being visible to Helen on the plain of Troy. Not that it is inconsistent with heroic legend for a hero first to die and then be translated to the state immortal, among the gods, if still not yet divine. For so in the account given by Proclus of the argument of the Ethio pis, prefixed to the Schol. Ven., we read, $\xi^{\prime \prime} \pi \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha$ ' $A \chi \iota \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \dot{v} \varsigma ~ M \varepsilon ́ \mu \nu o v \alpha x \tau \varepsilon i v \varepsilon \iota$
     therefore does not in this view imply exemption from death. Menelaüs, we may remember, is somewhat doubtfully told (but see note on $\delta$. $56 \mathrm{I}-9$ ) that he is not to die in Argos (Peloponnesus), and since the poet (or interpolator, if so he be) cannot probably mean that he was to die in Elysium, whither he was to be sent, his exemption from death is probably intended. But this is obviously a much simpler conception than an alternation of life with death between two persons, or the dichotomy of one into a phantom and a beatified hero. The worship of the Dioscuri was chiefly fostered by the Dorian Hegemony. Now the Dorians of Homer are a remote and obscure tribe of islanders (Pref. p. Lxxxvi). Hence this degree of development in the Dioscuric legend is inconsistent with Homeric fact in other respects.
    (26) As regards Herakles, his accession to immortality was such an established and ubiquitous dogma from the Pindaric period downwards, that it seems nearly certain that his Homeric position as a shade among the shades would have revolted national feeling as subsequently developed to such an extent as to compel tampering with the passage;-that in fact it could only be qualified for recitation by room being found to squeeze in the apotheosis. By the time of Cleisthenes even Ajax had become an elect hero (Herod. V. 66); and Heracles stands in Pindar as a sort of godfather to Ajax, an elder, larger, grander figure. Isthm. V. 53 foll.). Hebê, moreover, who is certainly named as his wife in $\lambda .603$, in the $I l^{12}$ is found performing the offices usually assigned to the maiden daughter. I may add that the two lines (see note ad loc.) seem to me of doubtful genuineness, but that I see no reason for suspecting the general conception of Herakles in the shades as un-Homeric. If the passage had stood at first,
    
    $\dot{\alpha} \mu \varphi i \quad \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \iota \nu \quad \chi \lambda \alpha \gamma \gamma \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \varepsilon u \dot{\omega} \omega \nu$ к. $\tau . \lambda .$,
    we may see at a glance how easily either Onomacritus or any early rhapsodist
    11 2. 302-4, 601-2. ${ }^{12}$ E. 905.
    might have been led by popular sympathy to insert the three intermediate lines
     text. It is worth while to cite here Pindar Nem. I. roo foll., respecting the death and apotheosis of Herakles,
    
    $\Gamma \iota \gamma \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu \mu \dot{\alpha} \chi \alpha \nu$ बंข $\nu \iota \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega \sigma \iota \nu, \beta \varepsilon \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega \nu$ vi $\pi \dot{o}$ @ $\ell \pi \alpha i \sigma \iota$
    
    
    
    
    
    $\sigma \varepsilon \mu \nu o ̀ v ~ \alpha i v \eta ́ \sigma \varepsilon \iota \nu ~ \delta o ́ \mu o v . ~$
    Here the distinction between the hero as mortal and his immortal essence as deified is emphasized, as in Homer, by the pronoun $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \dot{\partial} \nu$, this seems to me to yield a presumption in favour of the antiquity of the interpolation, whether due, as tradition ascribes it, to Onomacritus or not.
    (27) With regard to Minos a remarkable development of the myth is noticeable as gathered from Plato's Gorgias $523-6$, where $\lambda .569$ is cited. There we find a jurisdiction, retributive for good or evil done in this life, established among the shades, and Pluto, together with the office-bearers in the Islands of the Blessed, complaining that dooms on either side were often unfairly awarded. On which Zeus established Minos and Rhadamanthus as judges for Asia, and Eacus for Europe, reserving harder cases for Minos to decide. The legend of Orion and Eös has been noticed on $\varepsilon$. 12I-4, and is probably a form of the dawn-myth. The mention of Orion in $\lambda .310$ means probably to include size and not leauty only, cf. 572 , as the characteristic in which he excelled. So Pind., Isthm. IV. 84 speaks of $\varphi \dot{v} \sigma \iota \nu$ ' $\Omega \alpha \rho \iota \omega v \varepsilon i \alpha \nu$, meaning stature. Donaldson there refers to an aticle on Orion in the Rheinisches Museum for 1834 . In Hes. Opp. 498 el al. as well as in II. his name is given to the constellation which has probably ever since retained it.
    (28) The question is more easily raised than answered. how the corporeal sufferings inflicted on Tityus, Tantalus and Sisyphus could take effect upon phantoms? But it belongs to a stage of thought with which $H$. we may be sure was not concerned. His $\varepsilon i \delta \delta \omega \lambda \alpha$ have an objective reality which suffices for all the purposes of his action. The difficulty, if it be one, applies equally to Virgil, where the shades are as light and unsubstantial as those of H. (Æn. VI. 292 -3, $390-1,413-4,700-2$ ), but who yet gives Tityus an immortale jecur etc., 498. The question is not evaded nor is it solved. We may compare a paper in the Spectator speaking of a "certain visionary named Maraton" who is supposed to have made his way to the land of Shades of the North American Indians. Here Addison indulges in several felicitous surprises occasioned by the unsubstantial character of what seemed substance to the eye. Plato in his Phædo and Gorgias uses words which suggest the same question. He insists on the soul and body parting company at death, and on the judge of the dead being, like them, disembodied, $\alpha v \tau \tilde{\eta} \tau \check{\eta} \psi v \chi \hat{\eta} \alpha \dot{v} \tau \dot{\eta} v \tau \dot{\eta} v \psi v \chi \dot{\eta} v \vartheta \varepsilon \omega \varrho o v ̃ \nu \tau \alpha$, but he insists on the $\tau \iota \mu \omega \varrho i \alpha$ which is to benefit the soul being $\delta \iota^{\prime} \alpha \lambda \gamma \eta \delta \delta{ }^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$ к $\alpha \boldsymbol{\imath}$ $\dot{\delta} \delta v v \tilde{\omega} v$ alike on earth and in Aïdes $(524, \Gamma, 433, E)$. The Phædo offers what may pass as a solution, in the supposition that the soul which has served
    carnal lusts has contracted corporeal affinities, $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon \mu \eta \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \nu ้ ้ \lambda \lambda o \delta o x \varepsilon i v$ عiv $\alpha \iota$
    
    
    
    
     taking a bodily form and often even speaking with an audible voice, although eluding all other tests of human sense, has been current in all ages and is by no means extinct. N or have we any such knowledge of the ultimate laws which govern the relations of body and spirit as would enable us decisively to refute them. Further, there seems no a priuri difficulty in conceiving a soul, after quitting its mortal frame, to put on a body of such attenuated elements as to be unappreciable by human sense, or by the sense of touch, although capable of furnishing an organization which would subject the soul to sensations of pleasure and pain.

    ## APPENDIX G. 4.

    ON 2. 281-97 AND o. 225-55.

    (1) These two passages contain opposite versions of the legend of Melampus; for though literally reconcileable, the spirit in which they are conceived is antagonistic.
    (2) If we omitted 0. 229-38 all would be clear and coherent with $\lambda .28 \mathrm{r}-97$, in which previous passage the story is told with clearness enough from the Pylian point of view. In $\lambda$. Neleus is the sturdy old chieftain who will have none but a man of mettle for a son-in-law, and will give his lovely daughter only to him who wins her by a successful foray against Iphiclus, his enemy, and drives home the beeves so hard to win ( $\propto \dot{\beta} \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \alpha \varsigma$ ). The noble seer ( $i$. e. Melampus, though not named) essays the adventure, but is made prisoner by the herdsmen, and, after durance for a year, released by Iphiclus for the sake of some special exercise of his gift. The poet does not inform us whether the cattle were brought home and the lady won. But the $\Delta i o s \delta^{\prime}$ ' $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon i \varepsilon \tau o ~ \beta o v \lambda \dot{\eta}$ probably implies that result in general language.
    (3) Now in $0.229-38$. Neleus is the treacherous enemy of Melampus who, whilst the latter is detained in bonds by Phylacus, seizes and keeps his property. The attempt on Iphiclus' cattle, so far from being viewed as a spirited adventure, ranks as a "grievous outrage" suggested by Erinys the mischief-maker. Melampus, however, eludes fate, drives home the beeves, and then takes revenge on Neleus for his dastardly ( $\dot{\varepsilon \iota x} \dot{\mathrm{c}}$ ) act, and bestows the wife (i. e. P(rù , wiom he won, but now, we must suppose, disdained, ) on his own brother; he then becomes an exile in Argos, for so fate willed he should be a mighty prince of the Argives, marries a wife, builds a palace, and appears as the ancestor of a house of soothsayers, whence sprung afterwards the famous Amphiaraiis.
    (4) This inconsistent portion is clearly an Argive legend, the former one in $\lambda$. we may call a Pylian one. That a year's imprisonment befell Melampus on account of a foray into Phylacê* undertaken against the cattle of Iphiclus or Phylacus, for the sake of Neleus' daughter, is all that they have in common. The Argive legend starts from the expatriation of Melampus and seeks to account for it. This leads to the mention of the wrong done him by Neleus, which leads to the mention of his detention by Phylacus, which leads to that of the attempt to win Neleus' daughter by doing a grievous wrong, i.e. driving the cattle, which forms the starting point of the tale as told in $\lambda$. Thus far the story is told backwards, but throughout with a feeling against Neleus, who is the "haughtiest man on earth". Noted seers in historic times professed to trace to Melampus their pedigree and their prophetic gift; see also the story told of him in Herod. IX. 34, where the only features found in common with this are the fact of his Pylian origin and Argive adoption, and the mention of his brother as benefiting by the advantages he gained. Pind. Pyth. VIII. $55-86$ has preserved the legend of Amphiaraüs and Amphilochus and their connexion with the war of the Epigoni, and in a fragment of Stesich., preserved by Eustath. 316, 16, Amphilochus is
    

    ## APPENDIX G. 5.

    ## Ithaca and the adjacent islands.

    (1) The Scholiasts mention three brothers, Ithacus, Neritus and Polyctor, sons of Pterelaüs, who colonized the island of Ithaca from Cephallenia, and whose names are preserved in those of the island and some of its local features. This statement has merely the usual form of a Greek local myth and calls for no further notice. (Schol. Dindorf, e. 207.)
    (2) The first question is, which island, if any, of the Ionian group, (for common consent seems so far to limit the enquiry,) represents the Homeric Ithaca? I think this is capable of such a solution as may suffice not indeed for a prosaic and scientific, but for a poetic standard of accuracy. That is to say, many of the details of local scenery must be left out as mere poetic embellishment, and even the more general outline must be judged of in reference to the poet's point of view, which is very different from that of the hydrographer. But poetic embellishment, in an age when there is no scientific knowledge, and perhaps among the great majority of the poet's hearers, no accurate information to contradict, must be.held guiltiess of any designed substitution of the picturesque for the true.
    (3) Telemachus in his return from his visit to Peloponnesus passes Pher and Elis and probably the most north-westerly points of that peninsula.

    Thence, having, we may suppose, hitherto crept along the coast, he launches forth ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \pi \varrho \circ \varepsilon ่ \eta \varkappa \varepsilon)$ to the $\vartheta 0 \alpha i \quad \nu \tilde{\eta} \sigma o \iota$ (0. 299), which may be safely assumed to be those at the mouth of the Acheloüs, and the same as the 'Exıv ${ }^{2} \alpha \iota$ of $B$. $62_{5}$, or Echinades of Strabo, most of which have by the silt of the river been since united to the shore. There are indeed other islands along the coast, as also between Theaki and the mainland, but none sufficiently adjacent to each other to be regarded as a group, forming a sea-mark. But if indeed any of those other be assumed to be the $\vartheta o \alpha i \quad \nu \tilde{\eta} \sigma o \iota$, the result as affecting this argument will be the same. The $A i y i \lambda \iota \psi$ and Kœoxvisía of B. 633 are regarded by the Schol. there not as islands but as towns. A course nearly due N., continuing with slight variation his run past the Peloponnesian coast, would bring Telemachus to the $\mathfrak{\vartheta}$ o $\alpha i v \tilde{\eta} \sigma o \iota$ and due E. of Theaki. Now, he was warned by Pallas to avoid the $\pi 0 \rho \vartheta \boldsymbol{\imath} \mu \dot{s}$ s between Ithaca and Samê, where the suitors lay in wait for him at Asteris. To judge from maps there is no point in the Ionian group so well suited to represent this $\pi 0 \rho \vartheta \mu \dot{\rho}$ s as the strait between Cefalonia and Theaki, which narrows in some places to 2 miles wide and has several small islands in its narrow channel, of which any one might be Asteris. One of these two then must, it seems, be Ithaca. If however this one were Cefalonia, Telem. was widely out of his course for it; if it were Theaki, he was within a few miles of the best port in the island, now Dexia, supposed by Kruse and others to be the $\Phi \circ \rho x v v o s ~ \lambda \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ of $\nu$. 345. Again, taking the numbers of the suitors mentioned in $\pi .247$ foll. as $24,20,12$ their proportion is approximately (omitting Dulichium, which with its adjacent group seems to have for surpassed all, cf. $B 630,637$, ) that of the size of the islands, assuming Theaki to be Ithaca, and Zanté Zacynthos; the last being a mean between Samê (Cefalonia) and the former. The statement also of $\nu, 238$ foll. favours the notion that Ithaca
     equivalent to, "it is not so obscure as you might expect", while the added remark, $\dot{\alpha} \tau \dot{\alpha} \varrho$ ov̉x $\varepsilon \dot{v} \varrho \varepsilon i \alpha \alpha ~ \tau \varepsilon ่ \tau v x \tau \alpha \iota, ~ c e r t a i n l y ~ s u i t s ~ T h e a k i ~ b e s t ~ o f ~ a l l, ~ w h i c h, ~, ~$ where incised by its large harbour, Dexia, narrows to an isthmus of half-a-mile and is no where over 3 miles in breadth. The other epithets rerixsice xai ovix $i \pi \pi \eta^{\prime} \lambda \alpha \tau$ ós $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota$ are also admirably adapted to Ithaca. The harbour, too, of Dexia is perhaps the only one in the whole group to which the description of v. 97 would apply,
    
    
    
    (4) This haven, looking nearly N. E., is so shut in by the square corners of its own bay and so sheltered and overlapped by the opposite front of Leucas and the continent at from 10 to 20 miles off, that it forms, for light ressels like those of the heroic times, or in fact Greek coasters at all times, a shelter leaving hardly a chance to bad weather. It is perhaps worth observing that the characteristic of the locality matches that of the hero of the tale. We should expect some noted facilities for shipping, and advantages for seamanship to be found in the home of the hero seaman, the very prince of maritime adventure. So, in a more modern day, the haven inlets of the Dart and Exe were the peculiar nursery of the Elizabethan race of English seamen. Now, no place
    is so likely to retain the unbroken tradition of its name as that which has an unfailing advantage, like a fine natural harbour, to ensure constant resort.
    (5) Lastly comes the argument of the name, or rather names. Assuming Samê to be the modern Cefalonia, the only change in the names of the leading group has been to take the name of the largest island from the people who dwelt there. samê, or Samos, was a common name ( $\psi \alpha \alpha_{\mu} \mu \cos _{\text {s sand ? }}$ ) become proper; and, being given to at least three islands, required, when intercourse became frequent, a distinctive title, such as that of the $\Theta \varrho \eta \iota x i \eta \Sigma^{\alpha} \alpha^{\prime}{ }_{0}$, the more important island on the Asiatic coast being Samos par excellence. This name was no doubt the Ksø $\alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \nu i \eta \sum^{\prime} \Sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu o s$, and as in the Thracian island the epithet coalesced in "Samothracia", so here the Samo- was perhaps dropped and $\dot{\eta} K \varepsilon$ $\varphi \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta v i \eta$ alone remained. Now, since this is hardly to be deemed a change, for K\&甲 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \varepsilon \varsigma$ occurs several times, we have here the fact of 3 islands with, in effect, the same names as in Homer's time, and with no indication, as far as tradition gues, of their having ever changed them. Strabo indeed might speculate on this or that island showing features of discrepancy from the Homeric description, and deny that the Ithaca of his day - undoubtedly the modern Teaki - was the one the poet intended, but this is only a testimony that in popular acceptance it was Ithaca. And although we can conceive a race of conquerors succeeding in effacing old names and imposing new ones on conquered islands, who ever heard of their giving the names of a group a twist round, as it were, or making two of its members change names? Each name in such a group tends to keep the other names in their right places; and the chances against any such change being effected are proportionably multiplied and certainly whenever the legend of Odys. - not necessarily the present poem embodying it, but the legend - became popular in Greece, from that time the clear title of the island then identified with it would be too broadly fixed in the popular eye to allow of its being lost. There have probably always been islanders there who prized as a set-off against the smallness of their domain the fame which that title procured them and found it a passport to the notice of the world; and their self-interest in the question would be a guarantee, against the title ever having become lost to the territory.
    (6) The absence of any equally certain site for Dulichium is what has given some ground of doubt regarding Ithaca. Spohn, as Bondelmont before him, took Theaki for Dulichium, seeing that the large port on its E . side has the remnant of a tuwn still called Dolicha. Spohn also placed Homer's Ithaca in a much smaller island, Sathako, 7 or 8 miles from Theaki. This last is a mere barren hummock without a spring of water or an acre of arable land. Strabo placed Dulichium near the mouth of the Acheloïs; see App. D. 7. Pietro della Valle (cir. ${ }^{1614}$ ) took Dulichium for a part, like Samê, of Cefalonia. Of modern travellers, Gell, Holland and Dodwell agree in accepting Theaki for Ithaca.
    (7) The chief difficulty, however, remains in the lines $\boldsymbol{\iota}$. 21-6.

    $$
    \dot{\varepsilon} v \delta^{\prime} \ddot{\prime \prime} \rho o s \alpha v \tau \tilde{\eta}
    $$

     $\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha i$ v $\alpha \iota \varepsilon \tau \alpha ́ \sigma v \sigma \iota \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \sigma \chi \varepsilon \delta \dot{\partial} \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda \eta \sigma \iota$,
    
    
    

    Now the only two islands which could properly be said to he $\mu$ cike $\sigma \chi \varepsilon \delta$ òv ci $\lambda \lambda$. are Theaki and Cefaloniu, since Zacynthus (Yunté) lies consilcaably to the S., and Dulichium, supposing it at the Acheloiis' mouth, considerably to the li, of these first two. Nor, taking avirो to mean, as it certainly must, Ithaca, can it he strictly said to lie furthest to the W. (乡ó $\varphi$ ov), nor the rest to lie to the E. uf it, nor is it "flat", if that be the meaning of $\chi \vartheta ๙ \mu \alpha \lambda \dot{\eta}$. But, if we examine the group, these difficulties will be seen to imply a general geographical inaccuracy affecting the whole of them (Theaki Cefalonia Zunté). None of them is so situated as to be markedly $\pi \rho o s$ gógov, with the others relatively apart and to the E. I conceive indeed that the last line has a general reference to the fact that these three lie to the W., and that there was unother group, Dulichium and the Voai vroou, lying a considerable interval to the E. Since, however, this difficulty is general, not specially bearing on the claims of any island to represent Ithaca, it may be left without further remark, than that such an amount of inaccuracy is what we night expect in an age when books and maps were not, and when hearsay or a casual visit was the sole means by which a poet, assumed to be from the Asiatic Ionian coast, could inform himself of the facts. I regard this therefore rather as a confimation of the unity of authorship in the two poems. At any rate, if the author of the Odyssey had been a Western Greek, his local knowledge might be expected to show as much aceuracy as we find when the islands of the Asiatic coast, or that coast itself, is mentioned in the Iliad. Nor should we omit the tradition of the poet's blindness, when discussing any question on which his descriptions are challenged on the ground of fact. Supposing his sight lost after a visit in early life to these remote parts, and their scenes afterwards recalled in talk and song, how much of exactness might the mind's oiginal picture be expected to retain?
    (8) Similarly there is none of the group which the epithet $\chi \vartheta \vartheta \alpha \mu \alpha \lambda \dot{\eta}$ can literally suit. The word occurs in various associations in four other passages. The Greek wall is $\chi \vartheta \alpha \mu \alpha \lambda \omega \tau \kappa \tau \quad \nu$ near the ship of Ajax, ${ }^{13}$ the leaf beds of Laertes ${ }^{11}$ are $\chi \vartheta \alpha \mu \alpha \lambda \alpha i$, one of the rocks at Scylla is $\chi \vartheta \vartheta \mu \mu \lambda \omega \dot{\sigma} \tau \varrho o s,{ }^{15}$ and more
     is used of Aeaea, Circe's island. Völcker thinks, "fast rooted in the sea," as opposed to floating islands, e. g. Delos, that of Aeolus etc., is the meaning. This sense is wholly unsuited to all the above four passages. Further, if it be applicalle here, $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \vartheta \alpha u c \lambda \grave{\eta} x \varepsilon \check{\tau} \tau \alpha \iota$ should be distinctive of Ithaca, whereas all the islands of the group, are equally in this sense $\chi \vartheta \alpha \mu \alpha \lambda \propto i$. But the pas sages cited show that $\chi \vartheta \alpha \mu \alpha \lambda \dot{\eta}$ is uniformly true to its connesion with $\chi \alpha \mu c \kappa i$, not in the sense of "fast" but of "lowly", as humilis from humi rather than solida from solum. It means "low" or "flat", but how applicable here? The parallel case of Aeata shows how. In $x$. 194-6 Odys, mounts an eminence (бкольìv $\pi \alpha \iota \pi c \lambda \lambda_{0} \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu$ ) to command a prospect of the island which, as thence seen, lies $\chi \hat{\vartheta} \alpha \mu \alpha \lambda \dot{\eta}$, with a boundless margin of sea apparently overbrimming it.
    (9) Every one who has had a similar opportunity must have noticed how from such a height all minor eminences tend to flatten down beneath him. Be they ever so numerous and irregular, they are for the moment lost in the downward

    $$
    { }^{13} \mathrm{~N} .683 .{ }^{14} \lambda .194 .{ }^{15} \mu \cdot 101 .{ }^{16} \text { x. } 196
    $$

    HOM. OD. APP.
    perspective. Thus the poet's stand-point is that of a "bird's-eye view", and the phrase $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\varepsilon} x . \tau$. $\lambda$., refers, not to Ithaca in contrast with other islands, but in contrast with its own leading feature, Mount Neritus, mentioned just before. Similarly the shicld of Nestor is all of gold, xóvovás $\tau \varepsilon x \alpha i \alpha v \dot{v} \dot{\eta} \nu,{ }^{17} i$. e. the shield proper, or by itself, without those accessories. So again, we have ${ }^{18}$
    
    where the $\beta$ óss are thus distinguished from their leading part, the £ıvoi, just as the $\nu \tilde{\eta} \sigma o s \alpha \dot{v} \tau \dot{\eta}$ from the mountain here. Virgil has a similar use of ipse in Georg. II. 297, where the central stem of a tree is thus distinguished from the branches,

    - media ipsa ingentem sustinet umbram;
    and again in Georg. IV. 274,
    Aureus ipse, sed in foliis quae plurima circum
    Funduntur, etc.,
    where the central disk of the flower amellum is contrasted with the petals which hang around. This relation of distinctness between the island and its principal feature, Mount Neritus, is preserved even in the Catalogue, ${ }^{19}$
    
    but naturally becomes more conspicuous here.
    (10) Schreiber accepts the view of Palmer, who would read $\chi \vartheta \alpha \mu \alpha \lambda \tilde{\eta}$ referred to $\dot{\alpha} \lambda i$, but the parallel in $x .196 \alpha v \dot{\imath} \grave{\eta} \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \vartheta \alpha \mu \alpha \lambda \grave{\eta} x \varepsilon \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha \iota$, where $\dot{\alpha} \lambda i$ does not occur, is against this; it being nearly certain that the relation of these four words to each other in the two passages is the same. Possibly, some point of view might be found from which not only the island would appear thus relatively $\chi \vartheta \alpha \mu \alpha \lambda \grave{\eta}$, but the only others in sight might appear $\alpha \mu \varphi \dot{\imath}$, scattered about it, and the rrincipal group within the eye's range at a distance eastward,


    ## 

    This, however, is hypothetical only, and is a descent into greater minuteness than is necessary in a poetical description from recollection or hearsay. It remains to be noticed that, when this stand-point is quitted, the relations fade, and Ithaca comes out as $x \varrho \alpha \nu \alpha{ }^{\eta} \eta,{ }^{20} \alpha i \gamma i \beta o \tau o \varsigma,{ }^{21} \tau \varrho \eta \chi \varepsilon i \alpha,{ }^{22}$ and the like, and the main headland, though striking still, is no more the pole - so to speak - of the entire prospect.
     ह่र $\gamma v \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega$ oṽ$\sigma \alpha$ $\alpha v \tau \tilde{\eta} s$. But nearness to land, as opposed to sea, is nowhere, as has been shown, a sense of this adj. in H. Strabo understands $\pi \alpha v v \pi$. $\pi \varrho$ ós ̧ó $\wp o v$ as "furthest to the North", mistaking, as will be further shewn, the sense of そó $\varphi$ os. The Schol. Vulg. makes $\chi \boldsymbol{\gamma} \alpha \mu \alpha \lambda \eta$ mean "deep-lying", and explains $\pi \alpha \nu v$ $\pi \varepsilon \rho \tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} \eta$ as of a moral elevation due to the fame ( $\delta o \delta \xi \eta$ ) of its people! The Schol. Ambros. similarly, but more vaguely, interprets $\chi \vartheta \vartheta \alpha \mu \alpha \lambda \dot{\eta}$ in reference to its situation ( $\vartheta \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \nu v$ ), and $\pi \alpha v v \pi$. as the Schol. Vulg., but has another view which be puts first, that previously mentioned being given as an alternative. His first view is, that the word $\chi \vartheta \alpha \mu$. is to be understood of the $\mathbf{E}$. side, and $\pi \alpha \nu v \pi$. (in connexion with $\pi$ @ós そó $\wp o v$ ) "highest towards the W." This does not seem to correspond to any natural fact, but rather to misrepresent the case; since the only part which, to judge from Kruse's large-scale map, can literally be called

    $$
    { }^{17} \Theta . \text { 193. }{ }^{18} \mathrm{H} .474 .{ }^{19} \text { B. 632. }{ }^{20} \alpha .247 \cdot{ }^{21} \delta .605-7 .{ }^{22} \text { छ. } 1-2 .
    $$

    "flat", is on the W. side, between the slopes of Neritus and the sea. Eustath. states the alternative view given, as above, hy the Schol. Ambros., with apparent acceptance, but afterwards seems rather to accede to that Strabo.
    (12) There remains the statement that Ithaca, supposed Theaki, is reis Góqov. I agree with Ukert that the gloom of sunset and therefore "the west"
    
    
     as also between the flights of birds, ${ }^{25}$ discriminated as regards direction by the same phrases, leave this unmistakable. Schreiber sees this, but adds that, if the meanings of $\dot{\eta} \tilde{\omega} s$ and $\eta \dot{\eta} \dot{\varepsilon} \iota_{l o s}$ could be divided, be feels sure (ich halte mich überzeugt) that by $\dot{\eta} \omega_{s} H$. would have expressed the E . and by $\dot{\eta} \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda_{1}$ os the S .
    (13) I feel sure that the poet expressed but one notion, as above stated, by
     the balancing expression. But again, the question occurs what is the poet's stand-point in his E. and W.? It appears likely that such directions were refered to navigation, which in those ages and long after was customary only in the summer season; and thus, taking the solstice as its culminating point, we obtain for Góqov, or sunset, a point to the N. of $W$. Of course it may be argued that sunrise ( $\tilde{\eta}_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{s}$ ) ought similarly to include a northward extension at the same time. Theoretically this would follow, but practically it need not. If そópos, or sunset, were made the standard, the opposite point might remain undetermined, simply through the problem not being thought out. But even if any point in the N.E. quadrant be taken as meant by $\pi \varrho o s{ }_{\eta} \dot{\eta} \tilde{\omega} \tau \quad x, \tau . \lambda$., it will not disturb our reckoning significantly. Indeed, there is some reason for thinking that the poet does in effect recognize a north-eastern sunrise as well as a north-western sunset, see note on $\mu$. $1-2$. The next fact to be noticed is that Peloponnesus is the point of view from which the question would in the poet's time be judged. We should consider how would it look from the water, as the islands came in sight of a coaster rounding the N. W. angle of Peloponnesus? Ithaca would apparently not be reached till Zacynthus and Samê had been passed, nor sighted, till they had been some time in sight; and if the nearest point to Peloponnesus of each of these three islands be taken, that of Ithaca lies, by Spruner's map both further to the W. (and a fortiori to the N. W.) and further from Peloponnesus, than either of the other two. Now this further run from Peloponnesus to reach it, is probably what is meant by $\pi \alpha \nu v \pi$. عiv $\dot{\lambda} \lambda i x \varepsilon i \tau \alpha \iota$, whilst the voyager would be unconscious, seeing the summer sun setting before him, that the whole of that extension was not westerly. It is conceivable that the poet himself may have made the run which he ascribes to his own Telem. when returning from Pylos, and then all the observations as regards direction etc. would have a relative truth and fall naturally into their place.
    (14) I take $\pi \alpha v v \pi \varepsilon \varrho \tau \kappa \dot{\alpha} \eta \varepsilon^{i v} \alpha \dot{\alpha} i$, therefore, in Straho's sense of "furthest over in the sea", $\pi \alpha \nu$-having the force of $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$, or here, $\pi \kappa \sigma \omega \nu$, i. e. $\nu \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \nu$; cf. the similarly formed $\pi \alpha v v^{\sigma} \sigma \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} o s$ in $\ell .452$. For some English parallel forms see the note on $\pi \alpha \prime \mu \pi \varrho \omega \tau \quad v$ at $x .403$.
    (15) The name $K \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \nu \varepsilon \varepsilon \varsigma^{26}$ is given generally to the subjects of Odys and is applied to the whole body of the suitors, ${ }^{27}$ some of whom probably lay out of his


    c*
    dominion. The $K \varepsilon \varphi \propto \lambda \lambda$. are supposed by Laertes, $\omega$. 355, to be likely to he summoned by the suitors' faction in Ithaca to avenge the death of their own
    
    
     thus be the key to its original meaning. Laërtes had led Cephallenians in a foray on the mainland, unless, as probably in $\pi .367$, some part of Cefalonia itself be meant by $\eta \pi \varepsilon i \rho o \iota o . ~ H e ~ h a d ~ t h e n ~ s a c k e d ~ N e r i c u m ~ t h e r e . ~ T h i s ~ a m b i g u-~$ ity of the word $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \pi \varepsilon \varrho 0$ does not however weigh for much. A large island like Samê might be an $\ddot{\eta} \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho o s$ as compared with a smaller, like Asteris or even with one as large as Theaki. As regards the question of Odysseus' flocks and herds, Samê is probably meant by " $\boldsymbol{\eta} \pi \varepsilon \varrho 0$ os in $\xi$. 100, since Philoetius, chief of
     by the $\pi v \varrho \vartheta \mu \dot{\eta} \varepsilon s$, ordinarily plying, as it seems, $i$. e. on the $\pi 0 \rho \vartheta \mu \mu$ os between Ithaca and Samê, which latter was therefore the pasture-ground.
    (16) Völcker § 34 argues that Noëmon's herd of horses, and the other cattle tended by Philoctius, were probably on the same $\eta_{\|} \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho o s$, wherever it lay. But we are expressly told that Noëmon's horses were in Elis, ${ }^{28}$ which is expressly called imaóßozos, and we have a mention of horse-races and prizes there, A. 670 foll. Besides, Noëmon needs his own ship for the passage, which seems to show that no $\pi 0 \varrho \vartheta \mu \tilde{\eta} \varepsilon \varsigma$ were available thither. Although, however, Samê is probably the $\eta \pi \varepsilon \iota \varrho o s$ of Odysseus' sheepmasters, yet the $\eta \pi \varepsilon \varepsilon \varrho \circ \varrho$ of the Laërtian conquest may have lain elsewhere, for instance in the peninsula of Leucas, where Spruner's map places Nericum. Thus we find Odys. led to the war
    
    where, if two regions are intended, one of the two is probably the Acarnanian mainland at or near Leucas, now an island, and made so first by the Corinthians, (Ni. on $\alpha$. 259) and the conquest of Laërtes would account for Odysseus' anthority being recognized there. As regards the further notices of those parts, we have a $\Theta \varepsilon \sigma \pi \varrho \omega \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \dot{v} \varsigma$ named $\Phi \varepsilon i ́ \delta \omega v,{ }^{29}$ an Aitcilos $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\eta} \varrho_{,}{ }^{3 n}$ who had taken refuge in Ithaca from a family qnarrel, and several mentions of an
    
    as ruling on the $\ddot{\eta} \pi \varepsilon \iota \varrho 0 \varsigma$. The Thesprotians were also before the Trojan war allies ( $\propto \varrho \vartheta \vartheta \mu \iota o \iota$ ) of the Ithacans, ${ }^{32}$ and were presumably still so, since Odys. is spoken of as being received hospitably among them, ${ }^{33}$ and peaceful traffic appears to be going on at the time of his return between them and Dulichium. ${ }^{34}$
    (17) The $\eta \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho o s$ has commonly the epithet $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha i v \eta$ in the mouths of the lthacan islanders, denoting probably the contrast of its dark mass with the bright sea across which it was visible; so $\mu \varepsilon ́ \lambda \alpha \iota v \alpha \iota ~ \nu \tilde{\eta} \varepsilon s$ passim.

    ## APPENDIX A. 23.

    ON THE PRONOUN AND THE ARTICLE EVOLVED FROM IT.

    $$
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { and } \dot{0}, \ddot{\eta}, \tau \dot{o} \text {, and } \tau o \dot{\iota}, \tau \alpha \dot{i}, \tau \dot{\alpha} \text {. } \\
    & { }^{28} \delta .635-7 .{ }^{29} \xi \cdot 316,{ }_{33} \tau \cdot 287 .{ }^{30} \xi \cdot 379 .{ }^{31} \sigma .85,116, \varphi \cdot 308 .{ }^{32} \pi \cdot 427 . \\
    & { }^{33} \text { ৎ. } 526, \tau .27 \mathrm{I} .{ }^{31} \tau \text {. 291-2. }
    \end{aligned}
    $$

    In these we have really two distinct pronominal forms embodying different elements mixed up together. The masc. and fem. ${ }^{\circ \prime} \ddot{\eta}$, or ös $\ddot{\eta}$, may be compared with the oldest English forms of pronouns for the same genders, se seo, and each is personal and subjective. In the earliest distinctives of language the subject is a person and the person a subject, and this subjectivity is more intensely present in the first person than in the second, hut in the third is in the oldest stage of language hardly present at all. Thus only masc. or fem. nouns could properly have a nom. case, neuters came into existence as ohjects; and probahly pronouns of the third person are oldest of all in that case. Thus we have tum, gum, tam, qumm, really pronouns in their oldest forms, as shown by tan-tus quantus formed on tam quam: tantus thus means "that-object-like".
    (2) Accordingly, when a pronoun of the third person was wanted to become a subject, it fell back on the pronoun of the second person, to which second originally belonged and from which were developed the se seo* of nur earliest English and the $\ddot{n} s$ or $\ddot{o}, \ddot{\eta}$, of Greek, the aspirate in which last represents the sihilant of the former.
    (3) In earliest English, as in Greek, the nenter and all the oblique cases in all genders followed the objective forms.** It is probable that $\tau \dot{n}$ as a neut. accus. is older than $\ddot{o} \varsigma$, or $\ddot{o}, \ddot{r}_{\text {, as }}$, mas. and fem. nomin. and similarly thaet in English is probably older as accus. than se seo as nomin. Now all these singulars probably existed long before their plurals. Hence we have, oldest, thaet $\tau \grave{o}$

    $$
    \begin{array}{ll}
    \text { recent } & \left\{\begin{array}{llll}
    \hat{o} s & \text { or } & \ddot{o} & \ddot{\eta} \\
    \text { se } & & \text { seo }
    \end{array}\right. \\
    \text { more } \\
    \text { recent, } & \left\{\begin{array}{ll}
    o \ddot{\imath} & \alpha i \\
    \tau o i & \tau \alpha i
    \end{array}\right\} \\
    t h i & \tau \dot{\alpha},
    \end{array}
    $$

    If we may argne from the analogy of English' in which thi is the only plur. nom. and acc., $\tau$ oi and $\tau \alpha i$ are older than $o i$ and $\alpha i$ as plur. But se sen thaet hecane by $1200 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{C}$,*** the then the, with the often for a plur. form too. This shows that the older form was the stronger, the more inveterately objective or thirdpersonal; and as the inflexions are shed off, the alone remains our def. art.
    (4) Now throughout a considerable stage of the English language this pronoun

    * The $s$ in these is a trace of the 2. person, oldest form fir (Donaldson New Crat. §. 132.) and accordingly se has a by form or dialectic form the, and sen
     and co $\tau$ cev $=$ "o you." So the Greek $\sigma \boldsymbol{i}$ " is connected not only with the Latin $t u$ of 2 . pers. but with sui (F.) of the third.
    
    fluctuated between a demonstrative or rel. use and one as a definite article. I will give a few examples from "Philology of the English Tongue" by Prof. Earle.

    Hine man bær tha sona of tham bedde to cyrcan. (Swithun)
    Him men bare then soon from the bed to church

    The burh the he ahte. (Layamon)
    The borough that he owned.
    Canst thu thone preost the is gehaten Eadsige? (Saxon) Earle p. 37
    Thone the he geseat on thaere cyrcan $i b$.
    And Gloi that child hahten. (Layamon)
    To than blisfolle kyinge. (ib.)
    The strengeste of alle than tune. (ib.)

    The leof him wes an heorten, $i b$.
    Who dear to him was at heart.
    Knowest thou the priest
    that is called Eadsige?
    Him whom he saw in the church.

    And Gloi the child named.
    To that blissful King.
    The strongest of all the town.

    This is precisely the stage in which we find the pronoun-article in Homeric Greek; and, as I have shown at length in the Pref. to this vol., in all the earlier Greek poets.
    (5) I will proceed to exemplify a few of its more remarkable forms or uses in Homer. I need hardly state that the same pronoun which furnished the article became also the relative.
    
    
    
     This is found in later Greek. x $\alpha i$ oै $s$ * "and he", at the beginning of a sentence is often found in Plato and Xenophon, and also $x \alpha i$ oi** "and they," with $x \alpha i \tau \dot{v} v x \alpha i \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$,*** in the objective relation, in the same writers, all chiefly in dialogue. Comp. ov $\chi$ ö $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ ös $\delta$ ' ov", "not one so, the other not"' (but all so). So Pind. $\tau \dot{\alpha} x \alpha i \tau \dot{\alpha}$; cf. ös $x \alpha \dot{\circ}$ ös, "this and that man," Herod. IV, 68.
    
     specially noteworthy as the $\tau 0 \tilde{v}$ begins the line, and has thus no metrical excuse.
    (6) A tendency is observable to distinguish the relative use, whether of ${ }_{o}^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$ or $\stackrel{\ddot{o}}{\boldsymbol{o}}$, by the addition of the particle $\tau \varepsilon$, except in the nom. $\stackrel{\text { ö }}{o}$; since $\stackrel{\circ}{o} \tau \varepsilon$ is a combination which, I believe, does not occur. But the combination never acquired such a hold on the language as to be generally distinctive of the relative. ós $\tau \varepsilon$, however, in $H$. is so common as not to need citation in support of it. As regards the oblique cases, I will cite for
    (7) The $\tau \varepsilon$ was similarly added to $o \tilde{i} 0 \varsigma$ and more rarely to ${ }_{\circ} \sigma \sigma o s$, to bring out more clearly their relative force. Examples are


    
    

    ```
                                    \(\alpha i \gamma \varepsilon i \rho o t o .{ }^{43}\)
    ```

    
    

    It seems to me likely that the use of the words ós oios ö́os and the like with $\tau \varepsilon$ is earlier than without it in this relative meaning. The appendage would he specially convenient in the nom. sing. to distinguish ôs $\ddot{\eta}^{\prime \prime}$ rel. from the same demonstr. But as the pronoun demonstrative gradually in spoken speech became
     ö́oos found sufficient distinctives in toǒos $\tau$ óбos, the $\tau \varepsilon$ was abandoned. We have, however, a trace of $\tau \varepsilon$ so used in the oíós $\tau \varepsilon \varepsilon i \mu \iota=\delta \dot{v} \nu \alpha \mu \alpha \iota$ of later Greek.
    (8) Two or three examples of the Homeric use of o $\dot{\eta}$ to as the article may
    
    
    (9) It looks as if the pronoun demonstr. ö was used first to introduce a noun, or give notice of it as to come further on in the sentence, that then gradually the two approached each other, and the pron. thus lapsed into the article. Such an instance we have in
    
     ทֹ $\delta o \nu \eta \eta^{2}$.
    (10) Another intermediate stage is where the pronoun (or article?) points to
     $\tau \grave{o} \sigma r$. is "the well known official staff", ó $\mu \boldsymbol{o \chi} \lambda \grave{o s}$ ह́ $\lambda \alpha \alpha_{i} \nu{ }^{\nu}{ }^{51}$ "the (aforesaid) olive club"; see Jelf, Gr. Gr. § 444, 4.
    (1i) Adverbial uses are chiefly noticeable in $\tau \omega \tilde{\omega}$, "in that case", too common
    
     $\tau \varepsilon$, otov, as
    
    
    
    
    
    (12) This arose out of such constructions as the following, in which the neuter adj. oi $\alpha$ has an agreement of a loose' non precise nature with the class to which the antecedent belongs rather than with that antecedent itself,


    

    ```
    \betaо́\sigmaи\varepsilon\iota \gamma\alphaǐ\alpha \mu\varepsiloń\lambda\alpha\iotav\alpha . . <้\nu\vartheta\varrho\omegáлоvя. ```

